Assessment of Myocardial Microstructural Dynamics by In Vivo Diffusion Tensor Cardiac Magnetic Resonance
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND Cardiomyocytes are organized in microstructures termed sheetlets that reorientate during left ventricular thickening. Diffusion tensor cardiac magnetic resonance (DT-CMR) may enable noninvasive interrogation of in vivo cardiac microstructural dynamics. Dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) is a condition of abnormal myocardium with unknown sheetlet function.

OBJECTIVES This study sought to validate in vivo DT-CMR measures of cardiac microstructure against histology, characterize microstructural dynamics during left ventricular wall thickening, and apply the technique in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) and DCM.

METHODS In vivo DT-CMR was acquired throughout the cardiac cycle in healthy swine, followed by in situ and ex vivo DT-CMR, then validated against histology. In vivo DT-CMR was performed in 19 control subjects, 19 DCM, and 13 HCM patients.

RESULTS In swine, a DT-CMR index of sheetlet reorientation (E2A) changed substantially (E2A mobility $\leq 46\%$). E2A changes correlated with wall thickness changes (in vivo $r^2 = 0.75$; in situ $r^2 = 0.89$), were consistently observed under all experimental conditions, and accorded closely with histological analyses in both relaxed and contracted states. The potential contribution of cyclical strain effects to in vivo E2A was $\leq 17\%$. In healthy human control subjects, E2A increased from diastole ($18\%$) to systole ($65\%$; $p < 0.001$; E2A mobility $= 45\%$). HCM patients showed significantly greater E2A in diastole than control subjects did ($48\%$; $p < 0.001$) with impaired E2A mobility ($23\%$; $p < 0.001$). In DCM, E2A was similar to control subjects in diastole, but systolic values were markedly lower ($40\%$; $p < 0.001$) with impaired E2A mobility ($20\%$; $p < 0.001$).

CONCLUSIONS Myocardial microstructure dynamics can be characterized by in vivo DT-CMR. Sheetlet function was abnormal in DCM with altered systolic conformation and reduced mobility, contrasting with HCM, which showed reduced mobility with altered diastolic conformation. These novel insights significantly improve understanding of contractile dysfunction at a level of noninvasive interrogation not previously available in humans. (J Am Coll Cardiol 2017;69:661–76) Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American College of Cardiology Foundation. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
The microstructure of left ventricular (LV) compact myocardium in humans and other mammals consists of a continuously branching syncytium of cardiomyocytes embedded in a predominantly collagen matrix. The primary helical arrangement of cardiomyocytes through the depth of the LV wall (1,2) (Figures 1A and 1B) can be quantified by the helix angle (HA) (3,4). LV contraction entails both longitudinal and circumferential shortening of the ventricle (~10% to 25%, depending on direction and depth) accompanied by radial wall thickening (~35%), together with twisting of the apex relative to the base (5). Cardiomyocytes, the heart’s fundamental contractile element, individually shorten by only ~15% and thicken by only ~8% during systole (5). Such conformational changes in cardiomyocytes in a helical arrangement alone are insufficient to explain the observed magnitude of systolic wall thickening (5). The secondary organization of cardiomyocytes consists of laminar microstructures, 5 to 10 cardiomyocytes thick, termed sheetlets (3,6). Reorientation of these sheetlets (7,8), quantified by changes in sheetlet angle (SA), has been proposed as the predominant mechanism associated with macroscopic LV wall thickening in vivo (9-12) (Figures 1C to 1J, Online Video 1).

Cardiomyopathies affect both myocardial structure and function in the absence of coronary artery disease or abnormal loading conditions (13,14). In hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM), there is an annual incidence of cardiovascular death of 1% to 2% due to heart failure and sudden cardiac death from arrhythmias (15). In dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM), 5-year mortality is up to 20% with a 14% risk of sudden or aborted cardiac death (16,17). Consequently, efforts are underway to better characterize these patient populations and direct appropriate therapies to those at risk. Cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) is important to this process through improved phenotyping (18,19) and tissue characterization, particularly the detection and quantification of fibrosis through late gadolinium enhancement imaging (20,21).

