ESC European Society of Cardiology

European Heart Journal (2022) **43**, 3925–3946
https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehac361

SPECIAL ARTICLE
Miscellaneous

Lipoprotein(a) in atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease and aortic stenosis: a European Atherosclerosis Society consensus statement

Florian Kronenberg [1], Samia Mora [2], Erik S.G. Stroes [3], Brian A. Ference[4], Benoit J. Arsenault [5], Lars Berglund[6], Marc R. Dweck [7], Marlys Koschinsky [8], Gilles Lambert [9], François Mach[10], Catherine J. McNeal [11], Patrick M. Moriarty[12], Pradeep Natarajan [13], Børge G. Nordestgaard [14,15], Klaus G. Parhofer [16], Salim S. Virani [17], Arnold von Eckardstein [18], Gerald F. Watts[19], Jane K. Stock[20], Kausik K. Ray[21], Lale S. Tokgözoğlu[22], and Alberico L. Catapano [23,24]

[1]Institute of Genetic Epidemiology, Medical University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria; [2]Center for Lipid Metabolomics, Division of Preventive Medicine, and Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA; [3]Department of Vascular Medicine, Amsterdam Cardiovascular Sciences, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; [4]Centre for Naturally Randomized Trials, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK; [5]Centre de Recherche de l'Institut Universitaire de Cardiologie et de Pneumologie de Québec, and Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Université Laval, Québec City, QC, Canada; [6]Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, University of California-Davis, Davis, Sacramento, CA, USA; [7]British Heart Foundation Centre for Cardiovascular Science, Edinburgh Heart Centre, University of Edinburgh, Chancellors Building, Little France Crescent, Edinburgh EH16 4SB, UK; [8]Robarts Research Institute, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada; [9]Inserm, UMR 1188 Diabète Athérothrombose Thérapies Réunion Océan Indien (DéTROI), Université de La Réunion, 97400 Saint-Denis de La Reunion, France; [10]Department of Cardiology, Geneva University Hospital, Geneva, Switzerland; [11]Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Baylor Scott & White Health, 2301 S. 31st St., USA; [12]University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS, USA; [13]Cardiovascular Research Center, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, and Program in Medical and Population Genetics and Cardiovascular Disease Initiative, Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA; [14]Department of Clinical Biochemistry and the Copenhagen General Population Study, Herlev and Gentofte Hospital, Copenhagen University Hospital, Herlev, Denmark; [15]Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; [16]Medizinische Klinik und Poliklinik IV, Ludwigs- Maximilians University Klinikum, Munich, Germany; [17]Section of Cardiovascular Research, Baylor College of Medicine & Michael E. DeBakey Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Houston, TX, USA; [18]Institute of Clinical Chemistry, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; [19]Medical School, University of Western Australia, and Department of Cardiology, Lipid Disorders Clinic, Royal Perth Hospital, Perth, Australia; [20]European Atherosclerosis Society, Mässans Gata 10, SE-412 51 Gothenburg, Sweden; [21]Imperial Centre for Cardiovascular Disease Prevention, Department of Primary Care and Public Health, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK; [22]Department of Cardiology, Hacettepe University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey; [23]Department of Pharmacological and Biomolecular Sciences, University of Milano, Milano, Italy; and [24]IRCCS Multimedica, Milano, Italy


Received 24 February 2022; revised 10 May 2022; accepted 21 June 2022; online publish-ahead-of-print 18 August 2022

Listen to the audio abstract of this contribution.

* Corresponding author. Tel: +43 512 9003 70560, Fax: +43 512 9003 73560; Email: florian.kronenberg@i-med.ac.at
© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of European Society of Cardiology.
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3925/6670882 by guest on 25 June 2026

Graphical Abstract



Key points from the 2022 Lp(a) consensus statement. Current evidence demonstrates a causal continuous association in different ethnicities between Lp(a) concentration and cardiovascular outcomes including aortic valve stenosis, but not for venous thrombotic events. A meta-analysis of prospective studies shows that very low Lp(a) levels are associated with increased risk of diabetes mellitus. For clinical practice, Lp(a) should be measured at least once in adults and results interpreted in the context of a patient's absolute global cardiovascular risk, with recommendations on intensified early risk factor management by lifestyle modification. The statement also reviews currently available and future possibilities to specifically lower Lp(a).

Abstract

This 2022 European Atherosclerosis Society lipoprotein(a) [Lp(a)] consensus statement updates evidence for the role of Lp(a) in atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) and aortic valve stenosis, provides clinical guidance for testing and treating elevated Lp(a) levels, and considers its inclusion in global risk estimation. Epidemiologic and genetic studies involving hundreds of thousands of individuals strongly support a causal and continuous association between Lp(a) concentration and cardiovascular outcomes in different ethnicities; elevated Lp(a) is a risk factor even at very low levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol. High Lp(a) is associated with both microcalcification and macrocalcification of the aortic valve. Current findings do not support Lp(a) as a risk factor for venous thrombotic events and impaired fibrinolysis. Very low Lp(a) levels may associate with increased risk of diabetes mellitus meriting further study. Lp(a) has pro-inflammatory and pro-atherosclerotic properties, which may partly relate to the oxidized phospholipids carried by Lp(a). This panel recommends testing Lp(a) concentration at least once in adults; cascade testing has potential value in familial hypercholesterolaemia, or with family or personal history of (very) high Lp(a) or premature ASCVD. Without specific Lp(a)-lowering therapies, early intensive risk factor management is recommended, targeted according to global cardiovascular risk and Lp(a) level. Lipoprotein apheresis is an option for very high Lp(a) with progressive cardiovascular disease despite optimal management of risk factors. In conclusion, this statement reinforces evidence for Lp(a) as a causal risk factor for cardiovascular outcomes. Trials of specific Lp(a)-lowering treatments are critical to confirm clinical benefit for cardiovascular disease and aortic valve stenosis.

Keywords Lipoprotein(a) • Cardiovascular risk • Aortic stenosis • Clinical guidance • Testing • Treatment • Consensus • Model of care

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3925/6670882 by guest on 25 June 2026

Introduction

Since the 2010 European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS) consensus statement,[1] knowledge about the role of lipoprotein(a) [Lp(a)] in atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) and aortic valve stenosis (AVS) has expanded substantially (Box 1 and *Graphical Abstract*). Strong evidence for causality for ASCVD prompted the development of novel drugs that specifically lower Lp(a) levels. New genetic, mechanistic, and imaging insights also suggest therapeutic potential in AVS,[2,3] arguably the last major cardiovascular condition lacking medical therapy for slowing disease progression. With AVS prevalence predicted to dramatically increase (>300%) by 2050, this remains an urgent unmet clinical need.

Box 1 What is new since the 2010 EAS consensus statement?

- Strong evidence for a causal association between Lp(a) concentration and cardiovascular outcomes in different ethnicities.
- This association is continuous even at low levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.
- Lp(a) is a new risk factor for aortic valve stenosis.
- Evidence does not support Lp(a) as a risk factor for venous thromboembolism and impaired fibrinolysis.
- Lifelong very low Lp(a) concentrations may associate with diabetes mellitus.
- Lp(a) should be measured at least once in adults.
- A high Lp(a) concentration should be interpreted in the context of other risk factors and absolute global cardiovascular risk, and addressed through intensified lifestyle and risk factor management.
- Specific effective Lp(a)-lowering therapies are in Phase II/III clinical trials.

Despite affecting ≈1.4 billion people worldwide,[4] the contribution of elevated Lp(a) concentration to cardiovascular risk remains underappreciated. This second EAS statement highlights new evidence for Lp(a), identifies gaps requiring further study, and provides clinical guidance for testing and treating elevated Lp(a) levels. This statement should catalyze global action to improve the management of Lp(a).

What determines plasma Lp(a) concentration?

Genetics of Lp(a) concentration

Lp(a) concentration ranges between <0.1 mg/dL and >300 mg/dL (<0.2–750 nmol/L) and is predominantly (>90%) determined by genetic variability at the *LPA* locus. By age 2 years, the *LPA* gene is fully expressed; adult Lp(a) levels are usually attained by ∼5 years[5] but may increase until adulthood.[6,7] The Kringle-IV (K-IV) repeat polymorphism explains ∼30–70% of the variability in concentration (*Figure 1*).[8–10] Expression of a low number (<23) of K-IV repeats is characterized by small apolipoprotein(a) [apo(a)] isoforms and markedly higher Lp(a) concentration compared with those with only large isoforms. However, as levels for a given apo(a) isoform may vary up to 200-fold between unrelated individuals and up to 2.5-fold within families when alleles are identical-by-descent,[11] it is likely that other genetic variants with regulatory effects are also involved (*Figure 1*).[10]

Among known *LPA* variants, the single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) rs10455872 and rs3798220 are claimed as proxies for small apo(a) isoforms[12] but are only evident in 50% of small isoform carriers.[13] Up to 70% of the *LPA* gene are encoded by hypervariable K-IV Type-2 repeats which are not easily accessible for conventional sequencing technologies.[10] Of >500 genetic variants identified here, some have very pronounced effects on Lp(a) concentration.[14] Two of these, the splice site variants 4733G > A and 4925G > A (carried by 38 and 22% of the population, respectively) decrease Lp(a) concentration by ∼14 and 30 mg/dL, and associate with lower cardiovascular risk.[15,16] Beyond the wider *LPA* gene region, the *APOE, CETP*, and *APOH* loci have also been shown to associate with Lp(a) concentration.[17–19] Further information on the genetic control of Lp(a) concentration is provided in the Supplementary material online.

Impact of ethnicity and sex

Ethnicity also impacts Lp(a) concentration, as evident in the UK Biobank, in which median Lp(a) increased sequentially in Chinese, White, South Asian and Black individuals (16, 19, 31, and 75 nmol/L, respectively).[20,21] The ARIC study also showed that Lp(a) levels vary more widely among Black than White individuals, as the ∼50th percentile in Black people equated with the highest quintile in White people.[22] In addition, Lp(a) distribution was less skewed in Black people than in other ethnic groups (*Figure 2*).[23]

These ethnicity differences are mainly ascribed to Lp(a) isoform size and genetic variants within the *LPA* locus. In support, the Dallas Heart Study showed a linear correlation between the number of K-IV repeats and Lp(a) concentration for Black, White and Hispanic individuals, although Black people had higher Lp(a) levels for any given number of K-IV repeats.[24] However, different SNPs, including loss-of-function variants, effects on promoter activity by non-genetic influences, as well as other undefined mechanisms may also play a role.[25]

Although the strength of association between some SNPs and apo(a) isoform size and Lp(a) concentration varies with ethnicity,[26–28] it is uncertain whether these are true causal effects.[14–16] Indeed, over 20 functional variants explain >80% of Lp(a) variance, with consistent cross-ethnicity variability beyond K-IV Type-2 number, which implies that both SNPs and K-IV Type-2 number determine Lp(a) concentration regardless of ethnicity.[29]

Finally, there are sex differences, with Lp(a) concentration generally ∼5–10% higher in women than men, in both Black and White individuals.[22,25,30] In men, Lp(a) remains relatively constant, whereas in women levels tend to increase at menopause.[31]

Consensus key points: Influence of genetics and ethnicity on Lp(a)

- Lp(a) concentration is predominantly determined by genetics (>90%), more than any other lipoprotein.
- The K-IV repeat polymorphism explains most of the variability in Lp(a) concentration.
- Several frequent and rare functional SNPs profoundly modify the inverse correlation of isoform size and Lp(a) concentration.
- Lp(a) level varies with ethnicity (in increasing order: Chinese, White, South Asian, and Black individuals).

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3925/6670882 by guest on 25 June 2026




Figure 1 Structure and genetic variability of the *LPA* gene. The upper panel shows the topology of apolipoprotein(a) and the association of the Kringle-IV repeat polymorphism with lipoprotein(a) [Lp(a)] concentration, which explains 30%–70% of variation depending on ethnicity. The lower panel shows the structure of the *LPA* gene and the known single-nucleotide polymorphisms within the gene that have marked effects on Lp(a) concentration. The exons are numbered according to the domain that they encode (L. leader sequence, 1–10: KIV-1 to KIV-10, V: KV domain, P: protease domain, 5′: 5′UTR, 3′: 3′ UTR). Single-nucleotide polymorphisms associated with increased Lp(a) concentration are shown above the gene structure, and those associated with decreased Lp(a) concentrations (both causally or by association only) are shown below. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms that cause null alleles are underlined; however, Lp(a)-lowering single-nucleotide polymorphisms may cause null alleles if present on an allele already associated with low Lp(a) production. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the Kringle-IV Type-2 region are named according to their publication; they cannot be assigned a single rs-identifier as their location is not unique. Figure provided and adapted by Prof. Florian Kronenberg and Prof. Stefan Coassin based on reference.[10]

Influence of non-genetic factors

Although less well-characterized, non-genetic factors may also modulate Lp(a) concentration (*Table 1*, for extended discussion see Supplementary material online).[31–62] Lifestyle interventions have minimal impact, but a low carbohydrate/high fat diet may decrease levels by 10–15%.[31,32]

Several hormones, particularly those affecting lipoprotein metabolism, influence Lp(a) concentration.[36–40] Impaired kidney function may increase levels, possibly due to increased hepatic Lp(a) synthesis triggered by protein loss in urine (nephrotic syndrome) or peritoneal dialysate,[41,42,50,51,63] or impaired catabolism.[41,51,64] As Lp(a) production occurs in the liver, hepatic impairment may decrease Lp(a) levels.[44] Finally, while pre-clinical models showed that inflammation impacts Lp(a),[60] the effects in population studies were small or negligible.[34] Clinically, Lp(a) was lower in severe, life-threatening acute-phase conditions but higher in several acute and chronic inflammatory conditions.[45,46] Increases related to interleukin-6 concentration were reported[61] and decreases with tocilizumab.[47]

Novel insights from Lp(a) epidemiology

As most people have relatively low Lp(a) concentrations (*Figure 2A and B*), studies focus on the top-third of the population with (very) high Lp(a) who exhibit >20% increase in risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (*Figure 2C–E*).[20,69]

Primary prevention

In the primary prevention setting, elevated Lp(a) associate with several ASCVD outcomes, as well as AVS and cardiovascular and all-cause mortality (*Figure 3*, *Figure 4A* and *Table 2*). Lp(a) levels above the 75th percentile increased the risk for AVS and myocardial infarction, whereas higher levels (>90th percentile) were associated with increased risk for heart failure.[70] The risk for cardiovascular mortality and ischaemic stroke[71–73] only increased at very high levels (>95th percentile).

