Total, insoluble, and soluble dietary fibre intake in relation to blood pressure: The INTERMAP Study

Ghadeer S. Aljuraiban, Linda M. Oude Griep, Queenie Chan, Martha L. Daviglus, Jeremiah Stamler, Linda Van Horn, Paul Elliott, and Gary S. Frost for the INTERMAP Research Group

Ghadeer S. Aljuraiban, MRC-PHE Centre for Environment and Health, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, Norfolk Place, London W2 1PG, United Kingdom; Linda Oude Griep, MRC-PHE Centre for Environment and Health, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Imperial College London; Queenie Chan, MRC-PHE Centre for Environment and Health, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Imperial College London; Martha L. Daviglus, The Department of Preventive Medicine, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, 633 Clark St, Evanston, Chicago, IL 60208; Jeremiah Stamler, The Department of Preventive Medicine, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University; Linda Van Horn, The Department of Preventive Medicine, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University; Paul Elliott, MBBS, PhD, FMedSci, professor, MRC-PHE Centre for Environment and Health, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Imperial College London; Gary Frost, The Nutrition and Dietetic Research Group, Imperial College London, United Kingdom. Address correspondence to Prof Gary Frost, Nutrition and Dietetic Research Group, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, Du Cane Road, London W12 ONN. Tel. 02083838037. E mail: g.frost@imperial.ac.uk.

Running title: dietary fibre and blood pressure

Key words: dietary fibre, insoluble, soluble, blood pressure

Abstract

Prospective cohort studies showed inverse associations between fibre intake and cardiovascular diseases, possibly mediated by blood pressure (BP). However little is known about the impact of types of fibre on BP. We examined cross-sectional associations to BP of total, insoluble and soluble fibre intakes. Data were used from the INTERnational study on MAcro/micronutrients and blood Pressure (INTERMAP) study including 2,195 men and women ages 40–59 y from the United States. During 4 visits, eight BP, four 24-hour dietary recalls and two 24-hour urine samples were collected. Linear regression models adjusted for lifestyle and dietary confounders to estimate BP differences per 2SD higher intakes of total and individual types of fibre were calculated. After multivariable adjustment, total fibre intake higher by 6.8 g/1000 kcal was associated with a 1.69 mm Hg lower systolic BP (SBP; 95% CI: -2.97, -0.41) and attenuated to -1.01 mm Hg (95% CI: -2.35, 0.34) after adjustment for urinary potassium. Insoluble fibre intake higher by 4.6 g/1000 kcal was associated with a 1.81 mm Hg lower SBP (95% CI: -3.65, 0.04), additionally adjusted for soluble fibre and urinary potassium excretion, while soluble fibre was not associated with BP. Raw fruit was the main source of total and insoluble fibre, followed by whole grains and vegetables. In conclusion, higher intakes of fibre, especially insoluble, may contribute to lower BP. This may be explained by related potassium intake present in high-fibre foods.

Abstract word count: 233

Text word count: 3,193

Introduction

High blood pressure (BP) is a major independent risk factor for cardiovascular diseases (CVD) (1). Meta-analyses of prospective cohort studies showed that higher intake of total dietary fibre is associated with a lower risk of fatal and non-fatal coronary events (2, 3). Reported cross-sectional findings of total fibre intake and BP, however, were inconsistent: some show inverse associations (4-6), while others observed no association (7, 8). The limited available prospective cohort studies found inverse relations between total fibre intake and BP rise (9), or risk of hypertension (4, 5). Methodological limitations in these studies include validity of dietary assessment methods (4-6, 8), absent or limited adjustment for dietary and other lifestyle confounders (6, 8, 10), substitution of fibre supplements rather than dietary fibre sources (11,12), and inadequate frequency of BP measurement (6-8). Meta-analyses of randomized controlled intervention studies showed that fibre supplementation (average dose, 11.5 g/d) did not significantly reduce BP (11, 12), but significant BP-lowering effects were found in hypertensive participants (12). It has been suggested that the mechanism by which higher dietary fibre intakes may lower BP is attributed to enhanced insulin sensitivity that may improve endothelial function and other CVD risk factors (11). The potential effect of insoluble fibre on BP has been less thoroughly investigated. Soluble fibre may have a favourable effect on BP by lowering postprandial glucose responses and LDL cholesterol levels, stimulating the absorption of minerals in the gastrointestinal system, and reducing body weight (11, 12). Inconsistent findings on the effect of dietary fibre on BP may relate to differences in study design (13-17): BP responses to fibre intake may depend on type (insoluble or soluble) or dose of fibre, and whether supplemental fibre or high-fibre dietary sources were consumed (e.g., whole-grain bread) (11). To our knowledge, only one study examined cross-sectional associations between types of fibre and cardiovascular

disease risk factors. Results showed significant lower risks of hypertension for total and insoluble fibre intakes, but not for soluble fibre intake (18).

In the present study, we investigated cross-sectional relations to systolic BP (SBP) and diastolic BP (DBP) of total dietary fibre and its components among the 2,195 participants in the INTERMAP study in the United States (US) using high-quality dietary data from four multipass 24-hour dietary recalls, 8 BP measurements, and regression models adjusted extensively for dietary and lifestyle factors.

Materials and Methods

Population

The INTERnational study on MAcro/micronutrients and blood Pressure (INTERMAP) included 4,680 men and women ages 40-59 years. Participants were randomly recruited from community and workforce populations (17 population samples) in Japan, the People's Republic of China [PRC], the United Kingdom [UK], and the United States [US] (19). Between 1996 and 1999, participants attended four clinic visits, two on consecutive days and two on consecutive days approximately three weeks later. Dietary and non-dietary data collection underwent extensive quality control, including national and international checks to ensure completeness and accuracy. The study was approved by institutional ethics committees at all sites. Each participant consented in writing.

