Recursive dynamic mode decomposition of transient and post-transient wake flows
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A novel data-driven modal decomposition of fluid flow is proposed comprising key features of POD and DMD. The first mode is the normalized real or imaginary part of the DMD mode which minimizes the time-averaged residual. The $N$-th mode is defined recursively in an analogous manner based on the residual of an expansion using the first $N-1$ modes. The resulting recursive DMD (RDMD) modes are orthogonal by construction, retain pure frequency content and aim at low residual. RDMD is applied to transient cylinder wake data and is benchmarked against POD and optimized DMD (Chen \textit{et al.} 2012) for the same snapshot sequence. Unlike POD modes, RDMD structures are shown to have pure frequency content while retaining a residual of comparable order as POD. In contrast to DMD with exponentially growing or decaying oscillatory amplitudes, RDMD clearly identifies initial, maximum and final fluctuation levels. Intriguingly, RDMD outperforms both POD and DMD in the limit cycle resolution from the same snapshots. Robustness of these observations demonstrated for other parameters of the cylinder wake and for a more complex wake behind three rotating cylinders. RDMD is proposed as an attractive alternative to POD and DMD for empirical Galerkin models, with nonlinear transient dynamics as a niche application.
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1. Introduction

This study proposes a novel flow field expansion tailored to the construction of low-dimensional empirical Galerkin models. Such reduced-order models (1) help in data compression, (2) allow quick visualizations and kinematic mixing studies (Rom-Kedar et al. 1990), (3) provide a testbed for physical understanding (Lorenz 1963), (4) serve as computationally inexpensive surrogate models for optimization (Han et al. 2013) or (5) may be used as a plant for control design (Gerhard et al. 2003; Bergmann & Cordier 2008).

In 1858, Helmholtz has laid the foundation for the first low-dimensional dynamical models in fluid mechanics with his famous theorems on vortices (see, e.g. Lugt 1995). Subsequently, a rich set of vortex models have been developed for vortex pairs, for the recirculation zone (Föppl 1913; Suh 1993), for the vortex street (von Kármán & Rubach 1912), and for numerous combustion related problems (Coats 1997), to name just a few. For non-periodic open flows, low-dimensional vortex models come at the expense of a hybrid state-space structure: new degrees of freedom (vortices) are created at the body, merged or removed. Most forms of applications, like dynamical systems analyses or control design, are not suitable for hybrid models but assume a continuous evolution in a fixed finite-dimensional state space. Hence, most currently developed reduced-order models are formulated by the Galerkin method and based on modal expansions (Fletcher 1984).

In the last 100 years Galerkin (1915)’s method of solving partial differential equations has enjoyed ample generalisations and applications, from high-dimensional grid-based computational methods to low-dimensional models. This study focusses on low-dimensional flow representations by an expansion in global modal structures. In principle, any space of square-integrable velocity fields has a complete set of orthonormal modes: any flow field can be arbitrarily closely approximated by a finite-dimensional expansion. In practice, however, the construction of such mathematical bases is restricted to simple geometries and the use of Fourier expansions or Chebyshev polynomials (Orszag 1971). Stability modes based on a linearisation of the Navier-Stokes equation tend to be more efficient in terms of resolution for a given number $N$ of modes. However, these physical modes generally lack a proof of completeness, and are afflicted by a reduced dynamic bandwidth and an $O(N^3)$ operation count for the quadratic terms as opposed to $O(N \log N)$ operations for Fourier or Chebyshev modes. The most efficient representations of a Navier-Stokes solution are obtained from empirical expansions based on flow snapshots. These data-driven Galerkin expansions are confined to a subspace spanned by the snapshots, i.e. have a narrow dynamic bandwidth defined by the training set.

This study focusses on data-driven expansions. A Galerkin expansion with guaranteed minimal residual over the training snapshots was first pioneered by Lorenz (1956) as principal axis modes and later popularized in fluid mechanics as proper orthogonal decomposition (POD) by Lumley (1967). POD guarantees an optimal data reconstruction in a well-defined sense (see, e.g., Holmes et al. 1998). Apart from data compres-
Recursive DMD

sion applications, Lumley devised POD as a mathematical tool for distilling coherent structures from data. Yet, only in rare cases have POD modes been found to resemble physically meaningful structures, like stability modes or base-flow deformations (Oberleithner et al. 2011). In particular, they tend to mix spatial and temporal frequencies in most modes which complicates a physical interpretation. As a remedy for this shortcoming, the dynamic mode decomposition (DMD) — also referred to as Koopman analysis — was pioneered by Rowley et al. (2009) and Schmid (2010). DMD is able to distill stability eigenmodes from transient snapshot data and produce temporal Fourier modes for post-transient data. The downside of these DMD features — as compared to POD — are non-orthogonality of the extracted modes, suboptimal convergence, the need for time-resolved snapshots and numerical challenges when filtering is omitted. Over the past, numerous Galerkin models based on POD and DMD have been constructed. In addition, numerous generalisations have been proposed to address, among other topics, multi-operating conditions (Jørgensen et al. 2003), changes during transients (Siegel et al. 2008), optimal correlations to observables (Hoarau et al. 2006; Schlegel et al. 2012), and control design (Brunton & Noack 2015).

