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Lingulate brachiopods and the lower palaeozoic history of the Iapetus Ocean

Paul Winrow and Mark D Sutton

The history of the Iapetus Ocean in the lower Palaeozoic is well established and faunal distribution has contributed significantly to the development of this knowledge; however, lingulate brachiopods have traditionally been considered to be of little utility in assessing palaeobiogeography. The distribution of lingulate brachiopods across the Iapetus region is analysed using a number of similarity indices and other statistical measures. This analysis shows a clear palaeobiogeographic signal where lingulate faunas are sufficiently diverse, reflecting the history of the Iapetus ocean and the relative separation of Laurentia and Baltica through the Cambrian and Ordovician. Lingulate faunas on Avalonia are, however, low in diversity and show relatively high endemism; this renders them of little use in assessing the separation of Avalonia from other areas. Evidence of earlier increases in faunal similarity in lingulate faunas between continents, compared to other fossil groups, provides confirmatory evidence that Palaeozoic lingulates had long-lived planktrophic larvae. Keywords: Iapetus, palaeobiogeography, lingulate, brachiopod, similarity
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Ever since Wilson (1966) demonstrated the existence of a “proto Atlantic” Iapetus Ocean, there has been much interest in the Palaeozoic development of the region, particularly in the history of the northern (Laurentian) and southern (Avalonian) British Isles, culminating in a recent popular science depiction of the closure of the Iapetus Ocean (Clarkson & Upton 2010). The ocean opened in the Vendian to Early Cambrian and, although the details of the timing of rifting and palaeogeographic configuration of the continents are not clear (Pisarevsky et al. 2008), the ocean was formed as Laurentia rifted away from Gondwana and drifted northwards towards the equator (Torsvik et al. 1996; Carwood et al. 2001) and the Tornquist Sea opened as Baltica rifted away from the Gondwanan margin.

The Cambrian was a period of continental dispersion and the Iapetus continued to widen, reaching its maximum width in the latest Cambrian to early Ordovician with a distance of 5,000km separating Avalonian and Laurentian Britain (Cocks & Torsvik 2002) and the Tornquist Sea continued to widen as Baltica drifted northward. Avalonia rifted from the Gondwanan margin opening up the Rheic Ocean, probably during Arenig time according to Cocks and Fortey (2009) although Landing (2005) contends that Avalonia had been an independent terrane since the Neoproterozoic, drifting rapidly northwards and reaching a similar latitude to Baltica by the Caradoc, lying approximately 1,000km to the west (Cocks & Torsvik 2002).

By the middle Ordovician the Iapetus had begun to narrow, to 3,300km by end Llandeilo (Torsvik & Trench 1991 and Torsvik et al. 1993) and by the Caradoc the separation of Avalonian and Laurentian Britain had reduced to 2,000km (Cocks & Torsvik 2002). There is strong palaeomagnetic and faunal evidence for the existence of Island Arcs across the Iapetus Ocean in the early to mid Ordovician due to subduction of the northern (Laurentian) and southern (Avalonian) margins as well as a mid latitude Arc system (Mac Niocaill et al. 1997). Throughout middle to late Ordovician times the Tornquist Sea continued to narrow as Avalonia and Baltica converged, finally 'soft docking' around the Ordovician/Silurian boundary (Mac Niocaill et al. 1997; Cocks and Torsvik 2002; Torsvik & Rehnstrom...
2003) when the Iapetus Ocean had reduced to around 1,300km wide and the Rheic Ocean had reached its maximum width of around 5,000km. The Iapetus continued to narrow until, in the mid Silurian, the Avalonia/Baltica continent collided with Laurentia to create Laurussia as the ocean disappeared during the Caledonide orogeny.

The chronostratigraphic terminology used herein is that used in the Treatise on Invertebrate Palaeontology (Kaesler 1997; 2000 and Selden 2007) on which the data analysis is based. Ordovician chronostratigraphic nomenclature has been updated since publication of the Treatise; Figure 1 summarises the stage and series names used herein against the current global terminology.