Diffusion tensor (DT)-CMR potentially provides a novel approach for phenotyping through noninvasive interrogation of the 3-dimensional heart microarchitecture (22,23). In DT-CMR, the primary eigenvector (E1) corresponds to the local cardiomyocyte long-axis orientation, whereas the secondary eigenvector (E2) reportedly corresponds to the local within-sheetlet cross-cardiomyocyte orientation (6,24-34). The angle of E1 relative to the local wall tangent plane (E1A) is an index of mean intravoxel HA, and the angle of E2 (E2A) is an index of mean intravoxel SA (6,28) (Online Appendix). DT-CMR has been used to demonstrate the HA architecture in the normal beating heart (25,27) and in different pathological conditions (28,29), supported by studies validating ex vivo DT-CMR against histology (31-33). DT-CMR data supporting reorientation of laminar microstructures at different phases of the cardiac cycle have been reported in healthy rodent hearts imaged ex vivo in either contracted or relaxed states, with paired histology (6,34), as well as in vivo in healthy volunteers (30,35,36). Abnormal sheetlet dynamics have been demonstrated in dyssynchronous canine hearts (37,38) and in dystrophic rodent hearts (39) imaged ex vivo with paired histology.

In previous work, we implemented robust quantitative in vivo DT-CMR and confirmed its reproducibility in healthy subjects (40) and in HCM (41). We reported E2A changes from systole to diastole, which we hypothesized represented dynamic rearrangement of sheetlets in healthy subjects, as well as E2A changes in HCM, consistent with systolic hypercontraction and attenuated diastolic relaxation (28). However, the in vivo DT-CMR technique used encoded myocardial diffusion over an entire cardiac cycle, and so the influence of tissue deformation on the diffusion measurements has remained unclear (5,28,30,42).

To help understand the relationship of these in vivo findings to the actual underlying tissue microstructure, our study objectives included comprehensive validation of in vivo DT-CMR measures of cardiac microstructure against histology,
**FIGURE 1** LV Myocardial Helical and Sheetlet Microstructures

**HELIX ANGLE**

(A) Multi-slice tractogram obtained from ex vivo diffusion tensor cardiac magnetic resonance depicts the primary diffusion eigenvector (E1) direction color-coded according to the helix angle (HA). (B) Schematic diagram of the helical structure of cardiomyocytes with zoom. Sheetlet angle (SA) rotation during cardiac contraction is depicted during diastole (C to F) and systole (G to J) with myocardial sheetlet microstructures shown in relaxed (F) and contracted (J) states. In mid-myocardial zooms of histology sections cut perpendicular to the local cardiomyocytes acquired from relaxed (C) and contracted (G) ex vivo heart samples, a yellow ellipse surrounds a single sheetlet composed of closely packed cardiomyocytes bounded by the pale cracks of shear layers. Additionally, the sheetlet angle is defined as the angle between the sheetlet and the local epicardial left ventricular (LV) wall. Here, SA varied from a low value (SA \(\approx 15^\circ\)) in diastole (D) to a high value (SA \(\approx 60^\circ\)) in systole (H). See Online Video 1. Blue — left-handed epicardial helixes; yellow — mid-myocardium circumferential alignments; red — right-handed endocardial helixes; gray — intervening cracks or shear layers. DT-CMR — diffusion tensor cardiac magnetic resonance.
**FIGURE 2** E2A Changes Throughout the Cardiac Cycle

**A IN VIVO: DT-CMR AT DIFFERENT TIMES OF THE CARDIAC CYCLE**
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characterization of microstructural dynamics associated with myocardial wall thickening in the loaded beating heart in vivo, and characterization of altered microstructural dynamics in HCM and DCM.

