A Prospective Evaluation of Endogenous Sex Hormone Levels and Colorectal Cancer Risk in Postmenopausal Women

Neil Murphy[1]; Howard D. Strickler[2]; Frank Z. Stanczyk[3]; Xiaonan Xue[2]; Sylvia Wassertheil-Smoller[2]; Thomas E. Rohan[2]; Gloria Y.F. Ho[2]; Garnet L. Anderson[4]; John D. Potter[4,5]; Marc. J. Gunter[1].

1. Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK.
2. Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York, NY, USA.
3. Departments of Obstetrics and Gynecology, and Preventive Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA.
4. Public Health Sciences Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, 1100 Fairview Avenue North, P.O. Box 19024, Seattle WA, USA.
5. Centre for Public Health Research, Massey University, PO Box 756, Wellington 6140, New Zealand.

Corresponding author:

Neil Murphy, PhD

Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics

School of Public Health

Imperial College London

St. Mary's Campus

Norfolk Place

London

W2 1PG

United Kingdom

Telephone: +44 (0)20 7594 9652

Email: neil.murphy@imperial.ac.uk

Abstract

Background

Postmenopausal hormone therapy use has been associated with lower colorectal cancer risk in observational studies. However, the role of endogenous sex hormones in colorectal cancer development in post-menopausal women is uncertain.

Methods

The relation of colorectal cancer risk with circulating levels of estradiol, estrone, free (bioactive) estradiol, progesterone and sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) was determined in a nested case-control study of 1,203 postmenopausal women (401 cases and 802 age and race/ethnicity-matched controls) enrolled in the Women's Health Initiative Clinical Trial (WHI-CT) who were not assigned to the estrogen-alone or combined estrogen plus progestin intervention groups. We used multivariable-adjusted conditional logistic regression models that included established colorectal cancer risk factors. All statistical tests were two-sided.

Results

Comparing extreme quartiles, estrone (odds ratio [OR]$_{q4\text{-}q1}$=0.44, 95% confidence interval [95%CI]: 0.28-0.68; *P*-trend=0.001), free estradiol (OR$_{q4\text{-}q1}$=0.43, 95%CI: 0.27-0.69; *P*-trend=<0.0001) and total estradiol (OR$_{q4\text{-}q1}$=0.58, 95%CI: 0.38-0.90; *P*-trend=0.08) were inversely associated with colorectal cancer risk. SHBG levels were positively associated with colorectal cancer development (OR$_{[q4\text{-}q1]}$=2.30, 95%CI: 1.51-3.51; *P*-trend=<0.0001); this association strengthened after further adjustment for estradiol and estrone (OR$_{q4\text{-}q1}$=2.50, 95%CI: 1.59-3.92; *P*-trend<0.0001). Progesterone was not associated with colorectal cancer risk.

Conclusion

Endogenous estrogen levels were inversely, and SHBG levels positively, associated with colorectal cancer risk, even after control for several colorectal cancer risk factors. These results suggest that endogenous estrogens may confer protection against colorectal tumorigenesis among post-menopausal women.

Introduction

Colorectal cancer is the third most common cancer worldwide with more than one million new cases diagnosed every year (1). Colorectal cancer incidence rates are lower among women compared to men across all age categories and it has been hypothesized that higher exposure to estrogens among women may confer a protective role (2). Consistent with this hypothesis are findings from a substantial body of epidemiologic literature that report a 20-40% lower incidence of colorectal cancer among users, than non-users, of early high-dose oral contraceptives (3) and of post-menopausal hormone therapy (HT) (4-9). However, in contrast to the results from observational studies of HT use and colorectal cancer, the Women's Health Initiative Clinical Trial (WHI-CT) reported no effect of estrogen-alone therapy on colorectal cancer risk (10). Further, while administration of estrogen plus progestin was initially found to yield a 44% reduction in risk of developing colorectal cancer compared to the placebo group (10), longer follow-up revealed this finding was a probable consequence of diagnostic delay (8).

Data from studies that have evaluated the association of endogenous, circulating estrogens on colorectal cancer incidence are limited and contradictory. We previously reported an unexpected borderline-statistically significant positive association between endogenous estradiol levels and colorectal cancer incidence among participants of the WHI observational study (WHI-OS), that was independent of related factors such as body habitus and hyperinsulinemia (11); although that study did not measure circulating levels of other sex hormones, such as estrone, progesterone, and free estradiol, or sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG). Since the publication of the WHI-OS analysis, two follow-up studies conducted in the New York University Women's Health Study (NYUWHS) (12) and a joint Nurses' Health Study (NHS) and Women's Health Study (WHS) analysis reported no association between estradiol levels and colorectal cancer risk (13). Data on other

components of the sex hormone axis, including estrone and sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG), and their association with colorectal cancer are also sparse, and no studies have evaluated the association of endogenous progesterone levels with colorectal cancer.

Therefore, to further knowledge on the role of endogenous estradiol and other sex hormones on colorectal cancer development, we conducted a prospective evaluation of estradiol, estrone, free (unbound) estradiol, progesterone, and SHBG and colorectal cancer risk using the current gold standard sex hormone assays (14;15) among participants of the WHI-CT who were not assigned to the estrogen-alone or combined estrogen plus progestin intervention arms, and were therefore not using exogenous hormones at baseline. We also controlled for other serologic factors that are related to sex hormone levels and adiposity, and have been linked to colorectal cancer incidence in some studies, namely fasting insulin, free insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I, and C-reactive protein (CRP).

Methods

Study Population and Collection of Blood Samples

At study baseline (1993-1998), 68,133 postmenopausal women (aged 50-79 years) were enrolled into the WHI-CT, which had four components: (1) hormone therapy with estrogen-alone (E); (2) hormone therapy with combined estrogen plus progestin (E+P); (3) dietary modification (DM); and (4) calcium plus vitamin D (CaD) (16). Women were recruited from 40 clinical centers across the United States (US) using mass-mailing to age-eligible women who were enumerated from voter registration, driver's licenses, and HCFA records (17). Fasting blood samples were collected from all participants at baseline and during the Year 1 clinic visits. Blood samples were labeled, centrifuged and stored at -70°C within 2 hours of

collection. All specimens were shipped to the central WHI biorepository for long-term storage.

Data collection

All women enrolled in the WHI-CT completed a baseline clinic visit and detailed questionnaire that included information regarding medical and reproductive history, family medical history, a food frequency questionnaire, an inventory of currently used medications (including dietary supplements), and an assessment of psychosocial factors, quality-of-life, and health-related behaviors. During the baseline visit at the WHI Clinical Centre, height, weight, waist/hip circumferences and blood pressure measurements were taken. Questionnaires were repeated annually thereafter. Incident cancers indicated in these questionnaires or by other self-report were subsequently confirmed through centralized review of all pathology reports, discharge and consultant summaries, operative and radiology reports, and tumor registry abstracts.