Information on types of fibre (insoluble, soluble) is only available for the US samples, we therefore analysed data on 2,195 participants (1,103 men and 1,092 women) from eight US population samples. Of 2,281 US participants initially surveyed, individuals were excluded who did not attend all four visits or had incomplete/missing data, presented unreliable dietary data, or unavailable 24-hour urine samples (n=65), or had extreme total energy intake from

any 24-hour recall of <500 or >5,000 kcal/d for women and <500 or >8,000 kcal/d for men (n=21).

Clinic Visits

Visits were randomly spread over the year. On each of the four visits, BP was measured twice and twenty-four hour dietary recalls were performed once. Two-timed 24-hour urine collections were obtained on the second and fourth visits. Height and body weight were measured twice on the first and third visits. On the first visit, a health history questionnaire was completed-to collect information on medical history, medication intake, smoking, and physical activity. On each of the four visits, participants provided information on their vitamin and supplement intakes.

Dietary Assessment

Four 24-hour dietary recalls were collected from each participant using a standardized multi-pass method reported in detail elsewhere (20). Briefly, trained interviewers collected in depth data on all foods, beverages, and supplements consumed in the past 24-hours in face-to-face interviews. In the US, dietary data were transferred to software (the Nutrition Data System (NDS), Nutrition Coordinating Centre (NCC), version 2.91, University of Minnesota) for on-screen coding during an interview (20). Daily nutrient intakes were obtained by using the Nutrition Data System for Research (NDS-R), Version 4.01 (20, 21). For quality control assessment, dietary recall data were compared to urinary excretion data. Pearson partial correlation coefficients adjusted for sample and sex between dietary and urinary total protein, sodium, and potassium were 0.52, 0.46, 0.58 respectively (20).

According to the NCC, insoluble dietary fibre includes cellulose, non-cellulose insoluble polysaccharides (mostly hemicellulose), lignin, and some resistant starch. Soluble dietary fibre includes pectins, gums, muscilages and non-cellulose soluble polysaccharides (some

hemicellulose). We identified all sources of dietary fibre reported by participants: whole grains (bread and bread products, brown rice and pasta), refined grains (bread and bread products, pasta, and rice), cereal products (ready to eat cereal, cooked cereal, granola bars), legumes, vegetables (cooked and raw vegetables), raw fruits, dried fruits, nuts and seeds.

The reliability of fibre intake for individuals was estimated using the following formula: 1/ [1+ (ratio/2)] x100. The ratio is intra-individual variance divided by the inter-individual variance, estimated separately by gender, and combined. The averages of the first two and second two visits were used to account for higher correlation between dietary intakes on consecutive days. This gives an indication of the effect of random error (day-to-day variability) on the associations with BP (22).

Measurement of Blood Pressure

SBP and DBP were measured by trained staff using a random-zero sphygmomanometer. Participants refrained from food, drink, smoking, and physical activity for 30 minutes. They were seated in a quiet room, bladder emptied, for at least 5 minutes, feet flat on the floor. BP was measured twice on the right arm at each of four clinic visits, using first and fifth Korotkoff sounds, totalling eight BP readings.

Other Variables

During two visits, weight and height measurements without shoes or heavy clothing were collected four times and BMI (kg/m^2) was calculated. Urinary sodium, magnesium, calcium, and potassium were measured from two timed 24-hour urinary samples collected between consecutive visits. Data on lifestyle factors were assessed using interviewer-guided questionnaires on smoking, education, hours of physical activity, adherence to a weight reduction diet, use of antihypertensive and lipid-lowering drugs, individual and family history

of cardiovascular diseases and diabetes mellitus, and daily alcohol intake during the past seven days.

Statistical Methods

Statistical analyses were conducted using SAS software version 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc.). Dietary data were energy-adjusted using the nutrient density method. Dietary intake was estimated as a mean of the four dietary recalls. The mean value of 8 BP measurements was used in the analysis. Urinary data were averaged across the two 24-hour urinary collections (23). Isocaloric models adjusted for total protein, fat, and sugar (%kcal) to enable assessment of the independent relation of fibre intake (g/1,000 kcal) with BP, including control for total energy intake (23).

Baseline characteristics of US participants and P for trend across quartiles of total fibre intake were calculated using a generalized linear model adjusted for age, gender, total energy intake (kcal/24-hours), total protein (%kcal), total fat (%kcal), and population sample.

Multivariable linear regression analyses were used to examine associations between total, insoluble, and soluble fibre (per 2 SD: 6.8, 4.6, and 2.2 g/1,000 kcal, respectively) and BP, including the total US cohort (n=2,195). Additionally, we also applied linear regression analyses in a subcohort excluding participants with hypertension and use of antihypertensive drugs, (n=1,477). Three models were used, adjusted extensively for lifestyle and dietary factors, with and without adjustment for BMI. Potential confounders of the association of fibre intake with BP were selected from the literature. Model 1 was adjusted for age (years), gender (male or female), total energy intake (kcal/24-hours), total protein (%kcal), total fat (%kcal), and population sample (i.e. centre). Model 2 adjusted for variables in Model 1 plus adherence to a special diet (yes or no), physical activity during leisure time (a lot, moderate, little, or none), dietary supplement use (yes or no), smoking (never, former, or current), years

of education (years completed), alcohol intake (g/24-hours), history of cardiovascular disease or diabetes mellitus (yes or no), family history of cardiovascular disease (yes or no), and 24-hour urinary excretion of sodium (mmol/24-hours). Model 3 was fully adjusted including variables in model 2 plus 24-hour urinary excretion of sodium (mmol/24-hours). Additionally, we sequentially investigated the influence of nutrients strongly related to fibre intake on the association, including 24-hour urinary excretion (mmol/24-hours) of magnesium calcium and potassium (mmol/24-hours). No missing values were observed.