**METHODS**

Detailed methods are available in the Online Appendix and the study protocol is summarized in Online Figure 1. The main DT-CMR protocol parameters were as follow: $b_0 = 50$ s/mm$^2$; $b = 500$ s/mm$^2$ in 6 diffusion encoding directions; repetition time $TR = 2$ RR intervals $= 1400$ ms (assuming a heart rate of 86 beats/min); acquisition time per 2 averages $Tacq = 34$ RR intervals $= 24$ s, 20 averages. Animal procedures were approved by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Animal Care and Use Committee. In brief, in vivo DT-CMR was performed in Yorkshire pigs ($n = 16$) at 2 mid-ventricular short-axis slices with 6 to 9 time points per cardiac cycle. Subsequently, a single mid-ventricular short-axis slice was continuously imaged with DT-CMR (temporal resolution $= 24$ s) in the intact animal in situ during the first hour after induction of cardiac arrest by intravenous potassium chloride (KCl) ($n = 6$) or barium chloride (BaCl$_2$) ($n = 6$) (34). KCl-arrested hearts approximated a diastolic configuration for $>1$ h after arrest. The BaCl$_2$-arrested hearts initially approximated a diastolic configuration, but $>20$ to $40$ min after injection, they underwent a single final contraction over an additional 5 to 10 min (Online Figure 2) and remained contracted. This effectivly slowed cardiac contraction by 3 orders of magnitude (from ~300 ms in vivo to $~5$ s), allowing interrogation of contraction by DT-CMR in the absence of cyclical strain effects. The hearts were then excised and imaged by ex vivo DT-CMR ($n = 16$), after which tissue samples were obtained for paired histology ($n = 16$) (Online Figure 3). DCM and HCM were diagnosed in accordance with guidelines (21). The National Research Ethics Committee approved this study. In vivo DT-CMR was performed both at late diastole and end systole as previously described (40,43) (Online Appendix, Online Figures 4 and 5).

The CMR protocol also included whole heart stacks of 2-dimensional cines for volumetric analysis, cine strain acquisitions, and late gadolinium enhancement (Online Appendix).

**RESULTS**

Under all experimental conditions, we observed that the major change associated with myocardial wall thickening was an increase in E2A (Figure 2; Table 1). E2A values are presented as median (interquartile range [IQR]), because E2A was not normally distributed over the LV. In vivo imaging demonstrated a gradual transition of E2A from a median of 13° (IQR: 11° to 15°) in diastole to 59° (IQR: 52° to 63°) in systole ($n = 16$; $p < 0.0001$) (Figure 2A). Following BaCl$_2$, changes in E2A akin to those observed during in vivo systolic contraction were also seen (median: relaxed: 18° [IQR: 13° to 19°]; contracted: 59° [IQR: 57° to 65°]; $p < 0.0001$; $n = 6$) (Figure 2B, Online Video 2). By contrast, after KCl, E2A and LV wall thickness remained unchanged with median values comparable to those observed in vivo during diastole (E2A = 17° [IQR: 16° to 20°]; $p = 0.05$; $n = 6$) (Figure 2B, Online Video 2). In situ median E2A values $~50$ min after arrest increased substantially between KCl-relaxed (15° [IQR: 16° to 22°]) and BaCl$_2$-contracted (59° [IQR: 57° to 65°]; $p = 0.0034$; $n = 6$) hearts. Ex vivo imaging demonstrated significantly increased median E2A between KCl-relaxed (18° [IQR: 14° to 20°]; $n = 8$) and BaCl$_2$-contracted (62° [IQR: 60° to 66°]; $n = 8$; $p = 0.0008$) states (Figure 2C). Quantitative histological analysis of SA ranged from a median 30° (IQR: 20° to 35°) in KCl-relaxed hearts ($n = 8$) to 75° (IQR: 70° to 80°) in BaCl$_2$-contracted hearts ($n = 8$; $p = 0.0008$) (Figure 2D), and correlated well with ex vivo E2A ($r = 0.89$; $p < 0.0001$). These data confirmed in vivo E2A as a metric of mean intravoxel sheetlet orientation, suggesting that tilting of laminar sheetlet orientation is the predominant mediator of myocardial systolic thickening.