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3925/6670882 by guest on 25 June 2026




Figure 2 Distribution of lipoprotein(a) [Lp(a)] concentration and association with risk for major cardiovascular events. Data from the UK Biobank show the typical distribution of Lp(a) concentrations in White (*Panel A*) and Black people (*Panel B*) and the linear relationship of Lp(a) concentration with risk for major cardiovascular events in White (*Panel C*), and Black people (*Panel D*). Panels A and B give the percentage of the population with an Lp(a) of 170, 190, 215, and 240 nmol/L or higher, respectively. Panels C and D show the smoothed adjusted hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for lifetime risk for major cardiovascular events for a given Lp(a) concentration relative to the median Lp(a) in the population (19.7 nmol/L). These data were estimated using a Cox proportional hazards regression model adjusted for age at enrolment, sex, and the first 10 principle components of ancestry and modelled using cubic natural splines. Confidence intervals are wider in Black people due to the smaller sample size. *Panel E* shows the lifetime risk of major cardiovascular events with increasing Lp(a) concentrations among men of European ancestry in the UK Biobank (results were similar for women but with lower absolute event rates). Participants were partitioned into categories with increasingly greater median Lp(a) plasma concentrations; and the cumulative major cardiovascular event rates were plotted for each group up to age 80 years. *Panel A* and *B* are provided by Prof. Florian Kronenberg and Silvia Di Maio; *Panel C-E* are provided by Prof. Brian Ference and Prof. Alberico L. Catapano. For detailed methodological description, see Supplementary material online.

Secondary prevention

In secondary prevention patients, elevated Lp(a) associated with an increased risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs) although there was some heterogeneity among studies.[105] In a meta-analysis, Lp(a) levels >80th percentile were significantly predictive of recurrent events in statin-treated patients with coronary artery disease [odds ratio (OR): 1.40, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.15–1.71] but not when baseline low-

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3925/6670882 by guest on 25 June 2026

Table 1 Non-genetic influences on lipoprotein(a) concentration

Condition/intervention	Effect on Lp(a) levels
Lifestyle	
Replacement of dietary saturated fat with carbohydrate or unsaturated fat[32]	~10%–15% increase
Low carbohydrate diet high in saturated fat[33]	~15% decrease
Fasting[34]	None
Physical activity[35]	None/minimal
Hormones and related conditions	
Hyperthyroidism[36]	Decrease; 20%–25% increase with thyrostatic treatment or radioactive iodine therapy
Hypothyroidism[36]	Increase; 5%–20% decrease with replacement therapy
Growth hormones[37]	2x increase with therapy
Endogenous sex hormones[31]	None/minimal
Pregnancy[38,39]	2x increase
Menopause[31]	None/minimal
Postmenopausal hormonal replacement therapy[40]	~25% decrease
Surgical or biochemical castration in males[48]	Small increase
Ovariectomy, oestrogen receptor antagonist[49]	Small increase
Chronic kidney disease[41,42]	
Nephrotic syndrome[50,63]	3–5 x increase (vs. control)
Peritoneal dialysis patients[51]	2 x increase (vs. control)
Haemodialysis treatment and chronic kidney disease[51,52,64]	Increases in large apo(a) isoform carriers
Kidney transplantation[43]	~Normalization of levels
Hepatic impairment[44,59]	Decrease, depending on cause
Liver transplantation[53]	Changes of apo(a) isoform to that of the donor, with corresponding changes in Lp(a) levels
Inflammation and related conditions[55,60]	
Severe, life-threatening acute-phase conditions (sepsis, severe burns)[46]	Decrease
Several inflammatory conditions[45]	Increase
Tocilizumab (interleukin-6 inhibitor)[47,61]	~30%–40% decrease
Protease inhibitors or antiretroviral therapy[56,57]	Increase
Statins[65–68]	May slightly increase Lp(a) (but reports are heterogeneous)
Air pollution (fine particulate, PM2.5)[58]	Slight increase

Changes are based on limited data; studies referenced are representative examples.

density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) was <130 mg/dL.[106] In the Copenhagen General Population Study, however, the risk for incident MACE was higher at Lp(a) ≥50 mg/dL vs. <10 mg/dL, even when LDL-C levels were <70 mg/dL.[107] AIM-HIGH also showed ~90% higher risk for MACE at baseline Lp(a) >75th percentile (>125 nmol/L) vs. lower levels even with comparable low LDL-C (~65 mg/dL).[108] In addition, in a patient-level meta-analysis, elevated Lp(a) at baseline and on-statin showed an independent approximately linear relationship with cardiovascular disease risk.[65]

Lp(a) and aortic valve stenosis

Since 2013, the *LPA* locus is established as a major contributor to AVS risk,[89] supported by numerous epidemiologic and genetic studies.[90–98] High Lp(a) is associated with both microcalcification and macrocalcification of the aortic valve,[109,110] especially in relatively young healthy individuals (45–54 years), in whom risk is increased three-fold at an Lp(a) >80th percentile vs. lower levels (15.8% vs. 4.3%, respectively).[111] High Lp(a) may also promote faster progression of aortic stenosis, culminating in earlier aortic valve replacement or death.[109,112]

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3925/6670882 by guest on 25 June 2026




Figure 3 Risk of clinical outcomes with Lp(a) concentration. Absolute and relative risks of aortic valve stenosis, ischaemic stroke, myocardial infarction and heart failure as a function of increasing plasma Lp(a) concentration in the general population. Top panel shows the absolute risk per 10000 person-years, and the lower panel shows hazard ratios as solid red line with 95% confidence intervals as dotted black lines; when the lower 95% confidence interval no longer overlap the hazard ratios reference value of 1.0 for the median Lp(a) concentration, risk is significantly elevated. Based on data from 70 286 White individuals in the Copenhagen General Population Study with a median 7.4 years of follow-up. Data provided by Prof. Børge G. Nordestgaard and Dr. Anne Langsted.

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3925/6670882 by guest on 25 June 2026




Figure 4 Effect of Lp(a) concentration and *LPA* score copies on risk for major cardiovascular events and venous thrombotic events. Data are from 440 368 UK Biobank participants of European ancestry. The *LPA* score is defined as the number of minor alleles of *LPA* variants rs10455872 or rs3798220 inherited by each participant, with the reference group defined as participants with no copies of either minor allele. The measured median Lp(a) concentration is provided for each group. *Panel A* shows the effect of higher Lp(a) among participants who inherit one minor allele [median Lp(a) 146.3 nmol/L] or two minor alleles [median Lp(a) 261.9 nmol/L] on the risk of major cardiovascular events [defined as the composite of the first occurrence of fatal or non-fatal myocardial infarction, fatal or non-fatal ischaemic stroke, or coronary revascularization (percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass graft surgery)] vs. the reference group [median Lp(a) 13.6 nmol/L]. *Panel B* shows the effect on the risk of venous thromboembolic events (VTE, defined as deep venous thrombosis or pulmonary embolism). Higher Lp(a) levels were strongly associated with increased risk of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease but not VTE. The solid boxes represent point estimates for effect and the lines 95% confidence intervals. Data provided by Prof. Brian Ference and Prof. Alberico L. Catapano. For detailed methodological description, see Supplementary material online.

Lp(a) and other cardiovascular outcomes

Studies implicate elevated Lp(a) with stroke risk dependent on aetiology. In the ARIC study and a large Mendelian randomization study, Lp(a) concentration and *LPA* genotype, respectively, associated with risk for non-cardioembolic stroke.[80,81] In addition, in the BIOSIGNAL study, Lp(a) >100 nmol/L associated with recurrent stroke in patients with large artery stroke, but not in those with atrial fibrillation,[82] possibly explaining why elevated Lp(a) is more relevant for risk for stroke in those aged <60 years.[77,82,83]

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3925/6670882 by guest on 25 June 2026

Table 2 Summary of epidemiological and genetic evidence for the association of lipoprotein(a) concentration with clinical outcomes

	Case–control or cross-sectional studies	Large prospective observational studies	Meta-analyses of prospective observational studies	Large genome-wide association studies	Large Mendelian randomization studies	Clinical trials of Lp(a)-lowering therapy
Myocardial infarction[12,21,65,73–76]	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Trial ongoing
Angina pectoris/coronary stenosis [77–79]	Yes	Yes	Data lacking	Yes	Yes	—
Ischaemic stroke[21,71–73,75,77,80–83]	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Trial ongoing
Carotid stenosis[77,78,84,85]	Yes	Yes	Data lacking	Yes	Yes	—
Peripheral arterial disease[75,77,78,86–88]	Yes	Yes	Data lacking	Yes	Yes	—
Aortic valve stenosis [21,89–98]	Yes	Yes	Data lacking	Yes	Yes	—
Heart failure[21,70]	Yes	Yes	Data lacking	Yes	Yes	—
Cardiovascular mortality[21,71,75,99,100]	Yes	Yes	Data lacking	Yes	Yes	Trial ongoing
All-cause mortality[71,99,101,102]	Data lacking	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Trial ongoing
Venous thromboembolism[77,103,104]	No	No	No	No	No	—

Numerous studies have been published. The Table above lists only some representative examples without following a formalized selection protocol.
Yes = association between Lp(a) and outcome has been described; No = no association between Lp(a) and outcome has been reported; Data lacking means there are no studies available.

The *LPA* gene locus is also the strongest genetic predictor of peripheral arterial disease (PAD).[86] In population-based studies, higher Lp(a) and small apo(a) isoforms associated with PAD risk, and among secondary prevention patients, the rs10455872 SNP was associated with reduced Ankle-Brachial Index.[87]

Finally, while recent large-scale epidemiological and genetic studies indicated an association between elevated Lp(a) and atrial fibrillation, the magnitude of effect was relatively small and partly mediated by ASCVD and AVS.[113,114]

Is Lp(a) a causal risk factor for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease and aortic valve stenosis?

Extensive genetic evidence supports a causal association between elevated Lp(a) and ASCVD and AVS.[1,8,9,12,71,72,74,77,115–118] This was largely derived from Mendelian randomization studies which used genetic tools that strongly predict Lp(a) levels, including apo(a) isoforms determined by the number of K-IV repeats on Western blots,[118,119] the number or sum of K-IV repeats,[74,120] and SNPs (notably rs10455872 and rs3798220).[12,75] Overall, genetic variants that associated with high Lp(a) are more common in individuals with prevalent and incident cardiovascular events (*Figure 4A*).[8] In contrast, rare loss-of-function variants[121] and very common splice site variants within the K-IV Type-2 region that associated with marked decreases in Lp(a) are protective against cardiovascular events.[15,16]

While these data predominantly relate to White populations, there is evidence that elevated Lp(a) is also a risk factor for ASCVD in other ethnic groups.[20,22,23,122–125] The UK Biobank showed very similar relationships between Lp(a) and ASCVD risk in White, Black, and South Asian individuals[20] (*Figure 2C and D*), consistent with findings from the ARIC study,[22] MESA study,[122] and INTERHEART study.[23] Given limited data in non-White populations, however, further study is needed. Finally, differences in the oxidized phospholipid component of apoB-containing particles may also modify Lp(a)-mediated ASCVD risk.[123]

Is the association between Lp(a) concentration and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease outcomes discrete or linear?

Recent findings from the UK Biobank challenge the concept of an Lp(a) threshold associated with ASCVD risk. Risk increases continuously with increasing Lp(a) concentration,[20] already at levels below reported thresholds (*Figure 2C*), and across different ethnic groups (*Figure 2D*). Despite this, Lp(a) thresholds remain pragmatic tools in clinical practice (see below). Very high Lp(a) levels (>180 mg/dL or >430 nmol/L) also identify individuals with lifetime ASCVD risk equivalent to untreated heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia (FH).[126]

Is Lp(a) a risk factor at (very) low low-density lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations?

In a large primary prevention study,[127] the association between Lp(a) and cardiovascular risk was abrogated at LDL-C <100 mg/dL. This

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3925/6670882 by guest on 25 June 2026




Figure 5 Association of Lp(a) concentration with diabetes mellitus. Random effects meta-analysis of seven prospective studies[135–141] and one case–control study[76] examining the association of lipoprotein(a) with risk of diabetes. Summary relative risks comparing bottom vs. top quintile were adjusted for clinical risk factors, with the size of the squares proportional to the number of cases in each study. Results were similar when excluding the Kamstrup *et al.* study[137] (for potential overlap with the Tolbus *et al.*[136] and Langsted *et al.*[138] studies; Supplementary material online, *Figure S1A*) or when excluding the case–control study by Gudbjartsson *et al.*[76] (Supplementary material online, *Figure S1B*). The summary relative risk *P*-values were all <0.001. (See also Supplementary material online). Data provided by Prof. Samia Mora, Dr. Olga Demler and Dr. Yanyan Liu.

finding should be interpreted cautiously, however, given limited patient numbers and potential over-correction of LDL-C for Lp(a) cholesterol.[128] In contrast, the JUPITER trial showed that the association between baseline Lp(a) and first incident cardiovascular event was similar above and below median LDL-C (110 mg/dL),[54] and even at low LDL-C levels (54 mg/dL), reinforcing high Lp(a) as a contributor to residual cardiovascular risk. Similar findings have been reported in the secondary prevention setting (see above).[65,107,108]

Is Lp(a) a risk factor for thromboembolic events?