Analyses were repeated for two subcohorts with characteristics that may bias relations between fibre intake and BP: (1) a sub cohort of non-hypertensive participants (n=1,421) additionally excluding participants with elevated SBP (≥140 mm Hg) or DBP (≥90 mm Hg); (2) a subcohort free of major chronic diseases (n= 1,262) additionally excluding those diagnosed with cardiovascular diseases or diabetes.

Interactions by age and sex were examined by inclusion of separate terms in each regression model; there was no evidence for interaction. Two-tailed probability values (p<0.05) were considered statistically significant.

Results

Descriptive Statistics

Across quartiles of total fibre intake, participants in the highest quartile of total fibre intake were older, had more years of education, had greater intakes of total protein, vegetables, raw fruit, dried fruit, had lower levels of BMI and BP, and lower intakes of alcohol, total sugar, total fat, and refined grains compared to those in the lowest quartile (**Table 1**). The predominant dietary source of total and insoluble fibre was raw fruits (54% and 57%), followed by refined grains (16% and 14%), vegetables (15% and 12%), and whole grains cereal products (12% and 13%). For soluble fibre, dietary sources were raw fruits (48%),

vegetables (21%), refined grains (19%), and whole grains and cereal products (9%). The reliability estimate for total fibre intake (g/d) was 82% overall, 80% in men and 83% in women.

Associations of Total Fibre with BP

After adjustment for lifestyle factors and BMI, total dietary fibre intake higher by 2 SD (6.8 g/1000 kcal) was associated with a SBP lower by 1.69 mm Hg (95% CI: -2.97, -0.41) **(Table 2)**. Additional adjustment for urinary potassium excretion attenuated this association and did not remain statistically significant (-1.01 mm Hg; 95%CI: -2.35, 0.34).

Associations of Types of Fibre with BP

Insoluble fibre intake higher by 2 SD (4.6 g/1000 kcal) was associated with a 2.07 mm Hg lower SBP (95% CI: -3.92, -0.23), after adjustment for lifestyle factors, BMI and soluble fibre (Table 2). Adjustment for urinary potassium attenuated the association and did not remain statistically significant (-1.81 mm Hg; 95%CI: -3.65, 0.04). Insoluble fibre intake was also inversely associated with DBP (-1.32 mm Hg; 95%CI: -2.60, -0.04); this association also attenuated with adjustment for urinary magnesium (-1.23 mm Hg; 95%CI: -2.51,0.06). Soluble fibre intake was not associated with SBP or DBP.

Associations of Fibre Intakes with BP Excluding Participants with Hypertension and Use of Antihypertensive Drugs

We repeated the regression analyses in a cohort excluding participants with hypertension and use of antihypertensive drugs **(Table 3).** Results showed total dietary fibre intake higher by 2 SD (6.9 g/1000 kcal) was associated with a SBP lower by 1.85 mm Hg (95% CI: -3.13, -0.57). The inverse association between total fibre intake and SBP was independent of urinary potassium excretion (-1.69 mm Hg; 95%CI: -3.04, -0.34).

Insoluble fibre intake higher by 2 SD (4.8 g/1000 kcal) was associated with a 2.52 mm Hg lower SBP (95% CI: -4.42, -0.63), after adjustment for lifestyle factors, BMI and soluble fibre. The association remained significant after adjustment for urinary potassium (-2.48 mm Hg; 95%CI: -4.38, -0.58). Soluble fibre intake was not associated with SBP or DBP, as in the main analyses.

Associations of Fibre Intakes with BP in Subcohorts

We repeated the regression analyses in other subcohorts excluding participants with characteristics that may bias associations between fibre intake and BP **(Table S2)**: a subcohort of non-hypertensive participants and a subcohort free of major chronic diseases (additionally excluding those with cardiovascular diseases and diabetes). Associations between total, insoluble fibre intake and BP in the two subcohorts were comparable to those from the main analyses. Although the association between SBP and insoluble fibre was slightly attenuated in non-hypertensive participants, it remained significant (-1.89 mm Hg (95% CI: -3.63, -0.15). After further exclusion of participants previously diagnosed with cardiovascular diseases or diabetes, the association between insoluble fibre and SBP did not remain statistically significant. Furthermore, analyses were repeated excluding participants who are taking fibre supplements (n=78). Results were compatible to the main analyses (data not shown).

Discussion

In this cross-sectional, population-based study of 2,195 Americans participating in the INTERMAP Study, a higher intake of total dietary fibre was associated with lower BP; this finding may be attributable by the observed inverse association between insoluble fibre and SBP but not with soluble fibre. The associations between total, insoluble dietary fibre and SBP were attenuated with adjustment for urinary potassium. Results remained significant with adjustment of BMI and are therefore independent of BMI influence. In the subcohort

excluding participants with hypertension and use of antihypertensive drugs, the associations between total, insoluble dietary fibre and BP were independent of nutrients associated with higher intakes of fibre-rich foods, including potassium.