To address the potential influence of cyclical strain on in vivo E2A measures, the relationship between E2A changes ($\Delta$E2A) and LV wall thickness changes ($\Delta$WT) in vivo and with BaCl$_2$ in situ was
TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wall Thickness, mm</th>
<th></th>
<th>Ex vivo Relaxed</th>
<th>Ex vivo Contracted</th>
<th>In vivo Diastole*</th>
<th>In vivo Systole*</th>
<th>In vivo Relaxed</th>
<th>In vivo Contracted</th>
<th>Histology SA</th>
<th>Histology HA epi</th>
<th>Histology HA endo</th>
<th>Histology HAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ex vivo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastole†</td>
<td>17 (16 to 20)</td>
<td>[IQR: 15 to 18]</td>
<td>30 (20 to 35)</td>
<td>75 (70 to 80)</td>
<td>16 (14 to 19)</td>
<td>32 (26 to 39)</td>
<td>46 (23 to 50)</td>
<td>49 (26 to 52)</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>20 (10 to 35)</td>
<td>30 (20 to 35)</td>
<td>46 (30 to 50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systole‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>19 (10 to 27)</td>
<td>30 (20 to 35)</td>
<td>46 (30 to 50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relaxed§</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>20 (10 to 35)</td>
<td>30 (20 to 35)</td>
<td>46 (30 to 50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>20 (10 to 35)</td>
<td>30 (20 to 35)</td>
<td>46 (30 to 50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>20 (10 to 35)</td>
<td>30 (20 to 35)</td>
<td>46 (30 to 50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>20 (10 to 35)</td>
<td>30 (20 to 35)</td>
<td>46 (30 to 50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>20 (10 to 35)</td>
<td>30 (20 to 35)</td>
<td>46 (30 to 50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>20 (10 to 35)</td>
<td>30 (20 to 35)</td>
<td>46 (30 to 50)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are median interquartile range. *n = 6, †n = 8, ‡n = 5, §n = 10, after a rest. BA – primary diffusion tensor eigenvector angle; E1AR – E1 angle range; E2A – secondary diffusion tensor eigenvector angle; endo – epicardial; HA – helix angle; HAR – helix angle range. SA – sheet angle.

CLINICAL STUDY. DT-CMR was performed on 19 DCM patients, 13 HCM patients, and 19 control subjects (2 DCM, 1 HCM, and 4 control subjects were excluded for arrhythmia, difficulty breath-holding, and incidental findings). Table 2 contains baseline features of these groups. The mean ejection fraction (EF) in DCM was 45 ± 11%, in HCM 74 ± 6%, and in control subjects 65 ± 5%. Significant differences in cardiac parameters between groups accorded with known pathologies.

In control subjects, low E2A values predominated in diastole (median: 18° [IQR: 15° to 25°]) with high E2A values in systole (median: 65° [IQR: 63° to 68°]), yielding a median E2A mobility of 45° (IQR: 39° to 50°) (Figure 5A). However, in HCM, E2A was raised in diastole (median: 48° [IQR: 41° to 58°]; p < 0.001), but similar to control subjects in systole (median: 74° [IQR: 70° to 76°]), yielding reduced E2A mobility compared with that of control subjects (median: 23° [IQR: 16° to 30°]; p < 0.001) (Figure 5A). And in the analyzed. ΔE2A strongly correlated with ΔWT in both settings (in vivo r² = 0.75; in situ r² = 0.89) (Table 1, Figure 3). Whereas ΔE2A was similar for in vivo and BaCl₂ in situ, ΔWT of hearts contracted by BaCl₂ was greater than corresponding ΔWT measured in vivo. Direct comparison between BaCl₂ in vivo and in situ E2A versus WT correlations (Figure 3) led to a 17% difference. These data suggested that measured changes in E2A during myocardial thickening are principally accounted for by sheetlet reorientation with a lesser contribution from cyclical strain effects.