Faunal evidence for the history of the Iapetus Ocean
For several decades the distribution of fossils has been widely applied to palaeogeographical questions, in particular in the development and closure of the Iapetus Ocean with faunal evidence a significant factor leading Wilson (1966) to identify the “proto Atlantic”. Williams (1973) identified five Ordovician brachiopod provinces in the Arenig with high levels of endemism, reducing to two ‘realms’ by the Caradoc and by the latest Ashgill a single, cosmopolitan fauna existed (the \textit{Hirnantia} fauna) as the Iapetus closed and Cocks & McKerrow (1973) demonstrated that Silurian shelf brachiopod faunas were essentially cosmopolitan. Meanwhile, Whittington & Hughes (1972) demonstrated a similar trend in trilobite provinces, reducing from four in the lower Ordovician to a single late Ordovician worldwide fauna due to continental amalgamation as well as global regression due to the end Ordovician glaciation and association extinctions. McKerrow & Cocks (1986) noted that faunas on either side of the Iapetus Ocean became increasingly similar through time and calculated the approximate width of the Iapetus through the lower Palaeozoic based on the times at which faunas on either side of the ocean were able to cross it. Additionally Cocks (2000) summarised the faunal evidence for the palaeogeography of the early Ordovician noting that Laurentia and Baltica had largely endemic brachiopod and trilobite faunas at this
time and also identified the increasing differences between Avalonian and Gondwanan brachiopod faunas
which were broadly similar in the Arenig but markedly different by the Llanvirn and Caradoc as
demonstrated by the work of Hurst (1979) and Havlicek et al. (1994).

In two seminal papers, Cocks & Fortey (1982) and Fortey & Cocks (1992) documented the fossil
evidence for the separation of northern and southern Britain, based on mainly articulate brachiopods and
trilobites, noting that the significant faunal differences in the Cambrian and lower Ordovician gradually
decreed in the later Ordovician, reflecting the closure of the Iapetus Ocean. They noted also that early
Ordovician faunas in southern Britain (Avalonia) were similar to those in Gondwanan Europe, whilst
those in Baltica were different. These authors have built on this early work in a series of papers providing
greater detail of faunal distributions and the evidence for palaeogeographical reconstructions including
Cocks & Fortey (1990) outlining the biogeography of Ordovician and Silurian faunas, and the major
review of the palaeontological evidence supporting global Ordovician and Silurian reconstructions
(Fortey & Cocks 2003) which provides a detailed summary of the faunal distributions for each continent
and the main microcontinents and terranes.

Cocks & Fortey (2009) discussed the history of Avalonia, focussing mainly on faunal evidence, and noted
that faunal evidence for the location of Avalonia in the Cambrian is inconclusive; Lower Cambrian
trilobites are typified by Gondwanan faunas with high endemicity (Alvaro et al. 2003) whereas, in the
middle Cambrian faunas have some similarity with both Laurentia and Baltica and in the late Cambrian
there is some evidence of links to Gondwana (Hughes & Rushton 1990). Conway Morris & Rushton
(1988) summarised the differences between the faunas of Laurentia, Avalonia and Baltica through the
Cambrian as evidence of their separation at this time, focussing on trilobites but with support from other
groups, noting also the environmental differences between low latitude, and warmer, Laurentia and the
higher latitude, cooler waters of Avalonia and Baltica. In other fossil groups, Williams et al. (2007)
outlined the Cambrian palaeogeography of bradoriid arthropods which show evidence of a warm water
“east” and cooler water “west” realm, similar to the Olenellid and Redlichiid trilobite realms, with many forms being cosmopolitan within those realms, although Topper et al. (2011) provided strong evidence for endemism in the lower Cambrian.

Harper et al. (1996) and Cocks & Torsvik (2002) provide syntheses of palaeomagnetic and faunal data for early Ordovician Iapetus terranes and global geography for the Ordovician and Silurian respectively whilst Neuman (1984) and Harper et al. (2009) discuss the geology and palaeontology of Ordovician mid latitude, intra-Iapetus islands and the development and migration of the Celtic province faunas. Harper et al. (1996) identified a series of platform provinces (Laurentia, Baltica and Gondwana) along with a number of assemblages which did not fit these cratonic faunas, particularly the Celtic province at high latitudes and the Toquima-Table Head fauna at lower latitudes. It is likely that the Iapetus was punctuated by numerous islands in the Ordovician (Neuman 1984; Neuman & Harper 1992), possibly around a mid latitude volcanic arc (Mac Niocaill et al. 1997), upon which largely endemic brachiopod and trilobite faunas developed. Indeed Williams (1969, 1973) identified a series of brachiopod provinces at the height of brachiopod provincialism in the early Ordovician which were subsequently refined into the Baltic (Jaanusson 1973), Laurentian (Cocks 2000) and Mediterranean (Havlicek 1976) provinces with a number of intra-Iapetus and marginal faunas also identified (Neuman 1984; Bruton & Harper 1981). Additionally Tychsen & Harper (2004) discussed the distribution of orthids in the Ordovician and Silurian, noting five phases in the development of faunal provincialism which, they concluded, reflects the history of the Iapetus. Further, Hansen & Holmer (2010) note that lower and middle Ordovician faunas from Spitsbergen are similar to those in north America and Greenland at generic level, although there is strong endemism at species level.