Selection of Case and Control Participants

Eligibility criteria for case and control participants were: (i) inclusion within the WHI-CT, but not assigned to the E or E+P intervention arms; (ii) no baseline use of hormones (pill, skin patch, cream, or shot), unless women underwent a washout period which ended prior to baseline blood draw; (iii) no history of colorectal cancer at baseline; (iv) availability of adequate serum sample (1.2 ml); (v) colorectal cancer diagnosed at least 1 year after randomization (cases only); (vi) no history of diabetes at baseline; (vii) no use of diabetes medication at baseline. Using these criteria, as of August 15, 2008, 401 colorectal cancer cases were eligible for this analysis. Incident colorectal cancer was defined as the diagnosis of disease (International Classification of Diseases for Oncology site codes 153.3-153.4, 153.6-153.9, and 154.0-154.1) after >1 year of follow-up. Each case was matched with 2 controls (n=802 controls) that exactly met the matching criteria of: age (±0 years), ethnicity

(White, Black, Hispanic, American Indian, Asian/Pacific Islander, or unknown), HT assignments (E placebo, P placebo, or not randomized (NR), DM assignments (intervention, control or NR), and CaD assignments (intervention, control or NR). Control selection was performed in a time-forward manner, selecting one control for each case first from the risk set at the time of the case's event and this process was then repeated for the selection of the second control.

Laboratory Methods

All serologic assays were performed in the laboratory of Dr Frank Stanczyk, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA. Serum levels of estradiol, estrone and progesterone were quantified by validated, previously described radioimmunoassay (RIAs) (14;15). Prior to the RIA, the steroid hormones were extracted from serum with hexane:ethyl acetate (3:2 ratio). Estradiol, estrone, and progesterone were then separated from each other and from interfering steroids by Celite column partition chromatography using ethylene glycol as the stationary phase. Progesterone was eluted with trimethylpentane, and estrone and estradiol were eluted with 15% and 40% ethyl acetate in trimethylpentane, respectively. The sensitivities of the estradiol, estrone and progesterone assays were 2 pg/mL, 4 pg/mL, and 10 pg/mL, respectively; all measured values were above the assay sensitivity lower limit. Assay specificity was achieved by undertaking organic-solvent extraction and chromatographic steps prior to quantification of the analytes, and/or use of highly specific anti-sera. Assay accuracy was established by demonstrating consistency between measured concentrations of a serially diluted analyte in serum and the corresponding standard curve. SHBG was quantified by a solid-phase, two-site chemiluminescent immunoassay using the Immulite Analyzer (Siemens Medical Solutions Diagnostics, Los Angeles, CA). The solid phase is a polystyrene bead with a monoclonal antibody specific for SHBG. Free estradiol levels were calculated using total estradiol concentrations, SHBG concentrations and an assumed constant for albumin in a validated algorithm (18;19). This

method does not distinguish between free estradiol and bioavailable (non-SHBG bound) estradiol. Insulin and free IGF-I concentrations were determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) using commercially available immunoassay kits from Diagnostic Systems Laboratories (DSL; Webster, Texas). CRP levels were determined using a solid-phase chemiluminescent immunometric assay on the Immulite analyzer (Siemens Medical Solutions Diagnostics, Los Angeles, CA). Cases and matched controls were assayed together in batches of 41 or less depending on where the blind duplicates fell in the random distribution within the pull - 10 blind duplicates (5 pairs) were analyzed for every 100 participant samples. The mean intra-assay coefficients of variation from the duplicate samples were 11% for estradiol, 10% for estrone, 13% for progesterone, 4% for SHBG, 8% for insulin, 16% for free IGF-I, and 4% for CRP.

Statistical Analysis

Univariate differences between cases and controls were assessed using the Wilcoxon two-sample tests for continuous variables and χ^2 tests for categorical variables. Multivariable conditional logistic regression, stratified by case-control set, was used to compute odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) for the associations between circulating levels of sex hormones and colorectal, colon, and rectal cancers. Participants were divided into quartiles (colorectal and colon cancers) or tertiles (rectal cancer) based on the distributions of circulating levels of sex hormones in the control group. Statistical tests for trend for a given analyte were calculated using the ordinal quartile entered into the models as a continuous variable. The multivariable models were adjusted for a set of *a priori*-determined colorectal cancer risk factors, namely waist circumference, alcohol consumption, family history of colorectal cancer, physical activity, smoking status, and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) use. Additional adjustment for dietary intakes of fiber, calcium, and folate resulted in virtually unchanged OR estimates. Further adjustment for circulating levels of insulin and free IGF-I were also made for the sex hormone, SHBG, and waist

circumference models. The estrone and total estradiol models were additionally adjusted for SHBG, and vice-versa. Possible non-linear effects were modeled using restricted cubic spline models with five knots placed at the 10^{th}, 25^{th}, 50^{th}, 75^{th}, and 95^{th} percentiles for estradiol, estrone, free estradiol, and SHBG models. Stratified analyses according to body mass index (BMI; <30 or ≥30 kg/m^2), waist circumference (above and below median based on distribution of the control group) and previous use of HT were also performed. Heterogeneity of associations for colon and rectal cancer sub-sites was assessed by calculating X^2 statistics using one degree of freedom from meta-analysing the ORs and 95%CI in the highest sex hormone and SHBG quantiles.

In sensitivity analyses, to ensure that exogenous hormone use was not biasing our results, individuals with total estradiol levels >30 pg/mL and current HT users (who underwent a washout period prior to study onset) were excluded and all models were re-run. Also in sensitivity analyses, the case-control match was broken and the associations were re-analyzed using unconditional logistic regression, plus additional adjustment for age and race/ethnicity. All analyses were also performed when cases diagnosed within the first three years of follow-up were excluded. Statistical tests used in the analysis were all two-sided and a *P*-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Analyses were conducted using Stata version 11.0.

Results

Descriptive Data Analysis

Compared to the control group participants, cases had lower serum levels of estrone and free estradiol and higher levels of SHBG (Table 1). No other differences in baseline characteristics between cases and controls were found, with near identical medians found for BMI, waist circumference, and circulating levels of insulin. Estrone and estradiol had a moderate positive correlation with waist circumference and insulin (Supplementary Table 1, available online), whereas SHBG was inversely correlated with waist circumference and insulin.

