Panta Rhei 2013-2015: Global perspectives on hydrology, society and change

For submission to Hydrological Sciences Journal

2 October 2015

Revised: 26 January 2016

Hilary McMillan*, National Institute for Water and Atmospheric Research, Christchurch, New Zealand, h.mcmillan@niwa.co.nz (corresponding author)

Alberto Montanari, Department DICAM, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy, alberto.montanari@unibo.it

Christophe Cudennec, Agrocampus Ouest, INRA, UMR1069, Soil Agro and HydroSystem, Rennes, France, cudennec@agrocampus-ouest.fr

Hubert Savenjie, Department of Water Management, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands, H.H.G.Savenije@tudelft.nl

Heidi Kreibich, German Research Centre for Geosciences, Section Hydrology, Potsdam, Germany, heidi.kreibich@gfz-potsdam.de

Tobias Krüger, IRI THESys, Humbolt University, Berlin, tobias.krueger@hu-berlin.de

Junguo Liu, Beijing forestry University, Beijing, China, junguo.liu@gmail.com

Alfonso Meija, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Pennsylvania State University, amejia@engr.psu.edu

Anne van Loon, School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, Birmingham University, UK, a.f.vanloon@bham.ac.uk

Hafzullah Aksoy, Istanbul Technical University, Department of Civil Engineering, Istanbul, Turkey, hafzullah.aksoy@gmail.com

Giuliano Di Baldassarre, Department of Earth Sciences, Uppsala University, Sweden, giuliano.dibaldassarre@geo.uu.se

Yan Huang, Changjiang Water Resources Commission, China, y.huang.ctw@gmail.com

Dominc Mazvimavi, University of the Western Cape, South Africa, dmazvimavi@uwc.ac.za

Magdalena Rogger, Institute of Hydraulic Engineering and Water Resources Management, Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria, rogger@hydro.tuwien.ac.at

Sivakumar Bellie, School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia, s.bellie@unsw.edu.au

Tatiana Bibikova, Department of Hydrology, Institute of Geography, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia, bibikova.t@igras.ru

Attilio Castellarin, Department DICAM, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy, attilio.castellarin@unibo.it

Yangbo Chen, Sun Yat-Sen University Guangzhou, China, eescyb@mail.sysu.edu.cn

David Finger, Reykjavik University, Iceland, davidf@ru.is

Alexander Gelfan, Water Problem Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia, hydrowpi@mail.ru

David Hannah, School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, UK, d.m.hannah@bham.ac.uk

Arjen Hoekstra, University of Twente, Enschede, the Netherlands, a.y.hoekstra@utwente.nl

Hongyi Li, Pacific Northwest National laboratory, US Department of Energy, US, Hongyi.Li@pnnl.gov

Shreedhar Maskey, Dept. of Water Science and Engineering, UNESCO-IHE Institute for Water Education, Delft, The Netherlands, s.maskey@unesco-ihe.org

Thibault Mathevet, EDF DTG Grenoble, France, thibault.mathevet@edf.fr

Ana Mijic, Imperial College London, London, UK, ana.mijic@imperial.ac.uk

Adrián Pedrozo Acuña, Institute of Engineering, National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico, APedrozoA@iingen.unam.mx

María J. Polo, Department of Hydraulic Engineering, University of Córdoba, Spain, mjpolo@uco.es

Victor Rosales, Instituto Mexicano del Petroleo, Mexico, victor.rosales@free.fr

Paul Smith, Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, UK, p.j.smith@lancaster.ac.uk

Alberto Viglione, Institute of Hydraulic Engineering and Water Resources Management, Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria, viglione@hydro.tuwien.ac.at
Veena Srinivasan, Ashoka Trust for Research in Ecology and the Environment, Bangalore, India, Veena.srinivasan@atree.org
Elena Toth, Department DICAM, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy, elena.toth@unibo.it
Ronald van Nooyen, Department of Water Management, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands, R.R.P.vanNooyen@tudelft.nl
Jun Xia, The Research Institute for Water Security, Wuhan University, China, xiaj@igsnrr.ac.cn

Abstract

In 2013, the IAHS launched the hydrological decade 2013–2022 with the theme "Panta Rhei: Change in Hydrology and Society". The decade recognises the urgency of hydrological research to understand and predict the interactions of society and water, to support sustainable water resource use under changing climatic and environmental conditions. This paper reports on the first Panta Rhei biennium 2013–2015, providing a comprehensive resource that describes the scope and direction of Panta Rhei. We bring together the knowledge of all the Panta Rhei working groups, to summarise the most pressing research questions and how the hydrological community is progressing towards those goals. We draw out interconnections between different strands of research, and reflect on the need to take a global view on hydrology in the current era of human impacts and environmental change. Finally, we look back to the six driving science questions identified at the outset of Panta Rhei, to quantify progress towards those aims.

Key words Panta Rhei; hydrological decade; socio-hydrology; climate change; human impacts; global hydrology; water resources; water security; society.

1 Introduction

The hydrological cycle, from catchments to global scales, has for thousands of years been intimately linked with human activity. Humans directly impact 83% of Earth's land area (Sanderson *et al.* 2002) and use 54% of available global freshwater runoff (Postel *et al.* 1996). 80% of world population lives under high water security threat, and 65% of global river discharge is under moderate to high biodiversity threat (Vorosmarty *et al*. 2010). No wonder, then, that hydrology is now complemented by socio-hydrology (Sivapalan *et al.* 2012, Sivapalan and Blöschl 2015, Di Baldassarre *et al*. 2015), the hydrological cycle by the hydro-social cycle (Linton and Budds 2014). In response to the imperative to include human impact as integral to hydrological research, the IAHS launched the hydrological decade 2013–2022 with the theme "Panta Rhei: Change in Hydrology and Society" (Montanari *et al*. 2013; Figure 1). This paper reports on the first Panta Rhei biennium 2013–2015. We summarise the most pressing research questions and provide examples from around the globe, through the eyes of the working groups embarking on those challenges.

The title of this paper "Global perspectives on hydrology, society and change" draws from several motivations. The success of Panta Rhei, as with its predecessor "Predictions in Ungauged Basins, PUB" (Sivapalan *et al*. 2003, Blöschl *et al*. 2013, Hrachowitz *et al*. 2013) is founded on collaborations between diverse research groups, nationally and internationally, from the developed and developing world. Panta Rhei benefits from interaction with other major worldwide hydrological cooperation frameworks, from intergovernmental and scientific spheres. In particular, these include the UNESCO *International Hydrology Programme* and the World Meteorological Organization *Commission for Hydrology* (Young *et al*. in press, Wehn *et al*. in press), the International Council for Science and the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Bai *et al*. submitted, Brondizio *et al*. submitted). Panta Rhei has the explicit aim of superseding case studies, to derive general and transferable results. We believe that this can only be achieved through study and comparison of hydrological and socio-hydrological systems on a global scale.

A global perspective is essential due to the increasingly interconnected nature of human society, water and other resource use and human impacts on climate, land and water (Bierkens 2015, Vörösmarty *et al*. 2015). All these interconnections are emerging with an unprecedent intensity in the Anthropocene era, which we use to describe the period where human activities have had a significant global impact on Earth's ecosystems, including hydrology (Crutzen 2002, Steffen *et al*. 2011). Water exchanges include direct international water exchanges, trans-boundary river flows and global virtual water trade; with water quantity and quality both inherent to these transfers (Hoekstra 2011). International human impacts on water systems include climate change effects, as well as international land purchase and management and the effects of international policies. We hope that Panta Rhei will match these international exchanges of water with exchanges of water information, water governance knowledge and advances in the science of "hydrology, society and change". In its latest global risk report, the World Economic Forum (2015) listed water crises as the most important risk to the global economy in terms of potential impact. We believe that Panta Rhei will provide a coherent and timely contribution of the hydrological community to the multiple challenges of water security (Vörösmarty *et al*. 2010, UN-Water 2013, Cudennec *et al*. 2015), planetary boundaries (Rockström *et al*. 2009), and capability building in these areas.

The remainder of this paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we connect the Panta Rhei working groups to the driving science questions. In Section 3 we consider advances and challenges in monitoring, describing and predicting our changing world. In Section 4 we consider interactions of society and water in a global context, including descriptions of the socio-hydrological system, human and urban controls and water footprints. In Section 5 we investigate the governance of water, decision-making and uncertainty. In Section 6 we focus on hydrological challenges in the Anthropocene, particularly water scarcity, water quality and flooding. In Section 7 we discuss the next steps of the Panta Rhei initiative.

2 Science questions and working groups of Panta Rhei

Six driving science questions were set out at the beginning of the Panta Rhei initiative (Table 1). These questions summarise the discussions, at meetings and online, that led to the formation of Panta Rhei. The questions provide a guiding framework for the working groups formed by the community, which lie at the heart of Panta Rhei, and drive the resulting collaborations and research. The working groups are listed in Table 2, showing a diverse range of themes identified by the community as important components of the shift towards research that embraces the interconnected nature of the physical, ecological, biogeochemical and human subsystems of the overarching hydrological system (Wagener et al., 2010). This section provides a brief summary of how the working groups' research links to the Science Questions, to quantify progress towards these goals.

In many cases, Science Question 1, *What are the key gaps in our understanding of hydrological change*? And 5- *How can we advance our monitoring and data analysis capabilities to predict and manage hydrological change?* have been approached together, as groups working in remote areas such as the Tibetan plateau look to new technologies such as remote sensing to improve our hydrological understanding (Section 3.1). However, to predict the behaviour of such systems under societal and climate changes remains an open challenge. Initiatives in crowdsourcing and open data

offer opportunities to make better use of existing data, and to enable communities to contribute towards the understanding of the hydrological systems that they interact with.

Many Panta Rhei members are contributing towards the quickly growing body of research in hydro-social systems (Section 3.2). This young area uses new terminology such as 'socio-hydrology', "*the science of people and water, a new science that is aimed at understanding the dynamics and co-evolution of coupled human–water systems*" (Sivapalan et al. 2012); and the related concept of the 'hydro-social cycle' that refers to the inseparable social, political and physical dimensions of water (Linton and Budds 2014). The area offers opportunities to ask fundamental questions regarding how to describe these coupled systems, approaching Science Question 2, *How do changes in hydrological systems interact with, and feedback to, natural and social systems driven by hydrological processes?* Strategies include using data driven methods to understand properties of these systems, and using case studies to understand interactions and feedbacks in situations such as competition for water by industry and communities in Mexico. In a similar area, Science Question 3, *What are the boundaries of coupled hydrological and societal systems*? has encouraged working groups to consider how to treat linked drivers, e.g. energy, and linked systems, e.g. ecology (Section 4.1).

Panta Rhei deals with practical and pressing issues in prediction and governance of water resources. Many different types of models are used in water management, and therefore the responses to Science Question 4, *How can we use improved knowledge of coupled hydrological-social systems to improve model predictions, including estimation of predictive uncertainty and assessment of predictability?* are equally broad. They range from water scarcity models and metrics, predictions of flood or drought impacts, to prediction of downstream impacts from changes in mountain areas (Section 6). All these models seek to include the impacts and feedbacks of humans on hydrological systems. Groups are questioning how uncertain societal futures and epistemic uncertainties affect our ability to predict (Section 5.2). Panta Rhei seeks to empower societies to understand the coupled human-water system, in Science Question 6, *How can we support societies to adapt to changing conditions by considering the uncertainties and feedbacks between natural and human-induced hydrological changes?* This question underlies Panta Rhei research to compare and contrast water governance strategies between countries, to understand cultural impacts of hydrological hazard, and to take a transdisciplinary approach to understanding that harnesses the multiple sources of water knowledge (Section 5.3). The research of all working groups is discussed in detail in the following sections 3–6.

3 Understanding the hydrology of our changing world

3.1 Hydrological data for the Anthropocene

3.1.1 Thinking big: benefits of large-scale hydrology

The aim of Panta Rhei to go beyond case studies, to find generalised but locally-relevant descriptions of changes in the global water cycle, requires a perspective that encompasses many different hydrological environments. This challenge is taken up by the working group on *Large Sample Hydrology* (Gupta *et al*. 2014). Large samples improve understanding by enabling more rigorous testing and comparison of competing model hypotheses and structures; and improve the robustness of generalizations, by allowing statistical analyses of model performances and downweighting outliers.

Large samples also facilitate classification, regionalization and model transfer, by testing them in a wide diversity of hydrometeorological contexts. Uncertainty estimates are improved when using large samples, by establishing the predictive capabilities and performance of hydrological models in a variety of hydrometeorological contexts.

