

An alternative approach for the calculation of correlation energy in periodic systems: a hybrid MP2(B3LYP) study.

R. Martinez-Casado¹, G. Mallia¹, and N. M. Harrison^{1,2}

¹*Thomas Young Centre, Department of Chemistry,
Imperial College London, South Kensington London SW7 2AZ, UK*

²*Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, Warrington, WA4 4AD, UK **

(Dated: February 11, 2011)

Abstract

A practical and efficient method for exploiting second order Rayleigh Schrödinger perturbation theory to approximate the correlation energy contribution to the London dispersion interaction is presented. The correlation energy is estimated as the Møller Plesset contribution (MP2) computed using single particle orbitals from hybrid exchange density functional theory (B3LYP) as the reference state. The total energy of the system is obtained by adding the Hartree-Fock energy to the MP2 contribution: a procedure denoted here as HF+MP2(B3LYP). This approach is adopted for the investigation of the He₂ dimer and of the He-MgO(001) interaction. HF+MP2(B3LYP) is able to predict the correct well depth and form of the potential at long range for both systems. In these systems, this approach has been found to be reliable and significantly less computational expensive than more sophisticated approaches for describing electronic correlation.

PACS numbers:

*Electronic address: `r.martinezcasado@imperial.ac.uk`

I. INTRODUCTION

The London dispersion force (LDF) is responsible for many of the physical properties of solids, liquids and gasses; for instance, it largely determines the three dimensional arrangements of biological molecules and polymers. An interesting case where the LDF is of paramount importance is in the interpretation of He-atom scattering data in order to establish the atomic scale structure and dynamic of surfaces. This technique has potential as a high resolution and non-invasive surface probe that is sensitive only to the outermost surface layer. Fully realising this potential requires a quantitative theory of the scattering process that must be based on an accurate and reliable determination of the He-surface interaction. A first principles theory of the interaction potential requires an accurate description of the LDF and thus of the electronic correlation.

In periodic systems, the effects of electronic exchange and correlation are typically approximated within density functional theory (DFT). The widely used local (local density approximation LDA) and semi-local (generalised gradient approximation, GGA) expressions for the correlation energy depend on the density and its gradients at a point in space. These approximations cannot describe the LDF. The significant improvement to the description of the non-local exchange introduced in hybrid-exchange functionals, which combine Fock exchange and GGA exchange (e.g. B3LYP [1] and PBE0, in which the percentage of Fock exchange is 20% and 25%, respectively), does not improve the description of long range LDF which is completely absent from the Hartree-Fock (HF) approximation.

These problems have been addressed by introducing *ad hoc* corrections to the correlation energy. The approach of Wilson-Levi is to introduce a semi-empirical correlation functional [2] and Grimme has introduced an empirical correction term in the total energy [3]. These techniques, which have been parameterised and used successfully in a variety of systems, have recently been shown to be unsuitable for calculating the He-surface interaction [4].

An efficient and reliable procedure for the calculation of the correlation energy in periodic systems is second order Rayleigh Schrödinger perturbation theory, often referred to as Møller-Plesset perturbation theory at second order (MP2), as recently implemented in the CRYSCOR[5, 6] program. Taking the HF wavefunction as a reference MP2 theory yields the second order contribution to the correlation energy including contributions from virtual excitations on separated fragments. It thus provides an estimate of the long range interaction

that is referred to as the *uncoupled dispersion energy*. HF+MP2 theory has previously been used to describe the qualitative nature of the He-MgO(100) interaction [4]. It is important to note that the magnitude of the MP2 correction depends strongly on the reference state. For instance, if the time-dependent HF (TDHF) wavefunction is used as the reference, the MP2 estimate of the correlation energy, referred to as the *coupled dispersion energy*, is 10%-20% larger than the uncoupled dispersion energy in noble gas dimers improving agreement with the observed binding energy [7].

