Group contribution methodology based on the statistical associating fluid theory for heteronuclear molecules formed from Mie segments
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A generalization of the recent statistical associating fluid theory for variable range Mie potentials [Lafitte et al., J. Chem. Phys., 139, 154504, (2013)] is formulated within the framework of a group contribution approach (SAFT-γ Mie). Molecules are modeled as comprising distinct functional (chemical) groups based on a fused heteronuclear molecular model, where the interactions between segments are described by the Mie (generalized Lennard-Jonesium) potential of variable attractive and repulsive range. A key feature of the presented theory is the accurate description of the monomeric group-group interactions by application of a high-temperature perturbation expansion up to third order. The capabilities of the SAFT-γ Mie approach are exemplified by studying the thermodynamic properties of two chemical families, the n-alkanes and the n-alkyl esters, by developing parameters for the methyl, methylene, and carboxylate functional groups (CH$_3$, CH$_2$, and COO). The approach is shown to describe accurately the fluid phase behavior of the compounds considered with absolute average deviations of 1.20% and 0.42% for the vapor pressure and saturated liquid density, respectively, which shows a clear improvement over other existing SAFT-based group contribution approaches. The use of Mie potentials to describe the group-group interaction is shown to allow accurate simultaneous descriptions of the fluid-phase behavior and second-order thermodynamic derivative properties of pure fluids based on a single set of group parameters. Furthermore, the application of a perturbation expansion to third order for the description of the reference monomeric fluid improves the predictions of the theory for the fluid-phase behavior of pure components in the near-critical region. The predictive capabilities of the approach stem from its formulation within a group-contribution formalism: predictions of the fluid-phase behavior and thermodynamic derivative properties of compounds not included in the development of group parameters are demonstrated. The performance of the theory is also critically assessed with predictions of the fluid-phase behavior (vapor-liquid and liquid-liquid equilibria) and excess thermodynamic properties of a variety of binary mixtures, including polymer solutions, where very good agreement with the experimental data is seen, without the need for adjustable mixture parameters.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Thermodynamic tools are being continually developed and improved in order to meet the need for accurate property prediction in many sectors of the chemical industries. Predictive approaches have come to play an important role in the design of processes and the accuracy of their output can significantly affect process design decisions.1,2 Despite the wide variety of methods that are available, industrial requirements in property prediction highlight the need for approaches that can be applied in an ever-expanding range of applications, including, among others, polymer processing, biotechnology, and solvent screening.3 Advances in thermodynamic modeling have led to novel applications, such as the integrated design of solvents and processes, where molecular characteristics of solvents are determined as part of the optimization of the process.4–7 An important aspect in the development of thermodynamic methodologies is their predictive capability, which is commonly perceived as the capability to provide predictions of phase behavior and other bulk properties without the need for experimental data for the determination of the molecular model parameters.

An important class of thermodynamic methodologies with high predictive power is that of group contribution (GC) methods. GC methods are developed based on the assumption that the properties of a given compound can be calculated as appropriate functions of the chemically distinct functional groups that the compound comprises. The contribution of each functional group to the molecular properties is assumed to be independent of the molecular structure that the group appears in. Such approaches have a long history of research and application with the first developments focused on the prediction of pure-component properties, such as the GC methods of Lydersen,9 Joback and Reid9, and the later works of Gani and co-workers,10,11 to name but a few. Furthermore, GC approaches have found extensive
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application to the study of the thermodynamic properties of binary and multi-component mixtures, often with emphasis on fluid-phase behavior, initially within the framework of activity coefficient methods. An example of this type of methodology is the well-established universal quasi-chemical functional group activity coefficient (UNIFAC) formalism. The UNIFAC approach and its subsequent modifications are widely considered to be the state-of-the-art predictive methodologies for industrial applications due to their accuracy in predicting the phase behavior of a wide range of mixtures and the availability of extensive parameter tables. Alongside UNIFAC other predictive activity coefficient methods have been developed, a prominent example of which is the computational-chemistry based conductor-like screening model for real solvents (COSMO-RS). Such methods are however subject to a number of limitations: in these approaches one resorts to a treatment of the liquid phase only, neglecting pressure effects and only the subset of thermodynamic properties that can be calculated from activity coefficients are obtained. With the aim of overcoming these limitations, GC methods have been coupled with equations of state (EoSs); prominent examples include the PSRK EoS, the GC, and GCA EoSs, and more recently the VTPR EoS. Equations of state have the advantage of treating the vapor and liquid phases on an equal footing, can account for pressure effects, and can be applied to the study of a wide range of thermodynamic properties. Of particular relevance to the work presented here are the applications of GC within the framework of the statistical associating fluid theory (SAFT). These are reviewed briefly in the following discussion; for a more thorough discussion of the different applications of GC methods, the reader is redirected to the reviews of references [23] and [24].

The various versions of SAFT EoS have been successfully applied to the study of the properties of thermodynamically challenging systems, such as polymeric, hydrogen-bonding, and reactive systems. Details of the underlying theory and the different applications of SAFT can be found in a number of comprehensive reviews. The early work dedicated to developing SAFT-based GC approaches involved the application of the group contribution concept at the level of determining the molecular parameters that describe a compound. In these homonuclear approaches the molecular model employed was the traditional SAFT representation of molecular chains formed from identical bonded segments, with all segments described by the same set of parameters, so that the underlying theory remained unchanged. Lora et al. were one of the first to combine a group contribution method with the original SAFT EoS, determining the molecular parameters that describe several poly(acrylates) by examining compounds of lower molecular weight. Subsequently, Vijande et al. developed a SAFT-based GC approach with an emphasis on the prediction of the properties of hydrofluoroethers. In the same spirit, Tannouza et al. have developed GC methods based on SAFT approaches which employ both fixed and variable-range interaction potentials for the study of the chemical families of the $n$-alkanes, alkylbenzenes, olefins, and alcohols. The methodology was then extended to explicitly account for interactions due to polarity based on the treatment of Gubbin and Twu for the study of light and heavy esters, and has since been applied to a wide range of systems including polycyclic hydrocarbons, ethers, aldehydes, ketones, amines, and long-chain multifunctional molecules including alkanediols and alkanolamines. Alongside the aforementioned SAFT-based group contribution methods, other techniques have been developed where molecular properties are not calculated as functions of the occurrences of functional groups alone, but also account for the positioning of the groups in the molecule by defining superstructures. In the context of GC methods this invariably involves a consideration of second-order groups (as in the work of Constantinou and Gani). The aim of such approaches is to account for proximity effects (i.e., how the presence of a functional group influences the physicochemical properties of a neighboring group) and to distinguish the representation of isomers.

As has been mentioned earlier, homonuclear SAFT-based GC can be used successfully to describe the thermodynamic properties of a wide range of systems. Nevertheless, the predictive capabilities of these methodologies are typically limited to the prediction of the properties of pure compounds as no information on the nature of the unlike interactions between groups and/or molecules can be extracted from the pure-component data that is used in the characterization of the functional groups. The determination of the unlike interaction parameters, which can also be calculated in a GC fashion as described by Le Thi et al., typically relies on the use of experimental data for mixtures. Methods based on London's theory can be used to predict the values of the unlike interaction parameters from the physicochemical properties of the molecules within the SAFT formalism: this type of approach has been applied to mixtures of CO$_2$, methane, and ethane with $n$-alkanes, methanol with $n$-alkanes, and aqueous solutions of alkanes, aromatic hydrocarbons, and alcohols.

Group contribution approaches have also been developed within the framework of SAFT incorporating heteronuclear molecular models (and the corresponding theory). In heteronuclear approaches molecules are represented as chains of segments that are not necessarily identical. Within the Wertheim TPT1 methodology it is possible to formally develop, on the premise of a detailed heteronuclear molecular model, an equation of state maintaining a link between the nature of the different segments making up the molecules and the corresponding parameters. The first such studies of bonded heteronuclear models formed from hard-sphere segments included heteronuclear dimers, linear triatomic, and arbitrary polyatomic molecules. Within the context of a SAFT treatment, several variants have been reformu-
lated based on a heteronuclear tangent molecular model. Examples include the works of Banaszak et al.\textsuperscript{58,59}, Adidharma and Radosz\textsuperscript{60,61}, and McCabe and co-workers\textsuperscript{62,63} who implement a square-well (SW) potential to describe the group-group interactions, the work of Blas and Vega\textsuperscript{64} based on molecules formed from Lennard-Jones (LJ) segments, and the work of Gross et al.\textsuperscript{65}. As well as describing molecules with heteronuclear models, it is apparent that a model with fused (as opposed to tangent) segments is needed to provide accurate thermodynamic properties of fluids\textsuperscript{66,67}. Fused models are treated within the SAFT-$\gamma$ GC approach by representing the heteronuclear molecules as fused SW segments of different type with a shape factor to characterize the degree of overlap between the different groups. The method was shown be accurate in the description of the phase behavior of a wide range of pure components including the chemical families of $n$-alkanes, branched alkanes, alkylbenzenes, olefins, carboxylic acids, alcohols, ketones, and amines\textsuperscript{66,67}, and was used to study aqueous solutions of alkanes and alcohols\textsuperscript{68}, and systems of ionic liquids\textsuperscript{69}. Peng et al.\textsuperscript{70} have presented a closely related heteronuclear generalization of the SAFT-VR EoS based on the use of SW potentials. As discussed in a recent review\textsuperscript{28}, the two theories, which were developed independently, are essentially identical and the only distinguishing feature being the treatment of the contribution due to the formation of chain-like molecules from distinct functional groups. Within the SAFT-$\gamma$ EoS the contribution to the free energy due to the formation of the heteronuclear chain molecules is calculated based on a molecular average of the contact of the radial distribution function; in the case of the GC-SAFT-VR EoS of Peng et al. the contact values of the different monomeric segments are appropriately summed, in an attempt to retain the connectivity of the distinct groups that form the molecule. As shown in Appendix A both approaches provide an essentially equivalent description of the thermodynamic properties of heteronuclear diatomic and triatomic molecules. We should also mention that a similar treatment to that of Peng et al. has been followed in the recent work of Puduszyński and Domasiński\textsuperscript{71}. A key advantage of heteronuclear molecular approaches is that they can be used to predict the thermodynamic properties of mixtures based on unlike interaction parameters obtained from pure component data alone.

In the context of group contribution approaches based on heteronuclear molecular models only potentials comprising hard-repulsive cores (e.g., hard-sphere or square-well potentials) or of fixed form (e.g., Lennard-Jones potential) have been considered thus far to describe the interactions between the molecular segments. Both the SW and the LJ form of interactions provide a successful representation of the fluid-phase equilibria of a wide variety of systems, but are known to have limitations. Potentials with infinitely repulsive cores are limited in the range of properties that can be accurately described (e.g., in the simultaneous description of the fluid-phase behavior and thermodynamic derivative properties), due to the simplified representation of intermolecular forces\textsuperscript{72,73}. On the other hand, potentials of fixed form are limited to the type of compounds that can be accurately represented. As an example, the LJ potential cannot be applied to describe the intermolecular interactions of perfluorocarbons which are known to be characterized by much steeper repulsive interactions than what can be represented with the LJ form\textsuperscript{74}. The development of a SAFT-based group contribution approach that incorporates the versatile Mie intermolecular potential of variable attractive and repulsive range is a key objective of the current work. We will show that the resulting SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie methodology constitutes a thermodynamic approach with a high predictive capability that can be applied to a wide variety of systems of different chemical nature providing an accurate description of thermodynamic properties, ranging from fluid-phase behavior to second-order derivative properties, such as the speed of sound, heat capacity, or isothermal compressibility.

Over the past decade there has been increased interest in the performance of EoSs in the description of second-order derivative properties. These derivative properties are of interest from a practical perspective (e.g., the Joule-Thomson inversion curve in the Linde technique as a standard process in the petrochemical industry), and a precise description of such properties, for which the performance of traditional cubic EoSs has been shown to be relatively poor\textsuperscript{75-78}, is highly challenging from a theoretical perspective\textsuperscript{75}. An accurate representation of derivative properties is a stringent test even with a more sophisticated thermodynamic treatment such as SAFT.

One of the first detailed studies of the performance of SAFT-type methods in the description of such properties was carried out by Colina et al.\textsuperscript{79}. These authors examined the Joule-Thomson inversion curve obtained with the LJ-based soft-SAFT EoS\textsuperscript{80} and found that although good agreement could be achieved, the description was very sensitive to the values of the molecular parameters. In a subsequent study different versions of the SAFT EoS were compared, and deviations of up to 20% from the experimental values of the derivative properties were found\textsuperscript{81}. Llovell et al.\textsuperscript{82} have also presented a detailed analysis on the performance of the soft-SAFT EoS for the derivative properties of pure components and mixtures\textsuperscript{83} concluding that the accuracy of the description can range from 1 to 20% depending on the compound and the property of interest, with the highest deviations typically obtained for the speed of sound of long chain $n$-alkanes. In other work on smaller molecules where SW or LJ forms of the potential are implemented, the reported errors for the description of the derivative properties is found to be within 10\%\textsuperscript{84}. A number of studies has been carried out with the aim of improving the description of the derivative properties\textsuperscript{85,86,87}. However, the simultaneous description of fluid-phase behavior and derivative properties is found to come at a cost: Maghari et al.\textsuperscript{86,87} have employed an empirical temper-
ature dependence of the dispersion energy by means of a compound-specific constant, while Kontogeorgis and co-workers\textsuperscript{77} re-correlated the universal constants of the PC-SAFT EoS to include derivative property data.

Derivative properties have also been extensively studied with renormalization-group crossover approaches as their singular behavior at the critical point makes them especially interesting. Examples of such studies that relate to the general SAFT framework include the works of Llovell \textit{et al.}, Dias \textit{et al.}, Vilaseca \textit{et al.}, and Forte \textit{et al.}.\textsuperscript{91} Other crossover methods have also been applied to the study of derivative properties, such as the crossover-cubic EoS\textsuperscript{92}, and the crossover lattice equation of state\textsuperscript{93}.

An aim our current work is to demonstrate the impact of the intermolecular potential model that is employed within a SAFT GC treatment on the accuracy that can be achieved in the simultaneous description of phase behavior and second-order derivative thermodynamic properties. It is important to note that SAFT approaches based on segment-segment interactions treated with Mie potentials of variable attractive and repulsive ranges have been found to provide such a capability\textsuperscript{72,94}.

An improved version of the SAFT-VR Mie EoS has been recently developed\textsuperscript{73}, where several approximations inherent in the underlying theory of the EoS presented in 2006\textsuperscript{72,95} are revisited and the perturbation expansion (in the description of the free energy due to monomeric interactions) has been taken to third order to incorporate the effect of higher-order contributions. The SAFT-VR Mie approach has been shown to yield a very accurate methodology for the simultaneous description of the fluid-phase behavior and thermodynamic derivative properties of pure substances and mixtures. In view of its success in describing the thermodynamic properties of real systems, we now reformulate SAFT-VR Mie as a group contribution approach based on a heteronuclear model of fused segments interacting via Mie potentials of variable repulsive and attractive range: SAFT-\(\gamma\)-Mie. The goal is to develop a predictive group-contribution capability that provides accurate thermodynamic properties, including both fluid-phase behavior and second-order derivatives of the free energy, such as the heat capacity, compressibility, or speed of sound.

The remainder of the paper is organized in the following way. A brief discussion on the underlying molecular model and the intermolecular potential is first presented in Section II, followed by a detailed description of the SAFT-\(\gamma\) Mie group contribution approach (Section III) and the procedure adopted for the estimation of group parameters (Section IV). The parameters for the functional groups of two chemical families (\(n\)-alkanes and \(n\)-alkyl esters) are presented in Section VA and the performance of the theory in describing the fluid-phase behavior of pure compounds is thoroughly discussed. The predictive capabilities of the approach in the prediction of second-order thermodynamic derivatives of pure compounds and the fluid-phase behavior and excess properties of binary mixtures are demonstrated in Section VB.

### II. MOLECULAR MODEL AND INTERMOLECULAR POTENTIAL

As with other group contribution approaches the determination of molecular properties with the SAFT-\(\gamma\) Mie EoS is achieved by subdividing the molecules into distinct functional groups chosen to represent the various chemical moieties of a molecule, with appropriate summations over the contributions of all of the functional groups. A fused heteronuclear model is employed, where the molecules are constructed from distinct monomeric segments which in our case are taken to interact through Mie potentials of variable attractive and repulsive range. An example of the fused heteronuclear molecular model used to represent \(n\)-hexane is shown in figure 1, where the CH\(_2\) and CH\(_3\) functional groups that characterize \(n\)-hexane are highlighted. In line with physical expectations a fused heteronuclear united-atom representation has been shown to be more appropriate than a tangential heteronuclear model in the description of the phase equilibria of real compounds within a SAFT-\(\gamma\) treatment.\textsuperscript{66} A potential of the Mie form has been used for homonuclear models within the SAFT-VR framework by Davies \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{96} as well as in the original development of the SAFT-VR Mie EoS\textsuperscript{72,95}. The main difference between these two approaches is in the treatment of the radial distribution function (RDF) of the Mie fluid, which in the approach of Davies \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{96} was approximated as the RDF of the Sutherland-6 potential. However, it is known that the accuracy of the representation of the RDF of the monomer reference system is of great importance in the development of EoSs based on the first-order thermodynamic perturbation theory (TPT1) of Wertheim.\textsuperscript{47–50} In the latest incarnation of the SAFT-VR Mie EoS\textsuperscript{73} an accurate second-order expansion RDF is developed; we use the latter form of the RDF in our current work.