This study proposes a novel data-driven expansion preserving key features of POD, such as orthonormality of the computed modes and a low residual, and of DMD, such as distilling the dominant frequencies and their associated structures contained in the data. The manuscript is organised as follows. § 2 describes the cylinder wake configuration and gives details on the employed direct numerical simulation as well as the extracted snapshots. § 3 outlines the computation of the proposed expansion, called ‘Recursive DMD (RDMD)’ in what follows. RDMD is then applied to a transient cylinder wake, demonstrating its advantages (§ 4) over previous decompositions. In § 5, a similar investigation is performed for the non-periodic wake behind three rotating cylinders. Our results are summarised in § 6.

2. Configuration and direct numerical simulation

As a test-case, a two-dimensional, incompressible, viscous flow past a circular cylinder has been chosen. The flow is described in a Cartesian coordinate system where the $x$-axis is aligned with streamwise direction and the $y$-axis is transverse and orthogonal to the cylinder axis. The origin of the coordinate system coincides with the cylinder axis. The location vector is denoted by $\mathbf{x} = (x, y)$. Analogously, the velocity is represented by $\mathbf{u} = (u, v)$ where $u$ and $v$ are the $x$- and $y$-components of the velocity components. The time is presented by $t$. The Newtonian fluid is characterised by the density $\rho$ and dynamic viscosity $\mu$. In the following, all variables are assumed to be nondimensionalised by the cylinder’s diameter $D$, the oncoming velocity $U$ and the fluid density $\rho$. The resulting Reynolds number $Re = UD\rho/\mu$ is set to 100, i.e. well above the onset of vortex shedding (Zebib 1987; Schumm et al. 1994), but well below the onset of three-dimensional instabilities (Zhang et al. 1995; Barkley & Henderson 1996; Williamson 1996).

A direct numerical simulation of the Navier-Stokes equations has been performed using an in-house solver based on a second-order finite-element discretisation with Taylor-Hood elements (Taylor & Hood 1973) in penalty formulation. The time stepping is performed using a third-order accurate scheme and a time step equal to 0.1. Following Noack et al. (2003), the computational domain extends from $x = -5$ to $x = 25$ and $y = -5$ to $y = 5$ and is discretised with 56272 finite elements.

The simulation provides $M = 450$ equidistantly sampled velocity snapshots $\mathbf{u}^m(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t^m), m = 1, \ldots, M,$ covering the entire unforced transient phase, from the steady solution to the fully-developed von Kármán vortex street. The snapshot times are $t^m =$
30 + mΔt based on a sampling interval Δt = 0.2 and cover the time interval t ∈ [30, 120].

The initial and final base flows are depicted in figure 1 together with the energy norm of the fluctuations $v = u - u_s$ around the steady solution $u_s$. The transition from the unstable fixed point to the stable limit-cycle oscillation is characterised by the growing amplitudes of the fluctuations accompanied by an increase in the Strouhal number (Zebib 1987; Schumm et al. 1994).

Within the first 30 convective time units, the flow is governed by linear dynamics spanned by the steady solution and the unstable eigenmode. In the intermediate phase, approximately $t \in [60, 90]$, the vortex shedding undergoes significant changes and moves farther upstream towards the cylinder. In the final 30 convective time units, the flow has converged to a limit-cycle dynamics exhibiting the fully-developed von Kármán vortex street. These three characteristic stages of the transient evolution are depicted in figure 2.

All modal decompositions are based on these fluctuations around the steady solution. The mean flow is discarded as a base flow because it is only well defined for this particular initial condition and the chosen time interval. Our sampling Strouhal frequency of 10 is about 30 times larger than the shedding frequency – a value which can be considered adequate for a Fourier transformation while avoiding excessive redundancy for the statistical POD.

3. Modal decomposition

In this section, a new snapshot-based modal decomposition is proposed. This decomposition comprises properties of the Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (POD) (Lumley
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1967; Sirovich 1987) and the Dynamic Mode Decomposition (DMD) (Rowley et al. 2009; Schmid 2010). First (§ 3.1 & 3.2), the snapshot-based POD and DMD are briefly recapitulated. In § 3.3, the recursive DMD (RDMD) is proposed as an appealing compromise inheriting the orthonormality and low truncation error of POD and the oscillatory representation of the flow behaviour of DMD.

3.1. Proper Orthogonal Decomposition

We analyse a time-dependent velocity field $u(x, t)$ in a steady domain $x \in \Omega$ and sample $M$ flow snapshots with constant sampling frequency corresponding to a time step $\Delta t$. The velocity field at instant $t_m = m \Delta t$, with $m = 1, \ldots, M$, is denoted by $u^m := u(x, t_m)$.