The physical origin of the underestimate of the LDF computed from the HF orbitals can be understood in terms of the approximate Unsöld expression for the dispersion energy contribution to the interaction between two molecules A and B at distance r , [8],

$$E_{disp} \approx -\frac{3\alpha^A\alpha^BI_AI_B}{4(I_A+I_B)}r^{-6} \quad (1)$$

where α and I are the average molecular dipole polarisabilities and ionisation potentials respectively. The computed polarisability is underestimated in the HF approximation due to the significant overestimate of the energy gap between the occupied and unoccupied energy levels [9]; in TD-HF this gap is reduced and thus the polarisability corrected. It is now well established that density functional theory implemented using local and semi-local functionals suffers from a self-interaction error and thus significantly underestimate energy gaps which hybrid exchange theory, such as the B3LYP functional, provide reasonable estimates of single particle energy gaps in a wide variety of materials [10–12]. In the current work this is exploited to define a pragmatic and efficient method for exploiting second order perturbation theory to compute the correlation energy in periodic systems. The correlation contribution is estimated as the MP2 energy computed using the B3LYP single particle orbitals as the reference state. The total energy is obtained as the sum of the HF energy and the MP2 estimate of the correlation energy; a procedure denoted here as HF+MP2(B3LYP). This choice avoids the potential double counting of the correlation energy contribution at short range if the B3LYP energy were added to the MP2 estimate of the correlation energy; denoted here as B3LYP+ MP2(B3LYP).

In order to document the reliability of this method the energy of the He dimer and the He-MgO(100) surface are computed using the following energy expressions:

- HF+MP2;
- B3LYP+MP2(B3LYP)

- HF+MP2(B3LYP)

The paper is organised as follows. Sec. II contains computational details. In Sec. III the results for the He₂ dimer and the He-MgO(100) interaction potential from first principles are presented in terms of the binding energy. The main conclusions of this study are summarised in Sec. IV.

II. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

All calculations (see Ref [4] for details) have been performed using a development version of the CRYSTAL software package [13]. CRYSTAL is based on the expansion of the crystalline orbitals as a linear combination of a local basis set consisting of atom centred Gaussian orbitals. The main approximation is then the choice of the basis set (see basis set BS4 in Ref [4]).

The Coulomb and exchange series are summed directly and truncated using overlap criteria with thresholds of 10^{-9} , 10^{-9} , 10^{-9} , 10^{-9} and 10^{-17} as described previously [13, 14] and according to the previous paper on the dispersion contribution with the CRYSTAL code [2, 4]. For the MP2 calculations, more severe thresholds on the exchange contribution have to be adopted for the calculation of the HF wavefunction [4, 15]: 10^{-9} , 10^{-9} , 10^{-9} , 10^{-25} and 10^{-75} .

III. RESULTS

The quality of the potential energy surface provided by the HF+MP2(B3LYP) approach is assessed for the He₂ dimer and He-MgO(100). The computed binding energy curve of the He₂ dimer is presented in Fig. 1. The long range behaviour of the potential in both the HF+MP2 and HF+MP2(B3LYP) reproduces the expected functional form for the He-He interaction, which decays as $\frac{1}{z^6}$, where z is the He-He distance. The strength of the interaction is, however, significantly different. As has previously been reported, HF+MP2 generally underestimates the correlation energy contribution yielding only $\approx 67\%$ of the exact total energy for closed shell molecules [16, 17]. This trend is repeated here with the HF+MP2 well depth being $\approx 70\%$ of that computed with HF+MP2(B3LYP). As a consequence the agreement between the well depth given by HF+MP2(B3LYP) and the




FIG. 1: The binding energy curve for the He_2 dimer computed using HF+MP2, red dotted line, and HF+MP2(B3LYP), black solid lines. The experimental value is shown by the blue star [18].

experimental value (0.91 meV) is excellent. The potential energy curve closely resembles that computed at the CCSD(T) level of theory [18].

The He-MgO interaction is studied by computing the binding energy of an isolated He atom and the bulk cleaved MgO(100) surface at the Mg surface site. The MgO(100) surface is approximated as a rigid 2D periodic 3 atomic sheet layers cut from the bulk structure at the experimental lattice constant ($a=4.211$ Å) [19]. This system provides a well defined reference geometry for studying the effects of different approximations to electronic exchange and correlation [4]. Adsorption of the He atom directly above the surface Mg ion is considered at separations between the He atom and the centroid of the outermost layer in the range: 3Å: 7Å. A 2x2 supercell of the primitive surface unit cell is found to be sufficient to reduce the He-He lateral interactions to negligible values.

In Fig. 2, the computed counterpoise corrected binding energy, BE, (defined in Appendix in Ref. [4, 20]) is displayed for the following energy expressions: HF+MP2;

B3LYP+MP2(B3LYP); HF+MP2(B3LYP).