The magnitude of change of the transmural distribution of E1A was substantially lower than observed for E2A under all experimental conditions (Figure 4, Table 1). In vivo E1A range (E1AR) (44°) changed from a median 90° (IQR: 86° to 94°) in diastole (n = 8) to 96° (IQR: 89° to 101°) in systole (n = 8; p = 0.037) (Figure 4A). In situ E1AR changed from a median 75° (IQR: 60° to 81°) in the KCl-relaxed hearts (n = 6) to 118° (IQR: 117° to 119°) in the BaCl₂-contracted hearts (n = 6; p = 0.004) (Figure 4B, Online Video 2). Ex vivo DT-CMR images (Figure 4C) showed similar E1AR values (median: 89° [IQR: 85° to 92°] in relaxed hearts; 109° [IQR: 107° to 113°] in contracted hearts; n = 8; p = 0.0008). Histology confirmed the expected transition of HA from epicardium to endocardium (HA range [HAR], median: 72° [IQR: 50° to 75°] in the relaxed state, which was not significantly different from 73° [IQR: 60° to 85°] in the contracted state; n = 8; p = 0.3) (Figure 4D, Online Videos 3 and 4). Ex vivo E1AR correlated well with HAR derived from histology (r = 0.92; p < 0.0001; n = 32). These data confirmed that in vivo E1A is a measurement of mean intravoxel HA.
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DCM cohort, diastolic E2A was normal (median: 23° [IQR: 17° to 26°]), but reduced in systole (median: 40° [IQR: 32° to 48°]) compared with that of control subjects, again yielding reduced mobility versus control subjects (median: 20° [IQR: 10° to 26°]; p < 0.001).

There was no significant difference in E2A mobility between the 2 cardiomyopathies.

The E2A mobility of all groups was plotted against EF (Figure 5B). There was clear clustering, with control subjects distinguished by their normal EF and...
FIGURE 4  E1A Distribution Through Depth of LV Wall
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high E2A mobility, HCM patients with elevated EF but low E2A mobility, and DCM patients with reduced EF and low E2A mobility. These differing E2A parameters are pictorially displayed in E2A maps and 3-dimensional glyphs (Figure 6). A control subject showed the normal change from diastole (wall-parallel with low E2A in blue) to systole (wall-perpendicular with high E2A in red). Correspondingly, Figure 6 shows similarities in HCM and control systolic E2A maps (red; wall perpendicular sheetlets), with more heterogeneous diastolic E2A maps (mix of red and blue; wall parallel and wall perpendicular sheetlets). Figure 7 shows histograms displaying the distribution of helical and E2A in both diastole and systole. In DCM, the histogram of E2A indicated a predominance of low E2A values in diastole similar to control subjects, with a flat distribution of E2A values in systole. In HCM, the histogram of E2A indicated a flat distribution of E2A values in diastole, with a predominance of high E2A values in systole similar to control subjects.

An analysis of E2A values through the depth of the LV wall showed higher E2A mobility in the endocardium and mesocardium, and lowest E2A mobility in the epicardium (Online Figure 6).

Strain data were of sufficient quality for analysis in 13 of 19 DCM, 7 of 13 HCM, and 16 of 19 control subjects. Peak circumferential and radial strain values are