Cocks & Fortey (1982; 1990) demonstrated that by the mid Caradoc there was increased faunal exchange between Avalonia and Baltica as they moved closer together whilst Linneman et al. 2012 noted that the Avalonian trilobite faunas were similar to those of north west Gondwana until the Sandbian but from the
Katian they began to reflect the increased proximity of Baltica (Verniers et al. 2002; Owens & Servais, 2007). A similar result is found in Chitinozoa from Avalonia which showed Gondwanan affinity until the mid Darriwilian but from the lower Sandbian demonstrated increased similarity to Baltoscandian faunas (Samuelsen & Verniers 2000; Verniers et al. 2005).

By the mid Silurian the three major Iapetus continents had collided and the benthic assemblages of most groups formed a single, low latitude cosmopolitan fauna, with the exception of ostracodes which, although similar across Baltica and Avalonia were different in Laurentia and NW Gondwana (Cocks & Fortey 2009) as the ostracodes were apparently unable to cross even the remaining shallow seas until the late Silurian (Berdan 1990). Ziegler et al. (1968) demonstrated that most brachiopod faunas were cosmopolitan by the Llandovery, although lingulate diversity was much reduced by this time. Meanwhile Armstrong & Owen (2002) analysed eucnodonts from the Llanvirn to Llandovery and concluded that many north Atlantic taxa were pelagic and cosmopolitan across the Iapetus area.

Fortey & Cocks (2003) considered that planktonic taxa, such as graptolites and acritarchs, are more useful in delineating palaeolatitude rather than palaeocontinents on account of their widespread distribution. Fortey & Mellish (1992) considered whether some fossils are better than others in assessing palaeogeographic models using the early Ordovician Iapetus Ocean as an example, concluding that the relationship to proposed continental separation differs depending on the fossils used. Planktonic fossils (e.g. graptolites, acritarchs) did not denote a separation between Baltica and Gondwana whilst ostracodes, trilobites and to a lesser degree, brachiopods, demonstrate strong compliance with palaeogeographic models; their work on brachiopods was a blend of articulate and inarticulate forms. Meanwhile Lees et al. (2002) used quantitative analysis based mainly on brachiopods and trilobites to estimate distances between continents, noting that in the early Ordovician Avalonia was close to Gondwana but by mid Ordovician times there was increased migration from Laurentia and Baltica of a number of faunal groups including brachiopods, trilobites, molluscs, echinoderms and ostracodes (Cocks & Fortey 2009); they
concluded that Avalonia rifted away from Gondwana in the late Cambrian/early Ordovician, probably at
the end of the Tremadocian.

A number of papers have been published focussing specifically on the utility of brachiopods in defining
the palaeogeographic history of the Iapetus Ocean and surrounding continents, although the majority of
these have focussed on articulate brachiopods. As noted by Harper & Sandy (2001), the geographic
distribution of lingulate brachiopods has received little attention, probably due to their planktotrophic
larvae and assumed widespread distribution (Cocks & Fortey 2009), that they are not a component of the
Palaeozoic Evolutionary Fauna (Sepkoski 1984; 1990) and perhaps because of perceived taxonomic
difficulties.

Modern linguliform brachiopods have a planktotrophic larval stage which can remain in the plankton for
several weeks before settling, leading to wider dispersion than articulate forms which have lecithotrophic
larvae with short free-swimming duration (Hyman, 1959; Boucot 1975 and Williams 1973; 1976) indicate
that rhynchonelliforms had limited ability to migrate across open oceans. There is strong evidence that
many Palaeozoic lingulate brachiopods had planktotrophic larvae (Holmer, 1989; Popov & Holmer 1994;
Freeman & Lundelius 1999). This implies that lingulate brachiopods should be more widely dispersed
across the Iapetus and surrounding area than their articulate counterparts; indeed Harper & Sandy (2001)
note that the biogeographical patterns of lingulates can reflect those of planktonic organisms and
Richardson (1997) notes that modern lingulates show no endemism. There are, however, few studies of
the biogeography of Cambrian brachiopods, although Us hatinskaya (1996) provided an overview,
identifying ‘tropical’ and ‘natal’ realms based on the proportion of lingulate to rhynchonelliform
brachiopods. Popov & Holmer (1994) established a series of lingulate brachiopod assemblages in the late
Cambrian/early Ordovician and Bassett et al. (1999) discussed the diversification and extinction of
lingulate brachiopods. Whilst not focussed on palaeogeography, Bassett et al. (2002) discussed the
Cambrian to Tremadoc diversification of brachiopod faunas, both lingulate and articulate and Holmer & Popov (1996) discussed the radiation, and classification, of the brachiopods in the lower Palaeozoic.