Lp(a) was discussed controversially as risk factor for thrombotic events given high homology with plasminogen[103,129] and hypothetically mediated via impaired fibrinolysis based on *in vitro* data.[130] Observational studies in humans, however, showed only a slightly increased risk for venous thromboembolism at very high Lp(a) (>95th percentile).[77] Most importantly, causality was not supported by Mendelian randomization studies[77,131] (*Figure 4B*).

Paediatric perspectives

In children, elevated Lp(a) is clinically silent, with the rare exception of arterial ischaemic stroke, although this differs in risk factors and aetiology compared with that in adults. Limited data suggest an association between Lp(a) and incident arterial ischaemic stroke in children, somewhat stronger for recurrent events,[132–134] with risk more than doubling at Lp(a) levels >30 mg/dL.[132] While some studies indicated that thrombophilic risk factors and Lp(a) >30 mg/dL amplify the risk of ischaemic stroke and venous thromboembolism/sinus venous thrombosis,[5] such findings should be interpreted with caution due to the small sample sizes.

Consensus key points: Lp(a) and clinical outcomes

- Observational and genetic evidence convincingly demonstrates that high Lp(a) concentration is causal for ASCVD, AVS and cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in men and women and across ethnic groups.
- The relation between Lp(a) concentration and these outcomes is continuous; elevated Lp(a) is a risk factor even at very low LDL-C concentration.
- The risk of ischaemic stroke and heart failure increases at higher Lp(a) levels than those associated with the risk of myocardial infarction and AVS.
- In children, an Lp(a) >30 mg/dL (>75 nmol/L) is associated with increased risk of (recurrent) arterial ischaemic stroke.
- Lp(a) is not a risk factor for venous thromboembolism.

Lp(a) concentration and diabetes

Multiple studies over the last decade showed that very low Lp(a) levels associate with an increased risk of incident Type 2 diabetes mellitus. In an initial study, risk increased by 28% for the lowest vs. highest quintile.[135] Our meta-analysis of all available studies[76,135–141] showed a 38% (95% CI 29–48%, $P < 0.0001$) higher risk for the bottom quintile (thresholds <3 to 5 mg/dL) vs. top quintile of Lp(a) (thresholds >27 to 55 mg/dL) with no significant heterogeneity across studies (*Figure 5* and Supplementary material online, *Table S1*). A large cross-sectional Chinese study also showed that low Lp(a) associated with increased risk of pre-diabetes, insulin resistance, and hyperinsulinaemia.[142]

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3925/6670882 by guest on 25 June 2026

The mechanisms underlying this association are uncertain and not explained by established risk factors or known diabetes variants.[143–145] It is also unclear whether this risk relates to Lp(a) concentration or other factors. Mendelian randomization studies yielded mixed results depending on the genetic instrument used.[76,136–139] Loss-of-function variants might be preferable for identifying individuals with very low Lp(a) levels; in support, an Icelandic study demonstrated a causal association with this type of variant.[76]

Whether potent Lp(a)-lowering therapies might increase risk of incident diabetes has been raised as potential issue. At high baseline levels (>70 mg/dL) required for trial entry, however, it is unlikely that patients will attain very low Lp(a) concentration (e.g. <5 mg/dL). Whether this diabetes risk is causal, or whether it may be exacerbated by aggressive Lp(a) lowering, is uncertain. Both remain considerations for future risk–benefit analyses with novel therapeutics under investigation.

Metabolic insights

Apo(a), a key component of Lp(a), is exclusively synthesized in hepatocytes.[53] Compared with small apo(a) isoforms, large isoforms are more susceptible to proteasomal degradation in the endoplasmic reticulum, resulting in lower Lp(a) concentrations in carriers.[146] Kinetic studies also showed higher apo(a) production rates in subjects with smaller vs. larger apo(a) isoforms.[147] Taken together, these findings reinforce apo(a) production as a principal target for therapy.[148]

Lp(a) is predominantly metabolized in the liver, with a minor contribution from the kidney. Multiple putative pathways implicate the scavenger receptor BI, plasminogen receptors, and members of the LDL receptor (LDLR) family (Supplementary material online, Figure S2),[149] but genome-wide association studies failed to identify candidate receptor genes involved in Lp(a) removal.[17,18] Given important structural similarities between LDL and Lp(a), the LDLR has received most attention although its role remains elusive.[149,150] Both statins and proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin Type 9 (PCSK9) inhibitors increase LDLR expression; however, statins increase (slightly, if at all) and PCSK9 inhibitors decrease (by 15–30%) Lp(a) concentration.[66,151] Some (but not all) *in vitro* studies indicate that PCSK9 inhibitors decrease hepatic uptake of Lp(a) via the LDLR.[150,152–155] The impact of PCSK9 inhibition on Lp(a) kinetics in humans is unclear, as both unchanged or slightly increased fractional catabolic rates were reported.[156–158]

What mechanisms contribute to the pathogenicity of Lp(a)?

Similar to LDL, Lp(a) is an apoB-containing lipoprotein but the number of circulating particles is much lower. Given that risk associated with Lp(a) on a per particle basis may exceed that of LDL,[159] cell signalling effects mediated by Lp(a) rather than Lp(a) accumulation *per se* may mainly contribute to atherogenicity. Imaging studies revealed that Lp(a) initiates inflammation in the arterial wall,[160] and in advanced coronary artery disease, high Lp(a) levels were associated with accelerated progression of coronary calcium[161] and the necrotic core volume.[162] Similarly in AVS, high Lp(a) and proteins transported by Lp(a) (e.g. autotaxin) induces the expression of inflammatory and calcification genes in valvular interstitial cells and associates with increased incidence and progression of AVS.[112,163,164]

Oxidized phospholipids (OxPLs), preferentially carried by Lp(a) in the plasma, have been proposed as major players in the pro-inflammatory and pro-calcific effects of Lp(a).[165] Identification of key genes regulating glycolytic processes in endothelial cells exposed to high Lp(a) levels implicates glycolysis as a driver of Lp(a)-induced inflammation.[166] Studies also indicate that the OxPL component of Lp(a) particles promotes secretion of chemo-attractants and cytokines, upregulation of adhesion molecules, and transendothelial migration of monocytes (Supplementary material online, Figure S3). In patients with elevated Lp(a), immune cells demonstrate increased migration into atherosclerotic plaques.[160] OxPLs also induce expression of key genes regulating osteoblastic processes in valvular interstitial cells,[112] with effects attenuated by OxPL-blocking antibodies.

Whether Lp(a) plays a role in thrombotic processes in humans (enhanced coagulation or impaired fibrinolysis) is uncertain.[167] Apo(a) contains a protease-like domain similar to that in plasminogen, but inactive, suggesting that Lp(a) could promote impaired fibrinolysis. Human studies, however, failed to show any effect of substantial Lp(a) lowering on *ex vivo* fibrinolytic activity.[168] Epidemiological and genetic evidence also do not support a role for elevated Lp(a) in venous thrombosis (*Figure 4B*).[77,131]

Consensus key points: Proposed mechanisms for the pathogenicity of Lp(a) in ASCVD and AVS


- Lp(a) has pro-inflammatory and pro-atherosclerotic properties, which may partly relate to the OxPLs carried by Lp(a).
- A potential role for Lp(a) in pro-thrombotic and anti-fibrinolytic activity *in vivo* remains unproven.
- High Lp(a) induces the expression of inflammatory and calcification genes in vascular and valvular cells and associates with increased incidence and progression of AVS.

How to incorporate Lp(a)-associated atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk in risk estimation?

The 2010 EAS statement[1] provided a basis for European and US guidelines to recommend the use of an Lp(a) threshold (50 mg/dL) as a 'risk enhancer' to refine interpretation of an individual's estimated 10-year risk of ASCVD.[116,126] This merits reconsideration, however, with evidence of a continuous relationship between Lp(a) concentration and absolute ASCVD risk.[169] As adding Lp(a) to risk algorithms only marginally improves risk discrimination[170–172] (as is the case for most biomarkers), an alternative approach is to estimate how much the Lp(a) level increases the individual's overall risk of ASCVD, taking into account both Lp(a) and baseline absolute global risk of ASCVD. As shown in *Figure 6A*, an Lp(a) level of 100 mg/dL (~250 nmol/l) approximately doubles the risk of ASCVD

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3925/6670882 by guest on 25 June 2026

A




B

	Total CV risk (SCORE) %	Untreated Lp(a) concentrations < 10 mg/dL < 25 nmol/L	10 to <30 mg/dL 25 to <75 nmol/L	30 to <50 mg/dL 75 to <125 nmol/L	50 to <75 mg/dL 125 to <188 nmol/L	75 to <100 mg/dL 188 to <250 nmol/L	≥100 mg/dL ≥250 nmol/L
Primary Prevention	< 1 low-risk	Lifestyle advice	Lifestyle advice	Lifestyle advice	Lifestyle advice	Lifestyle intervention, consider drug intervention (e.g. LDL-C, BP, glucose)	Lifestyle and drug intervention (e.g. LDL-C, BP, glucose)
Primary Prevention	≥1 to <5, or moderate-risk	Lifestyle advice	Lifestyle advice	Lifestyle advice	Lifestyle intervention, consider drug intervention (e.g. LDL-C, BP, glucose)	Lifestyle intervention, consider drug intervention (e.g. LDL-C, BP, glucose)	Lifestyle and drug intervention (e.g. LDL-C, BP, glucose)
Primary Prevention	≥5 to <10, or high- risk	Lifestyle advice	Lifestyle advice	Lifestyle intervention, consider drug intervention (e.g. LDL-C, BP, glucose)	Lifestyle and drug intervention (e.g. LDL-C, BP, glucose)	Lifestyle and drug intervention (e.g. LDL-C, BP, glucose)	Lifestyle and drug intervention (e.g. LDL-C, BP, glucose)
Primary Prevention	≥10, or at very-high risk due to a risk condition	Lifestyle advice	Lifestyle intervention, consider drug intervention (e.g. LDL-C, BP, glucose)	Lifestyle and drug intervention (e.g. LDL-C, BP, glucose)	Lifestyle and drug intervention (e.g. LDL-C, BP, glucose)	Lifestyle and drug intervention (e.g. LDL-C, BP, glucose)	Lifestyle and drug intervention (e.g. LDL-C, BP, glucose)
Secondary Prevention	Very-high-risk	Lifestyle intervention, consider drug intervention (e.g. LDL-C, BP, glucose)	Lifestyle and drug intervention (e.g. LDL-C, BP, glucose)	Lifestyle and drug intervention (e.g. LDL-C, BP, glucose)	Lifestyle and drug intervention (e.g. LDL-C, BP, glucose)	Lifestyle and drug intervention (e.g. LDL-C, BP, glucose)	Lifestyle and drug intervention (e.g. LDL-C, BP, glucose)

Figure 6 Effect of increasing Lp(a) levels and estimated baseline absolute risk for major cardiovascular events. *Panel A* shows the estimated remaining lifetime risk of a major cardiovascular event [defined as the composite of the first occurrence of fatal or non-fatal myocardial infarction, fatal or non-fatal ischaemic stroke, or coronary revascularization (percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass graft surgery)] among 415 274 participants of European ancestry in the UK Biobank for whom measured Lp(a) values were available. Participants are divided into categories of baseline estimated lifetime risk (5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, and 25%) calculated using the Joint British Societies (JBS3) Lifetime Risk Estimating algorithm (derived from a similar UK population). Within each baseline risk category, participants are then further divided into categories defined by baseline measured Lp(a) concentration. The incremental increase in risk caused by higher Lp(a) concentrations from 30 to 150 mg/dL (70 from 350 nmol/L) was estimated by adding Lp(a) as an independent exposure to the JBS3 risk estimating algorithm. The numbers at the upper end of each bar represent the increment of increased absolute risk above the estimated baseline risk caused by Lp(a). This information is presented in tabular form in Supplementary material online, *Table S2*. For example, for a person with a baseline risk of 5%, an Lp(a) concentration of 30 mg/dL increases the absolute remaining lifetime risk of a major cardiovascular event by 1.1% to 6.1% (vs. a person with an Lp(a) of 7 mg/dL). By contrast, for a person with a baseline risk of 25%, an Lp(a) concentration of 30 mg/dL increases the absolute risk of a major cardiovascular event by 5.5% to 30.5% (vs. a person with an Lp(a) of 7 mg/dL). For individuals with an Lp(a) concentration of 75 mg/dL, the corresponding absolute increases in risk are 3.3% and 16.3%, respectively. The figure illustrates that failure to consider a person's Lp(a) level can lead to a substantial underestimate of their absolute risk of a major cardiovascular event. Data provided by Prof. Brian Ference and Prof. Alberico L. Catapano. Further details are provided in the Supplementary material online. *Panel B* provides the intervention strategies as a function of total cardiovascular risk and untreated Lp(a) concentration. In the absence of specific Lp(a)-lowering therapy, these focus on management of other cardiovascular risk factors. For detailed methodological description, see Supplementary material online, *Table S3*.