---

**Table 2: Baseline Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DCM Patients (n = 19)</th>
<th>HCM Patients (n = 13)</th>
<th>Healthy Control Subjects (n = 19)</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, yrs</td>
<td>51 ± 14</td>
<td>57 ± 13</td>
<td>48 ± 12</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>11 (58)</td>
<td>8 (62)</td>
<td>11 (58)</td>
<td>0.974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body surface area, m²</td>
<td>1.98 ± 0.25</td>
<td>1.92 ± 0.23</td>
<td>1.89 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP, mm Hg</td>
<td>130 ± 23</td>
<td>125 ± 10</td>
<td>118 ± 28</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP, mm Hg</td>
<td>71 ± 14</td>
<td>74 ± 9</td>
<td>73 ± 8</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, beats/min</td>
<td>62 ± 10</td>
<td>60 ± 8</td>
<td>57 ± 6</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRS width, ms</td>
<td>119 ± 29</td>
<td>101 ± 16</td>
<td>97 ± 18</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indexed LV EDV, ml/m²</td>
<td>132 ± 34</td>
<td>78 ± 13</td>
<td>77 ± 10</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indexed LV ESV, ml/m²</td>
<td>76 ± 35</td>
<td>20 ± 6</td>
<td>27 ± 6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejection fraction, %</td>
<td>45 ± 11</td>
<td>74 ± 6</td>
<td>65 ± 5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum wall thickness, mm</td>
<td>9 ± 2</td>
<td>20 ± 2</td>
<td>9 ± 2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indexed LV mass, g/m²</td>
<td>78 ± 18</td>
<td>119 ± 37</td>
<td>63 ± 11</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E2A,†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DCM Patients (n = 19)</th>
<th>HCM Patients (n = 13)</th>
<th>Healthy Control Subjects (n = 19)</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diastole</td>
<td>0.24 (0.18 to 0.3)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.19 to 0.51)</td>
<td>0.64 (0.49 to 0.74)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systole</td>
<td>0.12 (-0.14 to 0.01)</td>
<td>-0.14 (-0.14 to -0.11)</td>
<td>-0.17 (-0.18 to -0.15)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>14/18 (78)</td>
<td>13/13 (100)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent fibrosis in slice of interest</td>
<td>6.8 (0.3 to 16.2)</td>
<td>7.7 (1.8 to 21.0)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD, n (%), median (interquartile range), or n/N (%). *Analysis of variance/Pearson chi-square test. †DCM patients have elevated values compared with healthy control subjects and HCM patients. ‡All ejection fractions are significantly different. §HCM patients’ wall thickness and LV mass are elevated compared with those of healthy control subjects and DCM patients.

BP – blood pressure; DCM – dilated cardiomyopathy; E2A – secondary diffusion tensor eigenvector angle; EDV – end-diastolic volume; ESV – end-systolic volume; HCM – hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; LV – left ventricular.
shown in Table 2. The median radial strain in control subjects of 0.64 (IQR: 0.49 to 0.75) was significantly greater than for HCM and DCM (0.24 [IQR: 0.19 to 0.51] and 0.24 [IQR: 0.18 to 0.32], respectively; \( p < 0.001 \)). A similar pattern was found for circumferential strain, with the greatest value for control subjects of \(-0.17\) (IQR: \(-0.18 \) to \(-0.15\)) versus HCM and DCM (\(-0.14\) [IQR: \(-0.14 \) to \(-0.11\)] and \(-0.12\) [IQR: \(-0.14 \) to \(-0.01\)] respectively; \( p < 0.001 \)). The reduction in strain in DCM and HCM concurred with reduced E2A mobility in both groups compared with control subjects, but contrasted with their low and high EF. Because both groups showed LV contractile impairment, the difference in EF was explained by the small LV cavity size and increased wall thickness in HCM (\( p < 0.001 \)) (Table 2) (45).

**DISCUSSION**

This preclinical study results supported the hypothesis that both helical and sheetlet microstructural dynamics can be effectively interrogated in vivo in the beating heart with DT-CMR, as previously postulated (28). The current results also showed limited changes in helical microstructure, measured as E1A and HA by DT-CMR and histology, between different contractile states. By contrast, E2A increased substantially over the cardiac cycle. Changes in E2A with contraction were consistently observed under all experimental conditions and closely agreed with SA changes measured histologically (Central Illustration). These data confirmed in vivo E1A as an HA index and E2A as an SA index, supporting the hypothesis that reorientations of secondary laminar microstructures mediate myocardial thickening (28) and can be measured by DT-CMR. The change of SA from a low value in diastole to a high value in systole, which could be likened to the zig-zag linkage of a helically twisted lazy tong (Online Figure 7), represented the microstructural dynamic basis of the longitudinal and circumferential wall shortening that together deliver proportional WT far greater than that of any single cardiomyocyte.