**Aims of this study**

This study has two principle aims; (1) to assess the utility of lingulate brachiopods in palaeobiogeography, and (2) to assess whether the lingulate palaeobiogeographical data provides support for long larval-residence times in lingulates. The distribution of lingulate brachiopods across the Iapetus region in the lower Palaeozoic is analysed to assess whether they provide a biogeographic signal that correlates with that provided by other faunal groups in documenting the opening and closing of the Iapetus Ocean. Concordance of this type would predict that the faunas of Laurentia, Avalonia and Baltica should become less similar through the Cambrian as the ocean opened, with maximum dispersal of continents and consequently high levels of endemism in the early Ordovician. Avalonian and Baltic faunas should become more similar throughout the Ordovician, becoming more or less cosmopolitan at the end of the Ordovician as the continents collided with the closure of the Tornquist Sea; the faunas of Laurentia and Baltica/Avalonia should also become increasingly similar, with reducing levels of endemism, as the Iapetus Ocean closed and the distance between the continents decreased, before collision in the Silurian when more or less cosmopolitan faunas should be established. Any discrepancy between the lingulate biogeographic signal and that of other groups that implies earlier coalescence or later divergence can be taken as support for the hypothesis that lingulate brachiopods larvae were normally planktotrophic.

**Methodology**

The Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology, part H (Kaesler, 1997; 2000 and Selden 2007) records the geographic and stratigraphic distributions of each brachiopod genus. From this data, a matrix of presence (1) and absence (0) of individual lingulate genera was compiled for each of the divisions of the Lower Palaeozoic used in the Treatise (three stages in the Cambrian, six in the Ordovician and four in the
Silurian) on each palaeocontinent. Data from the Treatise for Avalonia has been supplemented by the lower Ordovician monograph of Sutton et al. (1999; 2000).

For the purposes of this study, Avalonia comprises England and Wales, Cape Breton, New Brunswick and Newfoundland, Laurentia consists of USA, Greenland, Scotland and Ireland and Baltica of Sweden, Poland, Novya Semlya, Russia (Ingria), Estonia and Norway, although the Norwegian faunas probably represent intra-Iapetus island faunas (Harper et al. 1996; 2009, Harper & Sandy 2001). In other regions Siberia consists of Siberia, Russia (Altai) and Russia (undefined); Kazakhstan is comprised of Kazakhstan, Kirghizia and Uzbekistan; West Gondwana of Africa, France, Spain, Bohemia, Germany, Jordan and Libya, and East Gondwana consists of Australia, Antarctica and New Zealand.

There are some inherent limitations with the database used in this study. For example, a small number of genera, such as Lingulella, Lingulepis, Orbitoculoida and Schizotreta, have been excluded from the analysis as the Treatise records their exact geographic and stratigraphic distribution as uncertain; these are “wastebasket” genera into which often poorly preserved material has been recorded, and are in need of taxonomic revision. Also there are few modern publications describing lingulate faunas from the lower Palaeozoic of Avalonia; for example the Cambrian faunas from British Avalonia are currently being reviewed by one of us for the first time in 100 years. Additionally, very few lingulate taxa survived the late Ordovician faunal turnover associated with the Hirnantian glaciations; as a result the latter stages of the history of the Iapetus Ocean cannot be clearly elucidated from lingulates alone as a number of the indices could not be calculated for the Silurian.

A number of similarity coefficients/indices (see below) were calculated from the presence/absence database for global palaeocontinent pairs in each time-slice using the PAST statistics software (Hammer et al. 2001) and presented graphically to show changes over time. Data relating to seven palaeocontinental areas (Avalonia, Laurentia, Baltica, Siberia, Kazakhstan, West Gondwana and East Gondwana) has been used in calculating global similarity indices; there is insufficient data for the
remaining areas (South Gondwana, North China and South China) to enable calculation of indices. The
analysis for the Arenig and Llanvirn excludes East Gondwana as there is no data and for the whole of the
Silurian only the Raup-Crick co-efficient can be calculated reliably due to scarcity of data, in particular
for Avalonia. In this paper we consider only the results for those palaeocontinents impacting directly on
the reconstruction of the history of the Iapetus region, namely Avalonia, Laurentia and Baltica.