The Mie intermolecular potential\textsuperscript{37} is a generalized Lennard-Jones potential\textsuperscript{98–102}, where the attractive and the repulsive exponents which characterize the softness/hardness and the range of the interaction are allowed to vary freely. The form of the pair interaction energy between two segments \(k\) and \(l\) as a function of the intersegment distance \(r_{kl}\) is given by

\[
\Phi^{\text{Mie}}_{kl}(r_{kl}) = C_{kl} \varepsilon_{kl} \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma_{kl}}{r_{kl}} \right)^{\lambda^a_{kl}} - \left( \frac{\sigma_{kl}}{r_{kl}} \right)^{\lambda^r_{kl}} \right],
\]

where \(\sigma_{kl}\) is the segment diameter, \(\varepsilon_{kl}\) is the depth of the potential well, and \(\lambda^r_{kl}\) and \(\lambda^a_{kl}\) are the repulsive and attractive exponents of the intersegment interactions, respectively. The prefactor \(C_{kl}\) is a function of these exponents and ensures that the minimum of the interaction is \(-\varepsilon_{kl}\).
where $A_{\text{ideal}}$ is the free energy of the ideal gas, $A_{\text{mono}}$ is the term accounting for interactions between monomeric Mie segments, $A_{\text{chain}}$ is the contribution to the free energy for the formation of molecules from Mie segments, $A_{\text{assoc}}$ is the term accounting for the association interactions, $N$ is the total number of molecules, $k_B$ the Boltzmann constant, and $T$ the absolute temperature. In the following sections, the separate contributions to the free energy are discussed in detail.

### A. Ideal Term

The ideal contribution to the free energy of the mixture is given by

$$
\frac{A_{\text{ideal}}}{Nk_B T} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N_C} x_i \ln (\rho_i \Lambda_i^3) \right) - 1 ,
$$

where $x_i$ is the mole fraction of component $i$ in the mixture, $\rho_i = N_i/V$ is the number density of component $i$, $N_i$ being the number of molecules of component $i$. The summation in eq. (5) is over all of the components $N_C$ present in the mixture (and $N = \sum_{i=1}^{N_C} N_i$). The ideal free energy incorporates the effects of the translational, rotational and vibrational contributions to the kinetic energy implicitly in the thermal de Broglie volume, $\Lambda_i^3$.

### B. Monomer Term

The monomer term $A_{\text{mono}}$ describes the contribution of segment–segment interactions, taken to be of the Mie form in our case, to the total Helmholtz free energy of the system. This contribution is obtained with a Barker and Henderson perturbation theory\textsuperscript{104,105} to third order. The intermolecular potential of eq. (1) is first written as the sum of a reference repulsive contribution $\Phi_{0}(r_{kl})$, and a perturbation attractive contribution $\Phi_{1}(r_{kl})$:

$$
\Phi_{kl}^{\text{Mie}}(r_{kl}) = \Phi_{0}(r_{kl}) + \Phi_{1}(r_{kl}) ,
$$

where

$$
\Phi_{0}(r_{kl}) = \begin{cases} 
\Phi_{kl}^{\text{Mie}}(r_{kl}) & \text{if } r_{kl} \leq \sigma_{kl} , \\
0 & \text{if } r_{kl} > \sigma_{kl} ,
\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\Phi_{1}(r_{kl}) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } r_{kl} < \sigma_{kl} , \\
\Phi_{kl}^{\text{Mie}}(r_{kl}) & \text{if } r_{kl} \geq \sigma_{kl} .
\end{cases}
$$

III. SAFT-γ MIE

The total Helmholtz free energy $A$ of a mixture of heteronuclear associating molecules formed from Mie segments is written in dimensionless form as the sum of four separate contributions,

$$
\frac{A}{Nk_B T} = \frac{A_{\text{ideal}}}{Nk_B T} + \frac{A_{\text{mono}}}{Nk_B T} + \frac{A_{\text{chain}}}{Nk_B T} + \frac{A_{\text{assoc}}}{Nk_B T} ,
$$

where

$$
C_{kl} = \frac{\lambda_{kl}^{\text{r}}}{\lambda_{kl}^{\text{s}}} - \frac{\lambda_{kl}^{\text{s}}}{\lambda_{kl}^{\text{r}}} \left( \frac{\lambda_{kl}^{\text{r}}}{\lambda_{kl}^{\text{s}}} \right)^{\lambda_{kl}^{\text{s}}} .
$$

In common with other SAFT approaches, additional short-range association sites can be placed on the molecular segments to mimic the association interactions (hydrogen bonding and other short-range interactions) present in some polar compounds. More specifically the association interactions are modeled by means of square-well sites, so that the interaction between a site of type $a$ placed on a segment of type $k$ and a site of type $b$ placed on a segment of type $l$ is given by

$$
\Phi_{\text{HB}}^{kl,ab}(r_{kl,ab}) = \begin{cases} 
-\epsilon_{\text{HB}}^{kl,ab} & \text{if } r_{kl,ab} \leq r_{c}^{cl,ab} , \\
0 & \text{if } r_{kl,ab} > r_{c}^{cl,ab} ,
\end{cases}
$$

where $r_{kl,ab}$ is the center-center distance between sites $a$ and $b$, $-\epsilon_{\text{HB}}^{kl,ab}$ is the association energy, and $r_{c}^{cl,ab}$ the cut-off range of the interaction between sites $a$ and $b$ on groups $k$ and $l$, respectively. Each site is positioned at a distance $r_{kl,ab}^{cl}$ from the center of the segment on which it is placed.

We should also note that within the SAFT-γ treatment a group may comprise several identical segments\textsuperscript{67}. The number of identical segments forming a group is labeled $\nu^{k}$. To summarize, the parameters that fully describe a functional group $k$ are the number $\nu^{k}$ of identical segments that the group comprises, the diameter $\sigma_{kk}$ of the segments of the group, the energy of interaction $\epsilon_{kk}$ between the segments of the group, and the values $\lambda_{kk}^{\text{r}}$ and $\lambda_{kk}^{\text{s}}$ of the repulsive and attractive exponents, respectively, that determine the form of the interaction potential. The extent to which the segments of a given group $k$ contribute to the overall molecular properties is characterized with a key parameter of the methodology, the shape factor $S_k$. The interactions between groups of different types $k$ and $l$ are specified through the corresponding unlike parameters $\sigma_{kl}$, $\epsilon_{kl}$, $\lambda_{kl}^{\text{r}}$, and $\lambda_{kl}^{\text{s}}$. In the case of associating groups, the number $N_{\text{ST,k}}$ of the different site types, the number of sites of each type, e.g., $n_{k,a}$, $n_{k,b}$, ..., $n_{k,N_{\text{ST}},k}$, together with the position $r_{kl,ab}^{cl}$ of the site, and the energy $\epsilon_{\text{HB}}^{kl,ab}$, and range $r_{c}^{cl,ab}$ of the association between sites, of the same or different type, have to be determined.
Barker and Henderson\textsuperscript{106} have shown that the free energy of the system can then be obtained as a perturbation expansion in the inverse temperature relative to the reference system. In the case of soft-core potentials, such as the Mie potential, the reference system can be approximated as an equivalent system of hard spheres of effective (temperature dependent) diameter $d_{kk}$, since the properties of the reference system described by the potential in eq. (7) are not generally known. The high-temperature perturbation expansion can then be expressed as

$$\frac{A^{\text{mono.}}}{Nk_B T} = \frac{A^{\text{HS}}}{Nk_B T} + \frac{A_1}{Nk_B T} + \frac{A_2}{Nk_B T} + \frac{A_3}{Nk_B T},$$\hspace{1cm}(9)$$

where $A^{\text{HS}}$ is the free energy of the hard-sphere reference system of diameter $d_{kk}$. For a given group $k$, the effective hard-sphere diameter is obtained from\textsuperscript{105}

$$d_{kk} = \int_0^{\sigma_{kk}} \left[ 1 - \exp \left\{ - \frac{\Phi_{kk}^{\text{Mie}}(r_{kk})}{k_B T} \right\} \right] dr. \hspace{1cm}(10)$$

The integral of eq. (10) is obtained by means of the Gauss-Legendre quadrature, a technique previously employed by Paricand\textsuperscript{106} who showed that a 5-point Gauss-Legendre procedure is adequate for an accurate representation of the effective hard-sphere diameter $d_{kk}$.

The hard-sphere Helmholtz free energy of the mixture is given by\textsuperscript{66}

$$\frac{A^{\text{HS}}}{Nk_B T} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N_G} x_i \sum_{k=1}^{N_s} \nu_{k,i} \nu_k^* S_k \right) a^{\text{HS}},$$\hspace{1cm}(11)$$

where $N_G$ is the number of types of groups present, $\nu_{k,i}$ the number of occurrences of a group of type $k$ on component $i$, and $a^{\text{HS}}$ is the dimensionless contribution to the hard-sphere free energy per segment, obtained using the expression of Boublik\textsuperscript{107} and Mansoori et al.\textsuperscript{108}:

$$a^{\text{HS}} = \frac{6}{\pi \rho_a} \left[ \left( \frac{\zeta_3^3}{\zeta_3^2} - \zeta_0 \right) \ln(1 - \zeta_3) \right. \n + \left. 3 \frac{\zeta_1 \zeta_2}{1 - \zeta_3} \right. \n + \left. \frac{\zeta_3^3}{\zeta_3(1 - \zeta_3)^2} \right]. \hspace{1cm}(12)$$

In eq. (12), $\rho_a$ is the segment number density which is related to the molecular density $\rho$ through

$$\rho_a = \rho \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N_G} x_i \sum_{k=1}^{N_s} \nu_{k,i} \nu_k^* S_k \right),$$\hspace{1cm}(13)$$

and the moment densities $\zeta_m$ are expressed as

$$\zeta_m = \frac{\pi \rho_a}{6} \sum_{k=1}^{N_s} x_{s,k} d_{kk}^{m}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$\hspace{1cm}(14)$$

where the effective hard-sphere diameter $d_{kk}$ of the reference fluid (cf. eq. (10)) is used. The summation of eq. (14) is expressed in terms of the fraction $x_{s,k}$ of segments of a group of type $k$ in the mixture, which is defined as

$$x_{s,k} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_G} x_i \nu_{k,i} \nu_k^* S_k}{\sum_{i=1}^{N_G} \sum_{l=1}^{N_G} x_i \nu_{k,i} \nu_l^* S_l}. \hspace{1cm}(15)$$

After substituting the expression of the group fraction $x_{s,k}$ (eq. (15)) in the definition of the reduced densities (eq. (14)) and expressing the reference hard-sphere energy per segment as a function of the molecular density, one obtains the following expression for the hard-sphere Helmholtz free energy per molecule:

$$\frac{A^{\text{HS}}}{Nk_B T} = \frac{6}{\pi \rho} \left[ \left( \frac{\zeta_3^3}{\zeta_3^2} - \zeta_0 \right) \ln(1 - \zeta_3) \right. \n + \left. 3 \frac{\zeta_1 \zeta_2}{1 - \zeta_3} \right. \n + \left. \frac{\zeta_3^3}{\zeta_3(1 - \zeta_3)^2} \right], \hspace{1cm}(16)$$

which is identical to the form of the Helmholtz free energy of a hard-sphere mixture.

The first-order term $A_1$ of the perturbation expansion corresponds to the mean-attractive energy, and as for the hard-sphere term it is obtained as a summation of the contributions to the mean-attractive energy per segment $a_1$:

$$\frac{A_1}{Nk_B T} = \frac{1}{k_B T} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N_G} x_i \sum_{k=1}^{N_s} \nu_{k,i} \nu_k^* S_k \right) a_1.$$

The mean-attractive energy per segment is obtained by summing the pairwise interactions $a_{1,kl}$ between groups $k$ and $l$ over all types of functional groups present in the system,

$$a_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{N_G} x_{s,k} a_{1,kl},$$\hspace{1cm}(18)$$

where it can be shown\textsuperscript{73} that

$$a_{1,kl} = C_{kl} \left[ x_{0,kl}^{\text{Mie}} \left( a_{1,kl}^s \left( \rho_a; \Lambda_{kl} \right) + B_{kl}(\rho_a; \Lambda_{kl}) \right) \right. \n - \left. x_{0,kl}^{\text{Mie}} \left( a_{1,kl}^s \left( \rho_a; \Lambda_{kl} \right) + B_{kl}(\rho_a; \Lambda_{kl}) \right) \right]. \hspace{1cm}(19)$$

Here, $C_{kl}$ is the pre-factor of the potential (cf. eq. (2)), $x_{0,kl}$ is defined as $x_{0,kl} = \sigma_{kl}/\delta_{kl}$, and $B_{kl}$ is given by

$$B_{kl}(\rho_a; \Lambda_{kl}) = 2\pi \rho_a d_{kl}^{\text{Mie}} \left[ 1 - \frac{\zeta_s}{2(1 - \zeta_s)^3} I(\Lambda_{kl}) \right. \n - \left. 9 \zeta_2(1 + \zeta_3) 2(1 - \zeta_s)^3 J(\Lambda_{kl}) \right]. \hspace{1cm}(20)$$
This expression is written using a generalized notation where the range \( \lambda_{kl} \) indicates that the expression can be evaluated for both the repulsive \( \lambda_{kl} \) and the attractive \( \lambda_{kl} \) exponents. In eq. (20) \( \xi_x \) is the packing fraction of a hypothetical pure fluid of diameter \( d_x \), obtained by applying the van der Waals (vdW) one-fluid mixing rule

\[
d^2_x = \sum_{k=1}^{N_x} \sum_{l=1}^{N_g} x_{s,k} x_{s,l} d^2_{kl},
\]

so that:

\[
\xi_x = \frac{\pi \rho_s}{6} \sum_{k=1}^{N_x} \sum_{l=1}^{N_g} x_{s,k} x_{s,l} d^2_{kl}.
\]

The unlike effective hard-sphere diameter \( d_{kl} \) is obtained with an appropriate combining rule (cf. section III E). The quantities \( I(\lambda_{kl}) \) and \( J(\lambda_{kl}) \) are introduced in order to simplify the integration of the potential so as to obtain analytical expressions for the first-order perturbation term as discussed in detail in ref. [73]. Both \( I(\lambda_{kl}) \) and \( J(\lambda_{kl}) \) are functions of the parameters of the intermolecular interaction potential alone and are calculated (for either \( \lambda_{kl} \) or \( \lambda_{kl} \)) as

\[
I(\lambda_{kl}) = \int_1^{x_{0,kl}} \frac{x^2}{x^{\lambda_{kl}}} \, dx = 1 - \frac{(x_{0,kl})^{(3-\lambda_{kl})}}{(\lambda_{kl} - 3)},
\]

and

\[
J(\lambda_{kl}) = \int_1^{x_{0,kl}} \frac{x^3 - x^2}{x^{\lambda_{kl}}} \, dx = 1 - \frac{(x_{0,kl})^{(4-\lambda_{kl})} (\lambda_{kl} - 3) + (x_{0,kl})^{(3-\lambda_{kl})} (\lambda_{kl} - 4)}{(\lambda_{kl} - 3) (\lambda_{kl} - 4)}.
\]

The free energy \( a^r_{1,kl}(\rho_s; \lambda_{kl}) \) appearing in eq. (19) corresponds to the first-order perturbation term of a Sutherland fluid characterized by a hard-core diameter \( d_{kl} \), an interaction range exponent \( \lambda_{kl} \), and energy well-depth \( \epsilon_{kl} \). The exact evaluation of this term requires knowledge of the radial distribution function of the hard-sphere system over a range of separations. In order to derive an analytical expression in the same spirit as in the original SAFT-VR approach\textsuperscript{109,110}, the mean-value theorem is applied in order to integrate over the radial distribution function by mapping it to its value at contact \( d_{kl} \) at an effective packing fraction \( \xi_{eff} \). This procedure is shown to provide a description which is in excellent agreement with the evaluation of \( a^r_{1,kl}(\rho_s; \lambda_{kl}) \) by full quadrature using an integral equation theory for the radial distribution function\textsuperscript{73}. The \( a^r_{1,kl}(\rho_s; \lambda_{kl}) \) term is then evaluated as

\[
a^r_{1,kl}(\rho_s; \lambda_{kl}) = -2\pi \rho_s \left( \frac{\epsilon_{kl} d^2_{kl}}{\lambda_{kl} - 3} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\xi_{eff}}{\xi_x} \right)^2.
\]

The effective packing fraction has been parameterized\textsuperscript{73} for ranges of the exponents of \( 5 < \lambda_{kl} < 100 \) and can be expressed as a function of the vdW one-fluid packing fraction \( \xi_x \) as

\[
\xi_{eff} = c_1 kl \xi_x + c_2 kl \xi_x^2 + c_3 kl \xi_x^3 + c_4 kl \xi_x^4,
\]

where the coefficients \( (c_1 kl, c_2 kl, c_3 kl, c_4 kl) \) are obtained as functions of the range \( \lambda_{kl} \) as