Sex Hormone Levels and Risk of Colorectal Cancer

In the multivariable models, estrone (OR comparing quartile 4 and 1 $_{[q4\text{-}q1]}$=0.50, 95%CI: 0.33-0.75; *P*-trend=0.002), free estradiol (OR $_{[q4\text{-}q1]}$=0.43, 95%CI: 0.28-0.67; *P*-trend=<0.001) and total estradiol ($OR_{[q4\text{-}q1]}$=0.64, 95%CI: 0.43-0.97; *P*-trend=0.12) were inversely associated with colorectal cancer (Table 2). These associations were unaffected after adjusting simultaneously for serum levels of insulin, CRP, and free IGF-I (estrone, $OR_{[q4\text{-}q1]}$=0.44, 95%CI: 0.28-0.68, *P*-trend=0.001; free estradiol, $_{OR[q4\text{-}q1]}$=0.43, 95%CI: 0.27-0.69, *P*-trend=<0.0001; and total estradiol, $OR_{[q4\text{-}q1]}$=0.58, 95%CI: 0.38-0.90, *P*-trend=0.08; Table 3). In the restricted cubic spline models, no statistically significant deviations from linearity for the relationships between estrone (*P*-non-linear=0.13), free estradiol (*P*-non-linear=0.89), and total estradiol (*P*-non-linear=0.87) and colorectal cancer were observed (**Figure 1**). Divergent associations were observed when analyzed by sub-site, with strong inverse associations observed for colon cancer, and statistically non-significant positive associations observed for rectal cancer; however, the differences between sites were statistically non-

significant (Table 4; estrone *P*-heterogeneity=0.15; total estradiol *P*-heterogeneity=0.13; free estradiol *P*-heterogeneity=0.09).

Levels of SHBG were positively associated with colorectal cancer risk in the multivariable model with elevations in risk evident from the second quartile upwards ($OR_{[q2-q1]}$ = 1.69, 95%CI: 1.16-2.45; $OR_{[q3-q1]}$ = 1.71, 95%CI: 1.16-2.51) and ($OR_{[q4-q1]}$=2.30, 95%CI: 1.51-3.51) (*P*-trend=<0.0001) (Table 2). Additional adjustments for estrone and estradiol, as well as insulin, CRP and free IGF-I, strengthened the positive association between SHBG and colorectal cancer ($OR_{[q4-q1]}$=2.50, 95%CI: 1.59-3.92; *P*-trend=<0.0001) (Table 3). In the restricted cubic spline model, no statistical significant deviation from linearity for the relationship between SHBG (*P*-non-linear=0.08) and colorectal cancer was observed (Figure 1). The positive association of SHBG with colorectal cancer was consistent for both colon and rectal cancer cases separately (Table 4; *P*-heterogeneity=0.68). We observed no association between progesterone level and colorectal cancer in the multivariable model ($OR_{[q4-q1]}$=0.97, 95%CI: 0.66-1.40; *P*-trend=0.93) (Table 2), and this relationship was consistent when colon and rectal cancer was analyzed separately (*P*-heterogeneity=0.25) (further data not shown). Levels of insulin ($OR_{[q4-q1]}$= 0.76, 95%CI: 0.50-1.14), CRP ($OR_{[q4-q1]}$= 0.89, 95%CI: 0.60-1.34) and free IGF-I ($OR_{[q4-q1]}$= 0.70, 95%CI: 0.48-1.03) were not statistically significantly associated with colorectal cancer incidence (Table 2). Waist circumference was non-significantly positively associated with colorectal cancer in the multivariable model ($OR_{[q4-q1]}$=1.37, 95%CI: 0.93-2.01; *P*-trend=0.32) (Table 2); however, this association strengthened and became statistically significant after adjusting for insulin, CRP, free IGF-I, estradiol, estrone, and SHBG ($OR_{[q4-q1]}$=2.24, 95%CI: 1.37-3.68; *P*-trend=0.006) (Table 3). Divergent waist circumference associations were observed when analyzed by sub-site, with stronger positive associations observed for colon cancer than rectal cancer; however, this difference was statistically non-significant (*P*-heterogeneity=0.21) (further data

not shown). Similar strength non-statistically significant positive associations were observed for BMI and colorectal, colon, and rectal cancers (data not shown).

None of the associations of the sex hormones with colorectal cancer differed when stratified by waist circumference, BMI, or prior HT use and we detected no significant heterogeneity between the sex hormones and other serologic factors (Supplementary Table 2). In sensitivity analyses, when women with total estradiol levels >30 pg/mL (n=38) and current HT users (who had undergone washout period) (n=20) were excluded from the analyses, the results were essentially unaltered. Similar relationships were also observed when participants who were part of the intervention groups of the DM and CaD study arms were excluded; the case-control match was broken and all models were re-analyzed; the cases diagnosed within the first three years of follow-up were excluded; the analyses were stratified by follow-up time (<5 years and ≥5 years); and when the analyses were limited to non-NSAID users only (data not shown).

Discussion

In this prospective study of postmenopausal women enrolled in the Women's Health Initiative, endogenous estradiol and estrone levels were inversely, and SHBG levels positively, associated with colorectal cancer risk, even after control for a number of relevant established colorectal cancer risk factors. Each of these associations showed a statistically significant biologic gradient. These collective data suggest that endogenous estrogens may be biologically related to one or more molecular pathways that are protective against colorectal cancer development.

To our knowledge, this study is the first to report a statistically significant inverse relationship between circulating endogenous estrogen levels and colorectal cancer risk. It is noteworthy therefore that several sources of experimental data also suggest that estrogen may have protective biologic effects on colorectal cancer development. *In vitro* studies have shown that expression of the β estrogen receptor (ERβ) results in the inhibition of proliferation and G1 phase cell-cycle arrest in colon cancer cells (20), and in xenograft mouse studies ERβ expression has been shown to inhibit cMyc expression and tumor growth (20). Further, expression of ERβ is low in human colorectal cancer cells (21) and is inversely associated with stage of colon cancer (22), suggesting a possible role in disease progression. Consistent with this, it has been reported that there is high CpG island methylation of the estrogen receptor gene within colorectal tumors (23).

The current study also found a robust positive association between circulating SHBG levels and colorectal cancer risk that was independent of estrogen. SHBG is a hepatically-synthesized glycoprotein that binds circulating estradiol and testosterone and is therefore an important regulator of their bioactivity. In the current analysis, the associations of estrone and estradiol with colorectal cancer were unaffected by control for SHBG and similarly, the SHBG-colorectal cancer relation was not modified by adjustment for estrone or estradiol. This may suggest a novel pathway for SHBG in elevating colorectal cancer risk that is independent of estrogen and other related factors, such as hyperinsulinemia. Adjustment for circulating testosterone (which was unmeasured in our study) would need to be made to fully confirm this hypothesis. To date, the SHBG receptor has yet to be cloned, meaning that biological roles beyond sex hormone regulation and transportation are poorly understood. Further research on the potential role of SHBG activity in colorectal tumorigenesis is warranted.