To assess whether the patterns of lingulate brachiopod endemism across the three palaeocontinents reflect
the expectations set out above, i.e. that endemism was at its maximum in the late Cambrian-early
Ordivician, reducing steadily through the Ordovician, the Mean Endemism Index of Lees et al. (2002)
has been calculated for each palaeocontinent pair, i.e. Laurentia/Baltica, Laurentia/Avalonia and
Avalonia/Baltica using the formula $ME = 0.5((e_1/t_1) + (e_2/t_2))$ where $e_1$ and $e_2$ are the numbers of lingulate
taxa uniquely endemic to each palaeocontinent and $t_1$ and $t_2$ are the total number of lingulate taxa on each
palaeocontinent; higher values for $ME$ indicate higher levels of endemism and imply greater geographic
separation. To further support this analysis the percentage of endemic lingulates, for all palaeocontinents
combined, for each geological stage and the proportion of taxa on either one, two or all three
palaeocontinents, has been calculated.

A further technique which has not been applied in this study is cladistic biogeography (see e.g. Lieberman
& Eldredge 1996). While this has been applied to Cambrian palaeogeography with some limited success,
using trilobites (Lieberman 1997), the technique requires well resolved phylogenies. These are not
currently available for lingulates, and indeed Fortey & Cocks (2003) considered that there is insufficient
knowledge of any invertebrate phylogenies for the approach to be viable.

**Selection of similarity coefficients/indices**

A large number of similarity coefficients or indices that derive from presence/absence data have been
used in palaeontology and palaeogeography. Hammer & Harper (2006) outline the characteristics of a
number of indices for use in measuring the similarity of taxon compositions of two samples with
presence/absence data. The Jaccard index (Jaccard 1912) ignores absences from both samples, assumes diversity differences are real and if one sample is much larger than the other will always yield a low value, whilst the Simpson index (Simpson 1949), which assumes differences are due to sampling, is insensitive to the size of the larger sample, effectively disregarding absences in the smaller sample; as a result only taxa present in the smaller sample contribute to the calculation and the results whilst being conservative, are less sensitive to the data. The Dice index (Dice 1945, Sorensen 1948) is similar to Jaccard but normalises to the average rather than the number of taxa in samples and is thus less sensitive to differences in sample sizes, giving more weight to joint occurrences. The Raup-Crick (Raup & Crick 1979) is a probabilistic measure of faunal similarity based on the probability of the samples having taxa in common and uses a randomisation procedure based on bootstrapping. The Ochiai Coefficient of Closeness (Ochiai, 1957) is similar to the Jaccard and Dice indices in ignoring negative co-occurrences.

Hubalek (1982), evaluated 43 coefficients of similarity, and recommended the Jaccard, Dice, Kulczynski and Ochiai whilst Shi (1993) assessed 39 similarity coefficients using nine criteria for a “good index”; he concluded that the Jaccard coefficient was “the most suitable as a similarity measure”, whilst also recommending the use of Simpson, Dice and Ochiai. However, Archer & Maples (1987) and Maples & Archer (1988) reviewed the utility of a number of indices under sub-optimal conditions, and concluded that the use of Dice, Simpson or Jaccard coefficients was inadvisable with sparse data or a high number of variables. Meanwhile Schmactenburg (2008) tested similarity indices against distances on proposed palaeogeographic reconstructions using modern and Cretaceous bivalves and concluded that the “Raup-Crick similarity index is excellent for statistically testing palaeogeographic reconstructions with biogeographic data” and recommended the Raup-Crick index for testing palaeogeographic barriers, whilst also noting that where there were small differences in diversity the Raup-Crick index gave similar results to Simpson and where there were large diversity differences the results were more similar to Jaccard. Schmactenburg (2008) also reported that the Mean Endemism Index gave the worst correlation with distance between areas, whilst Lees et al. (2002) found better correlation between faunal similarity and
distance using Mean Endemism than they did with Jaccard, although their data was at generic rather than specific level.

In view of the lack of consensus as to the most ‘correct’ or useful coefficient, we do not consider that selecting a single coefficient can easily be justified, and for this reason we have computed five (Raup-Crick, Simpson, Jaccard, Dice and Ochiai).