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3925/6670882 by guest on 25 June 2026

A Lifetime risk of major cardiovascular events with higher lifetime exposure to Lp(a) and lower lifetime exposure to LDL-C



B Intensification of LDL-C reduction needed to reduce the global cardiovascular risk to a similar extent as the risk attributable to elevated Lp(a) depending on age at which LDL-C reduction is initiated

Lp(a) nmol/L	Δ Lp(a) compared to median	Lp(a) percentile	HR for MCVE due to increased Lp(a)	Intensification of LDL-C reduction (nmol/L) needed to mitigate the increased risk caused by Lp(a)			
				Begin age 30y	Begin age 40y	Begin age 50y	Begin age 60 y
320	300	99	2.56	1.2 mmol/L	1.4 mmol/L	1.7 mmol/L	2.3 mmol/L
270	250	97.5	2.19	1.0 mmol/L	1.2 mmol/L	1.5 mmol/L	1.9 mmol/L
220	200	93.5	1.87	0.8 mmol/L	0.9 mmol/L	1.2 mmol/L	1.5 mmol/L
170	150	90	1.60	0.6 mmol/L	0.7 mmol/L	0.9 mmol/L	1.1 mmol/L
120	100	82.5	1.37	0.4 mmol/L	0.5 mmol/L	0.6 mmol/L	0.8 mmol/L
70	50	75	1.17	0.2 mmol/L	0.2 mmol/L	0.3 mmol/L	0.4 mmol/L
20	ref.	50	ref.	ref.	ref.	ref.	ref.

Figure 7 Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol reduction needed to reduce global cardiovascular risk to a similar extent as the risk attributable to high Lp(a). *Panel A* shows the cumulative absolute lifetime risk of a major cardiovascular event (defined as the composite of the first occurrence of fatal or non-fatal myocardial infarction, fatal or non-fatal ischaemic stroke, or coronary revascularization [percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass graft surgery]) among 440 368 UK Biobank participants of European descent. For each sex, these were divided into three groups: a reference group with population average Lp(a) [16–17 nmol/L] and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels [3.5–3.6 mmol/L] who had no copies of either the rs10455872 or rs3798220 Lp(a)-increasing alleles; a group with higher lifetime exposure to Lp(a) [136–138 nmol/L) due to inheriting one copy of either the rs10455872 or rs3798220 Lp(a)-increasing alleles, but with population average low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels [3.5–3.6 mmol/L]; and a group with BOTH higher lifetime exposure to Lp(a) [136–138 nmol/L) due to inheriting one copy of either the rs10455872 or rs3798220 Lp(a)-increasing alleles AND lifetime exposure to 0.5 mmol/L lower low-density lipoprotein cholesterol [3.0-3.1 mmol/L) due to inheriting a combination of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol lowering genetic variants. The Figure demonstrates that the increased risk of major cardiovascular event caused by lifetime exposure to approximately 120 nmol/L higher Lp(a) can be mitigated at all ages by a lifetime exposure to approximately 0.5 mmol/L lower LDL-C. This finding illustrates the potential to estimate how much intensification of risk factor modification, in this case low-density lipoprotein cholesterol lowering, is needed to mitigate the increased risk of major cardiovascular events caused by a person's Lp(a) level. *Panel B* provides a quantitative estimate of the intensification of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol lowering needed to mitigate the increased risk of major cardiovascular event caused by increasingly higher Lp(a) levels, and the age at which low-density lipoprotein cholesterol lowering is initiated. Because the proportional reduction in risk produced by lowering low-density lipoprotein cholesterol decreases with decreasing duration of exposure, greater intensification of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol lowering is needed to mitigate a given Lp(a) level if low-density lipoprotein cholesterol lowering is started at a later

Continued

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3925/6670882 by guest on 25 June 2026

irrespective of baseline absolute risk. For individuals with a higher baseline risk, the magnitude of absolute increase in ASCVD risk is greater (e.g. from 20% to 40% risk) than for those with lower baseline risk (from 5% to 10% risk).

On this basis, the panel recommends more intensive risk factor management with increasing Lp(a) concentration and increasing baseline risk to personalize cardiovascular risk management (*Figure 6B*). This approach is also consistent with observational data showing that the risk of developing a cardiovascular event for individuals with high Lp(a) but a healthy 'Cardiovascular Health Score' is only a third of that for those with high Lp(a) but an unhealthy score (for details see Supplementary material online, Figure S4).[173,174] It is also possible to estimate how much additional LDL-C-lowering, as recommended by the guidelines, is needed to reduce the global cardiovascular risk by a similar extent as the increased risk caused by high Lp(a) concentration (*Figure 7*). Similar calculations can be performed for blood pressure lowering or other interventions. However, where the major component of global risk is substantial and mainly attributable to elevated Lp(a), lowering traditional risk factors could be insufficient to mitigate this increased risk. In these cases specific Lp(a)-lowering therapies are urgently awaited.

Lipoprotein(a) testing

Who should get an Lp(a) test?

Consistent with epidemiological and genetic evidence and recent European[126] and Canadian guidelines,[175] Lp(a) should be measured at least once in adults, preferably in the first lipid profile, to identify those with high cardiovascular risk. Incorporating Lp(a) in global risk assessment may also improve risk stratification, especially for individuals with very high Lp(a); in one study, 31%–63% of those with Lp(a) levels >99th percentile were reclassified from moderate to higher risk.[176] With some exceptions (e.g. kidney or liver disease, acute infections), repeated measurement is not required as it does not improve risk prediction.[67]

In youth, Lp(a) measurement is recommended when there is a history of ischaemic stroke, or a parent has premature ASCVD and no other identifiable risk factors.[115] Multiple testing may be required as Lp(a) levels may increase until adulthood.[6,7]

Is there a role for cascade testing for Lp(a)?

Given its potential for risk enhancement, it seems reasonable to measure Lp(a) if a parent or sibling has markedly elevated Lp(a), using systematic or opportunistic approaches.[177] For example, where services already exist for cascade testing for FH, these could be extended to cascade testing for high Lp(a).[178–180] Cascade testing should be also considered in models of care for diabetes, hypertension, obesity, and secondary prevention of ASCVD.

Consensus panel recommendations for Lp(a) testing

- Lp(a) should be measured at least once in adults to identify those with high cardiovascular risk.
- Screening is also recommended in youth with a history of ischaemic stroke or a family history of premature ASCVD or high Lp(a) and no other identifiable risk factors.
- Cascade testing for high Lp(a) is recommended in the settings of FH, family history of (very) high Lp(a), and personal or family history of ASCVD.

Measurement issues related to Lp(a)

Lp(a) measurement is challenging.[181] There is substantial variability in assays, in part relating to apo(a) structure and variability in K-IV repeats.[182] Ideally, clinical assays should use an antibody for a unique non-repetitive epitope in apo(a), recognizing each Lp(a) particle once and reporting levels as nmol/L. In practice, as raising such antibodies is difficult, most assays incorporate polyclonal antibodies which recognize different epitopes, and therefore potentially underestimate or overestimate Lp(a) levels depending on the presence of small or large isoforms, respectively. Incorporating multiple calibrators spanning a range of sizes in the assay can at least partly address this issue (see Supplementary material online, Figure S5 for further explanation). Working groups for standardization of Lp(a) measurement are in progress, aiming to provide improved reference materials as a major step forward in Lp(a) measurement.[183,184]

Figure 7 Continued
age. For example, a person with an elevated Lp(a) level of 220 nmol/L has a 1.87-fold increased risk of major cardiovascular event as compared to a person with an Lp(a) level of 20 nmol/L (assuming all other risk factors are equal). This increased risk of major cardiovascular event can be mitigated by lowering low-density lipoprotein cholesterol by 0.8 mmol/L if started at age 30 years, but would require more intense low-density lipoprotein cholesterol lowering by 1.5 mmol/L if started at age 60 years. The data in the table of panel B elaborates on current clinical practice guidelines that recommend more intense risk factor modification among persons with elevated Lp(a) levels by providing specific quantitative guidance for how much low-density lipoprotein cholesterol lowering should be intensified to mitigate the increased risk of major cardiovascular event caused by increasingly higher Lp(a) levels. An easy-to-use online Lp(a) risk and benefit algorithm can provide convenient and specific guidance on how much intensification of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol lowering is needed to mitigate the risk caused by a person's Lp(a) level depending on the age at which low-density lipoprotein cholesterol lowering is initiated. However, where the main part of the risk is substantial and mainly attributable to Lp(a), a lowering of traditional risk factors such as low-density lipoprotein cholesterol will be insufficient to mitigate this increased risk. In these cases specific Lp(a)-lowering therapies are urgently required. This information should motivate testing of Lp(a) and inform the clinical use of measured Lp(a) levels. The online Lp(a) risk and benefit algorithm is available at the European Atherosclerosis Society website (www.eas-society.org/LPA_risk_and_benefit_algorithm). Further details are provided in the Supplementary material online. For detailed methodological description, see Supplementary material online. Data provided by Prof. Brian Ference and Prof. Alberico L. Catapano.

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3925/6670882 by guest on 25 June 2026

Can Lp(a) concentrations be converted from mass units to molar units and vice versa?

Strictly speaking, assays based on polyclonal antibodies cannot report in molar units.[181] As a compromise, they approximate their findings by comparison with apo(a) isoform-insensitive reference methods that use molar units;[183–185] if this is not possible, reporting should be in mg/dL. Manufacturers should strive to ensure that assays are apo(a) isoform-insensitive and provide an appropriate conversion factor if both measures are given.

This panel does not recommend using a standard factor to convert between mg/dL and nmol/L, as assays vary extensively.[186] Where both units are used in clinical practice, 2–2.5 is only a 'best guess' for a conversion factor (mg/dL to nmol/L).[183,184] In this statement, units are given as in the original publications, and for general statements relating to Lp(a) concentration, in both mg/dL and nmol/L with an approximated 'interim' conversion factor of 2.5.

Consequences for risk thresholds

While the association between Lp(a) and cardiovascular outcomes is linear, in clinical practice threshold levels identify values expected to translate to a clinically significant increase in risk, although analytical issues may raise questions about their validity. One solution is to define assay specific cut-offs, analogous to those used in different cardiac troponin assays.[187] This consensus panel suggests a pragmatic approach, with Lp(a) cut-offs to 'rule out' (<30 mg/dL or <75 nmol/L) or 'rule-in' (>50 mg/dL or >125 nmol/L) risk. The interim grey zone (i.e. 30–50 mg/dL; 75–125 nmol/l) is relevant when considering Lp(a)-attributable risk in the presence of other risk factors and in risk stratification.[181] Laboratories should include in reports the assay name to allow for tracking of discrepant results in patient follow-up.

Should Lp(a)-cholesterol be used to correct low-density lipoprotein cholesterol?

Cholesterol contained in Lp(a) particles cannot be separated from that in LDL particles and is therefore collectively reported as LDL-C concentration. Previous analyses of isolated Lp(a) particles suggested cholesterol accounted for 30%–45% of Lp(a) mass concentration.[188,189] Thus, Lp(a)-cholesterol [Lp(a)-C] was estimated by multiplying Lp(a) mass (mg/dL) by 0.3 and used to correct LDL-C (=Lp(a)-C-corrected LDL-C).

However, this approach has limitations. Direct measurement of Lp(a) cholesterol relative to Lp(a) mass showed inter- and intraindividual variation ranging from 6% to 60%,[128] which may impact risk prediction. Therefore, this panel does not recommend routine correction of LDL-C for Lp(a)-C. One exception may be patients with clinically suspected FH[190] and elevated Lp(a) levels, where correction may refine or exclude diagnosis and avoid unnecessary genetic sequencing;[191,192] in previous studies, 15%–25% of those with probable/definite FH were reclassified with this approach.[191,192] In addition, with the *LPA* locus identified as a cause of statin resistance and Lp(a)-C considered a statin-resistant fraction of LDL-C,[193] correcting LDL-C for Lp(a)-C may differentiate patients with higher baseline Lp(a) levels associated with reduced statin responsiveness.

Is there a role for genetic testing?

Measurement of Lp(a) concentration is sufficient for Lp(a)-related risk estimation without the need for genotyping, polygenic risk scores, or investigation of expressed apo(a) isoform sizes.[194]

Consensus panel recommendations for Lp(a) measurement

- Laboratories should use an Lp(a) assay that is insensitive to apo(a) isoform and traceable to official reference materials.
- Measurement of Lp(a) should be in molar units if available. If not, the units in which the assay is calibrated should be used for reporting.
- Rather than absolute values, clinical guidelines should consider using risk thresholds with 'grey' zones (e.g., 30–50 mg/dL or 75–125 nmol/L) to either rule-in (≥50 mg/dL; 125 nmol/L) or rule-out (<30 mg/dL; 75 nmol/L) cardiovascular risk.

Clinical guidance: What to do with the patient with high Lp(a)?

In the absence of approved specific Lp(a)-lowering drugs, this panel recommends early, intensive management of other risk factors for individuals with elevated Lp(a) levels, consistent with most guidelines.[126,175] Personalized management of LDL-C, blood pressure, glucose, and lifestyle factors, taking into account baseline cardiovascular risk and the untreated Lp(a) level, is recommended to reduce ASCVD risk sufficiently to mitigate increased risk caused by an elevated Lp(a) level (*Figure 6B*).

LDL-C management should be in accordance with current guidelines.[126,175] Although statins may slightly increase Lp(a) levels,[65–68] treatment should not be discontinued as the cardiovascular benefits in patients with high Lp(a) far outweigh any potential risk associated with modest increases in Lp(a) levels.[65,195] Lipoprotein apheresis can be considered in patients with very high Lp(a) and progressive ASCVD despite optimal treatment of other risk factors as uncontrolled data indicate benefit.[196,197]

In major studies with PCSK9 inhibitors, the absolute cardiovascular risk reduction with this treatment was higher at higher baseline Lp(a) levels. In FOURIER, the absolute risk reduction was 2.41% vs. 1.41% with an Lp(a) >50 vs. <50 mg/dL[198] and in ODYSSEY OUTCOMES, 3.7% at Lp(a) >60 mg/dL vs. 0.5% in the lowest Lp(a) quartile.[199] However, PCSK9 inhibitors are not registered for Lp(a) lowering.

Niacin is not recommended given the lack of clinical benefit in two outcomes studies.[200,201] Current data also do not support targeting aspirin use based on Lp(a) levels.[202] Whether aspirin might be beneficial among individuals with markedly elevated Lp(a) is uncertain (see Supplementary material online).