An enabling technology for large sample hydrology must be the availability of large, open data sets of hydrological variables. The *Large Sample Hydrology* group aims to gather, manage and share data sets, provide protocols to assess data quality on large samples of watersheds and share common standards for model assessment, comparison and communication of results. The Panta Rhei organisation as a whole is investigating methods to share hydrological data specifically about human impacts and changes. The working group *Hydrologic Services and Hazards in Multiple Ungauged Basins* is investigating data requirements and methods for hydrological modelling on a continental scale, and contributing to the debate on how diverse water science communities such as catchment modellers, land surface modellers, operational hydrologists and the water management community can come together to speed progress towards large-scale models of water systems (Archfield *et al*. In press).

The same group are testing whether physically- and statistically-based methods can be combined for optimal estimates of hydrological variables. This meshes with the *ACCuRAcY* group (*Anthropogenic and climate controls on water availability*) who analysed large-scale and continental variability of precipitation and streamflows (see e.g. Niranjan Kumar *et al*. 2014, Ouarda *et al*. 2014, Salinas *et al*. 2014) and the potential of geostatistical interpolation for continental prediction of surface water availability in ungauged basins (Pugliese *et al*. 2014). Both groups are interacting with the European open water data initiative SWITCH-ON (http://www.project.water-switch-on.eu/). These efforts all help to answer Science Question 5 '*How can we advance our monitoring and data analysis capabilities to predict and manage hydrological change*'. Future analyses will combine deterministic and geostatistical approaches to quantify changes in surface water availability associated with global and societal change.

3.1.2 Data needs and solutions

Data-hungry hydrological methods are hampered by the declining streamgauging networks in some developed countries, and their scarcity in developing and emerging countries (Hannah *et al*. 2011). The *ACCuRAcY* group suggests several responses to this challenge, including unconventional information sources such as short data series from deployable monitoring equipment and historical/ geomorphological information, and the possibilities for blending observed data with output from large-scale hydrological models. Advances in remote sensing technologies for monitoring inland water and land surface hydrological fluxes will play an important role (see e.g. Domeneghetti *et al*. 2014, 2015).

Other nonconventional data, such as crowd-sourcing, qualitative, soft and proxy data from social analyses, will also be relevant (Buytaert *et al*. 2014). Creative data-analysis techniques that maximise information retrieval may elicit understanding of integrated systems that include human or institutional agents. Data driven methods, investigated by the *Data-Driven Hydrology* working group, will play a large role in understanding the complex interaction of natural and human dynamics, due to

our current limited understanding of the system. We don't even know, yet, which variables or drivers are the most significant to describe the behavior of the coupled systems and we don't know the exact form of the relationships governing the most important feedbacks (Troy *et al*. 2015b).

In the absence of well-established hypotheses that inform the model building process, development of socio-hydrological models must come from the application of data-driven methodologies (Sivapalan, 2015), that may be applied first for the adaptive selection and processing (for example using dimension reduction approaches recently applied in Big Data analysis) of the most relevant data and then in the set-up and refinement of the modelling framework.

3.2 Physics and predictability of the water cycle

Many demands on hydrologists involve simulations and predictions of a physical hydrological system response, from short-term flow forecasting to long-term analysis of water management scenarios. In our world with highly uncertain future climate, but with strong opportunities for large-scale water governance, understanding of the abilities and limits of hydrological predictability is critical (Blöschl 2006). In terrestrial hydrology, the term "predictability" is associated with "forecastability" or "effective predictability" (Douville *et al* 2010), i.e. a system with an opportunity for a skillful hydrological forecast. Recently however, predictability has been analysed as an intrinsic property of the hydrological system, unrelated to subjective factors (e.g. Shukla *et al* 2013, Lavers *et al* 2014). These new conceptual foundations of predictability link to system dynamics research, and lead us to question the system behavior under changes.

The Working Group *Physics of Hydrological Predictability* is tackling the questions of how predictability will change in the future, by understanding the interconnection, patterns and sources of predictability in hydrological, weather and climate components of the earth system. Study of hydrological uncertainty caused by atmospheric variability showed that a considerable portion of the observed long-term trend in river runoff characteristics was driven by factors external to the atmosphere, i.e. sea surface temperature and sea ice concentration (Gelfan *et al.* 2015a,b), and therefore indirect links between climate and terrestrial hydrology via oceans must be taken into account (e.g. Kingston *et al.* 2013). Such studies are essential for quantifying robustness of hydrological models used in climate impact studies, under challenging conditions of changing hydrological regime (Thirel *et al.* 2015).

Detection and attribution of abrupt or gradual changes in environmental measurements is essential if we are to understand current system behaviour. For example, changes in land use, land cover and climate intertwine to create changes in runoff coefficients and water stress (Ayeni *et al.* 2015). A trend can result from gradual or disruptive, natural or human changes in the environment, whereas a jump may result from sudden catastrophic natural events. The working group *Predictions Under Change* seeks to develop strategies to detect and model inhomogeneities or inconsistencies in time series data (see the review by Peterson *et al.* 1998). Through analysis of time series variability and structural characteristics (jump, trend, randomness, intermittency, probability distribution function, etc.), future projections or other uses of these data can incorporate our knowledge of environmental changes (e.g. Aksoy *et al*. 2008b, Efstratiadis *et al.* 2015 and the review by Kundzewicz and Robson 2004). For example, streamflow characteristics can help in understanding possible effects of

anthropogenic or natural short- or long-term changes. Any change in the physical conditions of the gauging system causes shifts in the time series. A major flood can cause erosion or sedimentation at the gauging station, and hence change the stage-discharge relationship and the corresponding predicted discharge series (Tsakalias & Koutsoyiannis, 1999). Such information can be extracted from the jump analysis of the streamflow record (Aksoy *et al.* 2008a, Gedikli *et al*. 2010).

3.2.1 Anthropogenic changes in mountain areas

Predictions of hydrological systems are particularly challenging in harsh or sparsely-populated environments where data collection can be difficult. Mountains are 'water towers' that sustain Earth's fresh water through snow, ice and lake storages, permafrost and groundwater recharge, but hydrological processes in mountainous regions are complex and heterogeneous and our understanding of them is restricted due to limited data. In regions with extensive glacier and snow cover, the hydrological regime is highly susceptible to climate change, and accurate predictions are essential because the potential hydrological impacts extend well beyond the mountains themselves (Beniston and Stoffel 2014, Khamis *et al*. 2014). Mountainous regions must therefore be addressed under Science Question 1 of Panta Rhei: *What are the key gaps in our understanding of hydrological change?*

The working group *Mountain Hydrology* has identified a series of targets to improve understanding, prediction and to inform water management in mountain regions. Basic system knowledge is still missing in many areas, e.g. quantifying the role of rainfall, snowmelt, glacier melt, soil moisture and groundwater in the water balance, but there are opportunities to integrate remote sensing information, including gravity observations (e.g. Ragettli *et al.* 2015), with targeted ground observations including tracer studies to improve data quality and quantity in mountainous regions (e.g. Gordon *et al.* 2015). Mountain hydrological regimes are undergoing climate, land cover, environmental and socioeconomic changes, and these are inextricably linked as, for example, changes in extreme events and water availability impact on communities, and conversely human management of water resources changes the alpine water balance. Hence, there is a pressing need for modelling tools to help us understand the changing human-water system in mountain regions and their downstream landscapes (e.g. Coppola *et al.* 2014).

The Tibetan plateau is a mountain region that, with its huge buffering capacity, is the guardian of the Yangtze river basin, protecting it against climatic fluctuations. The Yangtze is the largest river in China and the third largest river in the world with 0.44 billion people in its watershed, contributing to 35.5% of the GDP in China. However, its water security is under threat from headwater change in the Tibetan plateau, including linked climate, cryosphere, ecosystem and water cycle change. The working group *Improving Hydrological Systems Knowledge* has chosen to study this system where knowledge of the mountain water cycle is critical to modelling and predicting changes in the middle and lower reaches of the Yangtze, including hydropower schemes, operation of the Three Gorges dam, water use in the Jianghan Plain agricultural area, and ecological protection and flood control of the Poyang and Dongting lakes. Complementing this study, the working group *Modelling Hydrological Processes and Changes* will study the major Pearl River system in Southern China,

using physically based hydrological modelling with the Liuxihe model to map hydrological processes and changes.

3.2.2 Drivers of hydrological systems

A different lens through which to study the evolution and predictability of hydrological regimes is as systems jointly controlled by water and energy fluxes. These fluxes condition the availability of water and the fluxes of sediment and nutrients/pollutants at multiple scales. Ground or remote monitoring of energy fluxes in addition to water provide another data source to trace past and current trends and estimate future regimes in environments such as snow regions (Pérez-Palazón *et al.* 2015), arid environments (Odongo *et al.* 2015) or "dehesas" (mixed agricultural-forestry environment) (Andreu *et al.* 2013). Energy fluxes may be considered an example of an external driver on water systems, helping us to answer Science Question 3, '*What are the boundaries of coupled hydrological and societal systems?*'. The Working Group *Water and Energy Fluxes in a Changing Environment* aims to synthesise a wide variety of areas in which changes in water and energy fluxes influence their current and future regime. Their work includes snow modelling and monitoring in Mediterranean regions (Herrero and Polo 2012; Pimentel *et al.* 2015), flood risk assessment (Egüen *et al.* 2015), water consumption in cropped areas (Pardo *et al.* 2014; Romaguera *et al.* 2014), sediment transport in semiarid watersheds (Millares *et al.* 2014), environmental sustainability (Wen *et al.* 2014), water resource management infrastructures (Gómez-Beas *et al.* 2012), and adaptive actions assessment (Polo *et al.* 2014).

It is important to include water quality and biogeochemistry in our understanding of hydrological systems. Biogeochemistry in aquatic ecosystems is of critical importance to global freshwater sustainability, food and energy security and aquatic biodiversity. The aquatic systems of interest include receiving waters that serve human societies, such as rivers, lakes, reservoirs, estuaries and coastal seas. Humans directly alter the aquatic biogeochemical cycles by replacing native vegetation with agricultural crops, applying fertilizers and discharging untreated sewage, and indirectly by altering the water cycle (e.g., through dams and water withdrawals), impacting water quality, and through climate change. The working group *Changing Biogeochemistry of Aquatic Systems in the Anthropocene* is studying the dynamics of coupled hydrologic and biogeochemical processes under natural and human-induced changes, and developing improved models that can serve as tools for sustainable management of water quality and biodiversity in aquatic systems.

3.2.3 Predictability in socio-hydrology

It is increasingly recognized that not only are water systems impacted by humans but human societies also adapt in response to changes in water systems at different time-scales (Sivapalan 2015). To achieve predictive insight into coupled human-water systems over a long period of time, these bi-directional feedbacks must be accounted for. Socio-hydrology is the study of dynamics and co-evolution of coupled human-water systems (Troy *et al.* 2015a). The working group *Socio-Hydrologic Modelling and Synthesis* is addressing fundamental challenges in understanding socio-hydrologic systems. These include understanding the organizing principles that characterize the behaviour of coupled-human water systems, and go beyond site-specific studies.

The socio-hydrology working group is addressing multiple challenges in understanding coupled human-water systems. Researchers have characterized and modelled the long-term dynamics and co-evolution in generalizable terms such as social memory of floods or water scarcity, and community sensitivity to the environment (Baldassarre *et al.* 2013, Elshafei *et al.* 2014, Liu *et al.* 2014, Zlinszky and Timár 2013). They have examined the role of human agency, norms and institutions in shaping societal responses (Wescoat Jr 2013, Ertsen *et al.* 2013) and the inherent trade-offs between alternative trajectories (Scott *et al.* 2014). The working group has also addressed philosophical questions about the kinds of predictive insight achievable given the uncertainty of social futures and appropriate role of researchers studying such systems (Troy *et al.* 2015b, Land 2014). They ask whether all socio-hydrologic research must be embedded in stakeholder driven processes? And can Socio-hydrologists can truly be "impartial observers" or by modelling the coupled system are they also unwittingly "social engineers" who influence attitudes and social behaviours through their work?