We showed, for the first time, a unique pattern of sheetlet behavior in DCM patients who exhibit normal diastolic conformation (wall-parallel sheetlets) but a mixture of wall-parallel and wall-perpendicular sheetlets during systole. The opposite pattern was observed in HCM, where a predominantly wall-perpendicular sheetlet orientation was observed in systole (as in control subjects) with a mix of wall-parallel and wall-perpendicular sheetlets during...
diastole. These observations provided new insight into aberrant dynamics of laminar microstructures in cardiomyopathies and identified distinct mechanisms associated with reduced strain development. Both DCM (low LVEF) and HCM (high LVEF) displayed similarly impaired strain compared with that of healthy controls (normal LVEF). In DCM, a failure to adequately rotate sheetlets to a contracted conformation in systole occurs, whereas in HCM a failure to adequately rotate sheetlets to a relaxed conformation in diastole predominates. In vivo DT-CMR may provide new mechanistic insights into altered ventricular mechanics, adverse remodeling, and the substrate for arrhythmogenesis in various clinical conditions including post-myocardial infarction, valvular heart disease, and inherited and congenital cardiac diseases. Other applications include identifying the potential for LV contractile recovery and reverse remodeling, and as a new monitoring marker for interventions to improve contractile function. These data could prove to be useful for modeling the microstructural dynamics of cardiac contraction.

Pioneering work proposed corrections for cyclical strain effects on in vivo DT-CMR measures assuming a simple homogeneous elastic material (30,42,46). However, with the growing appreciation that myocardial thickening entails the reorientations of laminar microstructures, we need to reconsider the relationship between myocardial strain and in vivo DT-CMR measures (5,28). Given the microscopic distances over which water diffusion occurs, the complex microstructural barriers, including sarcomere deformation and the fluid in intervening shear layers, need to be considered. The more complex model required to appropriately account for these is beyond

**Figure 7** E1A and E2A Histograms at Diastole and Systole

Whereas E1A histograms overlap for all groups in both diastole and systole, with a slightly broader E1A distribution in systole, E2A distribution in diastole is predominantly low in control subjects, swine (preclinical), and DCM, with a relatively wide even distribution in HCM. In systole, E2A is predominantly high in control subjects, swine, and HCM, with a relatively wide even distribution in DCM. Abbreviations as in Figures 2, 4, and 5.
the scope of this work. We compared in vivo, in situ, and ex vivo data to assess the influence of strain on in vivo DT-CMR data. The correspondence between in vivo, in situ, and ex vivo E2A changes indicated that the in vivo E2A observations originate predominantly from phasic changes of microstructural orientation. This was further supported by our model of slowed myocardial thickening induced by BaCl2 administration (47,48), which occurred in the absence of cyclical strain effects, and yet demonstrated remarkably similar behavior of E1A and E2A to that observed during in vivo experiments. Comparisons of the relationships between ΔE2A and ΔWT in vivo and with BaCl2 in situ provided an estimate of the maximum potential influence of cyclical strain to in vivo E2A of ~17%. This might be an overestimate, because the different loading conditions between in vivo and BaCl2 in situ experiments might also account for some of the differences observed experimentally. The changes in E2A shown in DCM and HCM greatly exceeded this potential confounder. Furthermore, the differences in the diastolic and systolic conformations of E2A in HCM and DCM, respectively, occurred in the context of similarly impaired strains, further supporting E2A mobility as a robust and clinically relevant measure.

STUDY LIMITATIONS. Approximately one-half the DCM group had only mildly impaired LVEF. Inclusion of cases with more impaired LVEF might have produced even lower E2A mobilities. However, this patient population already showed significant differences compared with those of healthy control subjects. Histological sectioning with 2-dimensional

**CENTRAL ILLUSTRATION**  
Assessment of Myocardial Microstructural Dynamics by In Vivo Diffusion Tensor Cardiac Magnetic Resonance