Previous cross-sectional (4-8, 24, 25), and prospective (4, 5, 9) analyses have reported inconsistent findings on the association between total dietary fibre intake and BP. Some studies analysed cross-sectionally found inverse associations between total fibre intake and BP (4-6), and some reported no association (7, 8). In prospective cohort studies, inverse relations between total fibre intake and BP change (9), or risk of developing hypertension (4, 5) were significant in white men and white women only (5, 9) or no longer significant after adjustment for dietary confounders (5). The inconsistent results may be explained by methodological issues and differences. First, in most studies results were based on models that were mainly adjusted for non-dietary confounders (24, 25) or included *few* dietary confounders (6, 8, 10). Second, for most studies that examined the association of total fibre and BP the frequency of BP measurements was typically low (one (7) or two (6, 8) BP measures) or even based on self-reported data (4, 5). Third, most studies calculated fibre intakes from single (6, 8), self-administered food frequency questionnaires (4, 5), or alternatively single 24-hour dietary recalls (6, 7). Our findings were derived from eight averaged BP measurements and four high quality 24-hour multipass dietary recalls per person collected over four visits. Moreover, we found stronger correlations between dietary and urinary variables from two timed 24-hour urinary collections in comparison to previously reported values (26). Dietary intakes were also energy adjusted using the nutrient density method and an isocaloric analysis that allows for interpretation of the relation of the nutrient composition of the diet with the outcome, i.e., BP, while controlling for total energy intake (23). The availability of urinary collections enabled us to adjust multivariate models for objective dietary intake measures, which in most other studies is not available.

The independent association between different types of dietary fibre and BP has been less thoroughly explored. To our knowledge, this is the first report on possible cross-sectional associations between total, insoluble, and soluble dietary fibre intakes and BP, measured eight times, using models adjusted extensively for possible dietary and other lifestyle confounders. Previously, a cross-sectional analysis including 5,961 middle-aged men and women of the SUVIMAX study reported that participants in the highest quartiles had a lower risk of hypertension of 29% for total and 32% for insoluble dietary fibre intakes, with no association reported for soluble fibre intake. The study showed that 5 g/day higher total fibre intake was associated with a 12% lower risk of hypertension (18). Though dietary fibre intakes were estimated by six 24-hour dietary recalls, this study used only one BP measurement. Another limitation is that analyses were not controlled for several confounding factors including family history of CVD or high BP. Our results are also compatible with findings of a recent meta-analysis of 22 cohort studies that showed inverse associations between insoluble fibre intake and cardiovascular diseases, with no significant associations for soluble fibre intake, which may be attributed to low soluble fibre intake (27).

BP lowering effects of fibre have previously been attributed to a variety of factors, including increased nitric oxide release (a vasodilator) (28); improvement in endothelial function by inhibiting sodium absorption(28), although inconclusive (29); improvement of other cardiovascular disease risk factors (30), and improved insulin sensitivity and hyperglycaemia (31, 32).

Participants with diagnosed high BP, cardiovascular disease, or diabetes may have changed their dietary habits after their diagnosis toward a healthier pattern. Also, those participants have presumably lower BP levels due to their use of BP lowering medication, which may distort the relationship between fibre intake and BP level. However, when those participants were excluded, results were consistently inverse. Based on these findings, it can be posited

that the inverse associations between total, insoluble fibre and BP observed in our study are independent of BMI and of nutrients associated with higher intakes of high-fibre foods, including potassium.

Limitations of our study include its cross-sectional design making causal inferences not possible, regression dilution bias related to imprecise measures-and the reliability estimate has been calculated to show the potential of bias (despite repeated measures), systematic bias from including multiple centres, and possible residual confounding. However, extensive efforts were made to minimize those limitations (extensive observer training, repeated measurements of BP, standardized methods in dietary collection and BP measures, open-end questions, and on-going quality control). In addition, although four 24-hour dietary recalls were done, the dietary data may not be representative of a participant's long-term habitual intake. Also, although the associations between total, insoluble fibre intake and BP were independent of highly correlated nutrient intakes including potassium, we can't rule-out that other nutrients other nutrients and phytochemicals abundant in high-fibre foods may be responsible for the observed inverse fibre-BP association (33).

In conclusion, in this cross-sectional study of free-living US participants, higher intakes of total fibre and insoluble fibre were associated with lower BP. Therefore, it may be a favourable approach to choose fibre-rich foods in the prevention of elevated BP. Confirmation from large-scale, prospective population studies with high- quality dietary data and BP measurements and intervention studies is however needed.

Acknowledgements

We thank all INTERMAP staff at local, national, and international centres for their invaluable efforts. A partial listing of these colleagues is given in Reference (34) of this paper.

Sources of Funding

Supported by grants (R01-HL65461, R01-HL50490, and R01-HL84228) from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, and by the National Institutes of Health Office on Dietary Supplements (Bethesda, Maryland, USA); also by national agencies in Japan, People Republic of China, and the UK. P.E. acknowledges support from the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust and Imperial College Biomedical Research Centre (grant number P38084), the MRC-PHE Centre for Environment and Health, and the NIHR Health Protection Research Unit on Health Impact of Environmental Hazards; he is an NIHR Senior Investigator. The views expressed are those of the author and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the U.K. Department of Health.

Conflict of Interest

None

Authorship

PE and JS are the principle investigators of INTERMAP and carried out the study set-up, design, and methodology. GA carried out writing the paper. GA and LOG carried out the analyses and methodology. GF, QC, PE, MD, and LVH were constantly involved in writing and editing the paper. All authors were involved in writing the paper and had final approval of the submitted and published versions.