**Results**

*Similarity Indices*

The calculated similarity indices for each palaeocontinent pair relevant to the history of the Iapetus (Laurentia/Baltica, Laurentia/Avalonia and Avalonia/Baltica) in each geological time slice for the Cambrian and Ordovician are set out in Figs 2-4. Comparable similarity indices have not been published for groups such as trilobites and articulate brachiopods; instead trends have been determined using more complex statistical analyses made viable by larger datasets (e.g. Cocks & Fortey 1982; 1990; 2009 and Harper et al. 1996; 2009).

The results of our analyses vary depending upon which similarity coefficient is used and, although there is some support for predicted trends, it is not strong. Results for the Laurentia/Baltica palaeocontinent-pair demonstrate the expected trend up to the end of the Ordovician, but neither Avalonia/Baltica or Avalonia/Laurentia show increases in similarity; indeed most indices for Avalonia/Baltica show decreasing similarity through the Ordovician. These trends are discussed in more detail below.

*Laurentia/Baltica* (Fig. 2)

All five indices show a similar pattern, with similarity decreasing to the end of the Cambrian and then steadily increasing through the Ordovician. The lowest levels of similarity are in all indices recorded in the late Cambrian, with values ranging from 0 (Raup-Crick) to 0.31 (Simpson) whilst the highest values
recorded in the late Ordovician (Ashgill) range from 0.58 (Jaccard) to 0.96 (Raup-Crick). Sparsity of data in the Silurian renders calculation of indices other than Raup-Crick inadvisable; this latter index indicates a reduction in similarity rather than the expected increase, but small sample sizes urge caution in interpreting this result.

[Insert Figure 2 here]

Laurentia / Avalonia (Fig. 3)

The results for the Laurentia/Avalonia palaeocontinent pair show a general trend of decreasing similarity through time, although all but the Simpson index show a slight peak in the early Ordovician (Tremadoc).

The Simpson index shows a general decrease from the Cambrian to the Arenig followed by an increase in the later Ordovician but with an anomalous result in the Ashgill which is related to the lack of taxa recorded on Avalonia.

[Insert Figure 3 here]

Avalonia/ Baltica (Fig. 4)

All indices show a trend of reducing similarity similar to that recorded in Avalonia/Laurentia from a peak in the Cambrian, steadily decreasing throughout the Ordovician, in contrast with the expectation based on palaeogeographic models. Whilst the Jaccard coefficient shows a decrease in similarity in the late Cambrian, this coefficient generally gives low values where one sample is much larger than the other, which is typically the case for the low diversity Avalonian faunas. The Simpson index yields high levels of similarity in the late Cambrian/early Ordovician; this is interpreted as an artefact relating to lack of sensitivity of this index at this time, as only data in the smaller sample (Avalonia) are used in the calculation.
Mean Endemism

The mean endemism index (ME) for lingulate brachiopods calculated for each palaeocontinent pair is shown in Fig. 5 with the data set out in Appendix 1. If ME was to reflect the accepted history of the Iapetus Ocean it should increase during the Cambrian, peaking in the late Cambrian/early Ordovician when the palaeocontinents were at their maximum dispersal, before reducing through the Ordovician as the palaeocontinents converged.

The Laurentia/Baltica palaeocontinent pair reflects this expected pattern with the maximum endemism occurring in the late Cambrian and steadily reducing during the Ordovician, with a slight anomaly in the Caradoc. The maximum ME figure is 0.66 (Late Cambrian) whilst the minimum of 0.22 is recorded in the Llandeilo; the range calculated by Lees et al. (2002) for trilobites and brachiopods in the Ordovician and Silurian was 0.1 to 0.65 with a predominance of endemic taxa in the early to mid Ordovician, peaking for trilobites in the Arenig and for brachiopods in the Llanvirn.

As with similarity indices, the palaeocontinent pairs involving Avalonia do not give a clear signal, although the Avalonia/Baltica palaeocontinent pair does show increasing ME values from the lower Cambrian to the Arenig before reducing again steadily through the remaining Ordovician (a potentially anomalous peak in the Ashgill excepted). The peak ME values for Avalonia/Baltica occur later than Laurentia/Baltica; this is not predicted by the palaeogeographic model, but is in accordance with the trilobite and articulate brachiopod findings of Lees et al. (2002). The ME values for Avalonia/Laurentia show relatively little variation from the lower Cambrian through to the mid/late Ordovician and there is no clear signal in the data.
Other measures of endemism

In addition to the Mean Endemism Index, the number of endemic lingulate taxa on each palaeocontinent (Fig. 6) and the percentage of endemic lingulate taxa across the Iapetus Ocean (Fig. 7) have been calculated and a graph produced showing the number of lingulate brachiopods distributed on one, two or all three palaeocontinents for each time period (Fig. 8).