Emerging therapies

Novel antisense and small interfering RNA (siRNA) treatments that target apo(a) production in the hepatocyte lower Lp(a) concentration.[203] In early trials, mean decreases of 80 and 72% were reported

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3925/6670882 by guest on 25 June 2026

Table 3 Suggested recommendations for implementing better care of elevated lipoprotein(a)

Healthcare professionals involved in screening and testing for high Lp(a)
• Measurement of Lp(a) should be routinely included as part of an initial lipid profile • Offer training in the interpretation of results and family counselling • Notify close relatives about risk; offer cascade testing for high Lp(a) and pre- and post-test counselling to close relatives of the index case • Include Lp(a) in ASCVD risk stratification strategies
Patient-clinician interaction
• Base on shared decision making, with coaching methods if required • Assess absolute risk of ASCVD • Offer initial cascade testing of first-degree relatives • Address behavioural risk factors with lifestyle counselling • Discuss risks and benefits of drug therapies for cardiovascular risk factors, accounting for patient values and preferences • Refer more complex patients to lipid specialists.
Advice from a lipid specialist
• Review by a specialist should be offered to all patients with elevated Lp(a) and a higher risk of ASCVD.
Laboratory reporting
• Alert clinicians to the importance of the extent of elevation in Lp(a) concentration. • Interpretive comments should address the need for ASCVD risk assessment, consideration of cascade testing, and potential referral to a lipid specialist.
Worldwide implementation of ICD codes
• Promote the use of ICD codes for elevated Lp(a) in hospital and primary care records and clinical registries • ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Code E78.41: Elevated Lp(a) • ICD-10-CM Diagnosis Code Z83.430: Family history of elevated Lp(a)
Clinical registries and codification
• All patients with extremely high Lp(a) and affected relatives should be consented for inclusion in a quality clinical registry.
Cardiovascular risk prediction calculators
• Elevated Lp(a) should be incorporated as a predictor variable in country-specific risk equations for primary and secondary prevention of ASCVD.
Engagement with consumer groups
• Partner with consumer groups to advocate for improvement in the care of people with high Lp(a): this should include raising public and government awareness, implementation of cost-effective testing strategies, and access to new therapies. • Employ several modalities to engage with consumers and other key stakeholders, including social media, health apps, websites and webinars

ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; ICD, International Classification of Diseases; Lp(a), lipoprotein(a).

with the antisense oligonucleotide pelacarsen [formerly TQJ230 and AKCEA-APO(a)-LRx] given 20 mg weekly and 60 mg every 4 weeks, respectively, with 98% and 81% of participants attaining Lp(a) levels <125 nmol/L at the end of the study.[204] Reductions ranging between 71% and 97% were observed with olpasiran (formerly AMG 890), a *N*-acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc)-conjugated siRNA, which were sustained for over 6 months.[205] Both treatments showed a favourable safety profile. Another GalNAc-conjugated siRNA (SLN360, Silence Therapeutics) is in early development.[206]

How much should Lp(a) be lowered for benefit?

Although estimates vary, several Mendelian randomization studies suggested that large absolute reductions in Lp(a) concentration (>50–100 mg/dL) are needed for a clinically meaningful reduction in the risk of ASCVD events in a 'short-term' (<5 years) clinical trial.[107,169,207] How much Lp(a) needs to be lowered to produce a clinically meaningful benefit remains uncertain. Data are awaited from the Lp(a)HORIZON trial in patients with ASCVD and elevated Lp(a) (>70 mg/dL) (NCT04023552).

Promise of Lp(a) lowering in aortic valve disease

There is robust evidence that high Lp(a) concentrations are likely causal in the initiation of aortic valve disease and also associate with increased disease activity and progression (*Table 2*).[93,112] Randomized controlled trial of Lp(a) lowering in AVS are now required. While tempting to speculate about the impact of Lp(a) lowering on AVS progression, defining the most appropriate patient population and selecting appropriate trial endpoint in aortic stenosis is a challenge.[110]

Consensus panel recommendations for managing high Lp(a) concentration

- In the absence of specific Lp(a)-lowering therapies, early risk factor management is recommended for individuals with elevated Lp(a), taking into account their absolute global cardiovascular risk and Lp(a) level.
- Among patients with high Lp(a), all cardiovascular risk factors should be comprehensively addressed as per guideline recommendations.
- Lipoprotein apheresis can be considered in patients with very high Lp(a) and progressive cardiovascular disease despite optimal management of risk factors.
- Niacin is not recommended for Lp(a) lowering.

Clinical implementation and challenges

Although implementing clinical care of elevated Lp(a) can be challenging, models proposed for patients and families with FH offer a basis.[179] Increasing general awareness and training a wide spectrum of healthcare and laboratory practitioners regarding the importance of managing elevated Lp(a) are essential (*Table 3* and Supplementary material online, *Figure S6*).[208]

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3925/6670882 by guest on 25 June 2026

Conclusions

This 2022 EAS consensus statement updates evidence relating to the associations of Lp(a) and ASCVD, strongly reinforcing recommendations from clinical guidelines and its inclusion in global risk estimation. Improved standardization of Lp(a) measurement, together with implementation using models of care possibly based on those of FH, are key.

However, gaps in our knowledge about Lp(a) remain (Box 2). A critical question is whether lowering elevated Lp(a), against a background of well controlled LDL-C levels, reduces cardiovascular events; insights from the Lp(a)HORIZON trial are crucial. Finally, investigating the potential of Lp(a) lowering to reduce AVS progression is a priority, given the urgent and escalating unmet clinical need in this setting.

Box 2. Critical knowledge gaps in the Lp(a) field

- Many aspects of the genetic regulation of Lp(a) are not fully understood. Identification of causal variants and the mechanisms by which they modulate Lp(a) concentration or enhance Lp(a) pathogenicity require further research.
- Better understanding of the pathogenicity of the various moieties of Lp(a) particles is a priority.
- Investigation of the mechanisms underlying the link between very low Lp(a) concentration and the development of diabetes mellitus is needed.
- Standardization and harmonization of Lp(a) measurement needs to be improved.
- Studies in larger samples of different ethnicities are needed.
- Whether Lp(a) lowering reverses accelerated atherogenesis and AVS progression and reduces cardiovascular events has to be tested. The extent of Lp(a) lowering required for clinical benefit is also not known.

Author contributions

This consensus panel appraised and discussed evidence for Lp(a) during two closed virtual meetings in September and December 2021. The panel was co-chaired by F.K., S.M., and E.S.G.S. All authors contributed to drafting the manuscript, which was reviewed and edited by the writing group, comprising F.K., S.M., E.S.G.S., A.L.C., L.S.T., K.K.R., and J.K.S. A revised draft was reviewed by all members of the panel, and the final manuscript was approved by all authors before submission to the journal.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at *European Heart Journal* online.

Conflict of interest: Potential conflicts of interest outside the submitted work are summarized as follows. The following authors report participation in trials; receipt of fellowships, or grants for travel, research or staffing support; and/or personal honoraria for consultancy or lectures/speaker's bureau from: Abbott (K.K.R., L.S.T.), Abcentra (M.K.), Abdi-Ibrahim (L.S.T.), Actelion (L.S.T.), Aegerion (A.L.C., P.M.M.), Affiris AG (G.L.), Akcea (A.L.C., B.G.N., K.G.P., E.S.G.S.), Amarin (A.L.C., P.M.M., B.G.N., K.G.P.), Amgen (A.L.C., B.A.F., F.K., F.M., P.M.M., P.N., B.G.N., K.G.P., K.K.R., E.S.GS., L.S.T., G.F.W.), Amgen Germany (A.v.E.), Amgen Switzerland (A.v.E.), Amryt (A.L.C.), Amundsen/Amgen (F.M.), Apple (P.N.), Arrowhead (G.F.W.), Ayma Therapeutics (M.K.), AstraZeneca (A.L.C., P.N., B.G.N., K.K.R., G.F.W.), Bayer (L.S.T.), Berlin-Chemie (K.G.P.), Boehringer-Ingelheim (K.K.R.), Boston Scientific (P.N.), CiVi Pharma (B.A.F.), Daiichi-Sankyo (A.L.C., B.A.F., F.M., K.G.P., K.K.R., L.S.T.), Daiichi Switzerland (A.v.E.), dalCOR (B.A.F.), Denka (B.G.N.), Eli Lilly (A.L.C., B.A.F., M.K., K.K.R.), Esperion (A.L.C., B.A.F., P.M.M., B.G.N., K.K.R., E.S.G.S., G.F.W.), FH Foundation (P.M.M.), Foresite Labs (P.N.), Fresenius (F.K.), GB Life Sciences (P.M.M.), Genentech (P.N.), Genzyme (A.L.C.), Horizon/Novartis (F.M., B.G.N.), Ionis Pharmaceuticals (B.A., A.L.C., B.A.F., M.K., P.M.M.), Jupiter Bioventures (M.R.D.), Kaneka (F.K., P.M.M.), Kowa (A.L.C., B.G.N., K.K.R.), KrKa Phama (B.A.F.), Lupin (K.K.R.), Menarini (A.L.C.), Merck (A.L.C., B.A.F.), MSD (K.G.P.), Mylan (A.L.C., B.A.F., L.S.T.), New Amsterdam (K.K.R.), Noetic Insights (M.K.), Novartis (B.A., A.L.C., M.R.D., B.A.F., F.K., F.M., C.J.M.N., P.M.M., P.N., B.G.N., K.G.P., K.K.R., E.S.G.S., L.S.T., G.F.W.), Novartis Canada (M.K.), NovoNordisk (B.A.F., C.J.M.N., B.G.N., K.K.R., E.S., L.S.T.), Nyrada Inc (G.L.), Pfizer (B.A., M.R.D., B.A.F., M.K., S.M., K.K.R., L.S.T., G.F.W.), Quest Diagnostics (S.M.), Recordati (A.L.C., LS.T.), Regeneron (A.L.C., B.A.F., P.M.M., B.G.N., K.K.R., E.S.G.S.), Renew (P.M.M.), Resverlogix (K.K.R.), Sandoz (A.L.C.), Sanofi (A.L.C., B.A.F., F.M., B.G.N., K.G.P., K.K.R., E.S.G.S., L.S.T., G.F.W.), Sanofi-Aventis Switzerland (A.v.E.), Sanofi-Regeneron (G.L., E.S.G.S.), Servier (L.S.T.), Sigma Tau (A.L.C.), Silence Therapeutics (B.A., M.R.D., B.A.F., B.G.N., K.K.R., G.F.W.), and The Medicines Co (B.A.F.). P.N. declares spousal employment at Vertex and K.G.P. is a member of the Data Monitoring and Safety Board at Boehringer-Ingelheim. S.S.V. declares an honorarium from the American College of Cardiology (Associate Editor for Innovations, acc.org), and grant funding from the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, National Institutes of Health, World Heart Federation, and Tahir and Jooma Family. Manuscripts have been published in collaboration with non-academic co-authors by P.N. and L.S.T. (Fitbit), G.F.W. (Amgen), and B.A. (Pfizer). Equity interests including income from stocks, stock options, royalties, or from patents or copyrights were reported from AstraZeneca (J.K.S.), Boston Scientific (L.B.), Cargene Therapeutics (K.K.R.), Gilead Sciences (L.B)., J & J (L.B.), GSK (J.K.S.), Medtronic (L.B.), New Amsterdam Pharma (K.K.R.), NovoNordisk (L.B.), Pemi31 Therapeutics (K.K.R.), and Pfizer (L.B.). K.K.R. is President of the European Atherosclerosis Society. L.S.T. is past-president of the European Atherosclerosis Society and an Editorial Board Member, The European Heart Journal.

Data availability

The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

References

1. Nordestgaard BG, Chapman MJ, Ray K, Boren J, Andreotti F, Watts GF *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) as a cardiovascular risk factor: current status. *Eur Heart J* 2010;**31**: 2844–2853.