References

1. Lim S, Vos T, Flaxman A, Danaei G, Shibuya K, Adair-Rohani H et al. A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet. 2012;380(9859):2224-60.
2. Chen G, Lv D, Pang Z, Dong J, Liu Q. Dietary fiber intake and stroke risk: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2012;67(1):96-100.
3. Pereira M, O'Reilly E, Augustsson K, Fraser G, Goldbourt U, Heitmann B et al. Dietary fiber and risk of coronary heart disease: A pooled analysis of cohort studies. Arch Intern Med. 2004;164(4):370-6.
4. Ascherio A. A prospective study of nutritional factors and hypertension among US men. Circulation. 1992;86:1475-84.
5. Ascherio A, Hennekens C, Willett W, Sacks F, Rosner B, Manson J et al. Prospective study of nutritional factors, blood pressure, and hypertension among US women. Hypertension. 1996;27(5):1065-72.
6. Stamler J, Caggiula A, Grandits G. Relation of body mass and alcohol, nutrient, fiber, and caffeine intakes to blood pressure in the special intervention and usual care groups in the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 1997;65(1):338S-65S.
7. He J, Klag M, Whelton P, Mo J, Chen J, Qian M et al. Oats and buckwheat intakes and cardiovascular disease risk factors in an ethnic minority of China. Am J Clin Nutr. 1995;61(2):366-72.
8. Masala G, Bendinelli B, Versari D, Saieva C, Ceroti M, Santagiuliana F et al. Anthropometric and dietary determinants of blood pressure in over 7000 Mediterranean women: the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition-Florence cohort. J Hypertens. 2008;26(11):2112-20.
9. Ludwig D, Pereira M, Kroenke C. Dietary fiber, weight gain, and cardiovascular disease risk factors in young adults. JAMA. 1999;282(16):1539-46.
10. Elliott P, Fehily A, Sweetnam P, Yarnell J. Diet, alcohol, body mass, and social factors in relation to blood pressure: the Caerphilly heart study. Epidemiol Community Health. 1987;41(1):37-43.

11. Streppel M, Arends L, Van't Veer P, Grobbee D, Geleijnse J. Dietary fiber and blood pressure: A meta-analysis of randomized placebo-controlled trials. Arch Intern Med. 2005;165(2):150-6.
12. Whelton S, Hyre A, Pedersen B, Yi Y, Whelton P, He J. Effect of dietary fiber intake on blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized, controlled clinical trials. J Hypertens. 2005;23(3):475-81.
13. Birketvedt G, Aaseth J, Florholmen J, Ryttig K. Long-term effect of fibre supplement and reduced energy intake on body weight and blood lipids in overweight subjects. Acta Medica. 2000;43(4):129-32.
14. Burke V, Hodgson J, Beilin L, Giangiulioi N, Rogers P, Puddey I. Dietary protein and soluble fiber reduce ambulatory blood pressure in treated hypertensives. Hypertension. 2001;38(4):821-6.
15. Onning G, Wallmark A, Persson M, Akesson B, Elmståhl S, Oste R. Consumption of oat milk for 5 weeks lowers serum cholesterol and LDL cholesterol in free-living men with moderate hypercholesterolemia. Ann Nutr Metab. 1999;43(5):301-9.
16. Ryttig K, Tellnes G, Haegh L, Bøe E, Fagerthun H. A dietary fibre supplement and weight maintenance after weight reduction: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled long-term trial. Int J Obes. 1989;13(2):165-71.
17. Swain J, Rouse I, Curley C, Sacks F. Comparison of the effects of oat bran and low-fiber wheat on serum lipoprotein levels and blood pressure. N Engl J Med. 1990;322(3):147-52.
18. Lairon D, Arnault N, Bertrais S, Planells R, Clero E, Hercberg S et al. Dietary fiber intake and risk factors for cardiovascular disease in French adults. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;82(6):1185-94.
19. Stamler J, Elliott P, Dennis B, Dyer A, Kesteloot H, Liu K et al. INTERMAP: background, aims, design, methods, and descriptive statistics (nondietary). J Hum Hypertens. 2003;17(9):591-608.
20. Dennis B, Stamler J, Buzzard M, Conway R, Elliott P, Moag-Stahlberg A et al. INTERMAP: the dietary data process and quality control. J Hum Hypertens. 2003;17(9):609-22.
21. Schakel S, Dennis B, Wold A, Conway R, Zhao L, Okuda N et al. Enhancing data on nutrient composition of foods eaten by participants in the INTERMAP study in China, Japan, the United Kingdom, and the United States. J Food Compost Anal. 2003;16(3):395-408.

22. Dyer A, Shipley M, Elliott P. Urinary electrolyte excretion in 24 hours and blood pressure in the INTERSALT study. I. Estimates of reliability. The INTERSALT cooperative research group. Am J Epidemiol. 1994;139:927-39.
23. Willett W, Howe G, Kushi L. Adjustment for total energy intake in epidemiologic studies. Am J Clin Nutr. 1997;65(4 Suppl):1220S-8S.
24. Fehily A, Milbank J, Yarnell J, Hayes T, Kubiki A, Eastham R. Dietary determinants of lipoproteins, total cholesterol, viscosity, fibrinogen, and blood pressure. Am J Clin Nutr. 1982;36(5):890-6.
25. Joffres M, Reed D, Yano K. Relationship of magnesium intake and other dietary factors to blood pressure: the Honolulu heart study. Am J Clin Nutr. 1987;45(2):469-75.
26. Bingham S, Gill C, Welch A, Day K, Cassidy A, Khaw K et al. Comparison of dietary assessment methods in nutritional epidemiology: weighed records v. 24 h recalls, food-frequency questionnaires and estimated-diet records. Br J Nutr. 1994;72:619-43.
27. Threapleton D, Greenwood D, Evans C, Cleghorn C, Nykjaer C, Woodhead C et al. Dietary fibre intake and risk of cardiovascular disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ. 2013;347:f6879.
28. Brock D, Davis C, Irving B, Rodriguez J, Barrett E, Weltman A et al. A high-carbohydrate, high-fiber meal improves endothelial function in adults with the metabolic syndrome. Diabetes Care. 2006;29(10):2313-5.
29. Pal S, Khossousi A, Binns C, Dhaliwal S, Radavelli-Bagatini S. The effects of 12-week psyllium fibre supplementation or healthy diet on blood pressure and arterial stiffness in overweight and obese individuals. Br J Nutr. 2012;107(5):725-34.
30. Kelly SA, Summerbell CD, Brynes A, Whittaker V, Frost G. Wholegrain cereals for coronary heart disease. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2007(2):Cd005051.
31. Chandalia M, Garg A, Lutjohann D, von Bergmann K, Grundy S, Brinkley L. Beneficial effects of high dietary fiber intake in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med. 2000;342(19):1392-8.
32. Anderson JW, Baird P, Davis RH, Jr., Ferreri S, Knudtson M, Koraym A et al. Health benefits of dietary fiber. Nutr Rev. 2009;67(4):188-205.
33. Li B, Andrews K, Pehrsson P. Individual Sugars, Soluble, and Insoluble Dietary Fiber Contents of 70 High Consumption Foods. J Food Compost Anal. 2002;15(6):715-23.