The findings of the current investigation are inconsistent with three prior prospective studies that assessed the relationships between endogenous estrogen levels and colorectal cancer, including two studies with null results and one which found a positive estrogen-colorectal cancer relationship. The latter study was a case-cohort investigation in the WHI-OS that included 273 women with colorectal cancer who were not using HT at baseline and observed a hazard ratio (HR) of 1.53 (95% CI: 1.05-2.22) for the highest tertile of estradiol after control for insulin, free-IGF-I, and waist circumference, as well as other colorectal cancer risk factors (11). Two other prospective studies reported no association between circulating sex hormones and colorectal cancer. A case-control study nested in the NYUWHS found no relation of circulating levels of estradiol, estrone and SHBG with colorectal cancer risk (12). A joint NHS and WHS nested case-control analysis with 270 cases also reported no statistically significant relationships for colorectal cancer risk with these same serologic measures (13). However, the NHS/WHS study did observe an inverse association for colorectal cancer risk with the ratio of total estradiol to testosterone, a finding that the authors hypothesized reflected greater aromatase expression and, as a consequence, higher estradiol synthesis (13).

Reasons for the difference in the results from the current analysis compared with the prior investigations are not entirely clear. Possible explanations for the divergent results include that our analysis is considerably larger than the previous studies, we used the current gold standard sex hormone assays, performed individual matching for important risk factors, and had the most thorough covariate information available of any study to date investigating these relationships. Of note, circulating estradiol levels were relatively similar between our analysis and the previous studies (11-13). However, estrone levels were substantially higher in the current study (quartile 1 to quartile 4 <32.5 to ≥57.28 pg/mL) compared to the joint NHS/WHS (quartile 1 to quartile 4 range: 6-16 to ≥32 pg/mL; quartile 1 to quartile 4 range: 5-19 to ≥37 pg/mL) (13) and NYUWHS (quartile 1 to quartile 4 range: ≤13 to ≥26 pg/mL)

analyses (12). Further, our analyses of non-linear effects showed that statistically significant lower colorectal cancer risks were only observed at estrone levels over ~60 pg/mL when compared against the reference level (32.4 pg/mL). Thus, it is possible that estrone, and not estradiol, is driving the inverse relationships we observed, and the null results found in the NHS/WHS and NYUWHS studies are the consequence of lower measured estrone levels. Unfortunately, due to the high correlation between estradiol and estrone (r = 0.82) we could not disentangle these relationships and further studies are warranted to investigate which estrogenic components are most relevant for colorectal cancer in post-menopausal women.

Interestingly, the results of the current study may also enhance understanding of the established positive association between adiposity and colorectal cancer (24;25). It has been consistently shown that the positive relationships between obesity and colorectal cancer are weaker among women than men (24). One proposed explanation for this sex difference is that higher circulating estrogens in women may mitigate the potential tumorigenic effects of excess adiposity on the colorectum (26). In our analysis, the waist circumference and colorectal cancer relationship strengthened and became statistically significant after the multivariable models were additionally adjusted for estrone, estradiol, and SHBG. This suggests that the estrogen-colorectal cancer association may indeed mask the adiposity-colorectal cancer relation in women and future studies that investigate this hypothesis should incorporate estrogen measurements to limit the effects of this confounding bias.

A strength of our analysis is that virtually all women were non-HT users (98.8% of cases and 98% of controls) at baseline, and the remaining current users underwent a washout period. Analysis with this latter small group of women excluded produced essentially unchanged findings. The vast majority of women were never users of HT (70.8% of cases and 75.1% of controls). A limitation of our analysis is that sex hormone levels were measured only once at

baseline and it is possible that these measurements may not reflect exposure levels across time. However, a previous analysis of postmenopausal women reported that the within-person correlation coefficients for free estradiol, estrone and SHBG over a 2-3 year period were 0.73, 0.74 and 0.92 respectively (27), indicating that single measurements provide good estimates of longer term exposures. A further possible explanation for our results was that pre-clinical disease at baseline may have introduced bias (reverse causality) into our analyses. However, all of the 401 colorectal cancer cases were diagnosed after more than 1 year of follow-up. Furthermore, our results remained essentially unaltered when cases diagnosed within the first 3 years of follow-up were excluded, and when the analyses were stratified by follow-up time (<5 years and ≥5 years). Finally, our study lacked data on testosterone and other hormones related to the estrogen and SHBG pathway. Future studies should incorporate testosterone and other androgen measurements into analyses to further inform on the role of the sex hormone axis in colorectal tumorigenesis

In conclusion, in this prospective analysis of postmenopausal women, endogenous levels of estrogens were inversely, and SHBG levels positively, associated with colorectal cancer and, in the case of estrogens, the association was confined to colon cancer. These associations were independent of other colorectal cancer risk factors and are consistent with mechanistic data and observational studies of exogenous hormone use and colorectal cancer risk. While further studies of the relationships between endogenous sex hormone levels, SHBG and colorectal cancer are warranted; these findings suggest that endogenous estrogens may confer a protective effect on colorectal cancer development in post-menopausal women.

Funding:

National Institutes of Health, National Heart Lung and Blood Institute, contract number HHSN268200900010C (PI, M. Gunter). The WHI program is funded by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services through contracts HHSN268201100046C, HHSN268201100001C, HHSN268201100002C, HHSN268201100003C, HHSN268201100004C, and HHSN271201100004C. The authors report no conflicts of interest.

WHI investigators:

Program Office: (National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Bethesda, Maryland) Jacques Rossouw, Shari Ludlam, Dale Burwen, Joan McGowan, Leslie Ford, Nancy Geller.

Clinical Coordinating Center: (Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA) Ross Prentice, Garnet Anderson, Andrea LaCroix, Charles L. Kooperberg, Barbara Cochrane, Julie Hunt, Marian Neuhouser, Lesley Tinker, Susan Heckbert, Alex Reiner. Regional Centers: (Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA) JoAnn E. Manson, Kathryn M. Rexrode, Brian Walsh, J. Michael Gaziano, Maria Bueche; (MedStar Health Research Institute/Howard University, Washington, DC) Barbara V. Howard, Lucile Adams-Campbell, Lawrence Lessin, Cheryl Iglesia, Brian Walitt, Amy Park; (The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH) Rebecca Jackson, Randall Harris, Electra Paskett, W. Jerry Mysiw, Michael Blumenfeld; (Stanford Prevention Research Center, Stanford, CA) Marcia L. Stefanick, Mark A. Hlatky, Manisha Desai, Jean Tang, Stacy T. Sims; (University of Arizona, Tucson/Phoenix, AZ) Cynthia A. Thomson, Tamsen Bassford, Cheryl Ritenbaugh, Zhao Chen, Marcia Ko; (University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY) Jean Wactawski-Wende, Maurizio Trevisan, Ellen Smit, Amy Millen, Michael LaMonte; (University of Florida, Gainesville/Jacksonville, FL) Marian Limacher, Michael Perri, Andrew Kaunitz, R. Stan Williams, Yvonne Brinson; (University of Iowa, Iowa City/Davenport, IA) Robert Wallace, James Torner, Susan Johnson, Linda Snetselaar, Jennifer Robinson; (University of

Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA) Lewis Kuller, Jane Cauley, N. Carole Milas; (University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN) Karen C. Johnson, Suzanne Satterfield, Rongling Li, Stephanie Connelly, Fran Tylavsky; (Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC) Sally Shumaker, Stephen Rapp, Claudine Legault, Mark Espeland, Laura Coker, Michelle Naughton.