POD requires the definition of an inner product and a time average. We assume the standard inner product of two square-integrable velocity fields $u, v \in L^2(\Omega)$, given as

$$ (u, v)_{\Omega} := \int_{\Omega} dx \, u(x) \cdot v(x). \quad (3.1) $$

The corresponding norm reads $\|u\|_{\Omega} := \sqrt{(u, u)_{\Omega}}$. The snapshot-based time average of a function $F$ is defined in a canonical manner:

$$ (F(u))_M := \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} F(u^m). \quad (3.2) $$

In the following, the snapshot-based POD (Sirovich 1987) is applied to the fluctuations $v^m(x) := v(x, t_m) - u_s(x)$ around the steady solution $u_s$ for the reasons mentioned in § 2. First, the correlation matrix $C = (C^{mn}) \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times M}$ of the fluctuations is determined,

$$ C^{mn} := \frac{1}{M} (v^m, v^n)_{\Omega}. \quad (3.3) $$

Second, a spectral analysis of this matrix is performed. Note that $C$ is a symmetric, positive semi-definite Gramian matrix. Hence, its eigenvalues $\lambda_i$ are real and nonnegative and can be assumed to be sorted according to $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_M \geq 0$. The corresponding eigenvectors $e_i = [e_{i1}^1, \ldots, e_{iM}]^T$ satisfy

$$ Ce_i = \lambda_i e_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, M. \quad (3.4) $$

and can – without loss of generality – be assumed to be orthonormalized, satisfying $e_i \cdot e_j = \delta_{ij}$ with $\delta_{ij}$ as the Kronecker symbol. Third, each POD mode is expressed as a linear combination of the snapshot fluctuations,

$$ u_i := \frac{1}{\sqrt{M \lambda_i}} \sum_{m=1}^{M} e_{im}^m v^m, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N. \quad (3.5) $$

It follows that the POD modes are orthonormal, with $(u_i, u_j)_{\Omega} = \delta_{ij}, \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$. Finally, the mode amplitudes read

$$ a_i^m := \sqrt{\lambda_i / M} e_{im}^i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N. \quad (3.6) $$

These amplitudes are uncorrelated (orthogonal in time), or in mathematical terms

$$ (a_i, a_j)_M = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j \in \{1, \ldots, N\}. \quad (3.7) $$

Note that the first moments $(a_i)_M$ do not need to vanish as the fluctuations are based on the steady solution and not on the mean flow of this transient. The POD defines a
second-order statistics providing the two-point autocorrelation function

$$\overline{v(x,t)v(y,t)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i u_i(x) u_i(y).$$

(3.8)

Hence, a minimum requirement imposed on the snapshot ensemble is the accuracy of the extracted mean flow and the flow’s second moments. This accuracy of the statistics for a given number of snapshots is increased by processing uncorrelated snapshots as required in the original paper on the snapshot POD method (Sirovich 1987).

The resulting expansion exactly reproduces the snapshots for $N = M$ modes; we have

$$u(x,t^m) = u_s(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i(t^m) u_i(x).$$

(3.9)

For $N < M$, the truncated expansion (3.9) has a non-vanishing residual $r^m(x) := r(x,t^m)$. The corresponding time-averaged truncation error

$$\chi^2 := \langle \|r^m\|_1^2 \rangle_M$$

(3.10)

can be shown to be minimal; in other words, no other Galerkin expansion will have a smaller error (Holmes et al. 1998). This optimality property makes POD an attractive data compression technique.

For later reference, the instantaneous truncation error $\chi^2(t) := \|r^m(\cdot,t)\|_1^2$ is introduced. The size of this error may be compared with the corresponding fluctuation level on the limit cycle $2K_\infty = \|v\|^2$, where $K_\infty$ denotes the turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) and the overbar represents averaging over the post-transient phase. We also introduce $K$ as the corresponding instantaneous quantity.

As a motivation for the proposed new decomposition, we recall that POD can also be defined in a recursive manner, following Courant & Hilbert (1989) on the spectral analysis of positive definite symmetric matrices. Taking $u_1$ as the first expansion mode, the resulting one-mode expansion reads

$$v^m = a_1^m u_1 + r_1^m, \quad m = 1, \ldots, M.$$  

(3.11)

The mode amplitude $a_1^m := \langle v^m, u_1 \rangle_1$ minimizes the residual $\|r_1^m\|_1$ for a given $u_1$. The first POD mode can be shown to minimize the averaged energy of the residual $\langle \|r_1^m\|_1^2 \rangle_M$. Furthermore, the residual $r_1^m, m = 1, \ldots, M$ is orthogonal to $u_1$ by construction. The second step then searches for a mode $u_2$ which best resolves the residual $r_1$,

$$r_1^m = a_2^m u_2 + r_2^m \quad m = 1, \ldots, M,$$

(3.12)

i.e. which minimizes $\langle \|r_2^m\|_1^2 \rangle_M$. The other remaining modes are computed in a similar manner.