34. United States Department of Agriculture. National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference. The National Agricultural Library. Release 27. Software v.2.0b. Internet: http://ndb.nal.usda.gov/ndb/.
35. Aburto N, Hanson S, Gutierrez H, Hooper L, Elliott P, Cappuccio F. Effect of increased potassium intake on cardiovascular risk factors and disease: systematic review and meta-analyses. BMJ. 2013;346:f1378.
36. Adrogue H, Madias N. Sodium and potassium in the pathogenesis of hypertension. N Engl J Med. 2007;356(19):1966-78.
37. Adrogue HJ, Madias NE. Sodium surfeit and potassium deficit: keys to the pathogenesis of hypertension. Journal of the American Society of Hypertension : JASH. 2014;8(3):203-13.
38. Hooper L, Kroon PA, Rimm EB, Cohn JS, Harvey I, Le Cornu KA et al. Flavonoids, flavonoid-rich foods, and cardiovascular risk: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;88(1):38-50.
39. Holmes E, Loo R, Stamler J, Bictash M, Yap I, Chan Q et al. Human metabolic phenotype diversity and its association with diet and blood pressure. Nature. 2008;453(7193):396-400.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of US INTERMAP participants by quartiles of total fibre intake, *n*=2,195[1,2]

Variable	Q1		Q2		Q3		Q4		*P* for trend
n	548		549		549		549		
Men (%)	50%		50%		50%		50%		
Total fibre (g/1000 kcal)	5	(0.1)	8	(0.1)	9	(0.1)	12	(0.1)	<0.0001
Insoluble fibre (g/1000 kcal)	3	(0.1)	5	(0.1)	6	(0.1)	8	(0.1)	<0.0001
Soluble fibre (g/1000 kcal)	2	(0.03)	3	(0.03)	3	(0.03)	4	(0.03)	<0.0001
Age (y)	48	(0.2)	49	(0.2)	49	(0.2)	50	(0.2)	<0.0001
Education (y)	14	(0.1)	15	(0.1)	15	(0.1)	15	(0.1)	<0.0001
Current smokers (%)	26%		19%		13%		9%		<0.0001
Alcohol intake (g/d)	7	(1)	8	(1)	8	(1)	5	(1)	<0.0001
Engagement in moderate and heavy physical activity (h/d)	4	(0.1)	3	(0.1)	3	(0.1)	3	(0.1)	0.12
Taking dietary supplements (%)	40%		52%		56%		60%		<0.0001

BMI (kg/m^2)	30.1	(0.3)	29.0	(0.3)	28.5	(0.2)	28.1	(0.3)	<0.0001
Systolic BP (mm Hg)	120.6	(0.6)	119.2	(0.6)	118.4	(0.6)	116.3	(0.6)	<0.0001
Diastolic BP (mm Hg)	74.4	(0.4)	73.7	(0.4)	73.3	(0.4)	72.2	(0.4)	0.003
History of cardiovascular disease or diabetes mellitus (%)	14%		18%		15%		15%		0.53
Use of antihypertensive and/or cardiovascular disease treatment (%)	27%		22%		24%		22%		0.17
Family history of hypertension (%)	70%		66%		70%		66%		0.32
Adhering to energy restricted diet (%)	5%		5%		7%		11%		<0.0001
Total energy intake (kcal/24-hour)	2,360	(24)	2,278	(24)	2233	(24)	2098	(25)	<0.0001
Sugar (%kcal)	29	(0.3)	27	(0.3)	26	(0.3)	26	(0.3)	<0.0001
Total protein (%kcal)	15	(0.1)	15	(0.1)	16	(0.1)	16	(0.1)	<0.0001
Total fat (%kcal)	35	(0.3)	34	(0.3)	33	(0.3)	29	(0.3)	<0.0001
Urinary samples (mmol/24-hour)									

Urinary sodium	155.3	(2.3)	163	(2.2)	164.8	(2.2)	167.3	(2.4)	0.003
Urinary magnesium	3.7	(0.1)	4	(0.1)	4.4	(0.1)	4.8	(0.1)	<0.0001
Urinary calcium	3.9	(0.1)	4	(0.1)	4.4	(0.1)	4.4	(0.1)	0.0003
Urinary potassium	49.2	(0.8)	55	(0.8)	60.8	(0.8)	66.0	(0.8)	<0.0001
Dietary sources (g/1000 kcal)									
Whole grain and fibre rich cereal	7	(4)	5	(4)	8	(4)	14	(4)	0.08
Refined grains	32	(1)	29	(1)	30	(1)	26	(1)	0.01
Legumes	0.2	(1)	0.2	(1)	0.2	(1)	2.3	(1)	0.01
Nuts and seeds	0.1	(0.1)	0.1	(0.1)	0.3	(0.1)	0.4	(0.1)	0.67
Vegetables	43	(3)	55	(4)	67	(4)	80	(4)	<0.0001
Raw fruit	25	(2)	38	(2)	44	(2)	55	(2)	<0.0001
Dried fruit	2	(1)	2	(1)	3	(1)	4	(1)	0.004

[1] Mean (SE) or (%)

[2] The generalized linear model was adjusted for age, gender, total energy (kcal/24-hour), total protein (%kcal), total fat (%kcal), total sugar (%kcal), and population sample.