The accepted history of the Iapetus Ocean would predict that the number of endemic taxa on each palaeocontinent and the percentage of endemic taxa across the Iapetus region should peak in the late Cambrian/early Ordovician at the time of maximum palaeocontinent dispersal, and reduce through the Ordovician to the cosmopolitan faunas of the Silurian. The number of taxa present on only one palaeocontinent should also peak at the same time, with an increasing proportion recorded on two, or all three, palaeocontinents through the lower Palaeozoic.

The percentage of endemic lingulate taxa (Fig. 6) reflects the history of the Iapetus region from the lower Cambrian, with the maximum percentage reached in the late Cambrian and remaining high until the Arenig when the percentage reduced significantly. Although there is an unexpected increase in the percentage of endemic taxa in the Silurian, this is based on very small numbers of lingulate brachiopods surviving the late Ordovician faunal turnover reported from a small number of sites; indeed there are no records in the Treatise of any lingulate brachiopods from the Silurian of Avalonia. While lingulates certainly existed in Avalonia during the Silurian (see e.g. Cocks & Popov 2009), their absence from the treatise reflects a need for taxonomic revision.

[insert Figure 6 here]

The proportion of endemic lingulate taxa on each palaeocontinent in the Iapetus region in the Cambrian and Ordovician is summarised in Figure 7 which shows that, as expected, the proportion of endemic taxa on Baltica and Laurentia peak around the late Cambrian and early Ordovician, decreasing through the
Ordovician. Data for Laurentia indicate that endemism peaked in the Cambrian and was already decreasing in the early Ordovician, earlier than anticipated from the development of the Iapetus. The results for Baltica are compatible with orthodox Iapetus history, with peak endemism from the late Cambrian to Arenig and a steady decrease through the Ordovician. Endemism in Avalonia, however, does not reflect the expected trend; instead, endemism increases throughout the Ordovician (no data is available for the Caradoc).

The number of endemic taxa across the three palaeocontinents around Iapetus rises rapidly through the Cambrian, peaking in the upper Cambrian to Arenig, gradually declining from then to the end of the Silurian (Fig 8). Whilst the number of taxa recorded reflects overall faunal diversity, the pattern recorded here also reflects the history of the Iapetus Ocean.

Discussion

Faunal similarity

Changes in faunal similarity of lingulate brachiopods for the Laurentia/Baltica palaeocontinent-pair show a clear signal which fits with the accepted history of the opening and closure of the Iapetus Ocean. Whilst articulate brachiopods and trilobites show maximum endemism in the early to mid Ordovician for this palaeocontinent pair, with faunas gradually becoming more similar due to migration from around mid-late Ordovician times, the trend towards increasingly similar faunas begins earlier for lingulate brachiopods. We interpret this as confirmatory evidence of a planktotrophic larval stage in Palaeozoic lingulate brachiopods, whose longer residence-time in the plankton can be expected to have enabled migration over wider oceanic distances than the lecithotrophic larval forms of articulate brachiopods and (presumably) trilobites.
The results for the palaeocontinent-pairs involving Avalonia are more difficult to interpret. Avalonia was at higher latitudes than both Laurentia and Baltica in the Cambrian and lower Ordovician and the recorded lingulate faunas may reflect the typically lower diversity faunas found at higher latitudes. Laurentia was at low latitudes throughout the period, being shown as more or less equatorial in most reconstructions, whilst Baltica drifted northwards much earlier than Avalonia, although by the Caradoc they were both at mid latitude. It is also possible that ocean circulation patterns may have contributed to the distribution of taxa between Laurentia and Baltica at similar latitudes and provided a further barrier to northward migration of lingulates from Avalonia. Christiansen & Stouge (1999) published a model of ocean circulation for the Arenig (Fig. 9) which shows Avalonia to the south of the temperate ocean current. To the east of it lay Baltica, with polar currents flowing westward from Avalonia, whilst Laurentia was located to the north of the subtropical ocean current in the Iapetus. In this model there appears to be a path for the distribution of faunas from Avalonia to Baltica in the early Ordovician via the mid latitude temperate current. However, the results of the endemism analysis presented here do not indicate high levels of faunal interchange between Avalonia and Baltica. Additionally, faunal interchange between Laurentia and Baltica, indicated in the endemism analysis and in analyses of trilobite and articulate brachiopod distributions, is difficult to envisage with this pattern of oceanic circulation. We hence consider that presently available faunal data does not support the model.

\[\text{insert Figure 9 here}\]