3.2. Dynamic Mode Decomposition

The Dynamic Mode Decomposition (Rowley et al. 2009; Schmid 2010) is another data-driven modal expansion which can approximate stability eigenmodes from transient data or Fourier modes from post-transient data. The time step $\Delta t$ needs to be sufficiently small for a meaningful Fourier analysis, but sufficiently large so that the changes in the flow state exceed the noise level.

We consider the fluctuation snapshots of $\xi$ 3.1, $v^m, m = 1, \ldots, M$ as linearly indepen-
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dent modes of an expansion and write

\[ \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} b_i \mathbf{v}^i. \]  

(3.13)

Evidently, the modes are generally not orthogonal. With this basis, the mode amplitude vector of the \( m \)-th snapshot becomes a unit vector:

\[ \mathbf{b}_m = [b_{m1}, \ldots, b_{mM}]^T \]  

where \( b_{mi} = \delta_{im} \).

(3.14)

DMD assumes a linear relationship between the \((m+1)\)-th and \(m\)-th snapshot

\[ \mathbf{b}^{m+1} = A \mathbf{b}^m, \]  

(3.15)

where \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times M} \) is a square matrix which is generally identified from the data. From Eqn. (3.15), the matrix is easily seen to be

\[ A = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & c_1 \\
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & c_2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & c_{M-1} \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}. \]  

(3.16)

For \( i < M \) the matrix acts as a shift map for the only non-vanishing element of \( \mathbf{b} \). The last snapshot is expanded in terms of the previous ones:

\[ \mathbf{v}^M = \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} c_i \mathbf{v}^i + \mathbf{r}. \]  

(3.17)

The coefficients \( c_i \) are chosen to minimize the residual norm \( \| \mathbf{r} \|_Q \).

In what follows, we depart from the classical DMD literature and propose a simpler derivation of the DMD modes. Let \( P(s) = -c_1 - c_2 s - \ldots - c_{M-1} s^{M-2} - s^M \) be a polynomial in \( s \) and let \( P(s) = (s - \lambda_1)(s - \lambda_2)\ldots(s - \lambda_M) \) be its factorization with distinct eigenvalues \( \lambda_i \). We introduce

\[ V = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & \lambda_1 & \ldots & \lambda_1^{M-1} \\
1 & \lambda_2 & \ldots & \lambda_2^{M-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & \lambda_M & \ldots & \lambda_M^{M-1}
\end{bmatrix}. \]  

(3.18)

as the corresponding Vandermonde matrix. It can be easily verified that the Vandermonde matrix \( V \) diagonalizes the companion matrix \( A \). We obtain

\[ VAV^{-1} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_M), \]  

(3.19)

where the right-hand side is a diagonal matrix with the eigenvalues as its elements. We introduce new variables \( \mathbf{a} \) defined by

\[ \mathbf{a} = V \mathbf{b}. \]  

(3.20)

With these definitions, the evolution equation (3.15) can be cast into eigenform according to

\[ \mathbf{a}^{m+1} = VCV^{-1} \mathbf{a}^m = D \mathbf{a}^m. \]  

(3.21)
Here, \( D \) denotes the diagonal matrix

\[
D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_M) = \begin{bmatrix}
\lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_M
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(3.22)

where the \( i \)-th eigenvalue is \( \lambda_i \) with corresponding eigenvector \( a_i = [\delta_{1i}, \ldots, \delta_{Mi}]^T \).

Equations (3.14), (3.15) and (3.20) imply that the snapshots can be expressed as

\[
v_m = \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \lambda_i^{m-1} \Phi_i
\]

(3.23)

where \( \lambda_i \) are referred to as DMD eigenvalues and \( \Phi_i \) as (complex) DMD modes. Note that the derivation of (3.23) rests on the diagonalization of the companion matrix (3.19) and does not require the Koopman operator.

The choice of \( N \) DMD modes for the Galerkin expansion (3.9) minimizes the truncation error (3.10) following Chen et al. (2012) and consistent with the optimal property of POD.

3.3. Recursive Dynamic Mode Decomposition

The recursive Dynamic Mode Decomposition (RDMD) serves a multi-objective task: extracting oscillatory modes from the snapshot sequence (like DMD) while ensuring orthogonality of the modes and a low truncation error (like POD).