Table 2. Estimated mean difference in BP associated with 2 SD higher intakes of total fibre, insoluble fibre, and soluble fibre in US INTERMAP participants (*n*=2,195) [1]

	SBP						DBP					
	Not adjusted for BMI			Adjusted for BMI			Not adjusted for BMI			Adjusted for BMI		
Model	Difference	95% CI	*p*	Difference	95% CI	*p*	Difference	95% CI	*p*	Difference	95% CI	*p*
	mm Hg	*mm Hg*		*mm Hg*	*mm Hg*		*mm Hg*	*mm Hg*		*mm Hg*	*mm Hg*	
Total fibre												
Model 1	-3.45	(-4.74, -2.16)	<0.0001	-2.35	(-3.58, -1.11)	0.0002	-1.80	(-2.68, -0.92)	<0.0001	-1.18	(-2.04, -0.33)	0.01
Model 2	-2.88	(-4.20, -1.55)	<0.0001	-1.69	(-2.96, -0.41)	0.01	-1.53	(-2.43, -0.62)	0.001	-0.85	(-1.73, 0.04)	0.06
Model 3	-2.91	(-4.23, -1.59)	<0.0001	-1.69	(-2.97, -0.41)	0.01	-1.54	(-2.45, -0.64)	0.001	-0.84	(-1.73, 0.05)	0.06
Model 4	-2.95	(-4.31, -1.59)	<0.0001	-1.63	(-2.94, -0.33)	0.01	-1.48	(-2.41, -0.56)	0.002	-0.73	(-1.63, 0.18)	0.12
Model 5	-2.90	(-4.22, -1.57)	<0.0001	-1.73	(-3.01, -0.45)	0.01	-1.54	(-2.45, -0.64)	0.001	-0.87	(-1.76, 0.02)	0.05
Model 6	-2.66	(-4.05, -1.27)	0.0002	-1.01	(-2.35, 0.34)	0.14	-1.37	(-2.32, -0.42)	0.01	-0.42	(-1.35, 0.51)	0.38
Insoluble fibre [2]												
Model 1	-3.56	(-5.50, -1.62)	0.0003	-2.45	(-4.30, -0.60)	0.01	-2.23	(-3.55, -0.91)	0.001	-1.61	(-2.89, -0.33)	0.01

Model 2	-3.19	(-5.11, -1.27)	0.001	-2.07	(-3.91, -0.23)	0.03	-1.96	(-3.28, -0.65)	0.004	-1.32	(-2.60, -0.04)	0.04
Model 3	-3.15	(-5.07, -1.23)	0.001	-2.07	(-3.92, -0.23)	0.03	-1.94	(-3.26, -0.63)	0.004	-1.32	(-2.60, -0.04)	0.04
Model 4	-3.23	(-5.17, -1.29)	0.001	-2.01	(-3.87, -0.16)	0.03	-1.92	(-3.25, -0.60)	0.004	-1.23	(-2.51, 0.06)	0.06
Model 5	-3.15	(-5.07, -1.23)	0.001	-2.05	(-3.89, -0.20)	0.03	-1.95	(-3.26, -0.63)	0.004	-1.32	(-2.59, -0.04)	0.04
Model 6	-3.10	(-5.03, -1.17)	0.002	-1.81	(-3.65, 0.04)	0.06	-1.90	(-3.22, -0.58)	0.01	-1.16	(-2.44, 0.12)	0.08
Soluble fibre [3]												
Model 1	0.10	(-1.88, 2.09)	0.92	-0.02	(-1.91, 1.86)	0.98	0.37	(-0.98, 1.72)	0.59	0.30	(-1.01, 1.72)	0.65
Model 2	0.42	(-1.54, 2.39)	0.67	0.42	(-1.46, 2.30)	0.66	0.40	(-0.94, 1.75)	0.56	0.40	(-0.90, 1.70)	0.55
Model 3	0.32	(-1.64, 2.28)	0.75	0.42	(-1.46, 2.29)	0.66	0.35	(-0.99, 1.69)	0.61	0.41	(-0.90, 1.71)	0.54
Model 4	0.40	(-1.57, 2.37)	0.69	0.41	(-1.47, 2.29)	0.67	0.41	(-0.94, 1.75)	0.55	0.42	(-0.89, 1.72)	0.53
Model 5	0.36	(-1.60, 2.32)	0.72	0.35	(-1.52, 2.23)	0.71	0.37	(-0.97, 1.71)	0.59	0.37	(-0.94, 1.67)	0.58
Model 6	0.61	(-1.38, 2.59)	0.55	0.88	(-1.01, 2.78)	0.36	0.53	(-0.83, 1.88)	0.45	0.68	(-0.63, 2.00)	0.31

[1] 2SD fibres (g/1000 kcal) total fibre (6.8); insoluble fibre (4.6); soluble fibre (2.2). Model 1 was adjusted for age, gender, total energy (kcal/24-hour), total protein (%kcal), total fat (%kcal), total sugar (%kcal), and population sample. Model 2 was adjusted as model 1 variables plus adherence to energy restricted diet, smoking, alcohol intake (g/24-hour), hours engaged in moderate and heavy physical activity, dietary supplement use, educational level, cardiovascular disease or diabetes diagnosis, and family history of high BP. Model 3 was adjusted as model 2 plus urinary sodium (mmol/24-hour). Model 4 was adjusted as model 3 plus urinary magnesium (mmol/24-hour). Model 5 was adjusted as model 3 plus urinary calcium (mmol/24-hour). Model 6 was adjusted as model 3 plus urinary potassium (mmol/24-hour). [2] Additionally adjusted for soluble fibre (g/1000 kcal). [3] Additionally adjusted for insoluble fibre (g/1000 kcal).