4 Global interactions of society and water

4.1 Hydrology, society and ecology

As interactions of societies and hydrological systems change and intensify over time, it is important to understand their interactions in order to better predict the sustainability of both. Examples of a variety of human impacts on hydrology from New Zealand are shown in Figure 2, where despite low population densities, the hydrological cycle is significantly modified in many regions. The working group *Integrating history, social conflicts and hydrology: from semi-pristine to highly modified hydrological systems* addresses the question of sustainability of hydrology-society interactions, initially using case studies of conflicting water use scenarios in Mexico. Their first example is the Nejapa Valley in Oaxaca, a semi-pristine hydrological system where little industrial activity takes place and human settlements have been stable for centuries. Adding archaeological prints to hydrological simulations, they found that hydrology has been a controlling factor in human growth (Rosales-Sierra and Garcia-Govea 2014). Today the Nejapa Valley faces heavy mining exploitation with modern industrial technology. Research questions ask how mining water needs will be balanced against the needs of existing, stable human communities who are often opposed to the industrial activities (Aquino-Centeno 2012), and explores the role of legislation and corruption in this relationship (Rosales-Sierra 2007).

Not all societal – hydrological interactions are exploitative. Since the start of human history, efforts have been made to manage and harvest water resources in a sustainable way to maintain ecosystem function (Antoniou *et al*. 2014, Mays 2014). With insights into ecosystem function, humans also became aware that their anthropogenic activities can have positive and negative impacts on ecosystem services (e.g. Malmqvist and Rundle 2002). A significant challenge for geoscience is to establish a social-ecological system approach that brings in a holistic understanding of how these systems are interlinked and how their sustainability can be better maintained (Ostrom 2009). This can be illustrated by numerous current examples: e.g. sophisticated field investigations reveal that deep water mixing in lake Issyk-Kul, Kirgizstan, is intensively distributing pollutants in the entire lake (Peeters *et al*. 2002). Although fishery is an important sector in the region, the local awareness of the importance of water quality is low. In Switzerland, strict water protection laws led to oligotrophication of alpine

lakes, reducing fishing yields (Finger *et al*. 2007). While local fishermen argued that maintaining a local fishery is more ecologically sustainable than importing fish, their calls for artificial lake fertilization were rejected and were not accepted by the wider community.

Projected climate changes add a further layer of complexity to the social-ecological system. Predictions of water availability in the European Alps reveal that water may become scarce during summer months as glaciers vanish (Beniston *et al.* 2011, Finger *et al*. 2012). Financially the hydropower sector is the most important water user. However, other stakeholders, including farmers and the tourism sectors will be all competing for the decreasing resources. Panta Rhei members are investigating how different environmental-flow policies may affect hydropower production potential and fluvial habitat suitability at regional scale. In all the cases described, a social-ecological system analysis could give an added value to the geoscience results by identifying solutions that are both ecological and socially acceptable. Here, we directly tackle Science Question 3, '*What are the boundaries of coupled hydrological and societal systems*', as we seek to understand how ecology could be treated as a boundary condition to the society-hydrology system, or as integral component. The working group *Resilience-based management of natural resources: the fundamental role of water and soil in functional ecosystems* is using a representative case study in Iceland to investigate methods for embedding water resources research in social ecological systems.

4.2 Water resources infrastructure and control

Natural systems are often remarkably resilient thanks to their built-in feedback loops. Mankind's adaptation of those systems to the needs of society to a large extent relies on the same mechanism for the realization of desired behaviour. However, as society places more demands on resources, local systems are linked into composite systems that cover larger areas. One reservoir supplying water for local irrigation and household water can become part of a group of reservoirs and be called upon to take on additional roles in that context. Local measures to cope with low or high river discharges may have regional consequences and need to be integrated in a system along the entire river. In this way new feedback effects are created and systems become more complex and may acquire new equilibria and new behaviours. The working group *Natural and man-made control systems in water resources* is investigating the use of control theory concepts to study the composite system of the hydrological cycle interacting with global weather and human society. This point of view centres on the interaction of the dynamical system with natural and artificial control mechanisms.

One of the most conspicuous ways that societies control water resources is through construction of large dams. Large dams play a vital role in our socio-economic development, but there are also increasing concerns on their negative impacts on our environment and social fabric (Tortajada 2015). Intense pressures from high water consumption rates and multi-year drought can lead to severe declines in dam water storage, such as in the American Southwest (Figure 3). Benefit-cost analysis of large dams is challenging, due to the absence of accurate models, lack of data, political factors, and socio-cultural sensitivities, among others (Koutsoyiannis, 2011). The Working Group *Large dams, society, and environment* is reviewing and collecting data on such hydrologic, ecologic, and socio-economic factors, and analysing interactions within the dam- population-water-food-energy system (Chen *et al*. 2015). This work requires new approaches for analysis of water, ecologic, and socio-

economic data in combination, necessarily bringing together multiple experts. The long-term aim is to formulate scientifically sound, practically feasible, and socially acceptable guidelines for dam construction and management.

4.3 Human impacts on global water use

4.3.1 Water and energy footprints

Human society is thirsty for both water and energy, and the two are intimately interlinked. Not only does energy have a water footprint, but water also has an energy footprint. Society faces dual demands to cope with water scarcity, and at the same time to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, posing a challenge for water resources management of how to integrate the embedded energy use (Rothausen & Conway 2011). While some work has been done on implications of energy use for irrigation agriculture, especially in South Asia (e.g. Shah 2009, Malik 2002), understanding and quantifying complex linkages between water and energy systems in cities is still in its infancy (Nair *et al.* 2014, Kenway *et al.* 2011). In particular, the end-use of water that is the most energy intensive water-sector process (Perrone *et al.* 2011, Fidar *et al.* 2010) is often neglected in water management and policy, and joint water-energy studies to address this issue are of high importance (De Stercke *et al.* 2015). The working group *Thirsty future: energy and food impacts on water* tackles this emerging issue.

Conversely, human activities and energy use have a water footprint. Water not only plays a key role in serving societies and economies, but also constrains development, with important implications for best practice water governance (Savenije *et al*. 2014). These implications are being investigated by the working group *Comparative Water Footprint Studies.* Integrating water considerations into energy policies is essential to ensure that water footprints do not increase as a result of policies to reduce humanity's carbon footprint (Mekonnen *et al.* 2015a). Demand for hydropower is increasing, yet the water footprints of reservoirs are poorly understood. Liu *et al*. (2016) calculated reservoir water footprints (freshwater that evaporates from reservoirs) in China based on 875 representative reservoirs. The footprint totalled 27.9 billion m^3 per year, or 22% of China's total annual water consumption. Ignoring the reservoir water footprint seriously underestimates human water appropriation. The reservoir water footprint associated with industrial, domestic and agricultural water footprints caused water scarcity in 6 of the 10 major Chinese river basins from 2 to 12 months annually.

The development of international trade will strongly influence future spatial patterns of water consumption and pollution, as shown for example by Flachsbarth *et al*. (2015) in a case study for Latin America and the Caribbean. Mekonnen *et al*. (2015b) show, for the same region, how substantial use of land and water resources for producing export crops like soy bean goes hand in hand with significant levels of domestic undernourishment. Semi-arid countries, such as in North Africa and the Middle East, increasingly externalize their water footprint of consumption, thus increasing their dependency on foreign water resources (Schyns and Hoekstra 2014, Antonelli and Tamea 2015). Feeding all people on the planet under existing water constraints will require better water supply and demand management, but in the end also the adoption of diets that are less water-intensive (Vanham *et al.* 2013). Research shows a significant overlap between countries that receive food aid and those that face practices of land and water grabbing (Jackson *et al.* 2015). Problems of

water scarcity and pollution intricately relate to energy, agriculture, trade, aid, and consumption patterns, requiring governments to integrate water concerns into agriculture and trade policy domains and companies and investors to integrate water into their business model. Even though companies increasingly adopt strategies of water stewardship (Hoekstra 2014a), recent research shows that overall transparency over water use and pollutions, particularly with respect to supply chains, is still poor (Linneman *et al.* 2015).

4.3.2 Water redistribution in space and time

Spatial resolution is known to affect the assessment of water footprints and impacts related to crop production. The temporal aspects of crop cultivation and the related impacts, however, have been neglected in global analyses. Such aspects are important because different crops can shift irrigation water consumption within a year, increasing or decreasing the related water stress. Consequently, an annual assessment might be misleading regarding crop choices within and among different regions. Hoekstra *et al*. (2012) calculated monthly water scarcity for the world's major river basins, showing that half of the basins, inhabiting 2.7 billion people, is facing severe water scarcity during at least one month of the year. Similarly, Pfister and Bayer (2014) developed a monthly water stress index for more than 11,000 watersheds globally. Irrigation water consumption for 160 crop groups was calculated on a monthly basis and on a high spatial resolution (10 km), estimating global irrigation water consumption in the year 2000 at 1210 billion m^3. Regional water stress changed considerably when using a monthly rather than annual or longer time scale. Similarly, hydroclimatic variability has been shown to affect "green" and "blue" water availability and demand in global agriculture, and therefore the ability of a region to produce sufficient calories (Kummu 2014). Their analysis showed that more than half of the 2.6 billion people living under water scarcity would have to rely on international trade to reach the reference diet.

Water can be spatially redistributed through, in physical terms, water transfer projects and virtually, through embodied water for the production of traded products. Zhao *et al*. (2015) explored whether such water redistributions can help mitigate water stress in China by integrating an economic model with water use data. The results show that physical water flows in major water transfer projects amounted to 4.5% of national water supply, whereas virtual water flows accounted for 35% in 2007. The analysis shows that physical and virtual water flows do not play a major role in mitigating water stress in the water-receiving regions but do exacerbate water stress for the water-exporting regions.

4.3.3 Urban water flows

Cities drive water use through their economic power and connections with other regions, and create both virtual and physical water flows through their consumption and production of goods and services. The working group *Water Footprint of Cities* is attempting to quantify and identify the potential role played by urban virtual water flows, which should be considered in urban water planning and management, and must by its nature be attempted alongside the multitude of urban stakeholders. Paterson *et al*. (2015) compared different methods to assess virtual water flows. They identified research needs to develop new methods for urban water footprint analysis (Rushforth and Ruddell 2015), and to implement Embedded Resource Accounting in cities (Ruddell *et al*. 2014). New concepts such as urban metabolism studies may help to account for direct water uses in cities. Urban

areas include many different types of boundaries, which exert a control on both virtual and physical flows. Understanding the influence of different boundaries on urban flows represents an important area of research.

Physical urban-water systems comprise three main sub-systems: water supply, wastewater treatment, and stormwater management; the last of which is being studied by the working group *Evolving Urban water systems*. Their emphasis is on stormwater management as a coupled natural-human system, extending the traditional narrower focus on impact reduction, and dealing with critical societal issues such as protection against floods and the preservation of water quality and biodiversity. In this context, Woodward *et al*. (2014) examined the concentration of estrogens in soils affected by treated wastewater irrigation. They found that time of sampling, land cover, and irrigation can affect estrogen concentrations in soils, resulting in levels that exceed natural background and require improvements in management practices.

Urban areas are characterised by complex terrain and interactions of natural and built flow pathways and channels, providing a challenge to many river and floodplain models. Recent advances include work by Kesserwani and Liang (2015), who implemented and examined the required complexity of different state-of-the-art numerical schemes for 2-d flood simulation in complex terrain, including urbanized areas. Mejia *et al*. (2014, 2015) implemented a stochastic model of streamflow for urbanized basins to examine changes in flow regime due to conventional stormwater management as well as urban growth, and Rossel *et al*. (2014) examined the scaling of basin-level dispersion mechanisms in an urban context. These last three studies emphasize emergent urban hydrologic features that can be used to analyse and compare the behaviour of urban basins across regions. A key aim behind this research is to better understand and characterize the impact trajectories and impact hotspots associated with the spatiotemporal evolution of urban stormwater systems, both within and between cities. This could serve to provide a scientific basis for advancing engineering design and stormwater management in cities. It entails knowing and characterizing the way cities, their water infrastructure, landscape, soils, population, and land use, have evolved in space and time.

5 Water governance, decision-making and uncertainty: global lessons

Achieving sustainability in water consumption and supply, while enabling continued development, is a global challenge. Hydro-meteorological hazards also have far-reaching implications for water security, with political, social, economic and environmental consequences. Both factors emphasise the need to use state of the art knowledge in decision-making processes for water governance.