During the lower Ordovician, Baltica underwent anticlockwise rotation (Torsvik et al. 1993) meaning that different parts of the continent faced the Iapetus Ocean during this period. It is possible that different faunas might have existed on the western (Iapetus) and eastern (Tethys) margins of Baltica; as the database used in this study does not distinguish between western and eastern Baltica it is possible that this distinction may be a partial cause of the lack of clear signal in the data, although Cocks & Fortey (2009) did not find any such faunal variation in Baltican trilobites and articulate brachiopods.
**Endemism**

The results set out here indicate that maximum endemism for lingulate brachiopods occurred in the late Cambrian of Laurentia and lower Ordovician (Arenig) of Baltica although the Tremadoc data for Laurentia indicate an unusually low level of endemism which may reflect a lack of studies of Tremadoc faunas for this palaeocontinent and the low numbers of taxa recorded. Lees *et al.* (2002) noted that the highest levels of endemism for trilobites occurred in the Arenig and for the mainly articulate brachiopods in the Llanvirn; the earlier peak in endemism for lingulate brachiopods is interpreted as further evidence for their planktotrophic larval stage, and hence their potential for crossing wider oceans than other taxa which could only migrate when oceans had narrowed further.

The proportion of endemic lingulate taxa (Fig. 6) reflects, in broad terms, the width of the Iapetus Ocean and distances between the continents, peaking in the late Cambrian to Arenig at which time around three quarters of taxa were endemic to individual continents in the region. The majority of the remaining taxa were distributed on only two of the three palaeocontinents (Fig. 8), typically Laurentia and Baltica, probably reflecting the relatively low diversity of higher latitude Avalonian faunas and consequent low numbers of taxa.

The early reduction in endemism in Laurentia (Fig. 7) is likely related to faunal interchange between Laurentia and other areas not associated with the Iapetus; for example, Laurentian faunas from the early Ordovician are similar to those recorded in Siberia and Gondwana. It is not clear why Avalonian lingulate faunas show high levels of endemism in the Ordovician though it may be related to Ocean circulation or the location of Avalonia to the south of Baltica in higher latitude, colder waters. It is also likely that Laurentian/Baltic faunas show lower levels of endemism as a result of their closer links with other, generally low latitude, palaeocontinents outside of the Iapetus region.
Conclusions

Most authors have considered that lingulate brachiopods are of little utility in palaeogeographical reconstructions (e.g. Cocks & Fortey 2009, Cocks 2011). Our analyses, however, indicate that where sufficiently diverse faunas of lingulates are recorded, they reflect palaeogeographic models derived from other sources, and hence provide a viable data source for reconstructions of continental configurations. Lingulate brachiopods clearly record the relative separation of Laurentia and Baltica during the lower Palaeozoic using a range of similarity indices; lack of clarity only occurs when the Avalonian microcontinent is considered, as the lingulate fauna here was both unusually endemic and low in diversity. The reasons for the anomalously high endemcity of Ordovician lingulates remain to be elucidated; they may include oceanic circulation patterns or latitudinal control, although why these should particularly affect lingulates remains unclear. Our data also provides consistent evidence of earlier increases in similarity during continental convergence than are observed in trilobites or articulate brachiopods, substantiating existing assumptions that Palaeozoic lingulates, like their living representatives, had long-lived planktotrophic larvae.
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Figure Captions

Figure 1: summary of Ordovician stratigraphic terminology used herein (based on United Kingdom) against the modern global standard (adapted from http://ordovician.stratigraphy.org/uploads/OrdChartHigh.jpg)

Figure 2: Similarity Indices for the Laurentia/Baltica palaeocontinent pair through the Cambrian and Ordovician

Figure 3: Similarity Indices for the Laurentia/Avalonia palaeocontinent pair through the Cambrian and Ordovician

Figure 4: Similarity Indices for the Avalonia/Baltica palaeocontinent pair through the Cambrian and Ordovician

Figure 5: Mean Endemism Index for each palaeocontinent pair through the Cambrian and Ordovician

Figure 6: Percentage of endemic lingulate taxa around the Iapetus Ocean through the lower Palaeozoic

Figure 7 Proportion of endemic taxa on each palaeocontinent in the Iapetus region

Figure 8: Distribution of lingulate taxa across the three palaeocontinents around the Iapetus Ocean (showing the number of taxa recorded on one, two or three of the palaeocontinents under consideration)

Figure 9 Ocean circulation for the Arenig, showing brachiopod provinces (From Christiansen and Stouge 1999)
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