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
c_1 kl \\
c_2 kl \\
c_3 kl \\
c_4 kl \\
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
0.81096 & 1.78888 & -37.578 & 92.284 \\
1.0205 & -19.341 & 151.26 & -463.50 \\
-1.9057 & 22.845 & -228.14 & 973.92 \\
1.0885 & -6.1962 & 106.98 & -677.64 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The second-order perturbation term of the high-temperature expansion (cf. eq. (9)) represents the fluctuation of the attractive energy in the system and is obtained as

\[
\frac{A_2}{N k_B T} = \left( \frac{1}{k_B T} \right)^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} \sum_{j=1}^{N_g} x_i x_j \nu_{ik} \nu_{jl} S_{kl} \right) x_2,
\]

where the fluctuation term per segment \( a_2 \) is obtained from the appropriate sum of the contributions of the pairwise interactions \( a_{2,kl} \) between groups \( k \) and \( l \) as

\[
a_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{N_c} \sum_{l=1}^{N_g} x_{s,k} x_{s,l} a_{2,kl}.
\]

The expression for \( a_2 \) is obtained based on the improved macroscopic compressibility approximation (MCA) proposed by Zhang et al.\textsuperscript{111} combined with a correction in the same spirit as that proposed by Paricaud\textsuperscript{106} for soft potentials. The final expression for \( a_{2,kl} \) is given by

\[
a_{2,kl} = \frac{1}{2} K^{HS} (1 + \chi_{kl}) \left[ a^r_{1,kl}(\rho_s; \lambda_{kl}) + B_{kl}(\rho_s; 2 \lambda_{kl}) \right] - \frac{2 x_{0,kl}}{x_{0,kl} + \lambda_{kl}} \left[ a^r_{1,kl}(\rho_s; \lambda_{kl} + \lambda_{kl}) + B_{kl}(\rho_s; \lambda_{kl} + \lambda_{kl}) \right] + x_{0,kl}^2 \left[ a^r_{1,kl}(\rho_s; 2 \lambda_{kl}) + B_{kl}(\rho_s; 2 \lambda_{kl}) \right],
\]

where \( K^{HS} \) is the isothermal compressibility of the hypothetical vdW one-fluid (vdW-1) system (with the packing fraction \( \xi_x \) cf. eq. (22)) and is obtained from the Carnahan and Starling hard-sphere expression\textsuperscript{112} as
A temperature dependence of the \(a_{3,kl}\) term is avoided by expressing it as a function of \(\zeta^*\), as opposed to the packing fraction \(\zeta\) which has an implicit temperature dependence through the effective diameter \(d_{kl}\).

The functions \(f_m\) for \(m = 1, \ldots, 6\) appearing in eqs. (32) and (38) are calculated as:

\[
f_m(\alpha_{kl}) = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{3} \phi_{m,n} a_{kl}^n}{1 + \sum_{n=4}^{6} \phi_{m,n} a_{kl}^{n-3}}, \quad \text{for } m = 1, \ldots, 6. \tag{39}
\]

The values of the coefficients \(\phi_{m,n}\) are listed in table I. The coefficients that appear in the modified MCA expression for the \(a_{2,kl}\) term, i.e., \(f_m\) for \(m = 1, 2, 3\), were obtained from an analysis of the Monte Carlo simulation data for the fluctuation term and pure-component vapor-liquid equilibrium data for selected Mie fluids \((\lambda^*,\lambda^*)^73\). The coefficients employed for the calculation of the \(a_{3,kl}\) term were obtained in order to best reproduce the simulation data for vapor-liquid equilibrium data and critical points of several Mie \((\lambda^*,\lambda^*)\) fluids as explained in ref. [73]. It is important to note that the coefficients included in the calculation of the \(a_{3,kl}\) are obtained from simulation data of the fluid-phase behavior of monomers, and as a consequence the final expression for the free energy incorporates higher-order terms of the perturbation expansion of Barker and Henderson\(^{104}\) (in fact, for the complete series), rather than just the third-order term. A retrospective analysis of the third-order perturbation term has shown that the calculations using the empirical expression of eq. (38) are in good agreement with evaluations of the equivalent term obtained from molecular simulation\(^{73}\), which indicates that the series is convergent and terms beyond the third-order make a reduced contribution to the Helmholtz free energy.

### C. Chain Term

The treatment of the contribution to the free energy due to the formation of molecular chains from fused Mie segments is undertaken following a formal TPT1 treatment, where the contact value of the radial distribution function at an effective average diameter is required\(^{16,67}\). An alternative treatment has been proposed\(^{70}\), and later employed in the work of Paduszyński and Domańska\(^{71}\), where the chain contribution is calculated using the segment-segment contact values of the RDF. In that way the connectivity of the molecule is retained in the theoretical description. However, as shown in Appendix A, both the RDF of an average diameter used in our current work and the segment-segment contact approach\(^{70,72}\) reproduce the thermodynamic behavior of tangentially bonded heteronuclear chains with similar accuracy in comparison with simulation data.
In order to apply the SAFT-γ treatment of the chain contribution, average molecular parameters ($\bar{\sigma}_{ii}$, $\bar{d}_{ii}$, $\bar{\epsilon}_{ii}$ and $\bar{\lambda}_{ii}$) for each molecular species $i$ in the mixture are introduced; this allows for the evaluation of the radial distribution function at a molecularly averaged effective distance. The averaging of the molecular size and energy parameters is independent of the composition of the mixture and makes use of the molecular fraction $z_{k,i}$ of a given group $k$ on a molecule $i$:

$$z_{k,i} = \frac{\nu_{k,i}^* S_k}{\sum_{l=1}^{N_C} \nu_{l,i}^* S_l}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

The quantity $z_{k,i}$ is not to be confused with the fraction $x_{n,k}$ of a given group $k$ in the mixture, which is composition dependent (cf. eq. (15)). The average molecular segment size $\bar{\sigma}_{ii}$ and the effective hard-sphere diameter $\bar{d}_{ii}$ are defined as

$$\bar{\sigma}_{ii} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_C} \sum_{l=1}^{N_C} z_{k,i} z_{l,i} \sigma_{kl}^3,$$ \hspace{1cm} (41)

and

$$\bar{d}_{ii} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_C} \sum_{l=1}^{N_C} z_{k,i} z_{l,i} d_{kl}^3.$$ \hspace{1cm} (42)

The averaging rule for the effective hard-sphere diameter $\bar{d}_{ii}$ is chosen such that the value of the vdW one-fluid packing fraction of a mixture of monomeric segments, as calculated in eq. (22), is the same when calculated for monomeric segments of average molecular size $\bar{d}_{ii}$, i.e.,

$$\zeta = \frac{\rho_0}{6} \sum_{k=1}^{N_C} \sum_{l=1}^{N_C} x_{k,x_{l}} d_{kl}^3,$$

$$= \frac{\rho_0}{6} \sum_{i=1}^{N_C} \sum_{j=1}^{N_C} x_i (\sum_{k=1}^{N_C} \nu_{l,k}^* S_k) x_j (\sum_{k=1}^{N_C} \nu_{l,k}^* S_k) d_{ij}^3.$$ \hspace{1cm} (43)

Other effective molecular parameters are obtained in the same way, so that the average interaction energy $\bar{\epsilon}_{ii}$ and exponents which characterize the range of the potential $\bar{\lambda}_{ii}$ are obtained as

$$\bar{\epsilon}_{ii} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_C} \sum_{l=1}^{N_C} z_{k,i} z_{l,i} \epsilon_{kl},$$ \hspace{1cm} (44)

and

$$\bar{\lambda}_{ii} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_C} \sum_{l=1}^{N_C} z_{k,i} z_{l,i} \lambda_{kl}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (45)

Relation (45) holds for both the repulsive, $\bar{\lambda}_{ii}$, and the attractive, $\bar{\lambda}_{ii}^a$, exponents.

The contribution to the free energy of the mixture due to the formation of a chain of tangent or fused segments is based on the first-order perturbation theory (TPT1) of Wertheim\cite{47,50,52} but using the effective molecular parameters:

$$A_{\text{chain}}^{\text{Mie}} = -x_i \sum_{k=1}^{N_C} (\nu_{k,i}^* S_k - 1) \ln g_{ii}^{\text{Mie}}(\bar{\sigma}_{ii}; \zeta),$$ \hspace{1cm} (46)

where $g_{ii}^{\text{Mie}}(\bar{\sigma}_{ii}; \zeta)$ is the value of the radial distribution function (RDF) of the hypothetical one-fluid Mie system at a packing fraction $\zeta$ evaluated at the effective diameter $\bar{\sigma}_{ii}$. An accurate estimate of the contact value of the RDF for a Mie fluid can be obtained by means of a second-order expansion:\cite{73:7}

$$g_{ii}^{\text{Mie}}(\bar{\sigma}_{ii}; \zeta) = g_0^{\text{HS}} (\bar{\sigma}_{ii}) \exp[\beta \epsilon_{ii} g_1 (\bar{\sigma}_{ii}) / g_0^{\text{HS}} (\bar{\sigma}_{ii})] + (\beta \epsilon_{ii})^2 g_2 (\bar{\sigma}_{ii}) / g_0^{\text{HS}} (\bar{\sigma}_{ii})].$$ \hspace{1cm} (47)

The zeroth-order term of the expansion, $g_0^{\text{HS}} (\bar{\sigma}_{ii})$, is the radial distribution function of a system of hard spheres of diameter $\bar{d}_{ii}$ evaluated at distance $\bar{\sigma}_{ii}$ (and packing fraction $\zeta$). As shown in ref. [73], an expression for this function can be obtained following Boublik’s recipe\cite{113},

$$g_0^{\text{HS}} (\bar{\sigma}_{ii}) = \exp(k_0 + k_1 \bar{x}_{0,ii} + k_2 \bar{x}_{0,0,ii}^2 + k_3 \bar{x}_{0,0,ii}^3),$$ \hspace{1cm} (48)

which is valid for $1 < \bar{x}_{0,ii} < \sqrt{2}$ (with $\bar{x}_{0,ii} = \bar{\sigma}_{ii} / \bar{d}_{ii}$). The coefficients $k_m$ in this expression are obtained as functions of the vdW one-fluid packing fraction $\zeta$ (cf. eq. (22)) of the hypothetical pure fluid as

$$k_0 = \ln(1 - \zeta) + \frac{42 \zeta^6 - 39 \zeta^4 + 9 \zeta^2 - 2 \zeta^4}{6(1 - \zeta)^3},$$ \hspace{1cm} (49)

$$k_1 = \frac{\zeta^4 + 6 \zeta^2 - 12 \zeta}{2(1 - \zeta)^3},$$ \hspace{1cm} (50)

and

$$k_2 = \frac{-3 \zeta^2}{8(1 - \zeta)^2},$$ \hspace{1cm} (51)

$$k_3 = \frac{-\zeta^4 + 3 \zeta^2 + 3 \zeta}{6(1 - \zeta)^3}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (52)
The first-order term \( g_1(\bar{\sigma}_{ii}) \) of the expansion for the contact value of the RDF (cf. eq. (47)) is approximated by its value at the contact distance \( \bar{d}_{ii} \), obtained by means of a self-consistent method for the calculation of pressure from the virial and the free energy routes\(^{73,109,110}\) so that

\[
g_1(\bar{\sigma}_{ii}) \approx g_1(\bar{d}_{ii}) = \frac{1}{2\pi \bar{\sigma}_{ii} \bar{d}_{ii}^3} \left[ 3 \bar{\rho}_{ii} J_{ii} \left( \frac{1 - \bar{\epsilon}_{ii}}{1 - \bar{\epsilon}_{ii}^*} \right)^{1/2} \right] \]

In this expression \( \bar{C}_{ii} \) is the prefactor of the effective molecular interaction potential of component \( i \) obtained using the values of the average repulsive and attractive exponents (\( \bar{\lambda}_{ii}^r \) and \( \bar{\lambda}_{ii}^a \)), i.e.,

\[
\bar{C}_{ii} = \frac{\bar{\lambda}_{ii}^r \bar{\lambda}_{ii}^a}{\bar{\lambda}_{ii}^r - \bar{\lambda}_{ii}^a} \left( \frac{\bar{\rho}_{ii}}{\bar{\lambda}_{ii}^a} \right)^{\frac{\bar{\rho}_{ii}}{\bar{\lambda}_{ii}^a}} \].

The quantity \( \bar{B}_{ii}(\bar{\rho}_{ii}; \bar{\lambda}_{ii}) \) is obtained using eq. (20) as

\[
\bar{B}_{ii}(\bar{\rho}_{ii}; \bar{\lambda}_{ii}) = 2\pi \bar{\rho}_{ii} \bar{d}_{ii}^3 \left[ \frac{1 - \bar{\epsilon}_{ii}}{1 - \bar{\epsilon}_{ii}^*} \left( \frac{\bar{\rho}_{ii}}{\bar{\lambda}_{ii}^a} \right)^{\frac{\bar{\rho}_{ii}}{\bar{\lambda}_{ii}^a}} \right] \]

but with \( \bar{J}(\bar{\lambda}_{ii}) \) and \( \bar{J}(\bar{\lambda}_{ii}) \) (cf. eq. (23) and (24)) now based on the effective exponents \( \bar{\lambda}_{ii}^r \) and \( \bar{\lambda}_{ii}^a \) and the ratio \( \bar{x}_{0,ii} = \bar{\sigma}_{ii}/\bar{d}_{ii} \).

The first-order term of the radial distribution function further depends on the density derivative of the effective first-order perturbation term \( \bar{a}_{1,ii} \) for the contribution of the monomeric interactions to the free energy per segment, which is calculated following expression (19) as

\[
\bar{a}_{1,ii}(\bar{\rho}_{ii}; \bar{\lambda}_{ii}) = \bar{C}_{ii} \left[ \bar{x}_{0,ii} \left( \bar{a}_{1,ii}(\bar{\rho}_{ii}; \bar{\lambda}_{ii}) + \bar{B}_{ii}(\bar{\rho}_{ii}; \bar{\lambda}_{ii}) \right) \right.
\]

The integrated energy of the Sutherland fluid \( \bar{a}_{s,ii}(\bar{\rho}_{ii}; \bar{\lambda}_{ii}) \) calculated for the effective molecular parameters is obtained as

\[
\bar{a}_{s,ii}(\bar{\rho}_{ii}; \bar{\lambda}_{ii}) = -2\pi \bar{\rho}_{ii} \left( \bar{\epsilon}_{ii} \bar{d}_{ii}^2 \right) \left( \frac{1 - \bar{\epsilon}_{ii}^*/\bar{\lambda}_{ii}^a}{\bar{\lambda}_{ii}^a - 3} \right)^2 \]

where the effective packing fraction \( \bar{\epsilon}_{ii}^eff \), used for the mapping of the radial distribution function at contact, is now calculated as

\[
\bar{\epsilon}_{ii}^eff = \bar{c}_{1,ii} \bar{c}_x + \bar{c}_{2,ii} \bar{c}_x^2 + \bar{c}_{3,ii} \bar{c}_x^3 + \bar{c}_{4,ii} \bar{c}_x^4 \].