The initialisation step prepares the residual to be processed. We take

\[
r_{0m} = v_m, \quad m = 1, \ldots, M.
\]

(3.24)

During the \( i \)-th step \((0 < i \leq N)\), the \( i \)-th mode is determined from a DMD

\[
r_{i-1}^m = \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} \lambda_j^{m-1} \Phi_j.
\]

(3.25)

The candidate modes to be considered are

\[
u^*_n = \frac{R\{\Phi_n\}}{\|R\{\Phi_n\}\|_{\Omega}},
\]

(3.26)

and each mode reduces the snapshot-dependent residual to \( r_i^m \) according to

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_{i-1}^m &= a_n^m u_n^* + r_{i}^m, \\
a_n^m &= \left( r_{i-1}^m, u_n^* \right)_{\Omega}.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.27)

The truncation error of the \( i \)-th candidate mode \( u_n^* \) for all snapshots is given by

\[
\chi_n^2 := \left( \frac{\|r_i^m\|_{\Omega}^2}{M} \right).
\]

(3.28)

We then select the mode \( i \) with the lowest averaged error, i.e.,

\[
u_i := u_n^* \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \forall l \in \{1, \ldots, M\}: \chi_n^2 \leq \chi_l^2,
\]

(3.29)

and the resulting expansion, after the \( i \)-th step, reads

\[
v_r := \sum_{j=1}^{i} a_j u_j + r_i^m.
\]

(3.30)
Figure 3. The first ten POD modes of the flow’s transient phase with $t \in [30, 120]$ and $Re = 100$. The first column contains the modes 1–5 (top to bottom) and the second column modes 6–10 (top to bottom). The vorticity of the mode is visualized in color (green: zero, red: above a positive threshold, blue: below a negative threshold).

The $(i + 1)$-th mode is computed following the same steps. The iteration terminates when the desired number of modes is reached, $i = N$, or in the unlikely case that all residuals vanish $r_{m}^{i} \equiv 0, \ m = 1, \ldots, M$ — whichever criterion is satisfied first.

4. Modal decomposition of the transient cylinder wake

The transient cylinder wake is analysed with POD (§ 4.1), DMD (§ 4.2) and the proposed new decomposition (§ 4.3) discussed in § 3. In § 4.4 all modal decompositions are subjected to a comparison with respect to the instantaneous residual, the averaged residual and the convergence with increasing number of modes. The transient wake snapshots are the same for all decompositions and have been described in § 2.

4.1. Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (POD)

The snapshots of the cylinder wake simulations described in § 2 are subjected to a snapshot Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (POD) outlined in § 3.1. The POD modes of the post-transient periodic cylinder wake mimic a Fourier decomposition. They arise in pairs representing the two phases at the first and higher harmonic frequencies (Deane et al. 1991; Noack et al. 2003). The energy level of each pair rapidly decreases with the order of the harmonics. The transient, however, exhibits a gradual change from the initial stability modes (with a fluctuation maximum far from the cylinder) to von Kármán vortex shedding (with fluctuations peaking near the cylinder). The other change is a base flow with a recirculation region which decreases in streamwise extent from about 7 diameters to about 1 diameter length. The resulting POD modes and associated mode amplitudes are depicted in figures 3 and 4 and appear different from the post-transient analogs. POD
modes 1 and 2 effectively represent von Kármán vortex shedding growing from zero to asymptotic values. These values are larger than the corresponding fluctuation levels of the other modes. Modes 6 and 7 describe the second harmonics as can be seen from the visualisation and the amplitude evolution. Modes 9 and 10 look similar to the stability eigenmodes at slightly lower frequencies with have a peak activity around \( t = 55 \). Modes 4 and 5 also represent vortex shedding with a peak activity around \( t = 65 \), i.e. two shedding periods later. Mode 3 depicts the shift mode (Noack et al. 2003), i.e. it characterises the base flow change between steady and time-averaged periodic solution. Mode 7 represents another base flow correction with different topology and is mainly active during the most rapid changes of the transient around \( t = 65 \). It has a small second harmonic component.

All displayed POD modes show pronounced frequencies: six modes display oscillations near the shedding frequency, two resolve the second harmonics and two feature slowly varying base-flow changes. Unlike POD for periodic shedding, there are no traces of the third and higher harmonics in the first 10 modes. This comparatively ‘clean’ frequency content may be attributed to the narrow-bandwidth transient dynamics, with dominant frequencies between the eigenfrequency of the steady solution and the shedding frequency.

Figure 4. The mode amplitudes of the POD modes of figure 3 for the corresponding column and row.
of the post-transient wake. Moreover, the maximum of the fluctuation envelope moves upstream during the transient. For broadband dynamics, such as a mixing layer with multiple vortex pairings, POD modes with multiple frequency content are far more common (Noack et al. 2004).

4.2. Dynamic Mode Decomposition (DMD)
The snapshots of the transient flow have been decomposed with the Dynamic Mode Decomposition. The DMD procedure can identify eigenmodes for the transient data and the Fourier modes for the attractor.

The result of the procedure is a set of complex Ritz vectors and complex eigenvalues characterizing the growth rate and the frequency of the respective mode. The first ten eigenmodes are depicted in figure 4.5. The first DMD mode corresponds to a real eigenvalue leading to a real Ritz vector. The remaining modes represent the real and imaginary parts of complex Ritz vectors. The phase-shifted analog of the oscillatory mode 10 is mode 11 (not displayed). In figure 6 the corresponding amplitudes of the real modes are displayed.