Women's Health Initiative Memory Study: (Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC) Sally Shumaker, Stephen Rapp, Claudine Legault, Mark Espeland, Laura Coker, Michelle Naughton.

Former Principal Investigators and Project Officers: (Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY) Sylvia Wassertheil-Smoller (Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX) Haleh Sangi-Haghpeykar, Aleksandar Rajkovic, Jennifer Hays, John Foreyt; (Brown University, Providence, RI) Charles B. Eaton, Annlouise R. Assaf; (Emory University, Atlanta, GA) Lawrence S. Phillips, Nelson Watts, Sally McNagny, Dallas Hall,; (Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA) Shirley A.A. Beresford, Maureen Henderson; (George Washington University, Washington, DC) Lisa Martin, Judith Hsia, Valery Miller; (Harbor-UCLA Research and Education Institute, Torrance, CA) Rowan Chlebowski (Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research, Portland, OR) Erin LeBlanc, Yvonne Michael, Evelyn Whitlock, Cheryl Ritenbaugh, Barbara Valanis; (Kaiser Permanente Division of Research, Oakland, CA) Bette Caan, Robert Hiatt; (National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD) Carolyn Clifford[1]; (Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI) Jane Morley Kotchen (National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Bethesda, Maryland) Linda Pottern; (Northwestern University, Chicago/Evanston, IL) Linda Van Horn, Philip Greenland; (Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL) Lynda Powell, William Elliott, Henry Black; (State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY) Dorothy Lane, Iris Granek; (University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY) Maurizio Trevisan; (University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL) Cora E. Lewis, Albert Oberman; (University of Arizona, Tucson/Phoenix, AZ) Tamsen Bassford, Cheryl Ritenbaugh, Tom Moon; (University of California at Davis, Sacramento, CA) John Robbins; (University of California at Irvine, CA) F. Allan Hubbell,

Frank Meyskens, Jr.; (University of California at Los Angeles, CA) Lauren Nathan, Howard Judd[1]; (University of California at San Diego, LaJolla/Chula Vista, CA) Robert D. Langer; (University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH) Michael Thomas, Margery Gass, James Liu; (University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI) J. David Curb[1]; (University of Massachusetts/Fallon Clinic, Worcester, MA) Judith Ockene; (University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Newark, NJ) Norman Lasser; (University of Miami, Miami, FL) Mary Jo O'Sullivan, Marianna Baum; (University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN) Karen L. Margolis, Richard Grimm; (University of Nevada, Reno, NV) Robert Brunner, Sandra Daugherty[1]; (University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC) Gerardo Heiss, Barbara Hulka, David Sheps; (University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN) Karen Johnson, William Applegate; (University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, TX) Robert Brzyski, Robert Schenken; (University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI) Gloria E. Sarto, Catherine Allen[1]; (Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC) Mara Vitolins, Denise Bonds, Electra Paskett, Greg Burke; (Wayne State University School of Medicine/Karmanos Cancer Institute, Detroit, MI) Michael S. Simon, Susan Hendrix.

[1]deceased.

Figure Legend

Figure 1. Association between circulating **A)** estradiol, **B)** estrone, **C)** free estradiol, and **D)** SHBG with colorectal cancer allowing for non-linear effects (restricted cubic spline). Solid lines indicate the odds ratio and shaded grey areas indicate the 95% confidence intervals. Multivariable models only - adjusted for waist circumference, alcohol consumption, family history of colorectal cancer, physical activity, smoking status, and NSAID use. Estradiol and estrone models additionally adjusted for insulin, IGF-I, CRP, and SHBG. Free estradiol model additionally adjusted for insulin, IGF-I, and CRP. SHBG model additionally adjusted for insulin, IGF-I, CRP, estradiol, and estrone. The references for these restricted cubic spline plots (with five knots placed at the 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, and 95th percentiles) were estradiol 7 pg/mL, estrone 32.4 pg/mL, free estradiol 0.17 pg/mL, and SHBG 22 nmol/L.

Table 1. Selected baseline characteristics of cases and controls

Variable	Cases (n = 401)		Controls (n = 802)		*P*-value *
Age (years) ‡	66.0	(61.0 - 71.0)	66.0	(61.0 - 71.0)	0.99
Ethnicity †					0.99
White	327	(81.6)	654	(81.6)	
Black	46	(11.5)	92	(11.5)	
Hispanic	10	(2.5)	20	(2.5)	
Other/unknown	18	(4.5)	36	(4.5)	
Weight (kg) ‡	74.6	(65.4 - 85.7)	74.0	(64.4 - 87.5)	0.95
Body mass index (kg/m^2) ‡	28.5	(25.1 - 32.5)	28.4	(25.0 - 33.0)	0.83
Waist circumference (cm) ‡	89.0	(81.0 - 100.0)	89.0	(79.0 - 99.0)	0.46
Waist-to-hip ratio ‡	0.82	(0.8 - 0.9)	0.82	(0.8 - 0.9)	0.46
Past HT usage status †					0.11
Never	284	(70.8)	602	(75.1)	
Former	111	(27.7)	184	(22.9)	
Current	5	(1.3)	16	(2.0)	
Missing	1	(0.3)	0	(0.0)	
NSAID use †					0.20
No	277	(69.1)	524	(65.3)	
Yes	124	(30.9)	278	(34.7)	
Family history of colorectal cancer †					0.59
No	299	(74.6)	616	(76.8)	
Yes	68	(17.0)	118	(14.7)	
Missing	34	(8.5)	68	(8.5)	
Smoking status †					0.12
Never	185	(46.1)	420	(52.4)	
Former	181	(45.1)	304	(37.9)	
Current	29	(7.2)	63	(7.9)	
Missing	6	(1.5)	15	(1.9)	
Alcohol (servings per week) †					0.22
Non-consumers	175	(43.7)	364	(45.4)	
0.1-<3.0	125	(31.2)	265	(33.0)	
≥3.0	97	(24.2)	171	(21.3)	
Missing	4	(1.0)	2	(0.3)	
Physical activity (MET-hours per week) †					0.71
<3.75	133	(33.2)	268	(33.4)	
3.75-<9.83	102	(25.4)	176	(22.0)	
9.83-<18.75	65	(16.2)	142	(17.7)	
≥18.75	67	(16.7)	147	(18.3)	
Missing	34	(8.5)	69	(8.6)	
Serological variables ‡					
Estradiol (pg/mL)	9.4	(6.8 - 12.8)	9.5	(7.1 - 13.8)	0.22
Estrone (pg/mL)	40.7	(31.2 - 53.5)	43.0	(32.4 - 57.3)	0.02
Progesterone (pg/mL)	47.4	(36.1 - 62.0)	46.2	(34.9 - 62.9)	0.68
Free estradiol (pg/mL)	0.23	(0.2 - 0.3)	0.25	(0.2 - 0.4)	0.04
SHBG (nmol/L)	44.3	(33.5 - 64.4)	42.4	(29.6 - 60.3)	0.02
Insulin (µIU/mL)	5.6	(2.8 - 9.6)	5.7	(2.9 - 10.1)	0.50
C-reactive protein (mg/L)	2.8	(1.4 - 6.0)	3.0	(1.2 - 6.1)	0.99
Free IGF-I (ng/mL)	0.8	(0.4 - 1.1)	0.8	(0.5 - 1.1)	0.43