Myocardial microstructure dynamics can be characterized by in vivo diffusion tensor cardiac magnetic resonance (DT-CMR). E2 angle (E2A) is a DT-CMR index of myocardial sheetlet rotation; increase in E2A from diastole to systole was consistently observed in healthy swine in vivo, in situ, and ex vivo, and correlated well with histology. E2A changes in healthy volunteers match closely those in healthy swine. Varying E2A patterns emerged in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) and dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM). E2A mobility was highest for control subjects and reduced for HCM and DCM. Sheetlet function was abnormal in DCM with altered systolic conformation and reduced mobility, contrasting with HCM, which showed reduced mobility with altered diastolic conformation. These novel insights significantly improve understanding of contractile dysfunction at a level of noninvasive interrogation not previously available in humans. SA = sheetlet angle.
analysis was of only 1 transmural tissue block per heart; 3-dimensional isotropic whole heart histology (3) would be ideal, but to our knowledge has not been reported due to limitations of histological sectioning and image processing. Trimming of tissue blocks during histological preparation resulted in discarding ~26% of the epicardium, creating loss of the steepest epicardial HA values and reduced HAR.

The preponderance of circumferential E1A suggested by the histograms was at odds with the plots of E1A against wall depth (Online Figure 8), which showed a relatively smooth transition from epicardium to endocardium. This mismatch is inherent to these 2 different measures of E1A distribution within the LV. Histograms displayed the frequency distribution of E1A over the whole LV but contained no information about its transmural arrangement. Therefore, histograms were less sensitive to the spatial resolution of the underlying DT-CMR data, though they might still be affected by the exclusion of the outermost epicardial and innermost endocardial layers, leading to a slight reduction of the frequency of the highest and lowest E1A values. Plots of E1A against wall depth displayed the transmural distribution of E1A but contained no information about the frequency of each E1A value. Therefore, they were highly sensitive to the spatial resolution of the underlying DT-CMR data. Furthermore, the averaging of several transmural line profiles might lead to smoother lines and reduced plateau at E1A ~ 0. DT-CMR has limited spatial resolution with thousands of cardiomyocytes arranged in hundreds of sheetlets in each voxel (28). Therefore, E1A and E2A averaged all helical and sheetlet directions in the voxel and might not detect changes at a histological scale. Sheetlets can occur in countersloping alignments (7,31,49). However, our histological analyses supported the predominance of a single sheetlet population orientation in most sections. Limitations in spatial resolution, together with the fact that DT-CMR can only resolve the predominant sheetlet population in any given voxel, precluded an accurate analysis of the transmural distribution of E2A. Higher spatial resolution acquisitions combined with higher angular diffusion resolution techniques such as diffusion spectrum imaging (24) may provide further insights, although current acquisition times are clinically prohibitive.

CONCLUSIONS

We showed that myocardial sheetlet reorientation in the loaded and beating heart in vivo was the predominant mechanism underlying systolic LV wall thickening, and that primary and secondary microstructures in the myocardium and their dynamic reorientations during cardiac contraction can be studied noninvasively by in vivo DT-CMR. In DCM, DT-CMR showed reduced sheetlet mobility and a diastolic conformation, contrasting with the reduced mobility and systolic conformation seen in HCM, despite similarly reduced systolic myocardial strain. In general terms, at the microstructural level, this indicated a failure of systolic sheetlet rotation to a contracted conformation in DCM and a failure of rotation of sheetlets to a relaxed conformation in diastole in HCM. These results provide the rationale for further study of the microstructural dynamics of cardiac contraction and myocardial dysfunction using in vivo DT-CMR to provide new diagnostic and prognostic information in human cardiac disease.
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PERSPECTIVES

COMPETENCY IN MEDICAL KNOWLEDGE:
Myocardial sheetlet function is the predominant microstructural mechanism responsible for contractile WT. In vivo DT-CMR can characterize the architectural arrangement of cardiomyocytes throughout the cardiac cycle. Designation of a specific vector of diffusion relative to the tangential wall plane identifies specific patterns of deranged sheetlet orientation and mobility in patients with various forms of cardiomyopathy.

TRANSLATIONAL OUTLOOK: Clinical studies could apply DT-CMR as a tool to understanding the pathogenesis, natural history, and response to therapeutic interventions in patients with cardiomyopathy.
REFERENCES


**KEY WORDS** dilated cardiomyopathy, helical structure, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, laminar structure, left ventricle, sheetlet structure

**APPENDIX** For a supplemental Methods sections as well as figures and videos, please see the online version of this article.