Modes 1, 6 and 7 act as shift modes and resolve slow base-flow changes. This interpretation is corroborated by the behaviour of the mode amplitudes. The remaining modes describe vortex shedding ($i = 2, 3, 4, 5, 10$) or its second harmonics ($i = 8, 9$). The oscillatory mode amplitudes are slowly growing, like the first vortex shedding pair (for $i = 2, 3$) and the second harmonics (for $i = 8, 9$), or slowly decaying (for $i = 4, 5, 10$). Intriguingly, the DMD modes describing vortex shedding have nearly identical frequencies and nearly identical shapes. This implies a redundancy which constitutes a challenge for reduced-order modeling.

By construction, the mode amplitudes have an exponentially growing or decaying envelope and can thus give no indication of initial or asymptotic values or temporal periods of maximum activity. In particular, an extrapolation beyond the sampling interval...
$t \in [30, 120]$ is not meaningful. An additional potential challenge for reduced-order modeling is posed by the non-orthogonality of the DMD modes.

Already in the early literature (Rowley et al. 2009; Schmid 2010; Chen et al. 2012), DMD has been shown to accurately capture the onset of fluctuations in the linear regime or the post-transient behaviour on the attractor. The current results indicate difficulties of the DMD concerning the modal interpretation for a complete transient from the steady solution to the post-transient attractor. This issue has also been pointed out and analyzed by Bagheri (2013). In the next section, we present an alternative DMD decomposition that addresses and removes the above-mentioned challenges.

4.3. Recursive Dynamic Mode Decomposition (RDMD)

In this section, the results of RDMD are presented. The procedure is demonstrated for the same set of snapshots as employed previously for POD and DMD.

The first ten RDMD modes are depicted in figure 7. Intriguingly, RDMD resolves the first harmonics (modes $i = 1, 2$), the second harmonics ($i = 6, 7$) and the third harmonics ($i = 8, 9$). The associated mode amplitudes show corresponding oscillations starting near zero and reaching asymptotic values on the limit cycle. Mode 3 shows a nearly pure
shift mode, describing the transition of the base flow from the steady solution to the time-averaged flow. In contrast to Noack et al. (2003), the amplitude becomes negative, since the sign of the RDMD mode is arbitrary. Modes 4 and 5 resolve intermediate vortex shedding patterns with maximum activity around $t = 70$ and rather small residual fluctuations on the limit cycle. Modes 10 and 11 (not shown) are reminiscent of stability eigenmodes and peak near $t = 55$, i.e. more than two periods earlier.

The first seven modes have significant similarities with the POD modes of figures 3 and 4. Yet, the oscillations are more symmetric (compare RDMD and POD mode 6) and show no apparent frequency mixing, as in POD modes $i = 3, 6, 8$. RDMD modes have by definition a lower averaged residual, as compared to POD modes, but they look much cleaner and even reveal the third harmonics. It appears that the RDMD modes have more in common with POD than with DMD modes. This should not come as a surprise, since the primary construction principle is the minimization of the residual while the secondary criterion is the emulation of single-frequency DMD-mode behaviour.

4.4. Comparison of POD, DMD and RDMD

In this section, results from POD, DMD and RDMD are quantitatively compared.

Figure 9 shows the truncation error of the POD, DMD and RDMD expansions as a function of the number of modes. As expected, all errors decrease monotonically with the number of modes and POD outperforms DMD and RDMD. The RDMD residual, however, follows the POD value remarkably well and stays within similar orders of magnitude. In contrast, DMD approaches an effective asymptote near 10% of the final fluctuation level.

The temporal evolution of the instantaneous truncation error is displayed in figure 10 for POD, DMD and RDMD at $N = 10$. The maximum value in $t \in [60, 70]$ is lowest for POD and largest for DMD. RDMD performs, as expected, between the alternative expansions. A surprising feature are the asymptotes. POD and DMD have similar trun-
Figure 8. Same as figure 4 but for the first ten RDMD modes.

Figure 9. The time-averaged fluctuation level of the residual (3.10) for POD, DMD and RDMD with increasing number of modes. The value is normalized by the corresponding fluctuation level \( (2K_\infty) \) on the limit cycle.
Figure 10. The instantaneous normalized truncation error (3.10) as a function of time for 10 POD, DMD and RDMD modes. The figure displays the sampling interval.

cation errors near 10% of the terminal fluctuation level while RDMD outperforms both with a final value at about 5%. This is no contradiction to the optimal property of POD, as this property only guarantees a minimal time-averaged value, or, equivalently, a minimal value of the integral over the instantaneous truncation error, which it evidently has. The DMD-based frequency filtering included in RDMD appears to have ‘anticipated’ the limit cycle behaviour. One indication of this ‘anticipation’ is the third harmonics which is featured in RDMD but absent in both POD and DMD. At this stage, RDMD appears more suitable for reduced-order modeling when compared to POD or DMD. Like POD, RDMD yields orthonormal modes, but with purer frequency content. Like DMD, RDMD extracts oscillatory modes, but with well-defined initial and asymptotic behaviour of the mode amplitudes.