5.1 Changes in water governance

Assessment of changes in water supply and resources, economy and water policy is necessary for sustainable water management, good living standards and environmental stewardship. The working group *Sustainable Water Supply in an Urban Change* is comparing changes in economy and water policy since the 1980s for a number of countries, which differ in size, environmental conditions, population trends and water demands. They found the largest growth in water use in India: along with China it leads global water consumption. In contrast, a decrease caused by the changes after the collapse of the Soviet Union has been observed in Russia (Bibikova, 2011). Iran, which has ten times

less territory and half the population of Russia, consumes more water than the latter and has doubled water withdrawal since 1980. However, the renewable water resources of Iran are 34 times smaller (Bibikova *et al.* 2014), and in spite of the growing population, domestic water supply has decreased by 9%, leading to water stress.

Water is used most effectively (i.e. highest ratio of GDP to consumed water) in developed countries with a limited agricultural water supply. However this indicator has recently increased considerably for China (Koronkevich *et al.* 2013). The quality of water resources and their management is defined by countries' water law and policy. Although Russia, China and Iran have different approaches, water remains the state's property in all these countries (Caponera 1992, Naff 2009). Water policy in the EU is moving towards establishing a comprehensive water law to control creation, allocation and distribution of water rights (Goldfarb 1988). The most complicated situation was found in India, where the existing structure of community access to water was replaced by granting ownership rights to the riparian landowner. There is ambiguity and inconsistency between the rights of the people and the rights of the state to use water resources, which makes governance difficult (Goldfarb 1988).

5.2 Uncertainty in risk and resources

Water governance measures for flood risk reduction are typically designed to ensure both better flood management and an increase in infrastructure resilience. However, the assessment of hydro-meteorological risk must take into account uncertainty (Rodriguez-Rincon *et al*. 2015). Numerical tools and models, that represent reality in an incomplete manner, incorporate errors that can interact and aggregate to compromise prediction reliability. Moreover, extreme hydro-meteorological events are dynamic over a range of timescales, due to climate variability and socio-economic changes, among others, which further increases the uncertainty in the projections. Therefore, the working group *Hydro-meteorological extremes: decision-making in an uncertain environment* is examining how this incomplete science can be used for better decision-making in the face of inevitable uncertainties in both our knowledge and the future climate. They aim to develop new, robust approaches to quantify uncertainty in data and scenarios. The magnitude of registered damages and losses in recent events around the world reveal the urgency of doing so even under a context of limited predictability.

Sensitivity analysis and uncertainty estimation are becoming an increasingly important and expected part of both modelling and management strategies (e.g. Hall 2013, Pianosi *et al*. 2015; Baroni & Tarantola 2014). Panta Rhei has an explicit aim to improve uncertainty estimation, in Science Question 4, '*How can we use improved knowledge of coupled hydrological–social systems to improve model predictions, including estimation of predictive uncertainty and assessment of predictability?* '. In this context, more importance is being placed on recognizing different types of uncertainty (Refsgaard *et al.* 2013). We can distinguish between uncertainties arising from random chance ('aleatory' uncertainty), and those arising from a lack of knowledge about the phenomenon being considered; the epistemic uncertainty. Concepts such as ambiguity, reliability, vagueness, fuzziness, greyness, inconsistency and surprise that are not easily represented as probabilities may be considered aspects of epistemic uncertainty. The working group *Epistemic Uncertainties* is developing methods to characterise and quantify these uncertainties, with a focus on assessing what we think we know by improved analysis of the observation process (McMillan and Westerberg 2014) and its impacts on

hydrological metrics (Westerberg and McMillan 2015), or through more considered methods of comparison between model and data (e.g. Beven and Smith 2015, Nearing and Gupta 2015). Others (e.g. Dottori *et al.* 2013, Serinaldi 2015) have considered the appropriateness of the information that is provided by hydrologists to decision makers. Initial steps have been made to characterise the uncertainty in coupled socio-hydrological systems (Viglione 2014). The uncertainty in the anthropogenic forcing of such coupled systems is significant; how significant when compared to the potential for epistemic uncertainty in forecasts of future hydrological boundary conditions (e.g. in precipitation: Chen *et al* 2013, Ruffault *et al* 2014) remains an open question.

5.3 Many sources of water knowledge

Most socio-hydrological systems exhibit natural variability or anthropogenically induced changes (Koutsoyiannis 2013, Marani and Zanetti 2015, Hirsch and Archfield 2015). This provides multiple challenges in decision making and has led to the development of alternate decision making processes (Korteling *et al* 2013, Singh *et al* 2014, Fuller 2011). For example, the *Thirsty Future* working group is examining the challenge for urban infrastructure management of multiple water system failures during flood events under conditions of climate and environmental change and population growth (Field 2014, Brown 2010). Monitoring and modelling of operational water systems during normal and extreme conditions, their cost, energy and resource use, and long-term sustainability is necessary to prioritise water management issues, and map viable operational and adaptation measures. Rather than relying solely on engineering solutions, a participatory approach to research through collaboration with policy regulators and multiple stakeholders will ensure that the framework focuses on both solutions and impacts. This research addresses the Panta Rhei Science Question 6, '*How can we support societies to adapt to changing conditions by considering the uncertainties and feedbacks between natural and human-induced hydrological changes*? '.

Water knowledge is produced widely within society, across certified disciplinary experts and non-certified expert stakeholders and citizens (Lane *et al.* 2011a, Krueger *et al.* 2012). The *Transdisciplinarity* working group aims to scrutinise these knowledge practices and enable them to work together productively for a more complete understanding of human-water relations and the design of appropriate interventions (Krueger *et al.* 2015; Figure 4). This means going beyond state-of-the art water research between and across traditional disciplines, which has failed to integrate disciplinary paradigms (Bracken and Oughton 2006), and where understanding has thus remained partial and interventions conflicting. The social sciences in particular should not be seen in a service role subordinate to the natural sciences, as is frequently the case (Strang 2009). Research practices embed and are embedded in particular social contexts (Budds 2009, Lane 2014, Linton 2014, Bouleau 2014). We need more empirical evidence to understand how culture, politics and economics shape water research and vice versa, and bring alternative knowledge and implications into water politics where they were not previously considered (Cook *et al.* 2013, Fernandez *et al.* 2014). Transdisciplinary research where certified and non-certified experts challenge each other agonistically counters potential lock-in to particular water policies and technologies that may be inequitable, unsustainable or unacceptable (Maynard 2015).

6 Global hydrological challenges in the Anthropocene

6.1 Global water scarcity

6.1.1 Global water crisis

The scientific community is already debating the global water-crisis (Srinivasan *et al.* 2012, IAHS 2015; Sivakumar 2011), and uses the term "water emergency" explicitly when referring to food-water security for specific areas of the world. With rapid socio-economic development, water scarcity has become a bottleneck for the sustainable development in more countries and regions of the world (Oki and Kanae 2006, Vörösmarty et al. 2010). Water scarcity occurs on many different scales ranging from global to river basin and municipality. Its severity is highly dynamic, depending on continuous shifts of consumption pattern, socioeconomic development, increasing water pollution, and climate change.

There are still many shortcomings of previous water scarcity indicators. First, they are usually limited to water quantity (mainly surface and groundwater, or the "blue" water, but rarely soil water, or "green" water), neglecting the effects of water quality on water scarcity. Second, they are mainly focused on human water use, but ignore the environmental flow requirements. Third, still many studies focus on annual averages and hence hide the very important temporal and spatial variations of water resources and uses (Savenije 2000).

Members of the working group *Water scarcity assessment: methodology and application* developed a simple regional approach for assessing water scarcity considering both water quantity and quality, making use of easily obtainable data (Zeng *et al.* 2013). This approach adopted the commonly used criticality ratio method (Vörösmarty *et al.* 2000) to assess quantity-induced water scarcity, and used grey water footprint, an indicator that quantifies the effects of water pollution to water resources in a volumetric way, to assess quality-induced water scarcity. The method assumed that 80% of the blue water resources should be maintained for environmental flows. Such an assumption may not be realistic, and may be an overestimation of environmental flow requirements (EFR). Given this, a quantity-quality-EFR (QQE) approach is being developed to explicitly consider environmental flow requirements in the water scarcity assessment (Liu *et al.* 2016). Such an approach, combined with the nutrient flow assessment for pollutants (Liu *et al.* 2010), could effectively assess water scarcity by explicitly considering quantity, quality and environmental flows.

An example of water scarcity caused by water quality is in the Mexico-Mezquital coupled hydrological systems being studied by the working group *Integrating history, social conflicts and hydrology: From semi pristine to highly modified hydrological systems*. Many centuries of human settlements have depleted the Mexico aquifer, and industrial activity continues to drive population growth. Untreated drainage from Mexico valley has been conducted artificially to the Mezquital Valley, changing Mezquital characteristics from a clean-arid to a polluted-productive agricultural valley (Jimenez and Chavez 2004). Mexico City is already in water crisis, and Mezquital Valley may soon follow as growing industry and agriculture deplete clean water from the aquifer, and Mexico City seeks to solve part of its water needs recycling 10 m3/s from Mezquital valley (Conagua 2012).

6.1.2 Climate change impact on water scarcity

A robust assessment of water scarcity considering both climatic and socio-economic changes is vital for policy makers at the river basin level. By understanding how these two sources of change interact, we address Science Question 2, '*How do changes in hydrological systems interact with, and feedback to, natural and social systems driven by hydrological processes*'. Gain and Wada (2014) analysed future water scarcity of the Brahmaputra Basin, comparing water demand and availability on monthly, seasonal and yearly scales. They showed that it is important to estimate water demand in terms of both water withdrawals and consumptive water use, and to assess groundwater recharge affected by climate change together with future demands for groundwater abstraction.

Schewe *et al*. (2014) used a large ensemble of global hydrological models (GHMs) forced by five global climate models and the latest greenhouse-gas concentration scenarios (Representative Concentration Pathways) to synthesize current knowledge about climate change impacts on water resources and water scarcity. The results show that climate change will exacerbate regional and global water scarcity. The ensemble average projects that a global warming of 2 °C above present will confront an additional 15% of the global population with a severe decrease in water resources and will increase the number of people living under absolute water scarcity (<500 m^3 per capita per year) by another 40% compared with the effect of population growth alone.

6.2 Hydrological extremes: a global issue in the anthropocene

6.2.1 Attribution of droughts and floods

Droughts and floods are caused by interactions between weather anomalies, the terrestrial ecosystem and the human environment. Drought is differentiated from water scarcity: drought is a (temporary) lack of water compared to normal conditions, whereas water scarcity is a (long term) lack of water compared to desired conditions. Drought and flood risks emerge from the exposure of humans and assets during extreme hydrological events (e.g. Merz *et al*. 2010). Therefore, changes in drought and flood risks or costs can result from multiple factors, including increases in exposed assets, climate change and human interventions in river systems and catchments (Vorogushyn and Merz 2013, Di Baldassare *et al*. 2013). Thus, detection and attribution of past changes in drought and flood risk is challenging, particularly due to the complex interaction of physical and socio-economic processes and their large spatial and temporal heterogeneity. The question of whether drought and flood risk increases over time, and if so, why, is very relevant for policy response in terms of risk management and adaptation strategies (Bouwer 2011).

Hydrological drought research typically focuses on understanding the natural processes underlying water availability (Van Loon 2015). In recent years progress has been made on the development and testing of drought indices (Bloomfield and Marchant 2013, Stagge et al. 2015), the influence of evapotranspiration (Teuling et al. 2013), snow (Staudinger et al. 2014) and geology (Stoelzle et al. 2014) on drought severity, drought modelling and forecasting in Africa (Sheffield et al. 2014, Trambauer et al. 2015), and effects of climate change on drought (Prudhomme et al. 2014, Wanders et al. 2015). The working group *Drought in the Anthropocene* is aiming to broaden that view and start to understand how humans influence drought and vice versa (Van Loon et al. in prep.; Figure 5). Up to now the working group has focused on modelling and quantification of human activities on drought

occurrence and severity (e.g. Van Dijk et al., 2013; Van Loon & Van Lanen, 2013; Wanders & Wada, 2015). To fully incorporate human processes, a framework is needed that includes human drivers, modifiers, impacts, feedbacks and changing baseline of drought in the Anthropocene. Examples of human responses to drought, which induce feedbacks in the system, include reductions in water use, changes in agricultural practices, increases in groundwater extraction, and building storage or water transfer infrastructure. Research done within this framework needs to combine qualitative and quantitative data and methods to answer research questions related to drought in the Anthropocene in a more holistic way by explicitly including interactions between humans and the hydrological cycle. The drought community can learn from flood research which is much further developed in integrating human and natural processes, both in terms of understanding, quantification, and prediction.