The coefficients of eq. (57) are obtained based on the averaged values of the exponents of the potential \( \lambda_{ii} \):

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\bar{c}_{1,ii} \\
\bar{c}_{2,ii} \\
\bar{c}_{3,ii} \\
\bar{c}_{4,ii}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
0.81096 & 1.7888 & -37.578 & 92.284 \\
1.0205 & -19.341 & 151.26 & -463.50 \\
-1.9057 & 22.845 & -228.14 & 973.92 \\
1.0885 & -6.1962 & 106.98 & -677.64
\end{pmatrix}
\times \begin{pmatrix}
1/\lambda_{ii} \\
1/\lambda_{ii}^2 \\
1/\lambda_{ii}^3 \\
1/\lambda_{ii}^4
\end{pmatrix}. \tag{58}
\]

The second-order term \( g_2(\bar{\sigma}_{ii}) \) of eq. (47) is also approximated by its value at the effective diameter \( \bar{d}_{ii} \). It is obtained based on the expression of the macroscopic compressibility approximation and an empirical correction\(^{73}\):

\[
g_2(\bar{\sigma}_{ii}) \approx g_2(\bar{d}_{ii}) = (1 + \gamma_{c,ii}) g_{2MCA}(\bar{d}_{ii}) \]. \tag{59}

The correction factor \( \gamma_{c,ii} \) is given as a function of temperature, the one-fluid packing fraction \( \zeta_{s}^* \) (see eq. (35)), and the averaged values of the exponents of the potential as

\[
\gamma_{c,ii} = \phi_{T,0} - \tanh \{ [\phi_{T,1}(\phi_{T,2} - \alpha_{ii})] - 1 \} \times \zeta_{s}^* \theta \exp(\phi_{T,3} \zeta_{s}^* + \phi_{T,4} (\zeta_{s}^*)^2) \], \tag{60}

where \( \theta = \exp(\alpha_{ii}) - 1 \), the values of the coefficients \( \phi_{T,0}, \ldots, \phi_{T,4} \) are given in table I, and \( \alpha_{ii} \) is obtained from the molecular averaged exponents of the potential (cf. eq. 33), as

\[
\alpha_{ii} = \bar{C}_{ii} \left( \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}_{ii}^3} - \frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}_{ii}^4} \right). \tag{61}
\]

The second-order term from the macroscopic compressibility approximation \( g_{2MCA}(\bar{d}_{ii}) \) of eq. (59) is obtained based on the fluctuation term of the Sutherland potential as

\[
g_{2MCA}(\bar{d}_{ii}) = \frac{1}{2\pi \bar{\sigma}_{ii} \bar{d}_{ii}^3} \left[ 3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\rho}_{ii}} \left( \frac{\bar{a}_{2,ii}}{1 + \bar{\chi}_{ii}} \right) \right.
\]

\[
- \bar{\epsilon}_{ii} K \bar{C}_{ii} \frac{\bar{\rho}_{ii}}{\bar{\lambda}_{ii}^2} \bar{\lambda}_{ii}^2 \bar{a}_{s,ii}(\bar{\rho}_{ii}; \bar{\lambda}_{ii}) + \bar{B}(\bar{\rho}_{ii}; 2\bar{\lambda}_{ii})
\]

\[
+ \bar{\epsilon}_{ii} K \bar{C}_{ii} (\bar{\lambda}_{ii} - \bar{\lambda}_{ii}) \bar{a}_{s,ii}(\bar{\rho}_{ii}; \bar{\lambda}_{ii}) + \bar{B}(\bar{\rho}_{ii}; 2\bar{\lambda}_{ii})
\]

\[
\left. \bar{a}_{s,ii}(\bar{\rho}_{ii}; \bar{\lambda}_{ii}) + \bar{B}(\bar{\rho}_{ii}; 2\bar{\lambda}_{ii}) \right]. \tag{62}
\]
empirical correction to the MCA expression is based on the molecular parameters:
\[
\bar{x}_{ij} = f_1(\alpha_{ii})\zeta_x\bar{x}_{0,ii}^3 + f_2(\alpha_{ii})(\zeta_x\bar{x}_{0,ii}^3)^5 + f_3(\alpha_{ii})(\zeta_x\bar{x}_{0,ii}^3)^8 ,
\]
where the coefficients \(f_1, f_2, f_3\) are obtained from eq. (39) by using \(\alpha_{ii}\) instead of \(\alpha_{kl}\).

D. Association Term

The contribution to the Helmholtz free energy arising from the association of molecules due to the bonding sites is obtained as\(^{47-50,52,53}\)

\[
\frac{A_{\text{assoc}}}{Nk_B T} = \frac{1}{N_c N_h N_{ST,I}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_h} \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} \sum_{a=1}^{N_{ST,k}} n_{i,k,a} \left( \ln X_{i,k,a} + 1 - \frac{X_{i,k,a}}{2} \right) ,
\]

where \(N_{ST,k}\) is the total number of site types on a given group \(k\), and \(n_{i,k,a}\) the number of sites of type \(a\) on group \(k\). \(X_{i,k,a}\) represents the fraction of molecules of component \(i\) that are not bonded at a site of type \(a\) on group \(k\). It is obtained from the solution of the mass action equation as\(^{50,53,66}\)

\[
X_{i,k,a} = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^{N_c} \sum_{b=1}^{N_h} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{ST,l}} \rho_{X_{j,l,b}} X_{j,l,b} \Delta_{ij,kl,ab}} .
\]

Here, \(\Delta_{ij,kl,ab}\) characterizes the association strength between a site of type \(a\) on a group of type \(k\) of component \(i\) and a site of type \(b\) on a group of type \(l\) of component \(j\). By introducing the square-well bonding potential and carrying out an angle average, the following expression is obtained\(^{53}\):

\[
\Delta_{ij,kl,ab} = \bar{\sigma}_{ij}^3 F_{kl,ab} I_{kl,ab} ,
\]

where \(F_{kl,ab} = \exp(\frac{4\epsilon_{kl,ab}^\text{HS}}{k_B T}) - 1\), and \(I_{kl,ab}\) is a dimensionless integral defined as\(^{73}\)

\[
I_{kl,ab} = \frac{\pi}{6\bar{\sigma}_{ij}^3 r_{kl,ab}} \int_{(2r_{kl,ab} - r_{kl,ab} - r)^2}^{(2r_{kl,ab} + r_{kl,ab} - r)^2} g_{\text{Mie}}(r) r dr .
\]

The determination of the integral in eq. (67) requires an expression for the RDF of the reference Mie fluid over a range of distances. For a detailed discussion of the various options on how this can be calculated see ref. [73 and 114]. For example, the RDF can be approximated based on a Barker-Henderson zeroth-order perturbation approach, so that \(g_{\text{Mie}}(r) \approx g_{\text{HS}}^0(r)\). After assuming that \(r^3 g_{\text{HS}}^0(r) \approx d^3 g_{\text{HS}}(d)\), a compact analytical form for the association contribution can be obtained, so that the integral of eq. (67) can be expressed as

\[
I_{kl,ab} \approx g_d^\text{HS}(d_{ij}) K_{ij,kl,ab} .
\]

The bonding volume \(K_{ij,kl,ab}\) is obtained from\(^{73}\)

\[
K_{ij,kl,ab} = \frac{\pi d_{ij}^2}{18\bar{\sigma}_{ij}^3 r_{kl,ab}^3} \ln((r_{kl,ab}^3 + 2r_{kl,ab}^3 d_{ij} + \bar{\sigma}_{ij}^3)/(2r_{kl,ab}))
\]

\[
\times (6r_{kl,ab}^3 + 18r_{kl,ab}^3 2r_{kl,ab}^3 - 24r_{kl,ab}^3)
\]

\[
+ (r_{kl,ab}^3 + 2r_{kl,ab}^3 d_{ij} + \bar{\sigma}_{ij}^3)/(2r_{kl,ab}^3 - 5r_{kl,ab}^3 r_{kl,ab}^3)
\]

\[
- 7r_{kl,ab}^3 d_{ij} - 8r_{kl,ab}^3 + 2r_{kl,ab}^3 d_{ij} + d_{ij}^3)
\]

(69)

The value of the radial distribution function at contact, \(g_d^\text{HS}(d_{ij})\), is readily available from the expression of Boublik\(^{107}\)

\[
g_d^\text{HS}(d_{ij}) = \frac{1}{1 - \zeta_3} + 3\left(\frac{d_{ij}}{d_{ii} + d_{jj}}\right)\left(\frac{\zeta_2}{\zeta_3}\right)
\]

\[
+ 2\left(\frac{d_{ij}}{d_{ii} + d_{jj}}\right)^2\left(\frac{\zeta_2}{1 - \zeta_3}\right) .
\]

E. Combining Rules

Combining rules for the unlike intermolecular parameters are commonly employed within equations of state to facilitate the study of binary and multicomponent systems. In the specific case of the methodology presented here, the interactions between groups of different kind also contribute to the description of pure components when represented with a heteronuclear molecular model. The unlike segment diameter is obtained from a simple arithmetic mean (Lorentz rule\(^{115}\)) as

\[
\sigma_{kl} = \frac{\sigma_{kk} + \sigma_{ll}}{2} ,
\]

and the same combining rule is applied for the calculation of the unlike effective hard-sphere diameter, so that \(d_{kl}\) is calculated as

\[
d_{kl} = \frac{d_{kk} + d_{ll}}{2} .
\]

Bearing in mind the definition of the Barker and Henderson hard-sphere diameter (cf. eq. (10)), a more rigorous way to obtain \(d_{kl}\) would be to integrate the potential of the unlike interaction between groups \(k\) and \(l\) as
\[
\begin{align*}
d_{kl} &= \int_0^{\sigma_{kl}} \left[ 1 - \exp \left\{ -\frac{\Phi_{kl}^{\text{Mie}}(r_{kl})}{\bar{\kappa}_T^2} \right\} \right] \, dr.
\end{align*}
\]

However, such an approach would require extensive numerical calculations. Moreover, in agreement with earlier findings, the approximation of \(d_{kl}\) as an arithmetic mean is found to have minimal impact on the performance of the method, as judged by the quality of the description of the properties of real compounds.

The unlike dispersion energy \(\epsilon_{kl}\) between groups \(k\) and \(l\), can be obtained by applying an augmented geometric mean, which also accounts for the asymmetry in size:

\[
\epsilon_{kl} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{kk}^2 \sigma_{ll}^2}{\sigma_{kl}^2}} \sqrt{\epsilon_{kk} \epsilon_{ll}}.
\]

The combining rule for the repulsive \(\lambda_{kl}\) and the attractive \(\lambda_{kl}^a\) exponents of the unlike interaction is obtained by invoking the geometric mean for the integrated van der Waals energy of a Sutherland fluid,

\[
\alpha_{\text{vdW};kl}^a = 2\pi \epsilon_{kl} \sigma_{kl}^3 \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{kl} - 3} \right),
\]

and by imposing the Berthelot condition, \(\alpha_{\text{vdW};kl}^a = \sqrt{\alpha_{\text{vdW};kk}^a \alpha_{\text{vdW};ll}^a}\), which results in

\[
\lambda_{kl} = 3 + \sqrt{(\lambda_{kk} - 3)(\lambda_{ll} - 3)}.
\]

The combining rule of eq. (74) provides a first estimate of the value of the unlike dispersion energy. It is known, however, that real systems often exhibit large deviations from simple or augmented combining rules, especially when the molecules comprise chemically different components and groups. As will be discussed in the following sections, in practice the unlike dispersion energy \(\epsilon_{kl}\) often has to be treated as an adjustable parameter.

In the case of associating compounds, the unlike range of the association site-site interaction is obtained as

\[
r_{kl,ab}^c = r_{kk,aa}^c + r_{ll,bb}^c.
\]

The unlike value of the association energy can be obtained by means of a simple geometric mean:

\[
\epsilon_{kl,ab}^{\text{HB}} = \sqrt{\epsilon_{kk,aa}^{\text{HB}} \epsilon_{ll,bb}^{\text{HB}}}.
\]

However, typically, \(\epsilon_{kl,ab}^{\text{HB}}\) is treated as an adjustable parameter, and is obtained by regression to experimental data.

A number of combining rules for the average molecular parameters required for the calculation of the chain and association contributions to the free energy also need to be considered. The unlike values for the effective segment size, \(\sigma_{ij}\) and \(d_{ij}\), dispersion energy, \(\epsilon_{ij}\), and repulsive and attractive exponents of the potential, \(\lambda_{ij}\), are obtained from

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{\sigma}_{ij} &= \frac{\sigma_{ii} + \sigma_{jj}}{2}, \\
\bar{d}_{ij} &= \frac{d_{ii} + d_{jj}}{2}, \\
\bar{\epsilon}_{ij} &= \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{ii}^3 \sigma_{jj}^3}{\sigma_{ij}^3}} \sqrt{\epsilon_{ii} \epsilon_{jj}}, \\
\lambda_{ij} &= 3 + \sqrt{(\lambda_{ii} - 3)(\lambda_{jj} - 3)}.
\end{align*}
\]

Once the full functional form of the Helmholtz free energy has been specified other properties, such as the pressure \(p\), chemical potential (activity) of each component \(\mu_i\), internal energy \(u_i\), enthalpy \(h\), entropy \(S\), Gibbs free energy \(G\), and second-order derivative properties including the isochoric \(c_V\) and isobaric \(c_p\) heat capacities, the speed of sound \(u_s\), isothermal compressibility \(k_T\), thermal expansion coefficient \(\alpha\), and Joule-Thomson coefficient \(\mu_{JT}\) can be obtained algebraically from the standard thermodynamic relations. In order to determine the fluid-phase equilibria the conditions of thermal, mechanical, and chemical equilibria are solved by ensuring that the temperature, pressure, and chemical potential of each component are equal in each phase and that the state corresponds to a global minimum in the Gibbs free energy (e.g., see ref. [117]).

### IV. ESTIMATION OF GROUP PARAMETERS

The parameters that describe the contribution of each functional group to the molecular properties are typically estimated from appropriate experimental data. In our SAFT-\(\gamma\) Mie EoS, molecules are modeled as heteronuclear chains formed from distinct functional (chemical) groups, and each non-associating group is fully characterized by the following set of parameters (cf. section II for more details): the number of segments \(N\), the shape factor \(S\), the segment diameter \(\sigma_{kk}\), the energy of dispersion \(\epsilon_{kk}\), and the repulsive \(\lambda_{kk}\) and attractive \(\lambda_{kk}^a\) exponents of the potential. The number of segments comprising a group is determined by examining the different realistic possibilities with a trial-and-error approach, and the rest of the group parameters are estimated from appropriate experimental data.
As discussed in the previous section, the unlike segment diameter, \( \sigma_{lkl} \), and the values of the exponents of the unlike interaction potential, \( \lambda_{lkl} \) and \( \lambda_{kl} \), are calculated by means of the combining rules given in eqs. (71) and (76), respectively. The value of the unlike dispersion energy, \( \epsilon_{lkl} \), is typically treated as an adjustable parameter and is therefore obtained by regression to experimental data. In many cases, the value of the unlike interaction energy can be estimated from pure-component data as will be shown in the following section. This is a unique characteristic of heteronuclear models; it can lead to accurate predictions for properties of mixtures from pure component data alone. Mixture data are also used where necessary to estimate the unlike energy, as was demonstrated in previous work.

The group parameters are estimated from experimental data for a series of pure substances belonging to a given chemical family. In most group contribution approaches the parameter estimation procedure first considers the \( n \)-alkanes series, where the parameters for the methyl (CH\(_3\)) and the methylene (CH\(_2\)) groups are obtained. Once the parameters for these groups have been determined, they are transferred to the representation of compounds with additional functional groups based on experimental data for the corresponding homologous series, e.g., the \( n \)-alkyl esters for the carboxylate (COO) group. The parameters that describe each functional group in the SAFT-\( \gamma \) Mie EoS are obtained by regression to pure-component vapor-liquid equilibrium data (i.e., vapor pressures, \( p_{vap} \), and saturated liquid densities, \( \rho_{sat} \)), as well as single-phase densities, \( \rho_{liq}(T, p) \), at given temperatures and pressures. The temperature range commonly used for vapor-liquid equilibrium data is between the triple point and 0.9 \( T_{crit} \), with \( T_{crit} \) representing the experimental critical temperature of the substance under study. Experimental data closer than 0.9 \( T_{crit} \) are not included in the parameter estimation in our current work, despite the improved description of the near-critical region with the novel methodology. Since the equation of state is a classical theory it is characterized by mean-field critical exponents and does not allow one to reproduce the density fluctuations in the critical region; including data closer to the critical point would bias the parameters towards a more accurate representation of the critical point and, therefore result in a loss of their physical significance. This would in turn make the extrapolation to other thermodynamic conditions less reliable. For the single-phase density, experimental data at high temperatures and pressures in the liquid and supercritical regions are typically used. These data are included when available as they provide information on the compressibility of the fluid and can help achieve an accurate prediction of derivative thermodynamic properties. The objective function used in parameter estimation is given by

\[
\min \Omega f_{obj} = \frac{w_1}{N_{vap}} \sum_{u=1}^{N_{vap}} \left[ \frac{p_{exp}(T_u) - p_{calc}(T_u; \Omega)}{p_{vap}(T_u)} \right]^2 + \frac{w_2}{N_{sat}} \sum_{v=1}^{N_{sat}} \left[ \frac{\rho_{exp}(T_v) - \rho_{calc}(T_v; \Omega)}{\rho_{sat}(T_v)} \right]^2 + \frac{w_3}{N_{liq}} \sum_{y=1}^{N_{liq}} \left[ \frac{\rho_{liq}(T_y, p_y) - \rho_{liq}(T_y, p_y; \Omega)}{\rho_{liq}(T_y, p_y)} \right]^2 ,
\]

where \( \Omega \) denotes the vector of the parameters to be estimated, the indices \( u, v, \) and \( y \) allow for the summation over all experimental (exp) points for each property, denoted as \( N_{vap}, N_{sat}, \) and \( N_{liq} \) for the vapor pressure, saturated liquid density, and single-phase density, respectively. The desired level of accuracy for each calculated (calc) property can be adjusted by means of a weighting factor: \( w_1 \) for \( p_{vap} \), \( w_2 \) for \( \rho_{sat} \) and \( w_3 \) for \( \rho_{liq} \). The estimations are performed using the commercial software package gPROMS\textsuperscript{®}. Multiple starting points are used as input to local optimizations, carried out using a gradient-based successive quadratic programming algorithm\textsuperscript{118}.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. SAFT-\( \gamma \) Mie group parameters

In the first instance models for the characterization of the functional groups of two chemical families are developed within the framework of SAFT-\( \gamma \) Mie: the \( n \)-alkanes and \( n \)-alkyl esters. In the following sections, the definitions of the functional groups for each chemical family, together with the detailed results of the regression to the experimental data are presented. The metric used in our work to quantify the accuracy of the theoretical description of the experimental data for a property \( R \) of a given compound is the percentage average absolute deviation (\%AAD) defined as:

\[
\%AADR = \frac{1}{N_R} \sum_{i=1}^{N_R} \left| \frac{R_i^{exp} - R_i^{calc}}{R_i^{exp}} \right| ,
\]

where \( N_R \) is the number of data points of a property, \( R_i^{exp} \) the experimental value, and \( R_i^{calc} \) the calculated value for the same property, at the conditions of the \( i \)th experimental point.