‡ Values are medians (IQR).
† Values are n (%).
* Calculated using Wilcoxon two-sample tests for continuous variables and $\chi 2$ tests for categorical variables. All statistical tests were two-sided.

Table 2. Association of circulating levels of sex hormones, SHBG, insulin, CRP, free IGF-I and waist circumference with colorectal cancer in WHI-CT participants

Variables	Quartile 1	Quartile 2	Quartile 3	Quartile 4	*P*-trend†
Estradiol					
Quartile cutpoints, pg/mL	<7.09	7.09-<9.46	9.46-13.90	≥13.90	
N (Cases/Controls)	110/201	91/200	121/201	79/200	
Unadjusted Model OR (95% CI)	1.00	0.81 (0.57-1.15)	1.07 (0.77-1.49)	0.70 (0.48-1.01)	0.23
Multivariable-adjusted OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	0.79 (0.55-1.13)	0.99 (0.69-1.40)	0.64 (0.43-0.97)	0.12
Estrone					
Quartile cutpoints, pg/mL	<32.50	32.50-<43.03	43.03-<57.28	≥57.28	
N (Cases/Controls)	114/201	115/200	103/201	69/200	
Unadjusted Model OR (95% CI)	1.00	0.98 (0.71-1.37)	0.88 (0.63-1.23)	0.58 (0.39-0.84)	0.005
Multivariable-adjusted OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	0.94 (0.66-1.32)	0.87 (0.61-1.23)	0.50 (0.33-0.75)	0.002
Progesterone					
Quartile cutpoints, pg/mL	<34.94	34.94-<46.24	46.24-<63.21	≥63.21	
N (Cases/Controls)	97/201	97/200	115/201	92/200	
Unadjusted Model OR (95% CI)	1.00	1.01 (0.71-1.42)	1.20 (0.85-1.71)	0.95 (0.66-1.37)	0.94
Multivariable-adjusted OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	1.02 (0.72-1.46)	1.19 (0.83-1.72)	0.97 (0.66-1.40)	0.93
SHBG					
Quartile cutpoints, nmol/L	<29.70	29.70-<42.50	42.50-<60.40	≥60.40	
N (Cases/Controls)	70/201	110/201	104/201	117/199	
Unadjusted Model OR (95% CI)	1.00	1.59 (1.11-2.29)	1.52 (1.06-2.18)	1.75 (1.21-2.52)	0.009
Multivariable-adjusted OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	1.69 (1.16-2.45)	1.71 (1.16-2.51)	2.30 (1.51-3.51)	<0.0001
Free Estradiol					
Quartile cutpoints, pg/mL	<0.18	0.18-<0.26	0.26-<0.38	≥0.38	
N (Cases/Controls)	123/205	114/215	93/188	71/194	
Unadjusted Model OR (95% CI)	1.00	0.85 (0.62-1.18)	0.80 (0.57-1.13)	0.58 (0.40-0.84)	0.005
Multivariable-adjusted OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	0.74 (0.52-1.05)	0.66 (0.45-0.96)	0.43 (0.28-0.67)	<0.001
Insulin					
Quartile cutpoints, uIU/mL	<2.88	2.88-<5.73	5.73-<10.2	≥10.2	
N (Cases/Controls)	105/201	98/199	104/202	94/197	
Unadjusted Model OR (95% CI)	1.00	0.94 (0.66-1.33)	0.98 (0.70-1.38)	0.91 (0.65-1.28)	0.66
Multivariable-adjusted OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	0.89 (0.61-1.29)	0.88 (0.60-1.29)	0.76 (0.50-1.14)	0.21
CRP					
Quartile cutpoints, mg/L	<1.24	1.24-<2.96	2.96-<6.12	≥6.12	
N (Cases/Controls)	92/202	114/199	105/203	90/198	
Unadjusted Model OR (95% CI)	1.00	1.25 (0.89-1.75)	1.14 (0.79-1.63)	1.00 (0.69-1.44)	0.83
Multivariable-adjusted OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	1.18 (0.83-1.67)	1.06 (0.72-1.56)	0.89 (0.60-1.34)	0.47
Free IGF-I					
Quartile cutpoints, ng/mL	<0.47	0.47-<0.79	0.79-<1.15	≥1.15	
N (Cases/Controls)	117/205	89/198	108/199	87/200	
Unadjusted Model OR (95% CI)	1.00	0.78 (0.55-1.10)	0.94 (0.67-1.31)	0.74 (0.51-1.06)	0.21
Multivariable-adjusted OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	0.78 (0.55-1.12)	0.93 (0.67-1.31)	0.70 (0.48-1.03)	0.15
Waist circumference					
Quartile cutpoints, cm	<79.2	79.2-<89.4	89.4-<99.1	≥99.1	
N (Cases/Controls)	95/226	108/184	95/194	101/196	
Unadjusted Model OR (95% CI)	1.00	1.58 (1.12-2.23)	1.28 (0.89-1.84)	1.40 (0.97-2.03)	0.24
Multivariable-adjusted OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	1.54 (1.09-2.19)	1.23 (0.85-1.78)	1.37 (0.93-2.01)	0.32

† Statistical tests for trend (two-sided) were calculated using ordinal quartile variables (1–4) entered into the model as a single continuous variable.