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3925/6670882 by guest on 25 June 2026

2. Osnabrugge RL, Mylotte D, Head SJ, Van Mieghem NM, Nkomo VT, LeReun CM, *et al.* Aortic stenosis in the elderly: disease prevalence and number of candidates for transcatheter aortic valve replacement: a meta-analysis and modeling study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;**62**:1002–1012.
3. Nkomo VT, Gardin JM, Skelton TN, Gottdiener JS, Scott CG, Enriquez-Sarano M. Burden of valvular heart diseases: a population-based study. *Lancet* 2006;**368**: 1005–1011.
4. Tsimikas S, Stroes ESG. The dedicated "Lp(a) clinic": A concept whose time has arrived? *Atherosclerosis* 2020;**300**:1–9.
5. Kenet G, Lutkhoff LK, Albisetti M, Bernard T, Bonduel M, Brandao L *et al.* Impact of thrombophilia on risk of arterial ischemic stroke or cerebral sinovenous thrombosis in neonates and children: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Circulation* 2010; **121**:1838–1847.
6. Strandkjaer N, Hansen MK, Nielsen ST, Frikke-Schmidt R, Tybjaerg-Hansen A, Nordestgaard BG, *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) levels at birth and in early childhood: the COMPARE study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2022;**107**:324–335.
7. de Boer LM, Hof MH, Wiegman A, Stroobants AK, Kastelein JJP, Hutten BA. Lipoprotein(a) levels from childhood to adulthood: data in nearly 3,000 children who visited a pediatric lipid clinic. *Atherosclerosis* 2022;**349**:227–232.
8. Kronenberg F, Utermann G. Lipoprotein(a) - resurrected by genetics. *J Intern Med* 2013;**273**:6–30.
9. Kamstrup PR. Lipoprotein(a) and cardiovascular disease. *Clin Chem* 2021;**67**: 154–166.
10. Coassin S, Kronenberg F. Lipoprotein(a) beyond the kringle IV repeat polymorphism: the complexity of genetic variation in the LPA gene. *Atherosclerosis* 2022;**349**: 17–35.
11. Perombelon YFN, Soutar AK, Knight BL. Variation in lipoprotein(a) concentration associated with different apolipoprotein(a) alleles. *J Clin Invest* 1994;**93**:1481–1492.
12. Clarke R, Peden JF, Hopewell JC, Kyriakou T, Goel A, Heath SC *et al.* Genetic variants associated with lp(a) lipoprotein level and coronary disease. *N Engl J Med* 2009; **361**:2518–2528.
13. Kronenberg F. Genetic determination of lipoprotein(a) and its association with cardiovascular disease. Convenient does not always mean better. *J Intern Med* 2014; **276**:243–247.
14. Coassin S, Schoenherr S, Weissensteiner H, Erhart G, Forer L, Losso JL *et al.* A comprehensive map of single base polymorphisms in the hypervariable LPA kringle IV-2 copy number variation region. *J Lipid Res* 2019;**60**:186–199.
15. Coassin S, Erhart G, Weissensteiner H, Eca Guimaraes de Araújo M, Lamina C, Schönherr S, *et al.* A novel but frequent variant in LPA KIV-2 is associated with a pronounced Lp(a) and cardiovascular risk reduction. *Eur Heart J* 2017;**38**: 1823–1831.
16. Schachtl-Riess JF, Kheirkhah A, Grüneis R, Di Maio S, Schoenherr S, Streiter G *et al.* Frequent LPA KIV-2 variants lower lipoprotein(a) concentrations and protect against coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2021;**78**:437–449.
17. Mack S, Coassin S, Rueedi R, Yousri NA, Seppala I, Gieger C, *et al.* A genome-wide association meta-analysis on lipoprotein (a) concentrations adjusted for apolipoprotein (a) isoforms. *J Lipid Res* 2017;**58**:1834–1844.
18. Hoekstra M, Chen HY, Rong J, Dufresne L, Yao J, Guo X *et al.* Genome-Wide association study highlights APOH as a novel locus for lipoprotein(a) levels-brief report. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2021; **41**:458–464.
19. Said MA, Yeung MW, van de Vegte YJ, Benjamins JW, Dullaart RPF, Ruotsalainen S, *et al.* Genome-wide association study and identification of a protective missense variant on lipoprotein(a) concentration: protective missense variant on lipoprotein(a) concentration. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2021;**41**:1792–1800.
20. Patel AP, Wang M, Pirruccello JP, Ellinor PT, Ng K, Kathiresan S *et al.* Lp(a) (lipoprotein[a]) concentrations and incident atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: new insights from a large national biobank. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2021;**41**: 465–474.
21. Welsh P, Welsh C, Celis-Morales CA, Brown R, Ho FK, Ferguson LD, *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) and cardiovascular disease: prediction, attributable risk fraction, and estimating benefits from novel interventions. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2022;**28**: 1991–2000.
22. Virani SS, Brautbar A, Davis BC, Nambi V, Hoogeveen RC, Sharrett AR *et al.* Associations between lipoprotein(a) levels and cardiovascular outcomes in black and white subjects: the atherosclerosis risk in communities (ARIC) study. *Circulation* 2012;**125**:241–249.
23. Pare G, Caku A, McQueen M, Anand SS, Enas E, Clarke R, *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) levels and the risk of myocardial infarction among 7 ethnic groups. *Circulation* 2019;**139**: 1472–1482.
24. Tsimikas S, Clopton P, Brilakis ES, Marcovina SM, Khera A, Miller ER *et al.* Relationship of oxidized phospholipids on apolipoprotein B-100 particles to race/ethnicity, apolipoprotein(a) isoform size, and cardiovascular risk factors: results from the dallas heart study. *Circulation* 2009;**119**:1711–1719.
25. Erhart G, Lamina C, Lehtimäki T, Marques-Vidal P, Kähönen M, Vollenweider P, *et al.* Genetic factors explain a major fraction of the 50% lower lipoprotein[a] concentrations in Finns. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2018;**38**:1230–1241.
26. Deo RC, Wilson JG, Xing C, Lawson K, Kao WHL, Reich D *et al.* Single-nucleotide polymorphisms in LPA explain most of the ancestry-specific variation in Lp(a) levels in african Americans. *PLoS One* 2011;**6**:e14581.
27. Chretien JP, Coresh J, Berthier-Schaad Y, Kao WH, Fink NE, Klag MJ, *et al.* Three single-nucleotide polymorphisms in LPA account for most of the increase in lipoprotein(a) level elevation in african Americans compared with European Americans. *J Med Genet* 2006;**43**:917–923.
28. Mehta A, Jain V, Saeed A, Saseen JJ, Gulati M, Ballantyne CM *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) and ethnicities. *Atherosclerosis* 2022;**349**:42–52.
29. Mukamel RE, Handsaker RE, Sherman MA, Barton AR, Zheng Y, McCarroll SA, *et al.* Protein-coding repeat polymorphisms strongly shape diverse human phenotypes. *Science* 2021;**373**:1499–1505.
30. Varvel S, McConnell JP, Tsimikas S. Prevalence of elevated Lp(a) mass levels and patient thresholds in 532 359 patients in the United States. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2016;**36**:2239–2245.
31. Derby CA, Crawford SL, Pasternak RC, Sowers M, Sternfeld B, Matthews KA. Lipid changes during the menopause transition in relation to age and weight: the study of women's health across the nation. *Am J Epidemiol* 2009;**169**:1352–1361.
32. Enkhmaa B, Petersen KS, Kris-Etherton PM, Berglund L. Diet and Lp(a): does dietary change modify residual cardiovascular risk conferred by Lp(a)? *Nutrients* 2020; **12**:2024.
33. Ebbeling CB, Knapp A, Johnson A, Wong JMW, Greco KF, Ma C *et al.* Effects of a low-carbohydrate diet on insulin-resistant dyslipoproteinemia-a randomized controlled feeding trial. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2022;**115**:154–162.
34. Langsted A, Kamstrup PR, Nordestgaard BG. Lipoprotein(a): fasting and nonfasting levels, inflammation, and cardiovascular risk. *Atherosclerosis* 2014;**234**:95–101.
35. Enkhmaa B, Anuurad E, Berglund L. Lipoprotein (a): impact by ethnicity and environmental and medical conditions. *J Lipid Res* 2016;**57**:1111–1125.
36. Kotwal A, Cortes T, Genere N, Hamidi O, Jasim S, Newman CB *et al.* Treatment of thyroid dysfunction and serum lipids: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2020; **105**:dgaa672.
37. Edén S, Wiklund O, Oscarsson J, Rosén T, Bengtsson B-Å. Growth hormone treatment of growth hormone-deficient adults results in a marked increase in Lp(a) and HDL cholesterol concentrations. *Arterioscler Thromb* 1993;**13**:296–301.
38. Zechner R, Desoye G, Schweditsch MO, Pfeiffer KP, Kostner GM. Fluctuations of plasma lipoprotein(a) concentrations during pregnancy and post partum. *Metabolism* 1986;**35**:333–336.
39. Sattar N, Clark P, Greer IA, Shepherd J, Packard CJ. Lipoprotein (a) levels in normal pregnancy and in pregnancy complicated with pre-eclampsia. *Atherosclerosis* 2000; **148**:407–411.
40. Salpeter SR, Walsh JME, Ormiston TM, Greyber E, Buckley NS, Salpeter EE. Meta-analysis: effect of hormone-replacement therapy on components of the metabolic syndrome in postmenopausal women. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2006;**8**: 538–554.
41. Kronenberg F. Causes and consequences of lipoprotein(a) abnormalities in kidney disease. *Clin Exp Nephrol* 2014;**18**:234–237.
42. Hopewell JC, Haynes R, Baigent C. The role of lipoprotein (a) in chronic kidney disease. *J Lipid Res* 2018;**59**:577–585.
43. Kronenberg F, König P, Lhotta K, Öfner D, Sandholzer C, Margreiter R *et al.* Apolipoprotein(a) phenotype-associated decrease in lipoprotein(a) plasma concentrations after renal transplantation. *Arterioscler Thromb* 1994;**14**:1399–1404.
44. Feely J, Barry M, Keeling PW, Weir DG, Cooke T. Lipoprotein(a) in cirrhosis. *BMJ* 1992;**304**:545–546.
45. Missala I, Kassner U, Steinhagen-Thiessen E. A systematic literature review of the association of lipoprotein(a) and autoimmune diseases and atherosclerosis. *Int J Rheumatol* 2012;**2012**:480784.
46. Mooser V, Berger MM, Tappy L, Cayeux C, Marcovina SM, Darioli R *et al.* Major reduction in plasma Lp(a) levels during sepsis and burns. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2000;**20**:1137–1142.
47. Schultz O, Oberhauser F, Saech J, Rubbert-Roth A, Hahn M, Krone W, *et al.* Effects of inhibition of interleukin-6 signalling on insulin sensitivity and lipoprotein (a) levels in human subjects with rheumatoid diseases. *PLoS One* 2010; **5**:e14328.
48. Henriksson P, Angelin B, Berglund L. Hormonal regulation of serum lp (a) levels. Opposite effects after estrogen treatment and orchidectomy in males with prostatic carcinoma. *J Clin Invest* 1992;**89**:1166–1171.
49. Walsh BW, Kuller LH, Wild RA, Paul S, Farmer M, Lawrence JB *et al.* Effects of raloxifene on serum lipids and coagulation factors in healthy postmenopausal women. *JAMA* 1998;**279**:1445–1451.
50. Kronenberg F, Lingenhel A, Lhotta K, Rantner B, Kronenberg MF, König P, *et al.* The apolipoprotein(a) size polymorphism is associated with nephrotic syndrome. *Kidney Int* 2004;**65**:606–612.

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3925/6670882 by guest on 25 June 2026