1. \( n \)-Alkanes

The chemical family of the \( n \)-alkanes is considered first in order to obtain the model parameters that describe the methyl (CH\(_3\)) and methylene (CH\(_2\)) functional groups. The parameters estimated for these functional groups,
summarized in tables II and III, are estimated from experimental data for the vapor pressure, saturated liquid density, and single-phase density of linear alkanes from ethane to n-decane. The number of data points and the temperature range (and pressure range, for the single-phase density) for each compound and property considered are reported in table IV.

The quality of the description of the pure-component vapor-liquid equilibria of the n-alkanes included in the regression is depicted for the coexistence densities in figure 2(a) and for the vapor pressure in figure 2(b). From the figures it can be seen that the SAFT-γ Mie group contribution approach allows for an excellent description of the phase behavior of the correlated compounds, from ethane to n-decane. The deviations (%AAD) from the experimental data for the vapor pressure and saturated liquid density are summarized in table IV. The average deviation for all correlated n-alkanes was found to be 1.55% for \( p_{\text{vap}} \) and 0.59% for \( \rho_{\text{sat}} \) and shows a significant improvement when compared to the results obtained by with the SAFT-γ approach for models based on the square-well potential (3.98% for \( p_{\text{vap}} \) and 0.57% for \( \rho_{\text{sat}} \)) for the vapor pressure, with a similar performance on saturated densities\(^6\). The level of accuracy of the SAFT-γ Mie approach constitutes a clear improvement in the description of the pure-component phase behavior of the n-alkanes when compared to other group contribution approaches within SAFT. Tamouza et al.\(^{31}\) have reported average deviations of 2.63% for the vapor pressure and 2.29% for the saturated liquid density with their homonuclear GC approach based on the SAFT-0\(^{119}\), and 1.66% and 2.23% with that based on SAFT-VR SW\(^{109,110}\); in the case of the hetero GC-SAFT-VR of Peng et al.\(^{70}\) deviations of 5.95% and 3.07% are reported for the vapor pressure and saturated liquid density, respectively. The SAFT-γ Mie predictions compare favorably with the hs PC-SAFT\(^{71}\) deviations of 0.96% for \( p_{\text{vap}} \) and 0.56% for \( \rho_{\text{sat}} \), which are obtained based on a smaller temperature range, namely from 0.5 – 0.9 \( T_{\text{crit}} \). It should be noted, however, that though a comparison of the deviations of different methods provides a measure of the accuracy of each approach, these are based on different experimental data and temperature ranges, as well as, in some cases, different sets of compounds.

The deviations reported here are based on data within a temperature range up to 0.9 \( T_{\text{crit}} \) and as such fail to express the improved performance of the SAFT-γ Mie approach in the description of the near-critical region of pure substances. The improvement can be appreciated when comparing graphically the vapor-liquid equilibria of selected n-alkanes obtained with our SAFT-γ Mie approach and the SAFT-γ SW\(^{66}\) (cf. figure 3). From the comparison, it can be clearly seen that the SAFT-γ Mie EoS provides a significantly improved description of the near-critical region of systems of varying chain length, decreasing the overshoot of the critical point whilst retaining a highly accurate description of the fluid-phase behavior at temperatures far from the critical point. We reiterate that the approach, being an analytical mean-field type theory, fails to reproduce the critical scaling observed experimentally. An accurate representation of these critical properties would require the application of a renormalization group treatment, e.g., as applied to the SAFT-VR EoS\(^{91,120,121}\).

The description of the n-alkane single-phase densities \( \rho_{\text{liq}} \) (cf. table IV) is also excellent, with an average deviation for all compounds of 0.50%. When examining each compound it can be seen that the highest deviations are observed for ethane, as was also observed for the SAFT-γ SW approach\(^{66}\). One may argue that ethane should not be included in the parameter estimation procedure, since ethane does not contain a CH\(_3\)-CH\(_2\) interaction, while all longer n-alkanes do. Furthermore, group contribution techniques are generally not well suited to the study of small molecules as proximity effects are neglected. With this in mind the procedure was repeated omitting the data for ethane and the inclusion of these experimental data was not found to bias the parameters of the groups in a way that would result in a sub-optimal description for the higher n-alkanes. When a more accurate model is needed, ethane can be described as a separate functional group (as would be the case for, e.g., water, methanol, etc.). This strategy has been followed within the hetero GC-SAFT-VR of Peng et al.\(^{70}\) and the GC-SAFT of Tamouza et al.\(^{31}\) where n-propane was the first molecule considered for the estimation of the parameters for the n-alkane series.

As has already been mentioned, a particular advantage of the Mie potential is that the detailed form of the pair interaction potential between segments can be modified by adjusting the values of the repulsive and attractive exponents. This allows one to capture the finer features of the interaction which are important in providing an accurate description of the thermodynamic derivative properties. For the parameters that determine the form of the interaction potential between the CH\(_3\) and CH\(_2\) functional groups, the value of the attractive exponent is fixed to \( \lambda_{\text{exp}} = 6 \) for both groups. This choice is based on a consideration of the chemical nature of the groups, which, given their non-polarity, are expected to interact via London dispersion forces which are characterized by an attractive exponent of six\(^31,122\). The values of the repulsive exponents estimated from the experimental data for the n-alkanes are found to be \( \lambda_{\text{CH}_3,\text{CH}_3} = 15.050 \) and \( \lambda_{\text{CH}_2,\text{CH}_2} = 19.871 \). Potoff and Bernard-Brunel\(^{123}\) have developed a force field for the methyl and methylene groups based on the Mie potential for molecular simulation of the fluid-phase behavior of n-alkanes, finding that a good description can be obtained with values of the repulsive exponent of 16 for both groups. The values of the shape factors for the CH\(_3\) and CH\(_2\) groups are also determined from the parameter estimation procedure. The optimal values obtained are \( S_{\text{CH}_3} = 0.5725 \) and \( S_{\text{CH}_2} = 0.2293 \), which are found to be quite different from those used in previous work with the square-well potential\(^{66}\). In the previous study, the shape factors for the
CH₃ and CH₂ groups were fixed to 1/3 and 2/3, respectively, as these yield the molecular aspect ratio typically used for the n-alkanes in a homonuclear model. The segment size of the CH₃ group is found to be smaller than the size of the CH₂ group (4.077 Å compared to 4.880 Å), which is in line with the smaller value of the shape factor for the CH₂ group. Comparing the values of the segment diameters to the ones obtained with SAFT-γ SW⁵⁶, one finds a significant increase; this will be discussed in more detail when the predictions of the theory for longer n-alkanes and in the polyethylene polymer limit are examined in section V B 1.

2. n-Alkyl esters

Having determined the parameters for the CH₃ and CH₂ functional groups, these can be transferred in the description of other homologous series of compounds to determine the parameters of additional functional groups. In the current work we develop parameters for the COO group, and its interactions with the CH₃ and CH₂ groups, based on experimental data for selected n-alkyl acetates. A number of different GC schemes have been suggested to represent these compounds: in the modified UNIFAC (Dortmund) approach an acetyl (CH₃COO) functional group is employed in the case of SAFT-based group contribution methods, a COO group is used in the work of Thi et al.³⁴ with the homonuclear GC-SAFT approach, and Peng et al.⁷⁰ employ a methoxyl (CH₃O) group (in conjunction with a carbonyl (C=O) group used for the ketones) in the framework of the hetero GC-SAFT-VR approach. In our work the COO group is treated as comprising one segment (νᵣ₄= 1) and is modeled as non-associating, as esters are not expected to self-associate. Association sites will have to be included in order to capture the unlike association in some polar mixtures containing esters, including, e.g., water or alkanols (cf. the work of Kleiner and Sadowski⁵⁸). Here, we treat the polarity of the esters implicitly through the variable interaction range of the potential. A more explicit treatment of the polar nature of these molecules can be followed by including an additional polar term in the free energy, as in the work of Thi et al.³⁴, or alternatively by the addition of two association sites.

In our case the value of the attractive exponent is fixed to the London dimerization energy, as in the work of Thi et al. In this approach the COO functional group is treated separately (e.g., methanol, methane, etc.). The specifics of the temperature and pressure ranges, the number of points for each property, as well as the deviations for each property and each compound are given in table V; the corresponding estimated parameters are presented in tables II and III. In addition to the pure-component data, experimental data for the enthalpies of mixing of two binary n-alkyl acetate+n-alkane mixtures have been included in the parameter estimation: ethyl acetate+n-hexane, and n-butyl acetate+n-octane. The corresponding objective function in this case is as given in eq. (83) with an additional term accounting for the deviation between the experimental and the calculated value of the enthalpy of mixing for a given composition of each mixture:

$$\min_{\Omega} f_{\text{obj}} = \frac{w_1}{N_{\text{pov}}} \sum_{u=1}^{N_{\text{pov}}} \left[ \frac{\rho_{\text{exp}}(T_u) - \rho_{\text{calc}}(T_u; \Omega)}{\rho_{\text{exp}}(T_u)} \right]^2$$

$$+ \frac{w_2}{N_{\text{pov}}} \sum_{y=1}^{N_{\text{pov}}} \left[ \frac{\rho_{\text{exp}}(T_v) - \rho_{\text{calc}}(T_v; \Omega)}{\rho_{\text{exp}}(T_v)} \right]^2$$

$$+ \frac{w_3}{N_{\text{pov}}} \sum_{z=1}^{N_{\text{pov}}} \left[ \frac{\rho_{\text{exp}}(T_w) - \rho_{\text{calc}}(T_w; \Omega)}{\rho_{\text{exp}}(T_w)} \right]^2$$

$$+ \frac{w_4}{N_{\text{pov}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{pov}}} \left[ \frac{h_{\text{E,exp}}(T_{u, \rho_{\text{exp}}, \phi_{\text{exp}}}) - h_{\text{E,calc}}(T_{u, \rho_{\text{calc}}, \phi_{\text{calc}}}; \Omega)}{h_{\text{E,exp}}(T_{u, \rho_{\text{exp}}, \phi_{\text{exp}}})} \right]^2$$

Experimental data for selected mixtures are included in the estimation procedure so as to have a more complete characterization of the unlike interactions between the alkyl and carboxylate functional groups, to ensure an accurate description of the highly non-ideal phase behavior that these mixtures exhibit, and to increase the statistical significance of the interaction parameters.

A comparison between the SAFT-γ Mie description and the experimental data is given for the saturated liquid densities in figure 4(a) and for the vapor pressures in figure 4(b). The overall deviations (%AAD) for the correlated compounds (cf. table V) are 0.83% for ρᵥ, 0.24% for ρᵥ sat, and 0.31% for ρᵥ vap. It is evident from the reported deviations and the figures that the SAFT-γ Mie approach leads to an excellent description of the coexistence and single-phase properties of the compounds considered in the parameter estimation procedure. Thi et al.³⁴ have applied three versions of SAFT (the SAFT-0³⁹, SAFT-VR¹⁰⁹,¹¹⁰, and PC-SAFT¹²⁰) combined with a polar term and parameterized the COO functional group (for all esters other than formates, HCOOR). The parameters for the COO group were obtained based on a selection of acetates, propanoates, butanoates, and other esters. For the same compounds as the ones used in the regression of the SAFT-γ Mie parameters for the COO group presented in our current work, the reported deviations by Thi et al. were 4.76%, 3.05%, and 4.20% for ρᵥ vap and 1.62%, 1.72%, and 2.87% for ρᵥ sat with the GC-SAFT based on SAFT-0, SAFT-VR, and PC-SAFT, respectively. The performance of the hetero GC-SAFT-VR of Peng et al.⁷⁰, where alkyl acetates are modeled by means of separate CH₃O and C=O groups is somewhat
less accurate with average deviations (from n-propyl to n-heptyl acetate) of 7.29% for $\rho_{\text{cap}}$ and 2.14% for $\rho_{\text{sat}}$. Although a direct comparison with the other methods is difficult due to the differences in the experimental data used, the reported deviations for each methodology indicate that the presented SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie EoS leads to a significant improvement in the description of the pure-component fluid-phase behavior of n-alkyl acetates.

The limited mixture data included in the regression are also well represented with SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie approach, as shown in figure 5. The average deviation (%AAD) of the excess enthalpies for the binary systems included in the estimation was found to be 7.37% (8.78% for ethyl acetate+n-hexane, and 5.95% for n-buty1 acetate+n-octane), and the level of agreement was deemed satisfactory based on the sensitivity of the selected properties to the unlike interaction parameters between the functional groups and the small values of the excess enthalpies exhibited by these mixtures (~ 0.5-1.5 kJ/mol). In order to assess the level of accuracy, the description of the SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie approach was compared with that obtained using modified UNIFAC (Dortmund)\cite{127}, where the group parameters have been obtained by regression to data for different mixture properties including phase equilibria and excess enthalpies. From the comparison shown in figure 5, it can be seen that the modified UNIFAC (Dortmund) results in a slightly better description of the experimental excess enthalpies with an average deviation of 3.91%. Bearing in mind that within modified UNIFAC approach group parameters are exclusively developed to describe (limited) properties of binary and multi-component mixtures, and that within the SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie approach the primary target is a more complete thermodynamic description of pure components and mixtures, the performance of the latter methodology in the description of the excess enthalpies is very satisfactory.

The resulting form of the interaction potential of the COO group is described by an optimal value of the repulsive exponent of $\lambda_{\text{COO,COO}} = 31.189$, which accounts for the nature of the electrostatic interactions of the group in an effective manner. No explicit treatment of the polarity of the esters is included in the model developed in the current work; as mentioned earlier a term accounting for polar interactions has been considered in other modeling approaches for esters\cite{34}, but in the expense of a more complicated description in terms of additional parameters. The estimated value of the segment size of the COO group is $\sigma_{\text{COO,COO}} = 3.994$ Å, which is slightly smaller than the size of the CH$_2$ group (4.077 Å), but combined with the higher value of the shape factor ($S_{\text{COO}} = 0.6526$ compared to $S_{\text{CH}_2} = 0.5726$) corresponds to an overall larger size for the COO group, as expected from the chemical composition of the functional groups. The energy of interaction characterizing the COO group is found to be rather high, $\epsilon_{\text{COO,COO}} / k_B = 868.923$ K, which is mainly attributed to the fact that there are two oxygen centers and that the polar nature of the COO group is treated implicitly through the dispersive interactions.

**B. Predictions**

**1. Pure Components**

An assessment of the adequacy of the parameters obtained for the n-alkyl CH$_3$ and CH$_2$ functional groups can be made by examining the performance of the theory in describing the thermodynamic properties of compounds not included in the parameter estimation procedure. The application of the Mie intermolecular potential was previously shown to allow for an accurate simultaneous description of fluid-phase equilibria and thermodynamic derivative properties\cite{72,73} when cast as a theory for homonuclear models. The prediction of derivative properties is a stringent test in any thermodynamic description, and high deviations are to be expected with most equations of state, be it with traditional cubic EoSs or the SAFT variants not based on the Mie potential\cite{72,73}. Here, we examine the performance of the SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie approach in the description of second-order thermodynamic derivative properties of representative n-alkanes. A comparison between the predictions of our current approach and the results obtained with the SAFT-$\gamma$ SW EoS\cite{66} in representing the experimental data of REFPROP\cite{130} for the isobaric and isochoric heat capacities, the speed of sound, the isothermal compressibility, and the thermal expansion coefficient of the linear n-alkanes from ethane to n-decane is provided in table VI. For the calculation of caloric and derivative properties, the free energy of the ideal gas is calculated based on the Joback and Reid GC approach\cite{9} for the ideal gas heat capacity, $c_p,0$. Though these expressions are based on correlations for a temperature range between 273 and approximately 1000 K, a reliable description is seen when extrapolated to lower temperatures. From the table it can be seen that the SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie EoS provides a very accurate prediction of the second-order thermodynamic derivative properties of the n-alkanes examined, and constitutes a significant improvement in comparison to the description obtained with the SAFT-$\gamma$ SW EoS based on the square-well potential\cite{66}. The level of agreement between the predictions of the SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie theory and the correlated experimental data from REFPROP\cite{130} for the speed of sound, isothermal compressibility, isobaric heat capacity, and thermal expansion coefficient of selected n-alkanes at different thermodynamic conditions is also shown in figure 6. In figure 7 the predictions of our SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie approach are compared to the experimental data for the isochoric heat capacity of selected n-alkanes, at conditions both close to and far from the critical point. From figure 7(a) it is evident that the theory provides an excellent representation of the temperature dependence of the isochoric heat capacity of three prototypical alkanes (n-butane, n-hexane, and n-octane, from bottom to top), for both the liquid and the vapor branches, including the discontinuity at the liquid-vapor transition. The description of the pressure dependence of the isochoric heat capacity is depicted in figure 7(b) for
n-propane along both sub- and super-critical isotherms. The theory is shown to provide a satisfactory description of the isochoric heat capacity at all conditions; slight deviations are observed in the near-critical isotherm at a temperature of $T=350$ K (with $T_{\text{crit}}$ of $C_{6}H_{14} = 369.89$ K$^{138}$), as well as for the super-critical isotherm of $T=400$ K. A weak divergence is reproduced by the theory, however an accurate description of the singular behavior of the isochoric heat capacity along the critical isotherm would require a formal renormalization-group treatment.