‡ Multivariable model adjusted for waist circumference, alcohol consumption, family history of colorectal cancer, physical activity, smoking status, and NSAID use.

Table 3. Association of circulating levels of sex hormones, SHBG and waist circumference with colorectal cancer after additional adjustment for insulin, free IGF-I and CRP

Variables	Quartile 1	Quartile 2	Quartile 3	Quartile 4	*P*-trend†
Estradiol					
Quartile cutpoints, pg/mL	<7.09	7.09-<9.46	9.46-13.90	≥13.90	
N (Cases/Controls)	110/201	91/200	121/201	79/200	
Multivariable-adjusted OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	0.79 (0.55-1.13)	0.99 (0.69-1.40)	0.64 (0.43-0.97)	0.12
Multivariable-adjusted + insulin, IGF-I , CRP and SHBG OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	0.83 (0.57-1.20)	1.03 (0.72-1.48)	0.58 (0.38-0.90)	0.08
Estrone					
Quartile cutpoints, pg/mL	<32.50	32.50-<43.03	43.03-<57.28	≥57.28	
N (Cases/Controls)	114/201	115/200	103/201	69/200	
Multivariable-adjusted OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	0.94 (0.66-1.32)	0.87 (0.61-1.23)	0.50 (0.33-0.75)	0.002
Multivariable-adjusted + insulin, IGF-I , CRP and SHBG OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	0.95 (0.67-1.34)	0.89 (0.62-1.27)	0.44 (0.28-0.68)	0.001
SHBG					
Quartile cutpoints, nmol/L	<29.70	29.70-<42.50	42.50-<60.40	≥60.40	
N (Cases/Controls)	70/201	110/201	104/201	117/199	
Multivariable-adjusted OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	1.69 (1.16-2.45)	1.71 (1.16-2.51)	2.30 (1.51-3.51)	<0.0001
Multivariable-adjusted + insulin, IGF-I, CRP, estradiol and estrone OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	1.71 (1.17-2.49)	1.69 (1.13-2.52)	2.50 (1.59-3.92)	<0.0001
Free Estradiol					
Quartile cutpoints, pg/mL	<0.18	0.18-<0.26	0.26-<0.38	≥0.38	
N (Cases/Controls)	123/205	114/215	93/188	71/194	
Multivariable-adjusted OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	0.74 (0.52-1.05)	0.66 (0.45-0.96)	0.43 (0.28-0.67)	<0.0001
Multivariable-adjusted + insulin, IGF-I and CRP OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	0.75 (0.53-1.08)	0.67 (0.45-0.99)	0.43 (0.27-0.69)	<0.0001
Waist circumference					
Quartile cutpoints, cm	<79.2	79.2-<89.4	89.4-<99.1	≥99.1	
N (Cases/Controls)	95/226	108/184	95/194	101/196	
Multivariable-adjusted OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	1.54 (1.09-2.19)	1.23 (0.85-1.78)	1.37 (0.93-2.01)	0.32
Multivariable-adjusted + insulin, IGF-I, CRP, estradiol, estrone, and SHBG OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	1.87 (1.28-2.73)	1.77 (1.14-2.76)	2.24 (1.37-3.68)	0.006

† Statistical tests for trend (two-sided) were calculated using ordinal quartile variables (1–4) entered into the model as a single continuous variable.

‡ Multivariable model adjusted for waist circumference, alcohol consumption, family history of colorectal cancer, physical activity, smoking status, and NSAID use.

Table 4. Association of circulating levels of sex hormones, and SHBG with colon and rectal cancers after additional adjustment for insulin, free IGF-I and CRP

Variables	Quantiles*				*P*-trend†	*P*-heterogeneity colon vs. rectal
Estradiol						0.13
Colon cancer (n=303)	**Quartile 1**	**Quartile 2**	**Quartile 3**	**Quartile 4**		
Multivariable-adjusted + insulin, IGF-I , CRP and SHBG OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	0.71 (0.46-1.09)	0.90 (0.59-1.37)	0.39 (0.23-0.64)	0.003	
Rectal cancer (n=93)	**Tertile 1**	**Tertile 2**	**Tertile 3**			
Multivariable-adjusted + insulin, IGF-I , CRP and SHBG OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	1.11 (0.54-2.27)	1.44 (0.63-3.28)		0.39	
Estrone						
Colon cancer (n=303)	**Quartile 1**	**Quartile 2**	**Quartile 3**	**Quartile 4**		0.15
Multivariable-adjusted + insulin, IGF-I , CRP and SHBG OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	0.79 (0.52-1.19)	0.70 (0.46-1.07)	0.34 (0.21-0.57)	<0.001	
Rectal cancer (n=93)	**Tertile 1**	**Tertile 2**	**Tertile 3**			
Multivariable-adjusted + insulin, IGF-I , CRP and SHBG OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	1.78 (0.85-3.74)	1.13 (0.49-2.62)		0.79	
SHBG						
Colon cancer (n=303)	**Quartile 1**	**Quartile 2**	**Quartile 3**	**Quartile 4**		0.68
Multivariable-adjusted + insulin, IGF-I, CRP, estradiol and estrone OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	1.72 (1.08-2.72)	1.66 (1.02-2.71)	2.35 (1.36-4.07)	0.006	
Rectal cancer (n=93)	**Tertile 1**	**Tertile 2**	**Tertile 3**			
Multivariable-adjusted + insulin, IGF-I, CRP, estradiol and estrone OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	2.03 (0.94-4.37)	3.02 (1.31-6.98)		0.01	
Free Estradiol						0.09
Colon cancer (n=303)	**Quartile 1**	**Quartile 2**	**Quartile 3**	**Quartile 4**		
Multivariable-adjusted + insulin, IGF-I and CRP OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	0.69 (0.46-1.05)	0.65 (0.41-1.03)	0.28 (0.16-0.49)	<0.001	
Rectal cancer (n=93)	**Tertile 1**	**Tertile 2**	**Tertile 3**			
Multivariable-adjusted + insulin, IGF-I and CRP OR‡ (95% CI)	1.00	0.82 (0.41-1.66)	1.40 (0.62-3.18)		0.43	

*Quartile cutpoints: estradiol (<7.09, 7.09-<9.46, 9.46-<13.90, ≥13.90 pg/mL), estrone (<32.50, 32.50-<43.03, 43.03-<57.28, ≥57.28 pg/mL), SHBG (<29.70, 29.70-<42.50, 42.50-<60.40, ≥60.40 nmol/L), and free estradiol (<0.18, 0.18-<0.26, 0.26-<0.38, ≥0.38 pg/mL). Tertile cutpoints: estradiol (<7.85, 7.85-<11.97, ≥11.97 pg/mL), estrone (<35.73, 35.73-<52.06, ≥52.06 pg/mL), SHBG (<34.60, 34.60-<52.90, ≥52.90 nmol/L), and free estradiol (<0.20, 0.20-<0.32, ≥0.32 pg/mL).