Table 3. Estimated mean difference in BP associated with 2 SD higher intakes of total fibre, insoluble fibre, and soluble fibre in US INTERMAP participants, excluding those with hypertension and users of antihypertensive drug (*n*=1,477) [1]

	SBP						DBP					
	Not adjusted for BMI			Adjusted for BMI			Not adjusted for BMI			Adjusted for BMI		
Model	Difference	95% CI	*p*	Difference	95% CI	*p*	Difference	95% CI	*p*	Difference	95% CI	*p*
	mm Hg	*mm Hg*		*mm Hg*	*mm Hg*		*mm Hg*	*mm Hg*		*mm Hg*	*mm Hg*	
Total fibre												
Model 1	-3.50	(-4.80, -2.20)	<0.0001	-3.45	(-4.74, -2.16)	<0.0001	-2.01	(-2.95, -1.06)	<0.0001	-1.47	(-2.37, -0.56)	0.002
Model 2	-2.76	(-4.11, -1.40)	<0.0001	-1.82	(-3.10, -0.54)	0.01	-1.77	(-2.75, -0.78)	0.0004	-1.19	(-2.13, -0.24)	0.01
Model 3	-2.77	(-4.12, -1.43)	<0.0001	-1.85	(-3.13, -0.57)	0.005	-1.78	(-2.75, -0.80)	0.0004	-1.19	(-2.14, -0.25)	0.01
Model 4	-3.06	(-4.44, -1.68)	<0.0001	-2.05	(-3.36, -0.74)	0.002	-1.87	(-2.87, -0.87)	0.0003	-1.24	(-2.20, -0.27)	0.01
Model 5	-2.70	(-4.05, -1.35)	<0.0001	-1.79	(-3.07, -0.52)	0.01	-1.73	(-2.71, -0.76)	0.001	-1.17	(-2.11, -0.23)	0.02
Model 6	-3.02	(-4.43, -1.60)	<0.0001	-1.69	(-3.04, -0.34)	0.014	-1.96	(-2.99, -0.93)	0.0002	-1.15	(-2.14, -0.15)	0.02
Insoluble fibre [2]												
Model 1	-3.80	(-5.80, -1.79)	0.0002	-3.08	(-4.98, -1.19)	0.001	-2.90	(-4.36, -1.45)	<0.0001	-2.46	(-3.85, -1.06)	0.001
Model 2	-3.29	(-5.30, -1.28)	0.001	-2.54	(-4.44, -0.65)	0.01	-2.60	(-4.05, -1.14)	0.001	-2.13	(-3.53, -0.74)	0.003
Model 3	-3.15	(-5.15, -1.15)	0.002	-2.52	(-4.42, -0.63)	0.01	-2.52	(-3.97, -1.07)	0.001	-2.13	(-3.52, -0.73)	0.003

Model 4	-3.51	(-5.53, -1.50)	0.001	-2.71	(-4.62, -0.81)	0.01	-2.67	(-4.14, -1.21)	0.0004	-2.18	(-3.58, -0.77)	0.002
Model 5	-3.25	(-5.25, -1.25)	0.002	-2.52	(-4.41, -0.63)	0.01	-2.57	(-4.03, -1.12)	0.001	-2.12	(-3.52, -0.73)	0.003
Model 6	-3.37	(-5.39, -1.36)	0.001	-2.48	(-4.38, -0.58)	0.01	-2.66	(-4.12, -1.20)	0.0004	-2.11	(-3.51, -0.71)	0.003
Soluble fibre [3]												
Model 1	0.33	(-1.66, 2.32)	0.74	0.36	(-1.51, 2.23)	0.70	0.88	(-0.56, 2.32)	0.23	0.90	(-0.48, 2.28)	0.20
Model 2	0.68	(-1.30, 2.66)	0.50	0.79	(-1.07, 2.66)	0.41	0.82	(-0.62, 2.26)	0.26	0.89	(-0.49, 2.26)	0.21
Model 3	0.48	(-1.50, 2.45)	0.64	0.74	(-1.13, 2.60)	0.44	0.71	(-0.72, 2.14)	0.33	0.87	(-0.51, 2.25)	0.22
Model 4	0.63	(-1.35, 2.61)	0.54	0.75	(-1.11, 2.61)	0.43	0.80	(-0.64, 2.24)	0.27	0.88	(-0.50, 2.25)	0.21
Model 5	0.72	(-1.25, 2.69)	0.47	0.82	(-1.04, 2.68)	0.39	0.84	(-0.59, 2.27)	0.25	0.90	(-0.47, 2.28)	0.20
Model 6	0.55	(-1.45, 2.55)	0.59	0.89	(-0.99, 2.77)	0.35	0.71	(-0.74, 2.16)	0.34	0.92	(-0.47, 2.31)	0.19

[1] 2SD fibres (g/1000 kcal) total fibre (6.9); insoluble fibre (4.8); soluble fibre (2.2). Model 1 was adjusted for age, gender, total energy (kcal/24-hour), total protein (%kcal), total fat (%kcal), total sugar (%kcal), and population sample. Model 2 was adjusted as model 1 variables plus adherence to energy restricted diet, smoking, alcohol intake (g/24-hour), hours engaged in moderate and heavy physical activity, dietary supplement use, educational level, cardiovascular disease or diabetes diagnosis, and family history of high BP. Model 3 was adjusted as model 2 plus urinary sodium (mmol/24-hour). Model 4 was adjusted as model 2 plus urinary magnesium (mmol/24-hour). Model 5 was adjusted as model 2 plus urinary calcium (mmol/24-hour). Model 6 was adjusted as model 2 plus urinary potassium (mmol/24-hour). [2] Additionally adjusted for soluble fibre (g/1000 kcal). [3] Additionally adjusted for insoluble fibre (g/1000 kcal).