It is important to reiterate that the calculations presented in this section for the second-order thermodynamic derivative properties of $n$-alkanes are predictions, as no such data were included in obtaining the parameters that characterize each functional group. The assessment of the performance of the theory for these challenging thermodynamically properties provides a good indication about the physical robustness of the parameters obtained for the $CH_{3}$ and $CH_{2}$ functional groups. This is of crucial importance as these functional groups constitute the molecular backbone of a wide variety of substances, and given that within the approach used here group parameters are obtained in a sequential manner, it is essential to ensure that high-fidelity parameters have been derived for the methyl and methylene groups.

A key advantage of a group contribution formulation lies in the transferability of the group parameters. In order to assess this feature, the parameters for the $CH_{3}$ and the $CH_{2}$ functional groups are applied to the prediction of pure-component properties of systems not used to estimate the group parameters. Predictions with the SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie EoS of the fluid-phase behavior for some heavier $n$-alkanes (namely $n$-pentadecane, $n$-eicosane, $n$-pentacosane, and $n$-triacantane) are compared with the experimental data in figure 8, and the corresponding deviations are summarized in table VIII. From this analysis it is clear that the methyl and methylene group parameters can be successfully transferred to the prediction of the pure-component fluid-phase behavior of longer $n$-alkanes; the description of the saturated liquid density remains good, while higher deviations are seen in the vapor pressure. The latter could be related to the high uncertainty and low absolute values of the experimental data of the saturation pressure of the higher molecular-weight compounds at low temperatures. Apart from the fluid-phase behavior, the $CH_{3}$ and $CH_{2}$ group parameters result in a good description of derivative properties of long $n$-alkanes, as shown in figure 9(a) for the speed of sound of $n$-pentadecane ($n$-$C_{15}H_{32}$) and figure 9(b) for the isothermal compressibility of $n$-eicosane ($n$-$C_{20}H_{42}$). The results obtained with the SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie approach and the parameters developed for the $CH_{3}$ and $CH_{2}$ groups show a significantly improved performance in the prediction of the derivative properties of the long chain $n$-alkanes studied compared to the predictions with the SAFT-$\gamma$ SW EoS, also included in figure 9.

The formulation of a SAFT-type approach within a group contribution concept combines the wide range of applicability of EoSs and the predictive nature of GC methods. This can be illustrated in the description of the thermodynamic properties of polymers. The SAFT EoS has been successfully applied as a general methodology to the study of polymeric systems (e.g., see refs. [119, 131–134]); the theory is particularly suited to polymers due to the explicit consideration of the chain topology. Within the scope of the current work, and taking advantage of the group contribution formulation, the properties of polyethylene polymers can be predicted, using the parameters estimated for the methyl and methylene groups from the lower $n$-alkanes (cf. section VA 1). The predictions of the SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie approach are compared with the experimental single-phase densities of liquid (molten) linear polyethylene in figure 10. The parameters for the methyl and (predominantly) for the methylene group are seen to allow for an accurate description of linear polyethylene with a molecular weight of 126,000 g mol$^{-1}$ over a broad range of temperatures and pressures. Achieving this level of agreement with the experimental data is particularly challenging, especially when one recalls that the parameters for the $CH_{3}$ and $CH_{2}$ groups were obtained based on the properties of the much shorter $n$-alkanes, ranging from ethane to $n$-decane. The description obtained with the SAFT-$\gamma$ SW EoS using published parameters$^{66}$ are also plotted in figure 10. From the comparison it is apparent that the representation of the polymer with Mie segments provides a marked improvement over that obtained with square-well segments, and lends credence to the physical relevance of the parameters for the $CH_{3}$ and $CH_{2}$ groups (cf. table II).

A similar assessment is performed for the chemical family of the $n$-alkyl acetates, where the performance of the methodology in the prediction of second-order derivative properties is examined. The deviations of the SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie predictions from the experimental data for the speed of sound, isobaric and isochoric heat capacities, and isothermal and isentropic compressibilities for selected $n$-alkyl acetates are summarized in table VII. From the table, it can be seen that higher deviations are observed in the description of the thermodynamic derivative properties considered for the lower molecular-weight compounds. This could be due to the dominance of the polar nature of the COO functional group in the molecules with a short alkyl chain, which is not accurately reproduced by the theory in the case of derivative properties. It should be noted that this is somewhat expected, as group contribution approaches are less suitable for the description of small molecules. As the chain length of the $n$-alkyl acetates is increased, the level of agreement between the predictions of the theory and the experimental data improves, and a very good representation is seen for the case of $n$-decyl acetate. This is also depicted in figure 11, where the predictions of the theory for the speed of sound and the isobaric heat capacity of $n$-alkyl acetates of varying chain length are plotted together with the experimental data.

An assessment of the SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie EoS in the pre-
The performance of SAFT-γ Mie and the SAFT-γ SW methods provide a description of similar accuracy for the vapor-liquid equilibria of the system. As a final point it is important to emphasize that the same set of group parameters is employed for the prediction of different types of phase behavior: vapor-liquid equilibria for the n-butane+n-decane and the n-pentane+polyethylene, and liquid-liquid equilibria for the propane+n-hexacontane mixture.

The same parameter set can be applied to the prediction of the fluid-phase behavior of binary mixtures of compounds not included in the regression of the group parameters. An example of this is shown in figure 14, where the predictions of the theory are compared to the experimental data for asymmetric systems of a short and a polymer-like long-chain n-alkane. More specifically, in figure 14(a) the theoretical predictions are compared with the available experimental data for the liquid-liquid equilibria of the propane+n-hexacontane mixture at three different temperatures. This system was chosen as a prototypical example of the type of fluid-phase equilibria exhibited in polymer solutions of hydrocarbons. The n-C$_{30}$H$_{122}$ molecule is the highest molecular-weight monodisperse n-alkane commercially available, so the mixture can be modeled without the need to account for polydispersity. Polydispersity generally has to be considered in representing polymer+solvent systems and it can have a significant effect on the phase boundaries, particularly in the description of liquid-liquid equilibria (e.g., see ref. [134]). From figure 14(a) it can be seen that the SAFT-γ Mie predictions are in very good agreement with the experimentally determined phase behavior of the mixture, accurately reproducing the width of the coexistence region at higher pressures, with only a minor overshoot of the liquid-liquid critical point. The proposed methodology provides a significantly improved description of the mixture in comparison to the corresponding results obtained with the SAFT-γ SW EoS based on the SW potential. A comparison of the predictions of the theory with the vapor-liquid equilibria that polymer+solvent systems can exhibit is shown in figure 14(b), for the mixture of n-pentane and polyethylene of molecular weight 76,000 g mol$^{-1}$ at two temperatures. The polymer in question has quite a high degree of polydispersity characterized by a polydispersity index of 6.91$^{137}$; this degree of polydispersity is not expected to significantly affect the vapor-liquid phase behavior of the system. From the plot it can be seen that both the SAFT-γ Mie and the SAFT-γ SW methods provide a description of similar accuracy for the vapor-liquid equilibria of the system.
ous studies, including among others the seminal work of Flory and co-workers\textsuperscript{138–140}, and more recently the work of Blas and co-workers\textsuperscript{141–143} within the SAFT framework. An example of the performance of the SAFT-γ Mie approach is illustrated in figure 15, where the predictions of the theory are compared to experimental data for the excess speed of sound ($u^E$) of selected $n$-hexane+$n$-alkane binary mixtures\textsuperscript{144} (cf. figure 15(a)), and the excess molar volumes ($V^E$) of the binary mixture of $n$-hexane+$\gamma$-hexadecane at different temperatures\textsuperscript{145} (cf. figure 15(b)). As for the fluid-phase behavior, the predictions of the theory are in very good agreement with the experimental data. For the excess speed of sound, the predictions of the theory are seen to be in quantitative agreement with the experimental data; the trend to lower values of the excess speed of sound as the difference in the chain length of the components of the mixture decreases is correctly reproduced. Quantitative agreement for the excess molar volume is seen with the data at higher temperatures, and the trend of the data to lower values with decreasing temperatures is reproduced by the theory. Given the small magnitude of the data (especially at lower temperatures), and the fact that one of the components of the mixture ($\gamma$-hexadecane) is not included in the parameter estimation procedure, good overall agreement of the theory with the experimental data is seen. Comparing the predictions of the SAFT-γ Mie approach to the corresponding description obtained with the SAFT-γ SW EoS, a clear improvement is seen for the excess speed of sound of the mixtures in figure 15. In the case of the predictions of the excess volumes, both theories provide a description of equivalent accuracy, with the SAFT-γ SW in better agreement with the experimental data at low temperatures, and SAFT-γ Mie at higher temperatures. Despite an accurate overall performance, it has to be noted that the theory cannot reproduce the fine features of the excess enthalpies of binary systems of asymmetric $n$-alkanes, as these arise from conformational effects that the theory does not account for. Such effects can be incorporated in the general theoretical framework by including a term that accounts for the specific intramolecular interactions as has been shown by dos Ramos and Blas\textsuperscript{143}.

The group parameters obtained for the COO group and the unlike interaction values shown in tables II and III allow for the prediction of properties of binary mixtures of $n$-alkanes and $n$-alkyl esters. A comparison between the predictions of the SAFT-γ Mie and the modified UNIFAC (Dortmund)\textsuperscript{11} approaches for the fluid-phase behavior of selected binary mixtures are presented in figures 16 and 17. In figure 16, the fluid phase behavior of mixtures of ethyl acetate with $n$-alkanes of varying chain length ($n$-pentane, $n$-octane, and $n$-decane) is presented as an isobaric temperature-composition representation. The theory accurately describes the vapor-liquid phase behavior of the mixtures studied, characterized by a minimum boiling azeotrope, and the shift of the azeotropic composition to higher compositions of ethyl acetate with increasing chain length of the $n$-alkane is reproduced. In figure 17 the vapor-liquid phase behavior of a selection of mixtures of $n$-hexane with $n$-alkyl acetates of varying chain length is shown. In this case, a more distinct azeotrope is observed for the mixture $n$-hexane+ethyl acetate, the composition of which is accurately reproduced by the theory. Regarding the performance of the SAFT-γ Mie EoS in comparison to the well-established modified UNIFAC (Dortmund) approach, it is apparent from figures 16 and 17 that in the case of the systems studied both approaches provide the same level of agreement with the experimental data.

The adequacy of the theory in describing excess properties of mixing of binary systems of $n$-alkanes+$n$-alkyl acetates is also examined. The excess enthalpy of mixing predicted with SAFT-γ Mie for three binary mixtures of $n$-heptane with $n$-alkyl acetates of varying size ($n$-propyl, $n$-butyl, and $n$-pentyl acetate) is compared to the experimental data in figure 18(a). It can be seen that the enthalpy of mixing of the three selected mixtures is described well, and the correct dependence of the magnitude of the excess enthalpy with varying chain length of the $n$-alkyl acetate is also predicted. The SAFT-γ Mie predictions are seen to be of similar accuracy to those with modified UNIFAC (Dortmund), as shown in figure 18(a). This is very pleasing, given the small absolute values of the excess enthalpy for this mixtures ($h^E \leq 1.5$ kJ mol$^{-1}$). One has to bear in mind that the SAFT-γ Mie parameters are obtained predominantly from pure-component experimental data and data for selected mixtures, while the UNIFAC parameters result from extensive regression to binary mixture (fluid-phase behavior and other properties) data. In figure 18(b), the predictions of the SAFT-γ Mie theory for the excess volumes of the same mixtures are compared to experimental data. In this case, comparisons with the modified UNIFAC (Dortmund) approach are not possible, as UNIFAC was developed on the basis of a lattice-fluid model and thus cannot be used directly for density calculations. The performance of the SAFT-γ Mie approach is very satisfactory, and excellent agreement between the calculations and the experimental data is seen.

Finally, we examine the performance of the theory for the prediction of second-order thermodynamic derivative properties of binary mixtures of $n$-hexane with $n$-alkyl acetates of different chain length. In figure 19 a comparison of the experimental data and the SAFT-γ Mie predictions for the speed of sound (figure 19(a)) and the excess isentropic compressibility (figure 19(b)) is shown for the binary mixtures of $n$-hexane with ethyl, $n$-pentyl, and $n$-decyl acetate. In the case of the speed of sound, and in line with the deviations reported in table VII, it can be seen that the theory provides a qualitative description of the experimental data; the deviation in the prediction of the speed of sound in the limit of pure ethyl acetate is, however, quite significant. As the chain length
of the \( n \)-alkyl acetate is increased, the agreement of the predictions with experiments improves, and the results for the mixture of \( n \)-hexane+\( n \)-decyl acetate are seen to be in excellent agreement with the experimental data. Regarding the predictions for the excess isentropic compressibility of the same mixtures (cf. figure 19(a)), the SAFT-\( \gamma \) Mie predictions agree with the change of sign observed for mixtures of \( n \)-hexane with ethyl and \( n \)-pentyl acetate.

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have presented the development of the SAFT-\( \gamma \) Mie approach as a reformulation of the SAFT-VR Mie EoS\(^{73}\) within a group contribution formalism, where the interactions between segments are represented by means of the Mie pair potential of variable attractive and repulsive ranges. The molecular model employed for the description of pure substances and mixtures is a fused heteronuclear model, an idea following from previous work\(^ {66} \). Together with the implementation of the new intermolecular potential, a key novelty of the theory lies in the treatment of the monomeric segment contribution to the free energy, where a third-order perturbation expansion is employed. The performance of the theory in the description of real systems is examined in the study of two chemical families (the \( n \)-alkanes and the esters), where parameters for the methyl, methylene, and carboxylate functional groups (\( \text{CH}_3 \), \( \text{CH}_2 \), and \( \text{COO} \)) are obtained from fluid-phase behavior data and single-phase densities of the pure components. In the development of the carboxylate group parameters (and the unlike parameters with the \( n \)-alkane groups) some data for the excess enthalpies of selected binary mixtures are used, in order to better characterize the nature of the unlike interactions.

The SAFT-\( \gamma \) Mie approach is shown to provide an excellent description of the pure-component properties of the correlated compounds, with average relative errors of 1.19\% for the vapor pressure, 0.42\% for the saturated liquid density, and 0.45\% for the single-phase liquid density. It is also shown that the treatment of the monomer term proposed leads to a significant improvement in the description of the near-critical region of fluids, compared to the SAFT-\( \gamma \) SW formulation which is based on the square-well potential\(^ {66} \). Apart from fluid-phase behavior, second-order thermodynamic derivative properties are also considered. The Mie intermolecular potential model employed in the proposed methodology allows for an accurate representation of properties such as the speed of sound, the isochoric and isobaric heat capacities, and the thermal expansion coefficient as shown in detail for the \( n \)-alkanes, while slightly higher deviations are found for the \( n \)-alkyl esters.

The predictive capability of the method is examined in the description of fluid-phase equilibria and, when available, derivative properties of high-molecular-weight compounds not included in the regression of the group parameters, where the performance of the SAFT-\( \gamma \) Mie approach is found to be very satisfactory. A predictive study in the limit of high-molecular-weight polymers confirms the robustness of the presented methodology and the corresponding group parameters obtained in this work. Finally, the performance of SAFT-\( \gamma \) Mie is examined in the prediction of the fluid-phase behavior and excess properties of binary mixtures of \( n \)-alkanes and \( n \)-alkane and \( n \)-alkyl esters. The theory is seen to accurately describe the fluid-phase behavior of a variety of systems, with different types of phase equilibria (vapor-liquid and liquid-liquid), over a wide range of conditions, including the high-pressure critical points of the mixtures. The excess and second-order thermodynamic derivative properties of binary mixtures are also very well described and, for the cases considered, the predictions of SAFT-\( \gamma \) Mie compare favorably to the calculations using the modified UNIFAC (Dortmund) GC approach. The description of such properties is a challenging task as these are known to be very sensitive to the specific molecular details, and the performance of the SAFT-\( \gamma \) Mie demonstrates the future potential of the theory for the study of other chemical families.
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Appendix A: Comparison between the SAFT-\( \gamma \) and hetero GC-SAFT-VR treatment of the chain term

Within a SAFT treatment the contribution of the formation of molecular chains from monomeric segments is determined from a knowledge of the radial distribution function of the reference monomeric fluid at contact, based on Wertheim’s first-order thermodynamic perturbation theory (TPT1)\(^ {47-50} \). Such a treatment is rather straightforward for homonuclear models formed from tangent segments, but, in the case of heteronuclear molecular models formed from fused segments, an alternative treatment is required since the monomeric segments forming the molecular chains are bonded at different distances.