† Statistical tests for trend (two-sided) were calculated using ordinal quartile (1–4) or tertile (1-3) variable entered into the model as a single continuous variable.

‡Multivariable model adjusted for waist circumference, alcohol consumption, family history of colorectal cancer, physical activity, smoking status, and NSAID use.

References

(1) Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, Parkin DM. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. Int J Cancer 2010;127(12):2893-917.

(2) McMichael AJ, Potter JD. Reproduction, endogenous and exogenous sex hormones, and colon cancer: a review and hypothesis. J Natl Cancer Inst 1980;65(6):1201-7.

(3) Potter JD, McMichael AJ. Large bowel cancer in women in relation to reproductive and hormonal factors: a case-control study. J Natl Cancer Inst 1983;71(4):703-9.

(4) Nanda K, Bastian LA FAU, Hasselblad VF, Simel DL. Hormone replacement therapy and the risk of colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis. Obstet Gynecol 1999;93(5 Pt 2):880-8.

(5) Grodstein F, Newcomb PA, Stampfer MJ. Postmenopausal hormone therapy and the risk of colorectal cancer: a review and meta-analysis. The American Journal of Medicine 1999;106(5):574-82.

(6) Hebert-Croteau N. A meta-analysis of hormone replacement therapy and colon cancer in women. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention 1998;7(8):653-9.

(7) Johnson JR, Lacey JV, Lazovich D, Geller MA, Schairer C, Schatzkin Aet al. Menopausal Hormone Therapy and Risk of Colorectal Cancer. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention 2009;18(1):196-203.

(8) Simon MS, Chlebowski RT, Wactawski-Wende J, Johnson KC, Muskovitz A, Kato Iet al. Estrogen Plus Progestin and Colorectal Cancer Incidence and Mortality. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2012;30(32):3983-90.

(9) Green J, Czanner G, Reeves G, Watson J, Wise L, Roddam Aet al. Menopausal hormone therapy and risk of gastrointestinal cancer: Nested case–control study within a prospective cohort, and meta-analysis. Int J Cancer 2012;130(10):2387-96.

(10) Chlebowski RT, Wactawski-Wende J, Ritenbaugh C, Hubbell FA, Ascensao J, Rodabough RJet al. Estrogen plus Progestin and Colorectal Cancer in Postmenopausal Women. N Engl J Med 2004;350(10):991-1004.

(11) Gunter MJ, Hoover DR, Yu H, Wassertheil-Smoller S, Rohan TE, Manson JEet al. Insulin, Insulin-like Growth Factor-I, Endogenous Estradiol, and Risk of Colorectal Cancer in Postmenopausal Women. Cancer Research 2008;68(1):329-37.

(12) Clendenen TV, Koenig KL, Shore RE, Levitz M, Arslan AA, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A. Postmenopausal Levels of Endogenous Sex Hormones and Risk of Colorectal Cancer. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention 2009;18(1):275-81.

(13) Lin JH, Zhang SM, Rexrode KM, Manson JE, Chan AT, Wu Ket al. Association Between Sex Hormones and Colorectal Cancer Risk in Men and Women. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology 2013;11(4):419-24.

(14) Scott JZ, Stanczyk FZ, Goebelsmann U, Mishell J. A double-antibody radioimmunoassay for serum progesterone using progesterone-3-(o-carboxymethyl)oximino-[125I]-iodo-histamine as radioligand. Steroids 1978;31(3):393-405.

(15) Probst-Hensch NM, Ingles SA, Diep AT, Haile RW, Stanczyk FZ, Kolonel LNet al. Aromatase and breast cancer susceptibility. Endocrine-Related Cancer 1999;6(2):165-73.

(16) The Women's Health Initiative Study Group. Design of the Women's Health Initiative Clinical Trial and Observational Study. Controlled Clinical Trials 1998;19(1):61-109.

(17) Hays J, Hunt JR, Hubbell FA, Anderson GL, Limacher M, Allen Cet al. The women's health initiative recruitment methods and results. Annals of Epidemiology 2003;13(9, Supplement):S18-S77.

(18) Sodergard RF, Backstrom TF, Shanbhag VF, Carstensen H. Calculation of free and bound fractions of testosterone and estradiol-17 beta to human plasma proteins at body temperature. J Steroid Biochem 1982;16(6):801-10.

(19) Rinaldi S, Geay A, Dechaud H, Biessy C, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A, Akhmedkhanov Aet al. Validity of Free Testosterone and Free Estradiol Determinations in Serum Samples from Postmenopausal Women by Theoretical Calculations. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention 2002;11(10):1065-71.

(20) Hartman J, Edvardsson K, Lindberg K, Zhao C, Williams C, Strom Aet al. Tumor Repressive Functions of Estrogen Receptor Beta in SW480 Colon Cancer Cells. Cancer Research 2009;69(15):6100-6.

(21) Waliszewski P, Blaszczyk M, Wolinska-Witort E, Drews M, Snochowski M, Hurst RE. Molecular study of sex steroid receptor gene expression in human colon and in colorectal carcinomas. J Surg Oncol 1997;64(1):3-11.

(22) Castiglione F, Taddei AF, Rossi Degl'Innocenti DF, Buccoliero AM FAU - Bechi P, Bechi PF, Garbini FFet al. Expression of estrogen receptor beta in colon cancer progression. Diagn Mol Pathol 2008;17(4):231-6.

(23) Issa JP, Ottaviano YL, Celano P, Hamilton SR, Davidson NE, Baylin SB. Methylation of the oestrogen receptor CpG island links ageing and neoplasia in human colon. Nat Genet 1994;7(4):536-40.

(24) Larsson SC, Wolk A. Obesity and colon and rectal cancer risk: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 2007;86(3):556-65.

(25) Moghaddam AA, Woodward M, Huxley R. Obesity and Risk of Colorectal Cancer: A Meta-analysis of 31 Studies with 70,000 Events. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention 2007;16(12):2533-47.

(26) Terry PD, Miller AB, Rohan TE. Obesity and colorectal cancer risk in women. Gut 2002;51(2):191-4.

(27) Hankinson SE, Manson JE, Spiegelman D, Willett WC, Longcope C, Speizer FE. Reproducibility of plasma hormone levels in postmenopausal women over a 2-3-year period. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention 1995;4(6):649-54.