51. Kronenberg F, König P, Neyer U, Auinger M, Pribasnig A, Lang U *et al.* Multicenter study of lipoprotein(a) and apolipoprotein(a) phenotypes in patients with end-stage renal disease treated by hemodialysis or continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 1995;**6**:110–120.
52. Kronenberg F, Kuen E, Ritz E, Junker R, König P, Kraatz G, *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) serum concentrations and apolipoprotein(a) phenotypes in mild and moderate renal failure. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2000;**11**:105–115.
53. Kraft HG, Menzel HJ, Hoppichler F, Vogel W, Utermann G. Changes of genetic apolipoprotein phenotypes caused by liver transplantation. Implications for apolipoprotein synthesis. *J Clin Invest* 1989;**83**:137–142.
54. Khera AV, Everett BM, Caulfield MP, Hantash FM, Wohlgemuth J, Ridker PM *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) concentrations, rosuvastatin therapy, and residual vascular risk: an analysis from the JUPITER trial (justification for the use of statins in prevention: an intervention trial evaluating rosuvastatin). *Circulation* 2014;**129**:635–642.
55. Holm S, Oma I, Hagve TA, Saatvedt K, Brosstad F, Mikkelsen K, *et al.* Levels of lipoprotein (a) in patients with coronary artery disease with and without inflammatory rheumatic disease: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open* 2019;**9**:e030651.
56. Enkhmaa B, Anuurad E, Zhang W, Li CS, Kaplan R, Lazar J *et al.* Effect of antiretroviral therapy on allele-associated Lp(a) level in women with HIV in the women's interagency HIV study. *J Lipid Res* 2018;**59**:1967–1976.
57. Enkhmaa B, Anuurad E, Zhang W, Abbuthalha A, Li XD, Dotterweich W, *et al.* HIV Disease activity as a modulator of lipoprotein(a) and allele-specific apolipoprotein(a) levels. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2013;**33**:387–392.
58. Wu XM, Broadwin R, Basu R, Malig B, Ebisu K, Gold EB *et al.* Associations between fine particulate matter and changes in lipids/lipoproteins among midlife women. *Sci Total Environ* 2019;**654**:1179–1186.
59. Jung I, Kwon H, Park SE, Park CY, Lee WY, Oh KW, *et al.* Serum lipoprotein(a) levels and insulin resistance have opposite effects on fatty liver disease. *Atherosclerosis* 2020;**308**:1–5.
60. Reyes-Soffer G, Westerterp M. Beyond lipoprotein(a) plasma measurements: lipoprotein(a) and inflammation. *Pharmacol Res* 2021;**169**:105689.
61. Muller N, Schulte DM, Turk K, Freitag-Wolf S, Hampe J, Zeuner R *et al.* IL-6 blockade by monoclonal antibodies inhibits apolipoprotein (a) expression and lipoprotein (a) synthesis in humans. *J Lipid Res* 2015;**56**:1034–1042.
62. Enkhmaa B, Berglund L. Non-genetic influences on lipoprotein(a) concentrations. *Atherosclerosis* 2022;**349**:53–62.
63. Stenvinkel P, Berglund L, Heimbürger O, Pettersson E, Alvestrand A. Lipoprotein(a) in nephrotic syndrome. *Kidney Int* 1993;**44**:1116–1123.
64. Dieplinger H, Lackner C, Kronenberg F, Sandholzer C, Lhotta K, Hoppichler F *et al.* Elevated plasma concentrations of lipoprotein(a) in patients with end-stage renal disease are not related to the size polymorphism of apolipoprotein(a). *J Clin Invest* 1993;**91**:397–401.
65. Willeit P, Ridker PM, Nestel PJ, Simes J, Tonkin AM, Pedersen TR, *et al.* Baseline and on-statin treatment lipoprotein(a) levels for prediction of cardiovascular events: individual patient-data meta-analysis of statin outcome trials. *Lancet* 2018;**392**:1311–1320.
66. Tsimikas S, Gordts PLSM, Nora C, Yeang C, Witztum JL. Statin therapy increases lipoprotein(a) levels. *Eur Heart J* 2020;**41**:2275–2284.
67. Trinder M, Paruchuri K, Haidermota S, Bernardo R, Zekavat SM, Gilliland T, *et al.* Repeat measures of lipoprotein(a) molar concentration and cardiovascular risk. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2022; **79**:617–628.
68. de Boer LM, Oorthuys AOJ, Wiegman A, Langendam MW, Kroon J, Spijker R *et al.* Statin therapy and lipoprotein(a) levels: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2022;**29**:779–792.
69. Kamstrup PR, Benn M, Tybaerg-Hansen A, Nordestgaard BG. Extreme lipoprotein(a) levels and risk of myocardial infarction in the general population: the Copenhagen city heart study. *Circulation* 2008;**117**:176–184.
70. Kamstrup PR, Nordestgaard BG. Elevated lipoprotein(a) levels, LPA risk genotypes, elevated lipoprotein(a) levels, LPA risk genotypes, and increased risk of heart failure in the general population. *JACC Heart Fail* 2016;**4**:78–87.
71. Langsted A, Kamstrup PR, Nordestgaard BG. High lipoprotein(a) and high risk of mortality. *Eur Heart J* 2019;**40**:2760–2770.
72. Langsted A, Nordestgaard BG, Kamstrup PR. Elevated lipoprotein(a) and risk of ischemic stroke. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2019;**74**:54–66.
73. Erqou S, Kaptoge S, Perry PL, Di AE, Thompson A, White IR *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) concentration and the risk of coronary heart disease, stroke, and nonvascular mortality. *JAMA* 2009;**302**:412–423.
74. Kamstrup PR, Tybjaerg-Hansen A, Steffensen R, Nordestgaard BG. Genetically elevated lipoprotein(a) and increased risk of myocardial infarction. *JAMA* 2009;**301**:2331–2339.
75. Trinder M, Uddin MM, Finneran P, Aragam KG, Natarajan P. Clinical utility of lipoprotein(a) and LPA genetic risk score in risk prediction of incident atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. *JAMA Cardiol* 2020;**6**:287–295.
76. Gudbjartsson DF, Thorgeirsson G, Sulem P, Helgadottir A, Gylfason A, Saemundsdottir J, *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) concentration and risks of cardiovascular disease and diabetes. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2019;**74**:2982–2994.
77. Nordestgaard BG, Langsted A. Lipoprotein (a) as a cause of cardiovascular disease: insights from epidemiology, genetics, and biology. *J Lipid Res* 2016;**57**:1953–1975.
78. Kamstrup PR, Tybjaerg-Hansen A, Nordestgaard BG. Genetic evidence that lipoprotein(a) associates with atherosclerotic stenosis rather than venous thrombosis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2012;**32**:1732–1741.
79. Rifai N, Ma J, Sacks FM, Ridker PM, Hernandez WJ, Stampfer MJ *et al.* Apolipoprotein(a) size and lipoprotein(a) concentration and future risk of angina pectoris with evidence of severe coronary atherosclerosis in men: the physicians' health study. *Clin Chem* 2004;**50**:1364–1371.
80. Aronis KN, Zhao D, Hoogeveen RC, Alonso A, Ballantyne CM, Guallar E *et al.* Associations of lipoprotein(a) levels with incident atrial fibrillation and ischemic stroke: the ARIC (atherosclerosis risk in communities) study. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2017;**6**:e007372.
81. Pan Y, Li H, Wang Y, Meng X, Wang Y. Causal effect of Lp(a) [lipoprotein(a)] level on ischemic stroke and Alzheimer disease a Mendelian randomization study. *Stroke* 2019;**50**:3532–3539.
82. Arnold M, Schweizer J, Nakas CT, Schutz V, Westphal LP, Inauen C *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) is associated with large artery atherosclerosis stroke aetiology and stroke recurrence among patients below the age of 60 years: results from the BIOSIGNAL study. *Eur Heart J* 2021;**42**:2186–2196.
83. Nave AH, Lange KS, Leonards CO, Siegerink B, Doehner W, Landmesser U, *et al.* Lipoprotein (a) as a risk factor for ischemic stroke: a meta-analysis. *Atherosclerosis* 2015;**242**:496–503.
84. Kronenberg F, Kronenberg MF, Kiechl S, Trenkwalder E, Santer P, Oberhollenzer F, *et al.* Role of lipoprotein(a) and apolipoprotein(a) phenotype in atherogenesis: prospective results from the bruneck study. *Circulation* 1999;**100**:1154–1160.
85. Palmer MR, Kim DS, Crosslin DR, Stanaway IB, Rosenthal EA, Carrell DS *et al.* Loci identified by a genome-wide association study of carotid artery stenosis in the eMERGE network. *Genet Epidemiol* 2021;**45**:4–15.
86. Klarin D, Lynch J, Aragam K, Chaffin M, Assimes TL, Huang J *et al.* Genome-wide association study of peripheral artery disease in the million veteran program. *Nat Med* 2019;**25**:1274–1279.
87. Laschkolnig A, Kollerits B, Lamina C, Meisinger C, Rantner B, Stadler M, *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) concentrations, apolipoprotein(a) phenotypes and peripheral arterial disease in three independent cohorts. *Cardiovasc Res* 2014;**103**:28–36.
88. van Zuydam NR, Stiby A, Abdalla M, Austin E, Dahlstrom EH, McLachlan S, *et al.* Genome-wide association study of peripheral artery disease. *Circ Genom Precis Med* 2021; **14**:e002862.
89. Thanassoulis G, Campbell CY, Owens DS, Smith JG, Smith AV, Peloso GM *et al.* Genetic associations with valvular calcification and aortic stenosis. *N Engl J Med* 2013;**368**:503–512.
90. Kamstrup PR, Tybjaerg-Hansen A, Nordestgaard BG. Elevated lipoprotein(a) and risk of aortic valve stenosis in the general population. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014;**63**:470–477.
91. Arsenault BJ, Boekholdt SM, Dube MP, Rheaume E, Wareham NJ, Khaw KT *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) levels, genotype, and incident aortic valve stenosis: a prospective Mendelian randomization study and replication in a case-control cohort. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet* 2014;**7**:304–310.
92. Vongpromek R, Bos S, Ten Kate GJR, Yahya R, Verhoeven AJM, De Feyter PJ, *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) levels are associated with aortic valve calcification in asymptomatic patients with familial hypercholesterolaemia. *J Intern Med* 2015;**278**:166–173.
93. Capoulade R, Chan KL, Yeang C, Mathieu P, Bosse Y, Dumesnil JG *et al.* Oxidized phospholipids, lipoprotein(a), and progression of calcific aortic valve stenosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2015;**66**:1236–1246.
94. Langsted A, Nordestgaard BG, Benn M, Tybjaerg-Hansen A, Kamstrup PR. PCSK9 R46l loss-of-function mutation reduces lipoprotein(a), LDL cholesterol, and risk of aortic valve stenosis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2016;**101**:3281–3287.
95. Cairns BJ, Coffey S, Travis RC, Prendergast B, Green J, Engert JC *et al.* A replicated, genome-wide significant association of aortic stenosis with a genetic variant for lipoprotein(a): meta-analysis of published and novel data. *Circulation* 2017;**135**:1181–1183.
96. Chen HY, Dufresne L, Burr H, Ambikkumar A, Yasui N, Luk K, *et al.* Association of LPA variants with aortic stenosis: a large-scale study using diagnostic and procedural codes from electronic health records. *JAMA Cardiol* 2018;**3**:18–23.
97. Perrot N, Theriault S, Dina C, Chen HY, Boekholdt SM, Rigade S *et al.* Genetic variation in LPA, calcific aortic valve stenosis in patients undergoing cardiac surgery, and familial risk of aortic valve microcalcification. *JAMA Cardiol* 2019;**4**:620–627.
98. Cao J, Steffen BT, Budoff M, Post WS, Thanassoulis G, Kestenbaum B, *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) levels are associated with subclinical calcific aortic valve disease in white and black individuals: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2016;**36**:1003–1009.

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3925/6670882 by guest on 25 June 2026

99. Arsenault BJ, Pelletier W, Kaiser Y, Perrot N, Couture C, Khaw KT *et al.* Association of long-term exposure to elevated lipoprotein(a) levels with parental life span, chronic disease-free survival, and mortality risk: a Mendelian randomization analysis. *JAMA Netw Open* 2020;**3**:e200129.
100. Cao YX, Zhang HW, Jin JL, Liu HH, Zhang Y, Zhang M, *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with previous myocardial infarction: a prospective cohort study. *Thromb Haemost* 2021;**121**:1161–1168.
101. Deelen J, Evans DS, Arking DE, Tesi N, Nygaard M, Liu X *et al.* A meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies identifies multiple longevity genes. *Nat Commun* 2019;**10**:3669.
102. Genser B, Dias KC, Siekmeier R, Stojakovic T, Grammer T, Maerz W. Lipoprotein (a) and risk of cardiovascular disease–a systematic review and meta analysis of prospective studies. *Clin Lab* 2011;**57**:143–156.
103. Dentali F, Gessi V, Marcucci R, Gianni M, Grandi AM, Franchini M. Lipoprotein(a) as a risk factor for venous thromboembolism: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature. *Semin Thromb Hemost* 2017;**43**:614–620.
104. Larsson SC, Gill D, Mason AM, Jiang T, Bäck M, Butterworth AS *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) in Alzheimer, atherosclerotic, cerebrovascular, thrombotic, and valvular disease: Mendelian randomization investigation. *Circulation* 2020;**141**:1826–1828.
105. Boffa MB, Stranges S, Klar N, Moriarty PM, Watts GF, Koschinsky ML. Lipoprotein(a) and secondary prevention of atherothrombotic events: a critical appraisal. *J Clin Lipidol* 2018;**12**:1358–1366.
106. O'Donoghue ML, Morrow DA, Tsimikas S, Sloan S, Ren AF, Hoffman EB *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) for risk assessment in patients with established coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014;**63**:520–527.
107. Madsen CM, Kamstrup PR, Langsted A, Varbo A, Nordestgaard BG. Lp(a) (lipoprotein[a])-lowering by 50 mg/dl (105 nmol/l) may be needed to reduce cardiovascular disease 20% in secondary prevention: a population-based study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2020;**40**:255–266.
108. Albers JJ, Slee A, O'Brien KD, Robinson JG, Kashyap ML, Kwiterovich PO Jr *et al.* Relationship of apolipoproteins A-1 and B, and lipoprotein(a) to cardiovascular outcomes: the AIM-HIGH trial (atherothrombosis intervention in metabolic syndrome with low HDL/high triglyceride and impact on global health outcomes). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;**62**:1575–1579.
109. Despres AA, Perrot N, Poulin A, Tastet L, Shen M, Chen HY *et al.* Lipoprotein(a), oxidized phospholipids, and aortic valve microcalcification assessed by 18F-sodium fluoride positron emission tomography and computed tomography. *CJC Open* 2019;1:131–140.
110. Kaiser Y, Nurmohamed NS, Kroon J, Verberne HJ, Tzolos E, Dweck MR, *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) has no major impact on calcification activity in patients with mild to moderate aortic valve stenosis. *Heart* 2022;**108**:61–66.
111. Kaiser Y, Singh SS, Zheng KH, Verbeek R, Kavousi M, Pinto SJ *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) is robustly associated with aortic valve calcium. *Heart* 2021;**107**:1422–1428.
112. Zheng KH, Tsimikas S, Pawade T, Kroon J, Jenkins WSA, Doris MK, *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) and oxidized phospholipids promote valve calcification in patients with aortic stenosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2019;**73**:2150–2162.
113. Mohammadi-Shemirani P, Chong M, Narula S, Perrot N, Conen D, Roberts JD *et al.* Elevated lipoprotein(a) and risk of atrial fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2022;**79**:1579–1590.
114. Satterfield BA, Dikilitas O, Safarova MS, Clarke SL, Tcheandjieu C, Zhu X, *et al.* Associations of genetically predicted Lp(a) (lipoprotein [a]) levels with cardiovascular traits in individuals of European and african ancestry. *Circ Genom Precis Med* 2021;14:e003354.
115. Wilson DP, Jacobson TA, Jones PH, Koschinsky ML, McNeal CJ, Nordestgaard BG *et al.* Use of lipoprotein(a) in clinical practice: a biomarker whose time has come. A scientific statement from the national lipid association. *J Clin Lipidol* 2019;**13**:374–392.
116. Reyes-Soffer G, Ginsberg HN, Berglund L, Duell PB, Heffron SP, Kamstrup PR, *et al.* Lipoprotein(a): a genetically determined, causal, and prevalent risk factor for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: a scientific statement from the American heart association. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2022; **42**:e48–e60.
117. Emdin CA, Khera AV, Natarajan P, Klarin D, Won HH, Peloso GM *et al.* Phenotypic characterization of genetically lowered human lipoprotein(a) levels. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2016;**68**:2761–2772.
118. Sandholzer C, Saha N, Kark JD, Rees A, Jaross W, Dieplinger H, *et al.* Apo(a) isoforms predict risk for coronary heart disease: a study in six populations. *Arterioscler Thromb* 1992;**12**:1214–1226.
119. Erqou S, Thompson A, Di Angelantonio AE, Saleheen D, Kaptoge S, Marcovina S *et al.* Apolipoprotein(a) isoforms and the risk of vascular disease: systematic review of 40 studies involving 58,000 participants. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;**55**:2160–2167.
120. Kraft HG, Lingenhel A, Köchl S, Hoppichler F, Kronenberg F, Abe A, *et al.* Apolipoprotein(a) kringle IV repeat number predicts risk for coronary heart disease. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 1996;**16**:713–719.
121. Lim ET, Würtz P, Havulinna AS, Palta P, Tukiainen T, Rehnstrom K *et al.* Distribution and medical impact of loss-of-function variants in the Finnish founder population. *PLoS Genet* 2014;**10**:e1004494.
122. Guan W, Cao J, Steffen BT, Post WS, Stein JH, Tattersall MC, *et al.* Race is a key variable in assigning lipoprotein(a) cutoff values for coronary heart disease risk assessment: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2015;**35**:996–1001.
123. Lee SR, Prasad A, Choi YS, Xing C, Clopton P, Witztum JL *et al.* LPA Gene, ethnicity, and cardiovascular events. *Circulation* 2017;**135**:251–263.
124. Brandt EJ, Mani A, Spatz ES, Desai NR, Nasir K. Lipoprotein(a) levels and association with myocardial infarction and stroke in a nationally representative cross-sectional US cohort. *J Clin Lipidol* 2020;**14**:695–706.
125. Loh WJ, Chang X, Aw TC, Phua SK, Low AF, Chan MY *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) as predictor of coronary artery disease and myocardial infarction in a multi-ethnic Asian population. *Atherosclerosis* 2022;**349**:160–165.
126. Mach F, Baigent C, Catapano AL, Koskinas KC, Casula M, Badimon L, *et al.* 2019 ESC/EAS guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias: lipid modification to reduce cardiovascular risk. *Eur Heart J* 2020;**41**:111–188.
127. Verbeek R, Hoogeveen RM, Langsted A, Stiekema LCA, Verweij SL, Hovingh GK *et al.* Cardiovascular disease risk associated with elevated lipoprotein(a) attenuates at low low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels in a primary prevention setting. *Eur Heart J* 2018;**39**:2589–2596.
128. Yeang C, Witztum JL, Tsimikas S. Novel method for quantification of lipoprotein(a)-cholesterol: implications for improving accuracy of LDL-C measurements. *J Lipid Res* 2021;**62**:100053.
129. Marston NA, Gurmu Y, Melloni GEM, Bonaca M, Gencer B, Sever PS *et al.* The effect of PCSK9 (proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9) inhibition on the risk of venous thromboembolism. *Circulation* 2020;**9**:1600–1607.
130. Boffa MB. Beyond fibrinolysis: the confounding role of Lp(a) in thrombosis. *Atherosclerosis* 2022;**349**:72–81.
131. Di Maio S, Lamina C, Coassin S, Forer L, Wurzner R, Schonherr S *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) and SARS-CoV-2 infections: susceptibility to infections, ischemic heart disease and thromboembolic events. *J Intern Med* 2022;**291**:101–107.
132. deVeber G, Kirkham F, Shannon K, Brandao L, Strater R, Kenet G, *et al.* Recurrent stroke: the role of thrombophilia in a large international pediatric stroke population. *Haematologica* 2019;**104**:1676–1681.
133. Nowak-Göttl U, Sträter R, Heinecke A, Junker R, Koch HG, Schuierer G *et al.* Lipoprotein (a) and genetic polymorphisms of clotting factor V, prothrombin, and methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase are risk factors of spontaneous ischemic stroke in childhood. *Blood* 1999;**94**:3678–3682.
134. Sultan SM, Schupf N, Dowling MM, deVeber GA, Kirton A, Elkind MS. Review of lipid and lipoprotein(a) abnormalities in childhood arterial ischemic stroke. *Int J Stroke* 2014;**9**:79–87.
135. Mora S, Kamstrup PR, Rifai N, Nordestgaard BG, Buring JE, Ridker PM. Lipoprotein(a) and risk of type 2 diabetes. *Clin Chem* 2010;**56**:1252–1260.
136. Tolbus A, Mortensen MB, Nielsen SF, Kamstrup PR, Bojesen SE, Nordestgaard BG. Kringle IV type 2. Not low lipoprotein(a), as a cause of diabetes: a novel genetic approach using SNPs associated selectively with lipoprotein(a) concentrations or with kringle IV type;2, repeats. *Clin Chem* 2017;**63**:1866–1876.
137. Kamstrup PR, Nordestgaard BG. Lipoprotein(a) concentrations, isoform size, and risk of type 2 diabetes: a Mendelian randomisation study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2013;**1**:220–227.
138. Langsted A, Nordestgaard BG, Kamstrup PR. Low lipoprotein(a) levels and risk of disease in a large, contemporary, general population study. *Eur Heart J* 2021;**42**:1147–1156.
139. Ye Z, Haycock PC, Gurdasani D, Pomilla C, Boekholdt SM, Tsimikas S *et al.* The association between circulating lipoprotein(a) and type 2 diabetes: is it causal? *Diabetes* 2014;**63**:332–342.
140. Kaya A, Onat A, Yuksel H, Can G, Yuksel M, Ademoglu E. Lipoprotein(a)-activated immunity, insulin resistance and new-onset diabetes. *Postgrad Med* 2017;**129**:611–618.
141. Paige E, Masconi KL, Tsimikas S, Kronenberg F, Santer P, Weger S *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) and incident type-2 diabetes: results from the prospective bruneck study and a meta-analysis of published literature. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* 2017;**16**:38.
142. Ding L, Song A, Dai M, Xu M, Sun W, Xu B, *et al.* Serum lipoprotein (a) concentrations are inversely associated with T2D, prediabetes, and insulin resistance in a middle-aged and elderly Chinese population. *J Lipid Res* 2015;**56**:920–926.
143. Lamina C, Kronenberg F. The mysterious lipoprotein(a) is still good for a surprise. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2013;**1**:170–172.
144. Tsimikas S. In search of a physiological function of lipoprotein(a): causality of elevated Lp(a) levels and reduced incidence of type 2 diabetes. *J Lipid Res* 2018;**59**:741–744.
145. Farukhi ZM, Mora S. Lifelong low Lp(a) levels: genetics give a green light? *Eur Heart J* 2021;**42**:1157–1159.