Two different approaches have been suggested for the
formulation of the chain contribution within a SAF-Tγ treatment, based on a fused heteronuclear molecular model. In SAF-Tγ an effective TPT1 treatment is employed, where the following relation is used for the calculation of the chain term:

$$ \frac{A_{\text{chain}}}{Nk_B T} = - \sum_{i=1}^{N_C} x_i \sum_{k=1}^{N_G} \left( \nu_{k,i}^{*} \nu_{k}^{*} S_k - 1 \right) \ln g_{ii}(\sigma_{ii}) + \sum_{l=k}^{N_C} b_{kl}^{*} \ln g_{kl}(\sigma_{kl}) $$  \hspace{1cm} (A1)

The radial distribution function of the reference system of monomers at contact, $g_{ii}(\sigma_{ii})$, is evaluated at an average molecular distance obtained as a weighted average over the segment sizes $\sigma_{kl}$ of the constituent monomeric segments of the molecular chain. A different formulation was proposed in the development of the hetero GC-SAFT-VR, and was subsequently employed in the heterosegmented PC-SAFT, where the Helmholtz free energy change due to chain formation is obtained based on an appropriate summation of the monomeric radial distribution function at the different intersegment contacts $\sigma_{kl}$ as

$$ \frac{A_{\text{chain}}}{Nk_B T} = - \sum_{i=1}^{N_C} x_i \sum_{k=1}^{N_G} \left[ \nu_{k,i}^{*} S_k - 1 \right] \ln g_{kk}(\sigma_{kk}) $$

$$ + \sum_{l=k}^{N_C} b_{kl}^{*} \ln g_{kl}(\sigma_{kl}) \tag{A2} $$

where $b_{kl}^{*}$ denotes the number of bonds between segments $k$ and $l$ on molecule $i$. It is important to note that both expressions revert to the original treatment of the chain term in the case of a homonuclear chain. Eqs. (A1) and (A2) are written in a general form in terms of the contact value of the radial distribution function; for a given intermolecular potential the RDF can be determined from Barker-Henderson perturbation theory as shown for the square-well potential in ref. [66, 70] and for the Mie potential in section III C.

Here, we examine the performance of the two methodologies presented for the chain term based on comparison with simulation data for square-well and hard-sphere heteronuclear diatomic and triatomic molecules. Though our main interest is in models based on the Mie potential; to our knowledge there are no suitable data for heteronuclear molecules formed from Mie segments. Comparisons of the theoretical treatment with simulation data for heteronuclear SW molecules should however provide a good indication of the adequacy of the approximations made in the generic chain term. A first comparison is shown in figure 20, where calculations with the SAF-Tγ and the hetero GC-SAFT-VR treatments of the chain term are compared to the corresponding simulation data for several pressure-density isotherms of a heteronuclear square-well dimer with a fixed segment size ratio of $\sigma_{11} = 0.5 \sigma_{22}$. It should be noted that the only difference between the SAF-Tγ SW and the hetero GC-SAFT-VR calculations shown in the plot is in the treatment of the chain term; for the monomer contribution the SAF-Tγ theory is used, neglecting the minor differences between the two theories, as discussed in detail in refs. [24 and 28]. In the remainder of the paper, this “hybrid” theory is referred to as SAF-Tγ for brevity. From the figure it is apparent that both approaches accurately capture the effect of the heteronuclear nature of the molecule.

It is of further interest to examine how the two approaches perform for heteronuclear dimers for different segment size ratios. Simulation data for pressure-density isotherms for purely repulsive heteronuclear hard-sphere dimers and compared with the theoretical description in figure 21. The calculations are performed using the SAF-Tγ approach and discarding the contributions arising from attractions between monomeric segments. From the comparison, it appears that the predictions of the two methodologies in the description of the thermodynamic properties of the heteronuclear hard-sphere dimer for similar segment sizes (i.e., high values of the $\sigma_{11}/\sigma_{22}$ ratio) are almost indistinguishable. As the segments become more different in size, the SAFT-γ description is in better agreement with the simulation data, compared to the SAF-Tγ calculations. However, this is of little consequence in practice as such differences in the segment size ($\sigma_{11}/\sigma_{22} \approx 0.25$) would be rather unrealistic when representing real compounds, especially given the extension of the SAFT-γ to treat large chemical groups by means of multiple identical segments. The smallest segment size ratio reported in the published SAF-Tγ parameter table is seen between the hydroxyl OH and carbonyl C=O functional groups with a value of $\sigma_{\text{C-O}}/\sigma_{\text{OH}} \approx 0.59$.

As a final example we consider heteronuclear hard-sphere trimers. A comparison between the SAF-Tγ and SAFT-γ calculations and the corresponding simulation data for the pressure-density isotherms of trimers with different segment ratios and/or connectivity is shown in figure 22. It should be noted that in this case, the chain contribution in SAFT-γ∗ is calculated by explicitly accounting for the connectivity of the monomeric segments to form the molecular chain, while in SAF-Tγ the only structural information is the number of occurrences of each monomeric segment. This difference in the description of the molecular chain term, however, is not found to affect the agreement of the theoretical description with the simulation data to a great extent. From this analysis it can be concluded that both theories provide a satisfactory description of the thermodynamic behavior of the heteronuclear trimers considered, with the SAFT-γ∗ approach performing marginally better. The calculation of the chain contribution within SAF-Tγ successfully reproduces the thermodynamic properties of heteronuclear molecules, and in that way the heteronuclear nature of the molecular model is retained within the theory at the level of the formation of the molecular chain from distinct monomers. The SAF-Tγ treatment is shown to be almost indistinguishable from a SAF-Tγ∗ treatment, apart from cases of extreme differences in size of the seg-
ments forming the chain. However, such extreme values are of purely theoretical interest, as highly asymmetric molecular models are not expected to be appropriate in the modeling of real fluids. Nevertheless, it has to be acknowledged that some isomers are treated differently when eq. (A2) is used to calculate the chain Helmholtz free-energy contribution, whereas their properties would be the same when eq. (A1) is used.

The comparison made thus far between SAFT-γ and SAFT-γ* is based on simulation data for tangentially bonded heteronuclear models. A full comparison for fused segments is more difficult as a relation between the degree of overlap and the bond length has to be established. However, an assessment of the two approaches can be examining the description of the properties of real compounds. This is undertaken here for the chemical family of n-alkanes, where the description of the SAFT-γ SW approach with the parameters from ref [66] is compared against the results obtained with SAFT-γ*. The parameters for the CH3 and CH2 groups with SAFT-γ* are obtained here using the same approach as in the work of Lymeriadias et al.56,67, with the same experimental data. Regarding the parameters, the shape factors for both the CH3 and the CH2 groups are assigned values of 1/3 and 2/3, respectively, while the only unlike parameter regressed is the energy of the unlike interaction, ϵCH−CH2, as opposed to the original work with the hetero GC-SAFT-VR treatment of the chain term. From these results it is clear that the SAFT-γ treatment of the chain term leads to a better description of the thermodynamic properties of real compounds with a fused heteronuclear molecular model compared to the description obtained within the same theory, but following the hetero GC-SAFT-VR treatment of the chain term (cf. eq (A2)).

TABLE I. Coefficients $\phi_{m,n}$ for the $a_{2,kl}$ term (eq. (32)), the $a_{3,kl}$ term (eq. (38)), and $\gamma_{c,ii}$ of the $g_2$ term (eq. (59)). N/A denotes that no value is needed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$\phi_{1,n}$</th>
<th>$\phi_{2,n}$</th>
<th>$\phi_{3,n}$</th>
<th>$\phi_{4,n}$</th>
<th>$\phi_{5,n}$</th>
<th>$\phi_{6,n}$</th>
<th>$\phi_{7,n}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5365557</td>
<td>-359.44</td>
<td>1550.9</td>
<td>-1.19932</td>
<td>-1911.28</td>
<td>9236.9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-37.60463</td>
<td>1825.6</td>
<td>-5070.1</td>
<td>9.063632</td>
<td>21.390.175</td>
<td>-129.430</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>71.745953</td>
<td>-3168.0</td>
<td>6534.6</td>
<td>-17.9482</td>
<td>-51.320.7</td>
<td>357.230</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-46.83552</td>
<td>1884.2</td>
<td>-3288.7</td>
<td>11.340.27</td>
<td>37.064.54</td>
<td>-315.530</td>
<td>-6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-2.467982</td>
<td>-0.82376</td>
<td>-2.171</td>
<td>20.521.42</td>
<td>1103.742</td>
<td>1390.2</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.50272</td>
<td>-3.1935</td>
<td>2.0883</td>
<td>-56.6377</td>
<td>-3264.61</td>
<td>-4518.2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.0956883</td>
<td>3.7090</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40.53683</td>
<td>2556.181</td>
<td>4241.6</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II. Group parameters for the methyl and methylene functional groups for the $n$-alkanes (CH$_3$ and CH$_2$) and the carboxylate functional group for the $n$-alkyl esters (COO) within the SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie group contribution approach.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional group $k$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_k^*$</th>
<th>$S_k$</th>
<th>$\lambda_k^*$</th>
<th>$\lambda_k^2$</th>
<th>$\sigma_{kk}$ [Å]</th>
<th>$\epsilon_{kk}/k_B$ [K]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$</td>
<td>1.5726</td>
<td>15.050</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.077</td>
<td>256.766</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_2$</td>
<td>1.2793</td>
<td>19.871</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.880</td>
<td>473.389</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COO</td>
<td>1.6526</td>
<td>31.189</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.994</td>
<td>868.923</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE III. Unlike dispersion interaction energies $\epsilon_{kl}$ for the methyl and methylene functional groups for the $n$-alkanes (CH$_3$ and CH$_2$) and the carboxylate functional group for the $n$-alkyl esters (COO) within the SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie group contribution approach.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\epsilon_{kl}/k_B$ [K]</th>
<th>CH$_3$</th>
<th>CH$_2$</th>
<th>COO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$</td>
<td>256.766</td>
<td>350.772</td>
<td>402.751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_2$</td>
<td>350.772</td>
<td>473.389</td>
<td>498.856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COO</td>
<td>402.751</td>
<td>498.856</td>
<td>868.923</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE IV. Percentage average absolute deviations (%AAD) for the vapor pressures $p_{vap}(T)$, the saturated liquid densities $\rho_{sat}(T)$, and the single-phase densities $\rho_{liq}(T,p)$ for the $n$-alkanes obtained with the SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie group contribution approach with respect to the correlated experimental data from NIST$^{146}$, where $n$ is the number of data points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$T$ range [K]</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>%AAD $p_{vap}(T)$</th>
<th>$T$ range [K]</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>%AAD $\rho_{sat}(T)$</th>
<th>$T$ range [K]</th>
<th>$p$ range [MPa]</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>%AAD $\rho_{liq}(T,p)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_2H_6$</td>
<td>125-275</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>125-275</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>150-550</td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n-C_3H_8$</td>
<td>147-332</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>147-332</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>150-500</td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n-C_4H_{10}$</td>
<td>170-385</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>170-385</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>150-550</td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n-C_5H_{12}$</td>
<td>187-422</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>187-422</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>150-550</td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n-C_6H_{14}$</td>
<td>201-456</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>201-456</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>188-548</td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n-C_7H_{16}$</td>
<td>216-486</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>216-486</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>193-553</td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n-C_8H_{18}$</td>
<td>227-512</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>227-512</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>226-546</td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n-C_9H_{20}$</td>
<td>237-532</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>237-532</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>230-550</td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n-C_{10}H_{22}$</td>
<td>245-555</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>245-555</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>253-553</td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE V. Percentage average absolute deviations (%AAD) for the vapor pressures $p_{\text{vap}}(T)$, the saturated liquid densities $\rho_{\text{sat}}(T)$, and the single-phase densities $\rho_{\text{liq}}(T, p)$ for the $n$-alkyl esters obtained with the SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie group contribution approach with respect to the experimental data, where $n$ is the number of data points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$T$ range [K]</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$p_{\text{vap}}$ Ref.</th>
<th>%AAD</th>
<th>$T$ range [K]</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$\rho_{\text{sat}}$ Ref.</th>
<th>%AAD</th>
<th>$T$ range [K]</th>
<th>$p$ range [MPa]</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>$\rho_{\text{liq}}(T, p)$ Ref.</th>
<th>%AAD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$COOCH$_2$CH$_3$</td>
<td>307.98 - 473.15</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.37 [147,148]</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>273.15 - 473.15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.25 [147]</td>
<td>298.15-393.15</td>
<td>1 - 20</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>[149]</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$COO(CH$_2$)$_2$CH$_3$</td>
<td>303.15 - 493.15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.49 [150]</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>303.15 - 493.15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.17 [150]</td>
<td>298.15-393.15</td>
<td>1 - 20</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>[149]</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$COO(CH$_2$)$_3$CH$_3$</td>
<td>334.36 - 399.05</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.73 [151]</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>298.15 - 523.15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.42 [149,152]</td>
<td>298.15-393.15</td>
<td>1 - 20</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>[149]</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$COO(CH$_2$)$_4$CH$_3$</td>
<td>321.369 - 462.33</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.85 [153]</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>298.15-393.15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.18 [149]</td>
<td>298.15-393.15</td>
<td>1 - 20</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>[149]</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$COO(CH$_2$)$_5$CH$_3$</td>
<td>274.5 - 459.14</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.86 [154]</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>273.27 - 431.07</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.24 [155]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$COO(CH$_2$)$_6$CH$_3$</td>
<td>274.5 - 478.14</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.67 [154,155]</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>273.27 - 428.15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.20 [155]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE VI. Comparison of the percentage average absolute deviations (%AAD) for the isobaric heat capacity $c_p(T, p)$, the isochoric heat capacity $c_V(T, p)$, speed of sound $u(T, p)$, isothermal compressibility $k_T(T, p)$, and (volume) thermal expansion coefficient $\alpha_V(T, p)$ for the $n$-alkanes obtained with the SAFT-γ Mie and the SAFT-γ SW group contribution approaches for the correlated experimental data from REFPROP\textsuperscript{130}, where $n$ is the number of data points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$T$ range [K]</th>
<th>$p$ range [MPa]</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>%AAD SAFT-γ Mie</th>
<th>%AAD SAFT-γ SW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$n$-C$_2$H$_6$</td>
<td>150-600</td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>7.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$-C$_3$H$_8$</td>
<td>150-600</td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>10.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$-C$<em>4$H$</em>{10}$</td>
<td>150-600</td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>7.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$-C$<em>5$H$</em>{12}$</td>
<td>150-600</td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>8.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$-C$<em>6$H$</em>{14}$</td>
<td>190-600</td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>9.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$-C$<em>7$H$</em>{16}$</td>
<td>190-600</td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>10.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$-C$<em>8$H$</em>{18}$</td>
<td>230-600</td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>11.06</td>
<td>11.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$-C$<em>9$H$</em>{20}$</td>
<td>230-600</td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>10.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$-C$<em>{10}$H$</em>{22}$</td>
<td>260-670</td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>15.71</td>
<td>11.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>6.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The %AAD values are calculated based on the comparison of the predicted values with the experimental data from REFPROP\textsuperscript{130}.
TABLE VII. Percentage average absolute deviations (%AAD) for the isobaric heat capacity \( c_p(T, p) \), the isochoric heat capacity \( c_V(T, p) \), speed of sound \( u(T, p) \), isothermal compressibility \( k_T(T, p) \), and isentropic compressibility \( k_S(T, p) \) for selected \( n \)-alkyl acetates obtained with the SAFT-\( \gamma \) Mie group contribution approach for the experimental data at ambient pressure \(^{156}\), where \( n \) is the number of data points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>( T ) range [K]</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( c_p(T, p) )</th>
<th>( c_V(T, p) )</th>
<th>( u(T, p) )</th>
<th>( k_T(T, p) )</th>
<th>( k_S(T, p) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CH}_3\text{COOCH}_2\text{CH}_3 )</td>
<td>298.15 - 328.15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>9.89</td>
<td>14.56</td>
<td>17.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CH}_3\text{COO(CH}_2)_2\text{CH}_3 )</td>
<td>298.15 - 328.15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>10.11</td>
<td>13.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CH}_3\text{COO(CH}_2)_3\text{CH}_3 )</td>
<td>298.15 - 328.15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>9.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CH}_3\text{COO(CH}_2)_4\text{CH}_3 )</td>
<td>298.15 - 328.15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>7.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CH}_3\text{COO(CH}_2)_9\text{CH}_3 )</td>
<td>298.15 - 328.15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>9.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE VIII. Percentage average absolute deviations (%AAD) for the vapor pressures \( p_{\text{vap}}(T) \) the and saturated liquid densities \( \rho_{\text{sat}}(T) \) of the predictions with the SAFT-\( \gamma \) Mie group contribution approach from the experimental data (where \( n \) is the number of data points) for long-chain \( n \)-alkanes and \( n \)-alkyl acetates not included in the estimation of the group parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>( T ) range [K]</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>%AAD ( p_{\text{vap}}(T) )</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>( T ) range [K]</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>%AAD ( \rho_{\text{sat}}(T) )</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( n\text{-C}<em>{15}\text{H}</em>{32} )</td>
<td>293-576</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>[157,158]</td>
<td>273-633</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>[152]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n\text{-C}<em>{20}\text{H}</em>{42} )</td>
<td>388-625</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>15.66</td>
<td>[159]</td>
<td>293-683</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>[152]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n\text{-C}<em>{25}\text{H}</em>{52} )</td>
<td>381-461</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>29.87</td>
<td>[160]</td>
<td>293-695</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>[152]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n\text{-C}<em>{30}\text{H}</em>{62} )</td>
<td>432-452</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>37.50</td>
<td>[160]</td>
<td>293-727</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>[152]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CH}_3\text{COO(CH}_2)_8\text{CH}_3 )</td>
<td>276.7 - 309.2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>[154]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CH}_3\text{COO(CH}_2)_9\text{CH}_3 )</td>
<td>284.4 - 530.0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>[154,155]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CH}_3\text{COO(CH}<em>2)</em>{10}\text{CH}_3 )</td>
<td>288.9 - 329.4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>[154]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CH}_3\text{COO(CH}<em>2)</em>{11}\text{CH}_3 )</td>
<td>288.9 - 333.4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>[154]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CH}_3\text{COO(CH}<em>2)</em>{13}\text{CH}_3 )</td>
<td>303.1-340.1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>[154]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE IX. Group parameters for the methyl and methylene functional groups (\( \text{CH}_3 \) and \( \text{CH}_2 \)) of the \( n \)-alkanes within the SAFT-\( \gamma \) SW approach. The optimal value of the energy of the unlike interaction was found to be \( \epsilon_{\text{CH}_3-\text{CH}_2}/k_B = 240.615 \) K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional group ( k )</th>
<th>( \nu_k )</th>
<th>( S_k )</th>
<th>( \lambda_{kk} )</th>
<th>( \sigma_{kk} [\text{Å}] )</th>
<th>( (\epsilon_{kk}/k_B) [\text{K}] )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CH}_3 )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6667</td>
<td>1.433</td>
<td>3.806</td>
<td>244.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CH}_2 )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3333</td>
<td>1.714</td>
<td>3.996</td>
<td>217.741</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE X. Percentage average absolute deviations (%AAD) for the vapor pressures $p_{\text{vap}}(T)$ and the saturated liquid densities $\rho_{\text{sat}}(T)$ for the $n$-alkanes obtained with the SAFT-γ* SW approach from the experimental data$^{161}$, compared to the description with the SAFT-γ SW approach$^{66}$. $n$ is the number of experimental data points for each property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$T$ range [K]</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>%AAD $p_{\text{vap}}(T)$</th>
<th>%AAD $p_{\text{vap}}(T)$</th>
<th>%AAD $\rho_{\text{sat}}(T)$</th>
<th>%AAD $\rho_{\text{sat}}(T)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C$_2$H$_6$</td>
<td>91-270</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-C$_3$H$_8$</td>
<td>97-331</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-C$<em>4$H$</em>{10}$</td>
<td>139-379</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-C$<em>5$H$</em>{12}$</td>
<td>144-417</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-C$<em>6$H$</em>{14}$</td>
<td>177-455</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-C$<em>7$H$</em>{16}$</td>
<td>191-483</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-C$<em>8$H$</em>{18}$</td>
<td>216-512</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-C$<em>9$H$</em>{20}$</td>
<td>344-424</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-C$<em>{10}$H$</em>{22}$</td>
<td>243-553</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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FIG. 1. Representation of the fused heteronuclear molecular model employed within the SAFT-\(\gamma\) Mie approach. The example depicted is for \(n\)-hexane, comprising two instances of the methyl CH\(_3\) group (highlighted in grey), and four instances of the methylene CH\(_2\) group (highlighted in red).