At the opposite hydrological extreme, flood damage in Europe and worldwide has increased considerably in recent decades, particularly due to an on-going accumulation of people and economic activities in risk-prone areas (Barredo 2009, Merz *et al*. 2012). The working group *Changes in flood risk* aims to understand, quantify and model the links between physical and socio-economic drivers and changes in flood risk, and explore adaptation pathways. Their first activities identified and analysed potential drivers for changes in vulnerability, specifically susceptibility. Significant temporal changes in private precautionary measures, mainly triggered by flood experience, were quantified in German case studies (Kienzler *et al*. 2015, Kreibich *et al*. 2011). Current work aims to identify the main factors determining event-level flood damages, based on a European wide collection of case studies.

6.2.2 Physical drivers of flood changes

In 2013, severe floods occurred in Mexico when two tropical storms converged, culminating in serious damage and widespread persistent flooding (Pedrozo-Acuña *et al.* 2014). This unprecedented event followed extreme flood events over the last decade caused by record-breaking precipitation across central Europe in 2002 and 2013 (Becker and Grünewald 2003, Merz *et al*. 2014, Schröter *et al*. 2015), the UK (Slingo *et al.* 2014), Pakistan (Webster *et al.* 2011), and Australia (Ven den Honert and McAneney 2011).

The aim of the working group *Understanding Flood Changes* is to understand the physical processes relating floods to their drivers to understand how and why floods have changed and may change in the future. A result of this work will be to understand the sensitivity of floods to different changes in their drivers, and the uncertainty in predictions. The group has reviewed the state of the art of understanding flood regime changes in Europe (Hall *et al.* 2014). They identified the need for a synthesis of (1) data-based detection methods, focusing on long duration records and flood-rich and flood-poor periods, and (2) modelling methods for flood change attribution, for future flood change scenarios that cover the full uncertainty range, and low-dimensional models that account for feedbacks between the natural and human systems.

6.2.3 Cultural impacts of floods

Cultural heritage is often at risk in flood events. Cultural heritage includes tangible structures: buildings, monuments, documents and artefacts; but also aspects of environment and landscape that are considered cultural landmarks. Protection of this heritage must consider hazard assessment,

vulnerability and exposure estimation, and mitigation actions that can take place before, during or after the event. The working group *Floods in Historical Cities* is developing an integrated system for the management of flood risk for cultural heritage sites, and is establishing a corresponding information platform that helps to identify environmentally friendly solutions. The group aims to support engineering design and to provide tools to decision makers. This necessarily involves a wide range of disciplines from geology, geotechnical engineering, structural engineering, surveying engineering, computer science and hydrology. As with so many of the Panta Rhei initiatives, success will only be achieved through including the expertise and opinions of a wide range of scientists and stakeholders.

7 Discussion and conclusion

As the science community contributes to water governance decisions, we must recognise that water knowledge is inherently uncertain, and comes in many forms and from many people. This paper itself contains multiple viewpoints on how to study the changing socio-hydrological system, and there is potential for conflict as different working groups approach common aims. For example, the theme of water and energy is approached in terms of drivers of the physical system; and also in terms of common footprints of water and energy. The theme of people as decision-makers is approached in terms of socio-hydrology, as a poorly understood dynamic system; in terms of water governance, as an outcome of political and economic climates; and in terms of transdisciplinarity, as a sphere of understanding created by multiple stakeholders. We hope that this paper specifically, and Panta Rhei as a whole, will lead to new and productive dialogues on these questions.

Concern about a global water crisis has focused attention on many developing and emerging countries, which are suffering scarcity in water quantity and quality. These challenges reinforce the need to escape from a traditional bias in science funding towards studying water resources in developed countries. A future challenge for the hydrologic community is to bring together knowledge from scientists around the globe, such as in the recent advances in hydrological research in Africa (Hughes *et al.* 2015), and to understand if and how water knowledge can be exchanged between countries. In this light, during the 26th IUGG General Assembly in Prague, a new Task Force for Representing Developing Countries was created within IAHS, which will collaborate closely with Panta Rhei.

Panta Rhei will work with the IAHS Education Working Group to design a mentoring network for young scientists, particularly in developing countries, to maintain and strengthen links with established hydrologists. Given the risks posed by environmental change to all sectors of water use and management (Döll *et al.* 2015), the future demand for skilled hydrological professionals can only increase. These professionals will need new and evolving skill sets to match the unknown hydrological issues of the future. Some aspects of hydrological research, such as transboundary issues, are likely to gain much greater importance in the future (Douven *et al.* 2012). The breadth of potential subject areas means that educators must reach beyond their personal experience and knowledge of hydrology, and place more reliance on the wider hydrological community to educate hydrology students (Wagener *et al.* 2012). Alongside traditional transfer of subject-based expertise, students must learn interdisciplinary skills such as problem solving techniques and methods for

stakeholder engagement. Thus, the lecturer moves from an 'expert' to a 'facilitator' role (Pathirana *et al.* 2012); and student-centred, active learning becomes more prominent (Thompson *et al.* 2012, Lyon *et al.* 2012). As part of this, new technologies and tools such as film (Let's Talk About Water, 2015) and access to real-time data (McDonald *et al.* 2015) will enable hydrology educators to enrich the learning experience.

In conclusion, there are many challenges associated with understanding and predicting change in hydrology and society, and empowering communities to mitigate and adapt to those changes. Such challenges can only be met by the concerted and joint efforts of hydrologists and affected societies around the world.

Acknowledgements

We thank IAHS for their vision and support for the Panta Rhei hydrological decade. We also thank all scientists who participate in a Panta Rhei working group, and therefore contribute to the success of the initiative. We are grateful to Demetris Koutsoyannis, Thorsten Wagener and an anonymous reviewer for their constructive and thorough comments that helped us to improve this paper.

References

Adeloye, A.J. and Montaseri, M., 2002. Preliminary streamflow data analyses prior to water resources planning study, *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 47(5), 679-692.

Aguilar, C., *et al.,* 2012. NDVI as an indicator for changes in water availability to woody vegetation. *Ecological Indicators*, 23, 290-300.

Aksoy, H., 2007. Hydrological variability of the european part of Turkey. *Iranian Journal of Science and Technology Transaction B-Engineering*, 31(B2), 225-236.

Aksoy, H., Gedikli, A., and Unal, N.E., 2008a. Fast segmentation algorithms for long hydrometeorological time series. *Hydrological Processes*, 22(23), 4600-4608.

Aksoy, H., *et al.,* 2008b. Hydrometeorological analysis of northwestern Turkey with links to climate change. *International Journal of Climatology*, 28(8), 1047-1060.

Andreu, A., *et al.,* 2013. Modelling surface energy fluxes over a dehesa ecosystem using a two source energy balance model and medium resolution satellite data. *Proceedings of SPIE Remote Sensing for Agriculture, Ecosystems, and Hydrology XV*. 8887. DOI: 10.1117/12.2029235

Antonelli, M. and Tamea, S., 2015. Food-water security and virtual water trade in the Middle East and North Africa. *International Journal of Water Resources Development*. 31(3), 326-342.

Antoniou, G., *et al.,* 2014. Historical development of technologies for water resources management and rainwater harvesting in the Hellenic civilizations, *International Journal of Water Resources Development*, 30 (4), 680-693, doi: 10.1080/07900627.2014.900401.

Aquino-Centeno, S., 2012, Capulálpam de Méndez: una historia de explotación para aprender. *El Topil. Boletín bimestral de análisis y reflexión.* Nueva época, Núm. 17, diciembre 2012

Archfield, S., *et al*., press. Improving the performance of hydrologic models across local to continental domains: A discussion of research needs. *Water Resources Research*

Ayeni, A., et al., 2015. Assessing the impact of global changes on the surface water resources of southwestern Nigeria. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 60 (11). doi:10.1080/02626667.2014.993645

Bai X., *et al., in revision.* Plausible and desirable futures in the Anthropocene: A new research agenda. *Global Environmental Change*.

Baroni, G. and Tarantola, S., 2014. A general probabilistic framework for uncertainty and global sensitivity analysis of deterministic models: a hydrological case study. *Environ. Model. Softw.* 51: 26-34.

Barredo, J.I., 2009. Normalised flood losses in Europe: 1970–2006. *Nat. Hazard. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 9, 97–104.

Becker, A. and Grünewald, U., 2003. Flood risk in central Europe, *Science*, 300, 1099, doi:10.1126/science.1083624.

Beniston, M. and Stoffel, M., 2014. Assessing the impacts of climatic change on mountain water resources. *Science of The Total Environment*, 493, 1129–1137

Beven, K. J. and Smith, P. J., 2015, Concepts of Information Content and Likelihood in Parameter Calibration for Hydrological Simulation Models, *ASCE J. Hydrol. Eng.*, DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0000991.

Bibikova T., 2011. Comparative Analysis of Anthropogenic Impact on Water Resources in Russia, Belarus, and Ukraine in the Post-Soviet Period. *Water Resources*, Vol. 38, №5, 549–556.

Bibikova T., Acharjee S., and Vishnevskaya I.. 2014. Water Security in an Urban Change. *Proceedings of the VIIIth International Scientific Conference of the Young Scientists and Talented Students "Water Resources, Ecology and Hydrological Safety*", June 25-27, Moscow, 22-25.

Bierkens, M.F.P., 2015. Global hydrology 2015: State, trends, and directions, *Water Resources Research*, 10.1002/2015WR017173.

Bloomfield, J. P. and Marchant, B. P., 2013. Analysis of groundwater drought building on the standardised precipitation index approach. *Hydrol Earth Syst Sci*, 17:4769–4787. doi:10.5194/hess-17-4769-2013.

Blöschl, G., 2006. Hydrologic synthesis: Across processes, places, and scales. *Water Resources Research*. 42, W03S02, doi:10.1029/2005WR004319.

Blöschl, G., *et al*., 2013. Runoff Prediction in Ungauged Basins: Synthesis across Processes, Places and Scales, Cambridge University Press.

Bouleau, G., 2014. The co-production of science and waterscapes: The case of the Seine and the Rhône Rivers, France. *Not*, 57(0), 248-257.

Bouwer, L.M., 2011. Have disaster losses increased due to anthropogenic climate change? *Bull. Am. Met. Soc.*, 92 (1), 39–46.

Bracken, L. J. and Oughton, E. A. 2006. 'What do you mean?' The importance of language in developing interdisciplinary research. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 31(3), 371-382.

Brondizio E., *in revision.* Re-conceptualizing the Anthropocene: A new call for collaboration. *Global Environmental Change*.

Brown, C., 2010. The end of reliability. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, 136(2), 143-145.

Budds, J., 2009. Contested H2O: Science, policy and politics in water resources management in Chile. *Geoforum*, 40(3), 418-430.

Buytaert W., *et al.*, 2014. Citizen science in hydrology and water resources: opportunities for knowledge generation, ecosystem service management, and sustainable development, *Frontiers in Earth Sciences: Hydrosphere*, 2, Article26

Caponera, D., 1992. *Principles of water law and administration*. A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.

Ceola, S., 2015. Impact of alternative environmental flow prescriptions on hydropower production and fish habitat suitability. *Geophysical Research Abstracts. EGU General Assembly, Vienna, Austria, April 12-17*, 17, EGU2015-681.

Chen, J., *et al.,* 2013. Finding appropriate bias correction methods in downscaling precipitation for hydrologic impact studies over North America, *Water Resources Research*, 49, 4187–4205, doi:10.1002/wrcr.20331.

Chen, J., *et al.,*2015. Population, water, food, energy, and dams. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, submitted.

Chouchane, H., *et al.,* 2015. Water footprint of Tunisia from an economic perspective, *Ecological Indicators*, 52: 311-319.

Conagua, 2012, *Acciones de infraestructura de drenaje y abastecimiento de agua potable en el valle de México.* 2007-2012. Coordinación general de proyectos especiales de abastecimiento de agua potable y saneamiento del Valle de México [online]. Available from: http://www.conagua.gob.mx/conagua07/Noticias/InformeDifusionIngenieriaPSHCVM.pd

Cook, B. R., *et al*. 2013. The persistence of 'normal' catchment management despite the participatory turn: Exploring the power effects of competing frames of reference. *Social Studies of Science*, 43(5), 754-779.

Coppola, E., *et al*., 2014. Changing hydrological conditions in the Po basin under global warming, *Science of the Total Environment* 493 1183-1196

Crutzen, P.J., 2002. Geology of mankind. *Nature* 415: 23.