4.5. Reconstruction of the flow at Re = 120

In previous section (4.4), the data-driven Galerkin expansions have been evaluated for the training data, a transient. The residual of these modal expansions are now tested for another data set: the transient cylinder wake at Re = 120 with same initial condition during the time t ∈ [30, 120].

The change of the wake transient may be appreciated when the new DMD mode basis displayed in figure 11 is compared the with Re = 100 reference in figure . While the first 5 modes have similar frequency content, modes 6-10 are distinctly different. For instance, the quasi-steady modes 6 and 7 of the Re = 100 reference have been replaced by the second harmonics for Re = 120. The third harmonics does not appear in the top ten of the reference data but are found as mode 10 for Re = 120.

As crossvalidation, the POD, DMD and RDMD expansions obtained from the transient wake at Re = 100 are employed to resolve the transient wake at Re = 120. First, the residuals for the whole transients are plotted as function of the number of modes in figure 13. The residual of POD and RDMD decrease similarly to a low fluctuation level as the number of modes increase to 64. The observation that RDMD outperforms POD particularly for high-order expansion is not a contradiction to the optimality property of POD, as the residual is determined on new data. Intriguingly, DMD shows a particularly poor performance as compared to POD and RDMD. As expected, the residual of DMD decreases when the training data (Re = 100) is replaced by the testing data (Re = 120).
However, we emphasize that RDMD based on training data at $Re = 100$ performs much better for the new data at $Re = 120$ as compared to DMD obtained and tested for new data. In other words, RDMD obtained for some operating condition appears to be robust against new data from changing operating conditions.

Figure 14 shows the residual for the four modal expansions of figure 13 as a function of time for modal order of $N = 10$. The maximum instantaneous residuals are ordered like the averaged residual values at $N = 10$: POD beats RDMD and RDMD beats DMD. DMD obtained for the new transient data shows the largest maximum residual but is more accurate on the limit cycle.

Similar time-dependent residual behaviour is observed for expansions with more modes, except that RDMD is more often observed to outperform POD, keeping in mind that the expansions are obtained from $Re = 100$ data but tested for $Re = 120$ transient.

5. Modal decomposition of the wake past three rotating cylinders

The performance of POD, DMD and RDMD is investigated for a non-periodic flow behind three rotating cylinders. All cylinders have unit diameter $D = 1$. Their center are on the vertices of an equilateral triangle with an edge length $1.5D$. This triangle is centred at the origin and has one vertex on the negative $x$-axis and two vertices at same $x$-value downstream. The two rear cylinders rotate counter-clockwise with tangential velocity $f_T = 1$. The front cylinder rotates clockwise, and its tangential velocity, $f_T = \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}} \approx 0.618$ results from the golden section (figure 15, left). Due to the rotation speeds the wake flow is intentionally not symmetrical. Figure 15(right) depicts the vorticity field. In this section, we investigate the converged post-transient flow.

We are working at companion experiments for this configuration. The motivation is to have a simple geometry with three actuation inputs, the rotation of the cylinders,
which can display complex dynamics but remains easily computable and experimentally realizable. The front cylinder controls the stagnation point while the rear cylinders manipulate each shear layer individually. Thus, many drag-reducing mechanisms can be realized, like stagnation point stabilization (front cylinder), symmetric and antisymmetric high-frequency shear-layer excitation (rear cylinders) or base bleeding (rear cylinders generating a jet on the $x$-axis), just to name a few.

Following, the exposition of § 4, we discuss POD (§ 5.1), DMD (§ 5.2), and RDMD (§ 5.3) followed by a quantitative comparison of the residuals (§ 5.4).

5.1. Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (POD)

The snapshots representing limit cycle of the flow past three rotating cylinders are decomposed using POD. The first 10 of them, representing more than 99% of the fluctuation energy, are depicted in figure 16. The first two modes describe von Kármán vortex shedding, the next two modes resolve a low frequency base-flow modulation. Modes 5-10 form pairs describing fluctuations are higher frequencies. The spatial mode interpretations is corroborated by the behaviour of the mode amplitudes in figure 17.

Figure 12. The modal amplitudes of the DMD modes of figure 11 for the corresponding column and row.
**Figure 13.** The time-averaged fluctuation level of the residual (3.10) for POD, DMD and RDMD (obtained from the transient at $Re = 100$) and the DMD at $Re = 120$ with increasing number of modes. The value is normalized by the corresponding fluctuation level $(2K_{\infty})$ on the limit cycle.

**Figure 14.** The instantaneous normalized truncation error (3.10) as a function of time for 10 POD, DMD and RDMD modes obtained $Re = 100$ and 10 DMD modes from $Re = 120$ transient data. The figure displays the sampling interval as used for figure 13.

**Figure 15.** Flow past three rotating cylinders. Details of the test case (left) and instantaneous vorticity field (right).
5.2. Dynamic Mode Decomposition (DMD)

The same snapshots are subjected to Dynamic Mode Decomposition (DMD). The results, i.e. the eigenmodes and their amplitudes, are depicted in figures 18 and 19, respectively. The modal amplitudes show, as expected, pure harmonic behaviour while the nonsymmetric modes arise from a nonsymmetrically forced wake.