FIG. 2: (Color online) The counterpoise corrected binding energy BE curve for adsorption above the Mg site of He–MgO(100) with respect to the clean MgO(100) surface and the isolated He atom within the B3LYP+MP2(B3LYP), blue dash line, HF+MP2, red dotted line and HF+MP2(B3LYP), black solid lines.

All the curves produce an attractive interaction binding the He atom to the surface in a potential well for which the position and depth of the minimum depend on the reference wavefunction. The value obtained at HF+MP2 is -4.1 meV at the equilibrium distance of 3.75 Å, B3LYP+MP2(B3LYP) provides -3.1 meV at 4.07 Å and HF+MP2(B3LYP) gives -6.7 meV at 3.78 Å. There is no firmly established observation of the well depth with values deduced from He-scattering spectra being in the range 7.5 meV [21]-12.5 meV [22]. The agreement of the HF+MP2(B3LYP) well depth with that observed is significantly better than that obtained using the HF+MP2 energy expression. Further support for the accuracy of the HF+MP2(B3LYP) curve is provided by its consistency with trends known established in previous studies of interactions with He atoms. As noted above the HF+MP2 approach

tends to underestimate the bonding interaction yielding 67% of the observed or CCSD(T) computed binding energy of the He dimer. In the current study the HF+MP2 contribution to the He-MgO(100) binding is on average 66% of the HF+MP2(B3LYP) binding energy. This is a strong indication that the HF+MP2(B3LYP) binding energy is within a few percent of that which would be computed in a full CCSD(T) calculation.

The long range behaviour of the potential energy surface, reported in Fig. 3, is important for the description of the He-scattering process. The expected behaviour for a He atom interacting with a continuum dielectric or with the surface via a set of pairwise $1/r^6$ interactions is $1/z^3$ where z is the He-surface separation [23]. The expected behaviour when interacting with a single monolayer is $1/z^4$ (see Appendix A). The computed behaviour at long range for HF+MP2(B3LYP) is $1/z^{3.9}$ and for HF+MP2 is $1/z^4$ as expected for the model used here in which the He atom is interacting with a thin slab consisting of three atomic layers of MgO.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

A practical and efficient method for the approximation of the correlation energy for a He-atom interacting with an insulating surface has been presented. The method is based on the calculation of the MP2 energy using the B3LYP single particle orbitals as a reference state. The total energy of the system is obtained by adding the Hartree-Fock energy to this MP2 contribution and is denoted HF+MP2(B3LYP).

The results obtained for the He₂ dimer and the He-MgO(001) surface interaction reproduce the expected long range behaviour and the position and value of the observed well depth in the dimer and, based on well established trends and the available experimental data, it seems probable that a similar level of accuracy is achieved for the He-MgO interaction. As the local MP2 formalism is used, the time to completion for these calculations is similar to that for the underlying single particle solution and, thus calculations can be completed without using exceptional computer resources. For this class of system HF+MP2(B3LYP) provides a reliable and efficient method for obtaining near experimental binding energy curves. This methodology resolves the principal problem in the interpretation of He-atom scattering spectra from insulating surfaces and will facilitate the extraction of surface structures and dynamical data from experimental spectra.




FIG. 3: Logarithm ($\log_{10}$) of the counterpoise corrected binding energy BE of He–MgO(100) with respect to the clean MgO(100) surface and the isolated He atom for adsorption of He on the Mg site for B3LYP+MP2(B3LYP) in blue dash line, for HF+MP2 in red dotted line and HF+MP2(B3LYP), black solid lines.

Appendix A: Evaluation of the interaction between an atom and a monoatomic layer

The atom-surface potential can be divided into a repulsive part due to the overlap of atomic orbitals with the surface electron wave functions, and an attractive part that is dominated by the London dispersion interaction. At long range the pairwise contribution to the interaction varies as $1/r^6$ where r is the interatomic distance, and thus the energy of interaction for a set of atoms is,

$$E_{disp} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \frac{1}{r_{ij}^6}$$

where i and j identify different atoms and r_{ij} is the interatomic distance. For an atom at height z above a periodic surface layer, of period a , the interaction energy is;

$$E_{disp} = \sum_{n,m} \frac{1}{(z^2 + a^2(n^2 + m^2))^3}$$

At long range this can be approximated by an integral over a disk shaped region centred below the atom;