Cudennec C., *et al.,* (Ed.), 2015. Hydrological Sciences and Water Security. *PIAHS*, 366, http://www.proc-iahs.net/366/index.html.

De Stercke, S., Mijic, A., and Keirstead, J. 2015. A review of urban water-energy linkages in end-use: a call for joint demand studies. In: *Water Efficiency Conference 2015, 5-7 August 2015, Exeter*. Proceedings of the Water Efficiency Conference 2015, pp.334-341.

Di Baldassarre, *et al.,*, 2015, Debates—Perspectives on socio-hydrology: Capturing feedbacks between physical and social processes, *Water Resour. Res*., 51, 4770–4781, doi:10.1002/2014WR016416160.

Di Baldassarre, G., *et al.,* 2013a. Towards understanding the dynamic behaviour of floodplains as human-water systems. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 17(8), 3235-3244.

Di Baldassarre, G., *et al.,* 2013b. "Socio-Hydrology: Conceptualising Human-Flood Interactions." *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 17 (8): 3295–3303.

Döll, P. et al., 2015. Integrating risks of climate change into water management. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 60(1): 4-13.

Domeneghetti, A., *et al.,* 2015. Investigating the uncertainty of satellite altimetry products for hydrodynamic modelling. *Hydrological Processes* (in print), doi:10.1002/hyp.10507.

Domeneghetti, A., *et al.,* 2014. The use of remote sensing-derived water surface data for hydraulic model calibration. *Remote Sensing of Environment*. 149, 130-141, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2014.04.007.

Dottori, F., Di Baldassarre, G., and Todini, E., 2013. Detailed data is welcome, but with a pinch of salt: Accuracy, precision, and uncertainty in flood inundation modeling. *Water Resources Research*, 49(9), 6079-6085.

Douven, W. et al., 2012. Enhancing capacities of riparian professionals to address and resolve transboundary issues in international river basins: experiences from the Lower Mekong River Basin. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 16(9): 3183-3197.

Douville, H., 2010. Relative contribution of soil moisture and snow mass to seasonal climate predictability: a pilot study. *Climate Dynamics*. 34, 797-818.

Egüen, M., *et al.,* 2015. Flood risk trends in coastal watersheds in South Spain: direct and indirect impact of river regulation. *Proc. IAHS*, 370, 51-56. doi:10.5194/piahs-370-51-2015

Elshafei, Y., *et al.,* 2014. "A Prototype Framework for Models of Socio-Hydrology: Identification of Key Feedback Loops and Parameterisation Approach." *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 18 (6): 2141–66.

Ertsen, M.W., *et al.,* 2013. "A Journey of a Thousand Miles Begins with One Small Step–human Agency, Hydrological Processes and Time in Socio-Hydrology." *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions*, 10, 2013.

Efstratiadis, A., Nalbantis, I., and Koutsoyiannis, D., 2015. Hydrological modelling of temporally-varying catchments: facets of change and the value of information. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 60 (7–8). doi:10.1080/02626667.2014.982123

Fernandez, S., Bouleau, G., and Treyer, S., 2014. Bringing politics back into water planning scenarios in Europe. *Journal of Hydrology*, 518, 17-27.

Fidar, A., Memon, F. A., and Butler, D., 2010. Environmental implications of water efficient microcomponents in residential buildings. *Science of the total environment*, 408(23), 5828-5835.

Field, C. B. (Ed.)., 2014. *Climate change 2014: impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability* (Vol. 1). IPCC.

Finger, D., Schmid, M., and Wüest, A., 2007. Comparing effects of oligotrophication and upstream hydropower dams on plankton and productivity in perialpine lakes, *Water Resour. Res*., 43, W12404, doi:10.1029/2007WR005868.

Finger, D., *et al.,* 2012. Projections of future water resources and their uncertainty in a glacierized catchment in the Swiss Alps and the subsequent effects on hydropower production during the 21st century, *Water Resour. Res*., 48, W02521, doi:10.1029/2011WR010733.

Flachsbarth, I., *et al.,* 2015. The role of Latin America's land and water resources for global food security: Environmental trade-offs of future food production pathways, *PLOS ONE*, 10(1): e0116733.

Fuller, B. 2011. Enabling problem-solving between science and politics in water conflicts: impasses and breakthroughs in the Everglades, Florida, USA. *Hydrol. Sci. J.* 56(4), 576–587.

Gain, A.K. and Wada, Y. 2014. Assessment of Future Water Scarcity at Different Spatial and Temporal Scales of the Brahmaputra River Basin. *Water Resources Management* 28, 999-1012.

Gedikli, A., *et al.,* 2010. Modified dynamic programming approach for offline segmentation of long hydrometeorological time series. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, 24(5), 547-557.

Gelfan, A., Semenov, V., and Motovilov, Yu., 2015b. Climate noise effect on uncertainty of hydrological extremes: numerical experiments with hydrological and climate models. In C. Cudennec, A. Eicker, P. Pilon, M. Stoffel, A. Viglione, and Z. Xu, ed. *Extreme Hydrological Events*, 22-26 June, 2015, Prague, Proc. IAHS, 369, 49–53, doi:10.5194/piahs-369-49-2015.

Gelfan, A., *et al.,* 2015a. Large-basin hydrological response to climate model outputs: uncertainty caused by internal atmospheric variability. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* 19, 2737-2754, doi:10.5194/hess-19-2737-2015, 2015.

Goldfarb, W., 1988. *Water Law*, Second Edition, Lewis Publishers, New Brunswick, USA.

Gómez-Beas, R., Moñino, A., and Polo, M.J. 2012. Development of a management tool for reservoirs in Mediterranean environments based on uncertainty analysis. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Science*, 12 (5), 1789-1797.

Gordon, R. P., *et al.,* 2015. Sources and pathways of stream generation in tropical proglacial valleys of the Cordillera Blanca, Peru. *Journal of Hydrology* 522, 628-644

Gupta, H. V., *et al.,* 2014. Large-sample hydrology: a need to balance depth with breadth. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci*., 18 (2), 463-477.

Hall, J., *et al*., 2014. Understanding Flood Regime Changes in Europe: A state of the art assessment, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci*., 18, 2735-2772.

Hall, J.W., 2013. Flood Risk Management: Decision Making Under Uncertainty. In K.J. Beven and J.W. Hall (eds.) *Uncertainty in Flood Risk Management*. London: Imperial College Press, 2013, pp.3-24.

Hannah D.M., *et al.,* 2011, Large-scale river flow archives: importance, current status and future needs, *Hydrological Processes - HPToday Invited Commentary*, 25, 1191–1200 DOI: 10.1002/hyp.7794

Herrero, J. and Polo, M.J. 2012. Parameterization of atmospheric longwave emissivity in a mountainous site for all sky conditions. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci*., 16, 3139-3147. doi:10.5194/hess-16-3139-2012

Hirsch, R.M. and Archfield, S.A., 2015. Flood trends: Not higher but more often. *Nature Climate Change* 5,198–199, doi:10.1038/nclimate2551

Hoekstra, A.Y., 2011, The global dimension of water governance: Why the river basin approach is no longer sufficient and why cooperative action at global level is needed, *Water*, 3(1): 21-46.

Hoekstra, A.Y., 2014a, Water scarcity challenges to business, *Nature Climate Change*, 4(5): 318-320.

Hoekstra, A.Y., *et al.,* 2012. Global monthly water scarcity: Blue water footprints versus blue water availability, *PLoS ONE*, 7(2): e32688.

Hrachowitz, M., *et al*., 2013. A decade of Predictions in Ungauged Basins (PUB)—*A review. Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 58 (6) , doi:10.1080/02626667.2013.803183.

Hughes, D. A., et al. 2015. A review of aspects of hydrological sciences research in Africa over the past decade. *Hydrological Sciences Journal* 60(11): 1865-1879.

IAHS, 2015. *The Prague Statement on The Need for Action to Develop Water Resources Management Systems*. iahs.info/uploads/IUGG2015%20Bureau/The_Prague_Statement_extended.pdf

Jackson, N., Konar, M., and Hoekstra, A.Y., 2015. The water footprint of food aid, *Sustainability*, 7(6): 6435-6456.

Jiménez, B. and Chavez, A., 2004, Quality assessment of an aquifer recharged with wastewater for its potential use as drinking source: "El Mezquital Valley" case. *Water Science and Technology*. 50 (2): 269-276.

Kenway, S. J., *et al.,* 2011. The connection between water and energy in cities: a review. *Water Science & Technology*, 63(9), 1983-1990.

Kesserwani, G. and Liang, Q., 2015. RKDG2 shallow-water solver on non-uniform grids with local time steps: Application to 1D and 2D hydrodynamics. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 39(3–4), 1317-1340.

Khamis K., *et al.,* 2014, Alpine aquatic ecosystem conservation policy in a changing climate, *Environmental Science and Policy*, 43, 39-55

Kienzler, S., *et al.,* 2015. After the extreme flood in 2002: changes in preparedness, response and recovery of flood-affected residents in Germany between 2005 and 2011. *Nat. Hazard. Earth Syst. Sci*., 15, 505-526.

Kingston D.G., *et al.,* 2013. Ocean-atmosphere forcing of summer streamflow drought in Great Britain, *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 14, 331-343 DOI: 10.1175/JHM-D-11-0100.1

Koronkevich N., *et al.,* 2013. Water supply and anthropogenic load on water resources of Russia In comparison with other countries. *RFBR Journal*, 2 (78), 64-73.

Korteling, B., Dessai, S., and Kapelan, Z., 2013. Using information-gap decision theory for water resources planning under severe uncertainty. *Water Resources Management*, 27(4), 1149-1172.

Koutsoyiannis, D. (2011) Scale of water resources development and sustainability: small is beautiful, large is great. *Hydrol. Sci. J.* 56(4), 553–575.

Koutsoyiannis, D., 2013, Hydrology and change, *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 58:6, 1177-1197, DOI: 10.1080/02626667.2013.804626

Kreibich, H., *et al.,* 2011. Recent changes in flood preparedness of private households and businesses in Germany. *Regional Environmental Change*, 11 (1), 59-71.

Krueger, T., *et al*. 2012. The role of expert opinion in environmental modelling. *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 36, 4-18.

Krueger, T., *et al*. 2015. A transdisciplinary account of water research. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water*.

Kumar, P., 2011. Typology of hydrologic predictability. *Water Resources Research.* 47, W00H05, doi:10.1029/2010WR009769, 2011.

Kummu, M., *et al.,* 2014. Climate-driven interannual variability of water scarcity in food production potential: A global analysis. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 18, 447-461.

Kundzewicz, Z.W. and Robson, A.J., 2004. Change detection in hydrological records - a review of the methodology. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 49(1), 7-19.

Lane, S. N., 2014. Acting, predicting and intervening in a socio-hydrological world. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 18(3), 927-952.

Lane, S. N., *et al*. 2011a. Doing flood risk science differently: an experiment in radical scientific method. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 36(1), 15-36.

Lavers, D. A., Pappenberger, F., and Zsoter, E., 2014. Extending medium-range predictability of extreme hydrological events in Europe. *Nat. Commun.* 5, doi: 10.1038/ncomms6382.

Let's Talk About Water, 2015. http://letstalkaboutwater.com/. Accessed 13.1.2016.

Linneman, M.H., Hoekstra, A.Y., and Berkhout, W., 2015. Ranking water transparency of Dutch stock-listed companies, *Sustainability*, 7(4): 4341-4359.

Linton, J., 2014. Modern water and its discontents: a history of hydrosocial renewal. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water*, 1(1), 111-120.

Linton, J. and Budds, J., 2014. The hydrosocial cycle: Defining and mobilizing a relational-dialectical approach to water, *Geoforum* 57, p 170-180.

Liu J., Liu Q., and Yang H., 2016. Assessing water scarcity by simultaneously considering environmental flow requirements, water quantity, and water quality. *Ecological Indicators* 60: 434-441.

Liu, J., *et al.,* 2010. A high-resolution assessment on global nitrogen flows in cropland. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 107, 8035-8040.

Liu, Y., *et al.,* 2014. "Socio-Hydrologic Perspectives of the Co-Evolution of Humans and Water in the Tarim River Basin, Western China: The Taiji–Tire Model." *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 18 (4): 1289–1303.

Liu, Y., *et al.,* 2012 Advancing data assimilation in operational hydrologic forecasting: progresses, challenges, and emerging opportunities *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 16 (10), 3863-3887, doi:10.5194/hess-16-3863-2012

Lyon, S.W., *et al.,*, 2013. Training hydrologists to be ecohydrologists: a "how-you-can-do-it" example leveraging an active learning environment for studying plant–water interaction. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 17(1): 269-279.