5.3. Recursive Dynamic Mode Decomposition (RDMD)

In this section, the results of RDMD are presented. Again, the procedure is demonstrated for the same set of snapshots as employed previously for POD and DMD. Figures 20 and 21 depict the modes and their amplitudes, respectively. The frequency content of POD and RDMD is very similar while the amplitude modulation of POD is less pronounced in RDMD, corroborating the very purpose or RDMD, namely more harmonic modes.

5.4. Comparison of POD, DMD and RDMD

In this section, results from POD, DMD and RDMD are quantitatively compared. Figure 22 shows the time-averaged residual as function of number of modes for all three expan-
Figure 17. Periodic flow past three rotating cylinders. The mode amplitudes of the POD modes of figure 16 for the corresponding column and row.

The performance of RDMD follows closely POD while DMD residual is about one order of magnitude larger at $N = 32$.

6. Conclusions

Refer to Section 4.5 and 5!!!!

We propose a novel data-driven flow decomposition which combines the modal orthonormality and low truncation error $\chi^2$ (3.10) of POD with the frequency-distilling features of DMD. This decomposition is recursively defined. First, the data set is subjected to DMD, after which a normalized DMD mode is chosen which minimizes the averaged error $\chi^2$. This procedure is recursively repeated in the orthogonal subspace of computed modes. The resulting recursive DMD (RDMD) modes are orthonormal by construction and can be expected to have lower error $\chi^2$ than DMD modes while retaining the monochromatic features of DMD.

POD, DMD and RDMD have been applied to the same snapshots of a transient cylinder wake starting near the steady solution and terminating on the limit cycle. As expected,
Recursive DMD

Figure 18. Same as figure 16 but for the first ten DMD modes.

RDMD significantly outperforms DMD in terms of the maximum, time-averaged and asymptotic truncation error for all considered mode numbers by a large margin. In addition, the exponentially growing or decaying DMD amplitudes neither resemble initial nor asymptotic flow behaviour in a meaningful manner while RDMD amplitudes clearly identify initial, transient and post-transient flow phases.

Also as expected, RDMD modes have far purer frequency content than POD but maintain the residual at comparable level. While the maximum and average truncation error of POD outperforms RDMD, RDMD shows a better resolution of the limit cycle: the asymptotic value for $N = 10$ is half as large as the corresponding POD value and the third harmonic frequency is only captured by RDMD. Table 6 provides a brief comparison of the main characteristics and features of POD, DMD and RDMD.

The literature contains alternative approaches for spectrally purified POD modes. One important recent contribution is spectral POD (Sieber et al. 2015) which interpolates between POD and DMD by filtering the correlation matrix. This continuous interpolation offers an additional degree of freedom not present in RDMD; the price is the loss of strict orthonormality of the modes for all interpolation parameters. In a similar vein, Bourgeois et al. (2013) construct orthogonal POD modes with purer frequency content after Morlet-filtering the flow data at design frequencies. RDMD can be considered as
Figure 19. Same as figure 17 but for the first ten DMD modes.

a simpler approach which can be expected to perform well in an unsupervised manner, but leaves little room for tuning the modes for special purposes or applications.

The current study indicates that RDMD is an attractive ‘compromise’ between POD and DMD. It sacrifices little of the optimal residual property of POD while retaining the single-frequency behaviour of DMD. Its potential in control-oriented reduced-order modeling will be explored in a future effort. In addition, a particularly attractive opportunity for RDMD is the unsupervised extraction of generalized mean-field models with few dominant frequencies (Brunton & Noack 2015).
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Figure 20. Same as figure 16 but for the first ten RDMD modes.
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Figure 21. Same as figure 17 but for the first ten RDMD modes.

Figure 22. The time-averaged fluctuation level of the residual (3.10) for POD, DMD and RDMD for the flow past three rotating cylinders, with increasing number of modes. The value is normalized by the corresponding fluctuation level ($2K_\infty$) on the limit cycle.
Table 1. Comparison of POD, DMD and RDMD for the transient cylinder wake. The maximum and asymptotic truncation errors are for expansions with $N = 10$ modes normalized with the post-transient fluctuation level $2K_1$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect</th>
<th>POD</th>
<th>DMD</th>
<th>RDMD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ideal snapshots</td>
<td>uncorrelated</td>
<td>time-resolved</td>
<td>time-resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Averaged truncation error</td>
<td>optimal</td>
<td>poor</td>
<td>good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum truncation error</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymptotic truncation error</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modal frequency content</td>
<td>mixed</td>
<td>pure</td>
<td>almost pure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise sensitivity</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>high without filter</td>
<td>like DMD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niche applications</td>
<td>statistics</td>
<td>stability modes</td>
<td>transient dynamics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