FIG. 2. The description with the SAFT-\(\gamma\) Mie group contribution approach of the \(n\)-alkanes included in the estimation of the methyl CH\(_3\) and methylene CH\(_2\) parameters: (a) the coexistence densities \(\rho_{\text{sat}}\) (\(n\)-ethane to \(n\)-decane from bottom to top); and (b) the vapor pressures \(p_{\text{vap}}\) in a logarithmic representation (\(n\)-ethane to \(n\)-decane from left to right). The open symbols represent the experimental data, the filled symbols the corresponding experimental critical points from the NIST\(^{146}\) database, and the continuous curves the calculations with the theory.
FIG. 3. Comparison of the description with the SAFT-γ SW[66] (dashed curves) and SAFT-γ Mie (solid curves) group contribution approaches for the pure-component vapor-liquid equilibria of \(n\)-butane, \(n\)-hexane, and \(n\)-octane: (a) coexistence densities \(\rho_{\text{sat}}\) (from bottom to top); and (b) vapor pressures \(p_{\text{vap}}\) (from left to right). The open symbols represent the experimental data and the filled symbols the corresponding experimental critical points from the NIST[146] database.

FIG. 4. The description with the SAFT-γ Mie group contribution approach of the \(n\)-alkyl esters included in the estimation of the carboxylate COO group parameters: (a) the coexistence densities \(\rho_{\text{sat}}\) (ethyl acetate to \(n\)-heptyl acetate from bottom to top); and (b) the vapor pressures \(p_{\text{vap}}\) in a logarithmic representation (ethyl acetate to \(n\)-heptyl acetate from left to right). The symbols represent experimental data and the continuous curves the calculations with the theory.
FIG. 5. Excess enthalpies of mixing $\Delta H^E$ as a function of the mole fraction $x$ of the n-alkyl acetate of the binary systems included in the estimation of the parameters for the carboxylate COO group. The triangles are experimental data for the system of n-butyl acetate+n-octane at a temperature of $T = 298.15$ K and pressure of $p = 0.1$ MPa$^{162}$, and the circles for ethyl acetate+n-hexane at $T = 318.15$ K and $p = 0.101325$ MPa$^{163}$. The continuous curves are the calculations of the SAFT-γ Mie EoS and the dashed curves are the corresponding calculations of the modified UNIFAC (Dortmund)$^{127}$ approach.
FIG. 6. Prediction of second-order thermodynamic derivative properties of selected \( n \)-alkanes with the SAFT-\( \gamma \) Mie group contribution approach at various pressures: (a) speed of sound \( u \) of \( n \)-pentane; (b) isothermal compressibility \( k_T \) of \( n \)-butane; (c) isobaric heat capacity \( c_p \) of \( n \)-decane; and (d) (volumetric) thermal expansion coefficient \( \alpha_V \) of \( n \)-octane, where the symbols represent correlated experimental data from REFPROP\textsuperscript{130} and the continuous curves the theoretical predictions. The high temperature data for \( n \)-octane shown as filled points in part (d) are based on extrapolations provided by REFPROP that lie outside the temperature range of the correlations.
FIG. 7. Comparison of the predictions of the SAFT-\(\gamma\) Mie group contribution approach and the experimental data for the isochoric heat capacity of: (a) \(n\)-butane (diamonds), \(n\)-hexane (squares), and \(n\)-octane (circles) as a function of temperature at a pressure of \(p = 0.1\) MPa; and (b) \(n\)-propane as a function of pressure at a temperature of \(T = 200\) K (squares), 250 K (circles), 300 K (diamonds), 350 K (triangles), and 400 K (asterisks). All symbols are correlated experimental data\textsuperscript{130} and the continuous curves are the predictions of the theory.
FIG. 8. Comparison of the predictions of the SAFT-γ Mie group contribution approach and the experimental data for the pure-component vapor-liquid equilibria of long-chain \(n\)-alkanes not included in the estimation of the methyl and methylene group parameters: (a) saturated liquid densities \(\rho_{\text{sat}}\) and (b) vapor pressures \(p_{\text{vap}}\) of \(n\)-pentadecane (\(n\)-\(C_{15}H_{32}\)), \(n\)-eicosane (\(n\)-\(C_{20}H_{42}\)), \(n\)-pentacosane (\(n\)-\(C_{25}H_{52}\)), and \(n\)-triacontane (\(n\)-\(C_{30}H_{62}\)).

FIG. 9. Comparison of the SAFT-γ Mie (continuous curves) and the SAFT-γ SW\(^{66}\) (dashed curves) group contribution approaches in the description of second-order thermodynamic derivative properties of long-chain \(n\)-alkanes not included in the regression of the group parameters: (a) speed of sound \(u\) of \(n\)-pentadecane at temperatures of \(T = 313.15\) K (circles), 333.15 K (triangles), 353.15 K (squares), and 373.15 K (diamonds)\(^{164}\); and (b) isothermal compressibility \(k_T\) of \(n\)-eicosane at 333.15 K (circles), 353.15 K (triangles), 373.15 K (squares), and 393.15 K (diamonds)\(^{165}\).
FIG. 10. Comparison of the predictions of the SAFT-γ Mie (continuous curves) and the SAFT-γ SW\textsuperscript{66} (dashed curves) group contribution approaches with the experimental data\textsuperscript{166} of the single-phase liquid densities $\rho_{\text{liq}}$ of linear polyethylene (MW = 126,000 g mol\textsuperscript{-1}) at pressures of $p = 20$ MPa (circles), 60 MPa (diamonds), 100 MPa (squares), and 140 MPa (triangles).

FIG. 11. Prediction of the second-order thermodynamic derivative properties of selected $n$-alkyl acetates obtained with the SAFT-γ Mie group contribution approach: (a) speed of sound $u$, and (b) isobaric heat capacity $c_p$ of ethyl acetate (circles), $n$-propyl acetate (squares), $n$-butyl acetate (triangles), $n$-pentyl acetate (crosses), and $n$-decyl acetate (asterisks) at a pressure of $p = 0.1$ MPa. All symbols are experimental data\textsuperscript{156} and the continuous curves are the predictions of the theory.
FIG. 12. Predictions of the single phase densities and second-order thermodynamic derivative properties of long-chain ethyl esters with the SAFT-\(\gamma\) Mie group contribution approach: (a) single phase densities \(\rho_{\text{liq}}\) of ethyl caprylate (diamonds), ethyl caprate (circles), ethyl laurate (squares), ethyl myristate (triangles), and ethyl palmitate (asterisks) at a pressure of \(p = 0.10132\) MPa; (b) speed of sound \(u\) of ethyl caprate at temperatures of \(T = 303.15\) K (circles), \(383.15\) K (triangles); and of ethyl myristate at temperatures of \(T = 293.15\) K (asterisks), and \(363.15\) K (crosses); and (c) isothermal compressibility \(k_T\) of ethyl caprate at temperatures of \(T = 303.15\) K (circles), \(383.15\) K (triangles) and isentropic compressibility \(k_S\) of ethyl myristate at temperatures of \(T = 293.15\) K (asterisks), and \(363.15\) K (crosses). The continuous curves are the predictions of the theory.

FIG. 13. Pressure-composition (\(p-x\)) isothermal slices of the fluid-phase behavior (vapor-liquid equilibria) of the \(n\)-butane+\(n\)-decane binary mixture. The continuous curves represent the predictions with the SAFT-\(\gamma\) Mie group contribution approach, the dashed curves the corresponding predictions with SAFT-\(\gamma\) SW, and the symbols the experimental data at temperatures of \(T = 377.59\) K (circles), \(444.26\) K (diamonds), \(477.59\) K (squares), and \(510.93\) K (triangles).
FIG. 14. Pressure-weight fraction \((p-x)\) isothermal slices of: (a) the liquid-liquid equilibria of propane + \(n\)-hexacontane \((n-C_{60}H_{122})\); and (b) the vapor-liquid equilibria of \(n\)-pentane + low-density polyethylene (LDPE) of molecular weight 76,000 g mol\(^{-1}\). The continuous curves represent the predictions with the SAFT-\(\gamma\) Mie group contribution approach, the dashed curves the corresponding predictions with SAFT-\(\gamma\) SW\(^{66}\), the dashed-dotted line the location of three-phase equilibria, and the symbols the experimental data\(^{136,137}\) at different temperatures.

FIG. 15. Predictions of selected excess thermodynamic properties of binary mixtures of \(n\)-alkanes with the SAFT-\(\gamma\) Mie group contribution approach: (a) excess speed of sound \(u^E\) for \(n\)-hexane+\(n\)-dodecane (squares), \(n\)-hexane+\(n\)-decane (triangles) and \(n\)-hexane+\(n\)-octane (circles) at 298.15 K\(^{144}\); and (b) excess molar volumes \(V^E\) for \(n\)-hexane+\(n\)-hexadecane at 293.15 K (circles), 303.15 K (triangles-up), 313.15 K (squares), 323.15 K (diamonds) and 333.15 K (triangles-down)\(^{145}\) at a pressure of \(p = 0.10132\) MPa. The continuous curves represent the predictions with SAFT-\(\gamma\) Mie, and the dashed curves the corresponding description with the SAFT-\(\gamma\) SW theory\(^{66,67}\).
FIG. 16. Predictions of the fluid-phase behavior of binary mixtures of ethyl acetate+n-alkane as temperature-composition ($T$-$x$) isobaric slices at $p = 0.10132$ MPa obtained with the SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie group contribution approach. The symbols represent the experimental data$^{163}$ for the vapor-liquid equilibria for ethyl acetate+n-pentane (circles), ethyl acetate+n-octane (asterisks), and ethyl acetate+n-decane (squares). The continuous curves are the predictions of SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie, and the dashed curves the corresponding predictions with modified UNIFAC (Dortmund)$^{13}$ using parameters from Ref. [127].

FIG. 17. Predictions of the fluid-phase behavior of binary mixtures of $n$-hexane+$n$-alkyl acetate as temperature-composition ($T$-$x$) isobaric slices at $p = 0.10132$ MPa obtained with the SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie group contribution approach. The symbols represent the experimental data for the vapor-liquid equilibria for $n$-hexane+ethyl acetate (circles)$^{163}$, $n$-hexane+$n$-propyl acetate (asterisks)$^{163}$, and $n$-hexane+$n$-butyl acetate (triangles)$^{171}$. The continuous curves are the predictions of SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie and the dashed curves the corresponding predictions with modified UNIFAC (Dortmund)$^{13}$ using from Ref. [127].

FIG. 18. Predictions for selected excess properties of binary systems of $n$-heptane+$n$-alkyl acetate obtained with the SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie group contribution approach: (a) excess enthalpy $h^E$, and (b) excess volume $V^E$ for $n$-heptane+$n$-propyl acetate (circles), $n$-heptane+$n$-butyl acetate (asterisks), and $n$-heptane+$n$-pentyl acetate (triangles) at a temperature of $T = 318.15$ K and a pressure of $p = 0.10132$ MPa$^{172}$. The continuous curves represent the predictions with SAFT-$\gamma$ Mie and the dashed curves (for the excess enthalpy) the calculations with modified UNIFAC (Dortmund)$^{13}$ using parameters from Ref. [127].
FIG. 19. Predictions of selected second-order thermodynamic derivative properties of binary mixtures of \( n \)-hexane+\( n \)-alkyl acetate obtained with the SAFT-\( \gamma \) Mie group contribution approach: (a) speed of sound \( u \), and (b) excess isentropic compressibility of mixing \( k_S^E \) for \( n \)-hexane+ethyl acetate (circles), \( n \)-hexane+\( n \)-pentyl acetate (asterisks), and \( n \)-hexane+\( n \)-decyl acetate (triangles) at a temperature of \( T = 303.15 \) K and a pressure of \( p = 0.10132 \) MPa\(^1\). The continuous curves represent the predictions of the theory.

FIG. 20. Comparison of the predictions of the SAFT-\( \gamma \) SW and SAFT-\( \gamma^* \) SW approaches with isothermal-isobaric Monte Carlo simulation data for the density \( \rho^* = \rho \sigma_{11}^* \) dependence of the pressure \( p^* = p \pi \sigma_{11}^* / (6kT) \) at different temperatures \( T^* = kT / \epsilon_{11} \) with: \( \sigma_{22} = 0.5 \sigma_{11} \); \( \epsilon_{11} = \epsilon_{12} = \epsilon_{22} \); \( \lambda_{11} = \lambda_{12} = \lambda_{22} = 1.5 \). The continuous curves represent the calculations with a SAFT-\( \gamma \) treatment of the chain term, the dashed curves are the results with the SAFT-\( \gamma^* \).

FIG. 21. Comparison of the predictions of the SAFT-\( \gamma \) SW and SAFT-\( \gamma^* \) SW approaches with Monte Carlo simulation data for the density \( \rho^* = \rho \sigma_{11}^* \) dependence of the pressure \( p^* = p \pi \sigma_{11}^* / (6kT) \) of heteronuclear diatomic hard spheres at different segment size ratios: \( \sigma_{11} / \sigma_{22} = 0.25 \) (circles), \( \sigma_{11} / \sigma_{22} = 0.50 \) (squares), and \( \sigma_{11} / \sigma_{22} = 0.75 \) (triangles). The continuous curves represent the calculations with a SAFT-\( \gamma \) treatment of the chain term, the dashed curves are the results with the SAFT-\( \gamma^* \).
FIG. 22. Comparison of the predictions of the SAFT-$\gamma$ SW and SAFT-$\gamma$* SW approaches with Monte Carlo simulation data for the density $\rho^* = \rho \sigma_{11}^*$ dependence of the pressure $p^* = p \sigma_{11}^*/(6kT)$ of heteronuclear triatomic hard spheres at different segment size ratios and connectivity: (a) $\sigma_{11} = 0.25 \sigma_{22} = \sigma_{33}$, (b) $\sigma_{11} = 4 \sigma_{22} = \sigma_{33}$, (c) $\sigma_{11} = \sigma_{22} = 2 \sigma_{33}$, and (d) $\sigma_{11} = 2 \sigma_{22} = 4 \sigma_{33}$. The continuous curves represent the calculations with a SAFT-$\gamma$ treatment of the chain term, the dashed curves are the results with the SAFT-$\gamma^*$. 