Malik, R. P. S., 2002. Water-energy nexus in resource-poor economies: the Indian experience. *International Journal of Water Resources Development*, 18(1), 47-58.

Malmqvist, B. and Rundle, S., 2002. Threats to the running water ecosystems of the world, *Environmental Conservation*, 29 (2), 134-153, doi:10.1017/S0376892902000097

Marani, M. and Zanetti, S., 2015. Long-term oscillations in rainfall extremes in a 268 year daily time series, *Water Resour. Res*., 51, 639–647, doi:10.1002/2014WR015885.

Maynard, C. M., 2015. Accessing the environment: Delivering ecological and societal benefits through knowledge integration – The case of water management. *Applied Geography*, 58(0), 94-104.

Mays, L.W., 2014. Use of cisterns during antiquity in the Mediterranean region for water resources sustainability, *Water Science and Technology Water Supply*, 14 (1), 38-47, doi: 10.2166/ws.2013.171

McDonald *et al.* 2015. Integrating a Real-Time Environmental Monitoring Lab into University and Community College Courses. *International Journal of Engineering Education* 31(4): 1139-1157.

McMillan, H. and Westerberg, I., 2015. Rating curve estimation under epistemic uncertainty. *Hydrological Processes* 29: 1873–1882.

Mejía, A., *et al*. 2014. A stochastic model of streamflow for urbanized basins. *Water Resources Research*, 50(3), 1984-2001.

Mejía, A., *et al.,* 2015. Anthropogenic controls from urban growth on flow regimes, *Advances in Water Resources*, 84, 125-135.

Mekonnen, M.M., Gerbens-Leenes, P.W., and Hoekstra, A.Y., 2015a. The consumptive water footprint of electricity and heat: A global assessment, *Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology*, 1(3): 285-297.

Mekonnen, M.M., *et al.,* 2015b. Sustainability, efficiency and equitability of water consumption and pollution in Latin America and the Caribbean, *Sustainability*, 7(2): 2086-2112.

Merz, B., *et al.,* 2014. The extreme flood in June 2013 in Germany. *Houille Blanche - Revue internationale de l'eau*, 1, p. 5-10.

Merz, B., *et al.,* 2010. Fluvial flood risk management in a changing world. *Nat. Hazard. Earth Syst. Sci*., 10 (3), 509-527.

Merz, B., *et al.,* 2012. Detection and Attribution of Changes in Flood Hazard and Risk. In: Kundzewicz, Z.W., (Ed.), *Changes in Flood Risk in Europe*, IAHS special publication; 10, IAHS Press, 435-454.

Millares, A., *et al.,* 2014. Bedload dynamics and associated snowmelt influence in mountainous and semiarid alluvial rivers. *Geomorphology*, 206, 330-342.

Montanari, A. *et al*., 2013. "Panta Rhei – Everything Flows": Change in hydrology and society – The IAHS Scientific Decade 2013-2022. *Hydrological Sciences Journal* 58(6): 1256–1275.

Naff, T., 2009. Islamic Law and the Politics of Water, in Dellapenna, J. W. and Gupta, J., eds., *The Evolution of the Law and Politics of Water*. Springer, Berlin, Germany, 37-52.

Nair, S., *et al.,* 2014. Water–energy–greenhouse gas nexus of urban water systems: Review of concepts, state-of-art and methods. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 89, 1-10.

Nearing, G.S. and Gupta, H.V., 2015. The quantity and quality of information in hydrologic models, *Water Resources Research*, 51 (1) 524-538.

Niranjan Kumar, K. and Ouarda, T.B.M.J., 2014. Precipitation variability over UAE and global SST teleconnections. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 119, doi:10.1002/2014JD021724.

Odongo, V. O., *et al.,* 2015. Characterisation of hydroclimatological trends and variability in the Lake Naivasha basin, Kenya. *Hydrol. Process*., doi: 10.1002/hyp.10443

Oki, T. and Kanae, S., 2006. Global hydrological cycles and world water resources. *Science* 313, 1068-1072.

Ostrom, E., 2009. A General Framework for Analyzing Sustainability of Social-Ecological Systems, *Science*, 325 (5939), 419-422, doi: 10.1126/science.1172133

Ouarda, T.B.M.J., *et al.,* 2014. Evolution of the rainfall regime in the United Arab Emirates, *J. Hydrol.*, 514(6), 258–270, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.04.032.

Pardo, N., *et al.,* 2014. SEBS validation in a Spanish rotating crop. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 195, 132-142.

Paterson, W., *et al*. 2015. Water Footprint of Cities: A Review and Suggestions for Future Research. *Sustainability*, 7(7), 8461.

Pathirana, A., *et al.*, 2012. On teaching styles of water educators and the impact of didactic training. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 16(10): 3677-3688.

Peeters F., *et al.,* 2002. Deep-water renewal in Lake Issyk-Kul driven by differential cooling. *Limnol. Oceanogr*., 48(4): 1419-1431.

Pedrozo-Acuña, A., Breña-Naranjo, J. A., and Domínguez-Mora, R., 2014. The hydrological setting of the 2013 floods in Mexico. *Weather*, 69: 295–302. doi: 10.1002/wea.2355

Pérez-Palazón, M.J., 2015. Extreme values of snow-related variables in Mediterranean regions: trends and long-term forecasting in Sierra Nevada (Spain). *Proc. IAHS*, 369, 157-162. doi:10.5194/piahs-369-157-2015

Perrone, D., Murphy, J., and Hornberger, G. M., 2011. Gaining perspective on the water-energy nexus at the community scale. *Environmental science & technology*, 45(10), 4228-4234.

Peterson, T.C., *et al.*, 1998. Homogeneity adjustments of in situ atmospheric climate data: A review, *International Journal of Climatology*, 8(13), 1493-1517.

Pfister, S. and Bayer, P., 2014. Monthly water stress: Spatially and temporally explicit consumptive water footprint of global crop production. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 73, 52-62.

Pianosi, F., *et al.,* 2015. Sensitivity Analysis of environmental models: a systematic review with practical workflow. *Environ. Model. Softw*. (In Review)

Pimentel, R., *et al.,* 2015. Study of snow dynamics at subgrid scale in semiarid environments combining terrestrial photography and data assimilation techniques. Journal of *Hydrometeorology*, 16, 563-578.

Polo, M.J., *et al.,* 2014. Assessing risks for integrated water resource management: coping with uncertainty and the human factor. *Evolving Water Resources Systems: Understanding, Predicting and Managing Water–Society Interactions*, IAHS Publ. 364, 285-291. doi:10.5194/piahs-364-285-2014

Postel, S.L., Daily, G.C., and Ehrlich, P.R., 1996. Human appropriation of renewable freshwater. *Science* 271: 785–788.

Prudhomme, C., *et al.,* 2014. Hydrological droughts in the 21st century, hotspots and uncertainties from a global multimodel ensemble experiment, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA (PNAS)*, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1222473110.

Pugliese, A., Castellarin, A., and Brath A., 2014. Geostatistical prediction of flow–duration curves in an index-flow framework. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci*. 18, 3801-3816, doi:10.5194/hess-18-3801-2014.

Ragettli, S., *et al.,* 2015. Unraveling the hydrology of a Himalayan catchment through integration of high resolution in situ data and remote sensing with an advanced simulation model, *Advances in Water Resources,* 78, 94-111

Refsgaard, J.-C., *et al.,* 2013. The role of uncertainty in climate change adaptation strategies—A Danish water management example. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 18: 337-359.

Rockström, J., *et al.,* 2009. Planetary Boundaries: Exploring the Safe Operating Space for Humanity. *Ecology and Society* 14 (2): 32.

Rodríguez-Rincón, J.P., Pedrozo-Acuña, A., and Breña-Naranjo, J.A., 2015. Propagation of hydro-meteorological uncertainty in a model cascade framework to inundation prediction. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci*., 19, 2981–2998.

Romaguera, M., Krol, M.S., Su, Z., Hoekstra, A.Y. 2014. Application of a remote sensing method for estimating monthly blue water evapotranspiration in irrigated agriculture. *Remote Sensing*, 6 (10), 10033-10050.

Rosales-Sierra P., 2007, Le processus de révision constitutionnelle au Mexique pendant la période de domination du Parti révolutionnaire institutionnel(1929-2000) : le cas des droits sociaux, articles 27 et 123, Thesis PhD, Université Panthéon-Assas

Rosales-Sierra V., Garcia-Govea C., 2014, Avances para entender las relaciones entre la hidrología y la sociedad caso de estudio "Valle de Nejapa", in: 5º Encuentro Nacional de Investigadores, september the 26th 2014, Oaxaca, Mexico.

Rossel, F., *et al*. 2014. Spatial characterization of catchment dispersion mechanisms in an urban context. *Advances in Water Resources*, 74(0), 290-301.

Rothausen, S. G. and Conway, D., 2011. Greenhouse-gas emissions from energy use in the water sector. *Nature Climate Change*, 1(4), 210-219.

Ruddell, B. L., *et al*. 2014. Embedded resource accounting for coupled natural-human systems: An application to water resource impacts of the western U.S. electrical energy trade. *Water Resources Research*, 50(10), 7957-7972.

Ruffault, J., *et al.,* 2014. Projecting future drought in Mediterranean forests: bias correction of climate models matters!. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 117(1-2), 113-122.

Rushforth, R. and Ruddell, B. 2015. The Hydro-Economic Interdependency of Cities: Virtual Water Connections of the Phoenix, Arizona Metropolitan Area. *Sustainability*, 7(7), 8522.

Salinas, J.L., *et al.,* 2014. Regional parent flood frequency distributions in Europe – Part 2: Climate and scale controls, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 18, 4391-4401, doi:10.5194/hess-18-4391-2014, 2014.

Sanderson, E. W., *et al.,* 2002. The human footprint and the last of the wild, *BioScience*, 52, 891–904, doi:10.1641/0006 3568(2002)052[0891: THFATL]2.0.CO;2.

Savenije, H.H.G., 2000. Water scarcity indicators: the deception of the numbers. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth* 25, 199-204.

Savenije, H.H.G., Hoekstra, A.Y., and Van der Zaag, P., 2014. Evolving water science in the Anthropocene, *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 18(1): 319-332.

Schewe, J., *et al.,* 2014. Multimodel assessment of water scarcity under climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 111, 3245-3250.

Schröter, K., *et al.,* 2015. What made the June 2013 flood in Germany an exceptional event? A hydro-meteorological evaluation. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 19, p. 309-327.

Schyns, J.F. and Hoekstra, A.Y., 2014. The added value of Water Footprint Assessment for national water policy: A case study for Morocco, *PLOS ONE*, 9(6): e99705.

Scott, C.A., *et al.,* 2014. "Irrigation Efficiency and Water-Policy Implications for River Basin Resilience." *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 18 (4): 1339–48.

Serinaldi, F., 2015. "Dismissing return periods!" *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment* 29(4): 1179-1189.

Shah, T., 2009. Climate change and groundwater: India's opportunities for mitigation and adaptation. *Environmental Research Letters*, 4(3), 035005.

Sheffield, J., *et al.,* 2014. A drought monitoring and forecasting system for sub-Sahara African water resources and food security. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 95(6), 861-882. doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-12-00124.1

Shukla, S., *et al.,* 2013. On the sources of global land surface hydrologic predictability, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*. 17, 2781-2796, doi:10.5194/hess-17-2781-2013.

Singh, R., *et al.,* 2014. A vulnerability driven approach to identify adverse climate and land use change combinations for critical hydrologic indicator thresholds – Application to a watershed in Pennsylvania, USA. *Water Resources Research*, DOI: 10.1002/2013WR014988

Sivakumar, B. (2011) Water crisis: From conflict to cooperation—an overview. *Hydrol. Sci. J.* 56(4), 531–552.

Sivapalan, M., *et al*., 2003. IAHS Decade on Predictions in Ungauged Basins (PUB), 2003–2012: shaping an exciting future for the hydrological sciences. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 48 (6), 857–880. doi:10.1623/hysj.48.6.857.51421.

Sivapalan, M., 2015. Debates—Perspectives on socio-hydrology: Changing water systems and the ‘‘tyranny of small problems,’’—Sociohydrology. *Water Resour. Res.*, 51, doi:10.1002/2015WR017080.