$$E_{disp} = \int_0^\infty \frac{2\pi r}{(r^2 + z^2)^3} dr \quad (\text{A1})$$

Substituting $t = r^2 + z^2$ yields

$$E_{disp} = \frac{\pi}{2} z^{-4}$$

Acknowledgments

RMC, GM and NHM are grateful to Dr. Silvia Casassa, Dr. Denis Usvyat and Dr. Lorenzo Maschio for their useful comments. RMC thanks the Royal Society for provision of a Newton International Fellowship. This work made use of the high performance computing facilities of Imperial College London and - via membership of the UK's HPC Materials Chemistry Consortium funded by EPSRC (EP/F067496) - of HECToR, the UK's national high-performance computing service, which is provided by UoE HPCx Ltd at the University of Edinburgh, Cray Inc and NAG Ltd, and funded by the Office of Science and Technology through EPSRC's High End Computing Programme.

-
- [1] A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. **98**, 5648 (1993).
 - [2] B. Civalleri, D. Middlemiss, R. Orlando, C. Wilson, and P. Ugliengo, Chem. Phys. Lett. **451**, 287 (2008).
 - [3] S. Grimme, J. Comput. Chem. **27**, 1787 (2006).
 - [4] R. Martinez-Casado, G. Mallia, D. Usvyat, L. Maschio, S. Casassa, M. Schütz, and N. M. Harrison, The Journal of Chemical Physics **134**, 014706 (2011), accepted in the Journal of Chemical Physics.

- [5] *www.cryscor.unito.it*.
- [6] C. Pisani, L. Maschio, S. Casassa, M. Halo, M. Schuetz, and D. Usvyat, *J. Comput. Chem.* **29**, 2113 (2008).
- [7] A. Heselmann, *J. Chem. Phys.* **128**, 144112 (pages 9) (2008).
- [8] J. O. Hirschfelder, C. F. Curtiss, and R. B. Bird, *Molecular Theory of Gases and Liquids* (Wiley, New York, 1954).
- [9] G. Mallia, R. Dovesi, and F. Corà, *phys. stat. sol. (b)* **243**, 2935 (2006).
- [10] J. Muscat, A. Wander, and N. Harrison, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **342**, 397 (2001), ISSN 0009-2614.
- [11] G. Mallia, R. Orlando, M. Llunell, and R. Dovesi, in *Computational Materials Science*, edited by C. Catlow and E. Kotomin (IOS Press, Amsterdam, 2003), vol. 187 of *NATO SCIENCE SERIES, III: Computer and Systems Sciences*, pp. 102–121.
- [12] F. Corà, M. Alfredsson, G. Mallia, D. Middlemiss, W. Mackrodt, R. Dovesi, and R. Orlando, in *Principles and Applications of Density Functional Theory in Inorganic Chemistry II*, edited by N. Kaltsoyannis and J. McGrady (Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 2004), vol. 113 of *Structure and Bonding*, pp. 171–232, 10.1007/b97944, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/b97944>.
- [13] R. Dovesi, V. R. Saunders, C. Roetti, R. Orlando, C. M. Zicovich-Wilson, F. Pascale, B. Civalieri, K. Doll, N. M. Harrison, I. J. Bush, et al., *CRYSTAL09 User's Manual*, Università di Torino (Torino, 2010).
- [14] C. Pisani, R. Dovesi, and C. Roetti, *Hartree-Fock ab initio Treatment of Crystalline Systems*, vol. 48 of *Lecture Notes in Chemistry* (Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 1988).
- [15] L. M. M. Halo, S. Casassa and C. Pisani, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **467**, 294 (2008).
- [16] C. J. Cramer, *Essentials of Computational Chemistry* (Wiley, 2004).
- [17] F. Jensen, *Introduction to Computational Chemistry* (Wiley, 2007).
- [18] N. Kurita and H. Sekino, *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry* **91**, 355 (2001).
- [19] *II-VI and I-VII Compounds; Semimagnetic Compounds*, vol. 41B of *Landolt-Brnstein - Group III Condensed Matter* (Springer Verlag, 1988).
- [20] J. Scaranto, G. Mallia, and N. M. Harrison (2010), submitted to *Computational Materials Science*.
- [21] G. Vidali, G. Ihm, H.-Y. Kim, and M. Cole, *Surface Science Reports* **12**, 135 (1991).
- [22] G. Benedek, G. Brusdeylins, V. Senz, J. G. Skofronick, J. P. Toennies, F. Traeger, and R. Vollmer, *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 125421 (2001).

[23] E. Zaremba and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. B **13**, 2270 (1976).