Sivapalan, M., and Blöschl, G., 2015. Time scale interactions and the coevolution of humans and water. *Water Resources Research*, 51(9), 6988-7022, doi:10.1002/2015WR017896.

Sivapalan, M., Savenije, H.H., and Blöschl, G., 2012. Socio-hydrology: A new science of people and water. *Hydrological Processes* 26, 1270–1276.

Slingo, J., *et al*., 2014. *The Recent Storms and Floods in the UK*, Report Met Office and CEH, Exeter, UK.

Srinivasan, V., *et al.,* 2012. The nature and causes of the global water crisis: Syndromes from a meta-analysis of coupled human-water studies. *Water Resources Research*, doi: 10.1029/2011WR011087.

Stagge, J. H., *et al.,* 2015. Candidate distributions for climatological drought indices (SPI and SPEI). *International Journal of Climatology*. doi:10.1002/joc.4267.

Staudinger, M., Stahl, K., and Seibert, J., 2014. A drought index accounting for snow. *Water Resour Res*, 5:7861–7872. doi:10.1002/2013WR015143.

Steffen, W., *et al.,* 2011. The Anthropocene: conceptual and historical perspectives. *Philos Trans R Soc A* 369:842-867.

Stoelzle, M., *et al.,* 2014. Streamflow sensitivity to drought scenarios in catchments with different geology. *Geophys Res Lett*, 41:6174–6183. doi:10.1002/2014GL061344.

Strang, V., 2009. Integrating the social and natural sciences in environmental research: a discussion paper. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 11(1), 1-18.

Teuling, A.J., *et al.,* 2013. Evapotranspiration amplifies European summer drought. *Geophys Res Lett*, 40:2071–2075. doi:10.1002/grl.50495.

Thirel, G., et al., 2015. Hydrology under change: an evaluation protocol to investigate how hydrological models deal with changing catchments. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 60 (7–8). doi:10.1080/02626667.2014.967248

Thompson, S.E., *et al*., 2012. Incorporating student-centered approaches into catchment hydrology teaching: a review and synthesis. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 16(9): 3263-3278.

Tortajada, C., 2015. Dams: an essential component of development. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 20 (1), A4014005.

Trambauer, P., *et al.,* 2015. Hydrological drought forecasting and skill assessment for the Limpopo River basin, southern Africa, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci*., 19, 1695-1711, doi:10.5194/hess-19-1695-2015.

Troy, T. J., Pavao-Zuckerman, M., and Evans, T.P., 2015a. Debates Perspectives—on socio-hydrology: Socio-hydrologic modeling: Tradeoffs, hypothesis testing, and validation. *Water Resour. Res.*, 51, doi:10.1002/2015WR017046.

Troy, T.J., *et al.,* 2015b. Moving sociohydrology forward: a synthesis across studies. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* Discussions 12, 3319–3348.

Tsakalias, G. and Koutsoyiannis, D., 1999. A comprehensive system for the exploration and analysis of hydrological data. *Water Resources Management*, 13(4), 269-302.

UN-Water, 2013. *Water Security and the Global Water Agenda*. United Nations University, UN-Water Analytical Brief, 01/2013. http://www.unwater.org/publications/publications-detail/en/c/197890/

Van der Hornet, R. and McAneney, J., 2011. The 2011 Brisbane Floods: Causes, Impacts and Implications, *Water*, 3(4), 1149-1173; doi:10.3390/w3041149

Van Dijk, A. I., *et al.,* 2013. The Millennium Drought in southeast Australia (2001–2009): Natural and human causes and implications for water resources, ecosystems, economy, and society. *Water Resources Research*, 49(2), 1040-1057.

Van Loon, A.F. And Van Lanen, H.A.J., 2013. Making the distinction between water scarcity and drought using an observation-modeling framework. *Water Resources Research*, 49(3), 1483-1502.

Van Loon, A. F., 2015, Hydrological drought explained. *WIREs Water*, 2: 359–392. doi: 10.1002/wat2.1085.

Van Loon, A.F., *et al., in prep*. Drought in the Anthropocene: concepts, challenges and approaches for a changing world. To be submitted to *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci*., Special Issue HYPER Drought.

Vanham, D., Hoekstra, A.Y., and Bidoglio, G., 2013. Potential water saving through changes in European diets, *Environment International*, 61: 45-56

Viglione, A., *et al.,* 2014. Insights from socio-hydrology modelling on dealing with flood risk–roles of collective memory, risk-taking attitude and trust. *J. Hydrology* 518: 71-82.

Vorogushyn, S. and Merz, B., 2013. Flood trends along the Rhine: the role of river training. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 17 (10), 3871-3884.

Vörösmarty, C.J., *et al*., 2010. Global threats to human water security and river biodiversity. *Nature* 467(7315), 555−561. doi: 10.1038/nature09440

Vörösmarty, C.J., *et al.,* 2000. Global Water Resources: Vulnerability from Climate Change and Population Growth. *Science* 289, 284-288.

Vörösmarty, C.J., *et al.,* 2015. Fresh water goes global, *Science*, 349 (6247): 478-479.

Wagener, T., *et al.,* 2010 The future of hydrology: An evolving science for a changing world. *Water Resources Research*. 46: W05301

Wagener, T. *et al.*, 2012. It takes a community to raise a hydrologist: the Modular Curriculum for Hydrologic Advancement (MOCHA). *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 16(9): 3405-3418.

Wanders, N. And Wada, Y., 2015. Human and climate impacts on the 21st century hydrological drought. *Journal of Hydrology*, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.10.047.

Wanders, N., Wada, Y., and Van Lanen, H. A. J., 2015. Global hydrological droughts in the 21st century under a changing hydrological regime. *Earth System Dynamics*, 6, 1-15.

Webster, P. J., Toma, V. E., and Kim, H. M., 2011. Were the 2010 Pakistan floods predictable?, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 38, L04806, doi:10.1029/2010GL046346.

Wehn, U., *et al.,* 2016. *Chapter 16 – Scientific and technological innovations*. The United Nations World Water Development Report 2016 'Water and jobs', in press.

Wen, J., *et al.,* 2014. New evidence for the links between the local water cycle and the underground wet sand layer of a mega-dune in the Badain Jaran Desert, China. *Journal of Arid Land*, 6 (4), 371-377.

Wescoat Jr, J.L., 2013. "Reconstructing the Duty of Water: A Study of Emergent Norms in Socio-Hydrology." *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 17 (12): 4759–68.

Westerberg, I. and McMillan, H., 2015 Uncertainty in hydrological signatures, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci*., 19, 3951-3968, doi:10.5194/hess-19-3951-2015, 2015.

Woodward, E. E., *et al*., 2014. Vadose Zone Transport of Natural and Synthetic Estrogen Hormones at Penn State's "Living Filter" Wastewater Irrigation Site. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 43(6), 1933-1941.

World Economic Forum, 2015. *Global Risks 2015*, WEF, Geneva.

Xiong, L.H., Guo, S.L., 2004. Trend test and change-point detection for the annual discharge series of the Yangtze River at the Yichang hydrological station, *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 49(1), 99-112.

Young, G., Cudennec, C., and Savenije, H., 2015. Contribution to shaping UNESCO's hydrological programmes. In 'UNESCO's IHP and IHD 50 years anniversary book', UNESCO International Hydrological Programme.

Zeng, Z., Liu, J., and Savenije, H.H.G., 2013. A simple approach to assess water scarcity integrating water quantity and quality. *Ecological Indicators* 34, 441-449.

Zhao, X., *et al.,* 2015. Physical and virtual water transfers for regional water stress alleviation in China. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 112, 1031-1035.

Zlinszky, A. and Timár, G., 2013. “Historic Maps as a Data Source for Socio-Hydrology: A Case Study of the Lake Balaton Wetland System, Hungary.” *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 17 (11): 4589–4606.

Tables

Table 1: The Science Questions of Panta Rhei, with examples, and a list of Working Groups addressing each question (Working Group numbers refer to Table 2).

	Science Question	Examples	Working groups
1	What are the key gaps in our understanding of hydrological change?	• Complex geographic systems, such as mountain areas, urban areas, alluvial fans, deltas, intensive agricultural areas. • Inter- and transdisciplinary understanding	4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 26, 27, 30, 31
2	How do changes in hydrological systems interact with, and feedback to, natural and social systems driven by hydrological processes?	• Study of history of these coupled systems • Hydrology-society as tightly-coupled not loosely-coupled models. • Interaction of natural variability with human effects.	2, 6, 7, 9, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 24, 26, 27, 28, 30, 31
3	What are the boundaries of coupled hydrological and societal systems?	• External drivers and internal system properties of change. • Estimation of future boundary conditions.	2, 5, 7, 12, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 26, 27, 28, 30, 31
4	How can we use improved knowledge of coupled hydrological–social systems to improve model predictions, including estimation of predictive uncertainty and assessment of predictability?	• Estimation of design variables under change, including scientific and societal uncertainty. • Ability to make predictions in changing systems, including feedback effects that change the equilibrium behaviour	1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 17, 18, 20, 21, 27, 30, 31
5	How can we advance our monitoring and data analysis capabilities to predict and manage hydrological change?	• Opportunities for remote sensing in areas without dense hydrological networks • Open data initiatives	1, 4, 7, 9, 10, 17, 18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 27, 30
6	How can we support societies to adapt to changing conditions by considering the uncertainties and feedbacks between natural and human-induced hydrological changes?	• Impact on policy making and prediction • Education strategies • Interdisciplinary activity • Science-society knowledge co-production	1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 24, 28, 30

Table 2: The working groups of Panta Rhei

	Working Group	Chair
1	Hydro-meteorological extremes: Decision making in an uncertain environment	Adrián Pedrozo Acuña
2	Large dams, society, and environment	Bellie Sivakumar
3	Thirsty future: energy and food impacts on water	Ana Mijic
4	Changing biogeochemistry of aquatic systems in the Anthropocene	Hong-Yi Li
5	Transdisciplinarity	Tobias Krueger
6	Natural and man-made control systems in water resources	Ronald van Nooijen
7	Water and energy fluxes in a changing environment	Maria J. Polo
8	Epistemic uncertainties	Paul Smith
9	Comparative water footprint studies	Arjen Y. Hoekstra
10	Hydrologic services and hazards in multiple ungauged basins	Hilary McMillan
11	Understanding flood changes	Alberto Viglione
12	Physics of hydrological predictability	Alexander Gelfan
13	Mountain hydrology	Shreedhar Maskey
14	Large sample hydrology	Vazkén Andreassian
15	Socio-hydrologic modelling and synthesis	Veena Srinivasan
16	Sustainable water supply in urban change	Tatiana Bibikova
17	Water footprint of cities	Alfonso Mejia
18	Evolving urban water systems	Alfonso Mejia
19	Changes in flood risk	Heidi Kreibich
20	Anthropogenic and climatic controls on water availability (ACCuRAcY)	Attilio Castellarin
21	Floods in historical cities	Alberto Montanari
22	Prediction under change (PUC)	Hafzullah Aksoy
23	Data-driven hydrology	Elena Toth
24	Modelling hydrological processes and changes	Yangbo Chen
25	Resilience-based management of natural resources: the fundamental role of water and soil in functional ecosystems	David Finger
26	Integrating history, social conflicts and hydrology: From semi pristine to highly modified hydrological systems	Victor Rosales Sierra
27	Drought in the Anthropocene	Anne van Loon
28	Water scarcity assessment: Method and application	Junguo Liu
29	Improving hydrological systems knowledge	Jun Xia
30	Process-based hydrologic modelling for decision-making	Chaopeng Shen
31	Status and future of African river systems	Jörg Helmschrot

Figure Captions

Figure 1. The Panta Rhei logo

Figure 2. Human impacts on hydrology; examples from New Zealand. (A) Water spilling over the Roxburgh dam on the Clutha River. (B) Irrigation channel near Methven, Canterbury. (C) Centre-pivot irrigator on dairy pasture, Canterbury. (D) Cattle on wetland area, Wairarapa. Credit: NIWA Image Library, photographers Dave Allen, James Sukias.

Figure 3. MODIS satellite images of Lake Powell, Colorado, USA behind the Glen Canyon Dam show severe declines in water level between 1999 (A) and 2015 (B) due to prolonged drought and high water withdrawals. Image credit: NASA.

Figure 4. Four different interpretations of the study of hydrology and society

Figure 5. Drought types: climate-induced drought, human-induced drought, modified drought. Reproduced from Van Loon *et al*. (*in prep*) with permission.