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3925/6670882 by guest on 25 June 2026

146. White AL, Guerra B, Wang J, Lanford RE. Presecretory degradation of apolipoprotein[a] is mediated by the proteasome pathway. *J Lipid Res* 1999;**40**:275–286.
147. Chan DC, Watts GF, Coll B, Wasserman SM, Marcovina SM, Barrett PHR. Lipoprotein(a) particle production as a determinant of plasma lipoprotein(a) concentration across varying apolipoprotein(a) isoform sizes and background cholesterol-lowering therapy. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2019;**8**:e011781.
148. Ying Q, Chan DC, Pang J, Marcovina SM, Barrett PHR, Watts GF. PCSK9 Inhibition with alirocumab decreases plasma lipoprotein(a) concentration by a dual mechanism of action in statin-treated patients with very high apolipoprotein(a) concentration. *J Intern Med* 2022;**291**:870–876.
149. McCormick SPA, Schneider WJ. Lipoprotein(a) catabolism: a case of multiple receptors. *Pathology* 2019;**51**:155–164.
150. Romagnuolo R, Scipione CA, Boffa MB, Marcovina SM, Seidah NG, Koschinsky ML. Lipoprotein(a) catabolism is regulated by proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 through the low density lipoprotein receptor. *J Biol Chem* 2015;**290**:11649–11662.
151. McKenney JM, Koren MJ, Kereiakes DJ, Hanotin C, Ferrand AC, Stein EA. Safety and efficacy of a monoclonal antibody to proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 serine protease. SA:R236553. /REGN727, in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia receiving ongoing stable atorvastatin therapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012;**59**:2344–2353.
152. Raal FJ, Giugliano RP, Sabatine MS, Koren MJ, Blom D, Seidah NG *et al.* PCSK9 inhibition-mediated reduction in Lp(a) with evolocumab: an analysis of 10 clinical trials and the LDL receptor's role. *J Lipid Res* 2016;**57**:1086–1096.
153. Villard EF, Thedrez A, Blankenstein J, Croyal M, Tran T-T-T, Poirier B, *et al.* PCSK9 Modulates the secretion but not the cellular uptake of lipoprotein(a) ex vivo. *JACC Basic Transl Sci* 2016;1:419–427.
154. Sharma M, Redpath GM, Williams MJ, McCormick SP. Recycling of apolipoprotein(a) after PlgRKT-mediated endocytosis of lipoprotein(a). *Circ Res* 2017;**120**:1091–1102.
155. Chemello K, Beeské S, Trang Tran TT, Blanchard V, Villard EF, Poirier B *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) cellular uptake ex vivo and hepatic capture in vivo is insensitive to PCSK9 inhibition with alirocumab. *JACC Basic Transl Sci* 2020;5:549–557.
156. Chemello K, Chan DC, Lambert G, Watts GF. Recent advances in demystifying the metabolism of lipoprotein(a). *Atherosclerosis* 2022;**349**:82–91.
157. Reyes-Soffer G, Pavlyha M, Ngai C, Thomas T, Holleran S, Ramakrishnan R *et al.* Effects of PCSK9 inhibition with alirocumab on lipoprotein metabolism in healthy humans. *Circulation* 2017;**135**:352–362.
158. Watts GF, Chan DC, Somaratne R, Wasserman SM, Scott R, Marcovina SM, *et al.* Controlled study of the effect of proprotein convertase subtilisin-kexin type 9 inhibition with evolocumab on lipoprotein(a) particle kinetics. *Eur Heart J* 2018;**39**:2577–2585.
159. Langsted A, Nordestgaard BG. Lipoprotein(a): is it more, less or equal to LDL as a causal factor for cardiovascular disease and mortality? *Curr Opin Lipidol* 2020;**31**:125–131.
160. van der Valk FM, Bekkering S, Kroon J, Yeang C, Van den Bossche J, van Buul JD *et al.* Oxidized phospholipids on lipoprotein(a) elicit arterial wall inflammation and an inflammatory monocyte response in humans. *Circulation* 2016;**134**:611–624.
161. Garg PK, Guan W, Karger AB, Steffen BT, Budoff M, Tsai MY. Lipoprotein (a) and risk for calcification of the coronary arteries, mitral valve, and thoracic aorta: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. *J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr* 2021;**15**:154–160.
162. Kaiser Y, Daghem M, Tzolos E, Meah MN, Doris MK, Moss AJ *et al.* Association of lipoprotein(a) with atherosclerotic plaque progression. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2022;**79**:223–233.
163. Bouchareb R, Mahmut A, Nsaibia MJ, Boulanger MC, Dahou A, Lepine JL, *et al.* Autotaxin derived from lipoprotein(a) and valve interstitial cells promotes inflammation and mineralization of the aortic valve. *Circulation* 2015;**132**:677–690.
164. Bourgeois R, Bourgault J, Despres AA, Perrot N, Guertin J, Girard A *et al.* Lipoprotein proteomics and aortic valve transcriptomics identify biological pathways linking lipoprotein(a) levels to aortic stenosis. *Metabolites* 2021;**11**:459.
165. Leibundgut G, Scipione C, Yin H, Schneider M, Boffa MB, Green S, *et al.* Determinants of binding of oxidized phospholipids on apolipoprotein (a) and lipoprotein (a). *J Lipid Res* 2013;**54**:2815–2830.
166. Schnitzler JG, Hoogeveen RM, Ali L, Prange KHM, Waissi F, van Weeghel M *et al.* Atherogenic lipoprotein(a) increases vascular glycolysis, thereby facilitating inflammation and leukocyte extravasation. *Circ Res* 2020;**126**:1346–1359.
167. Boffa MB, Koschinsky ML. Lipoprotein (a): truly a direct prothrombotic factor in cardiovascular disease? *J Lipid Res* 2016;**57**:745–757.
168. Boffa MB, Marar TT, Yeang C, Viney NJ, Xia S, Witztum JL *et al.* Potent reduction of plasma lipoprotein (a) with an antisense oligonucleotide in human subjects does not affect ex vivo fibrinolysis. *J Lipid Res* 2019;**60**:2082–2089.
169. Burgess S, Ference BA, Staley JR, Freitag DF, Mason AM, Nielsen SF, *et al.* Association of LPA variants with risk of coronary disease and the implications for lipoprotein(a)-lowering therapies: a Mendelian randomization analysis. *JAMA Cardiol* 2018;**3**:619–627.
170. Kamstrup PR, Tybjaerg-Hansen A, Nordestgaard BG. Extreme lipoprotein(a) levels and improved cardiovascular risk prediction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;**61**:1146–1156.
171. Willeit P, Kiechl S, Kronenberg F, Witztum JL, Santer P, Mayr M *et al.* Discrimination and net reclassification of cardiovascular risk with lipoprotein (a): prospective 15-year outcomes in the Bruneck study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014;**64**:851–860.
172. Delabays B, Marques-Vidal P, Kronenberg F, Waeber G, Vollenweider P, Vaucher J. Use of lipoprotein(a) for refining cardiovascular risk prediction in a low-risk population: the CoLaus/PsyCoLaus study. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2021;**28**:e18–e20.
173. Perrot N, Verbeek R, Sandhu M, Boekholdt SM, Hovingh GK, Wareham NJ *et al.* Ideal cardiovascular health influences cardiovascular disease risk associated with high lipoprotein(a) levels and genotype: the EPIC-Norfolk prospective population study. *Atherosclerosis* 2017; **256**:47–52.
174. Jeong A, Eze IC, Vienneau D, de Hoogh K, Keidel D, Rothe T, *et al.* Residential greenness-related DNA methylation changes. *Environ Int* 2022;**158**:106945.
175. Pearson GJ, Thanassoulis G, Anderson TJ, Barry AR, Couture P, Dayan N, *et al.* 2021 Canadian cardiovascular society guidelines for the management of dyslipidemia for the prevention of cardiovascular disease in adults. *Can J Cardiol* 2021;**37**:1129–1150.
176. Nurmohamed NS, Kaiser Y, Schuitema PCE, Ibrahim S, Nierman M, Fischer JC *et al.* Finding very high lipoprotein(a): the need for routine assessment. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2022;**29**:769–776.
177. Chakraborty A, Pang J, Chan DC, Ellis KL, Hooper AJ, Bell DA, *et al.* Cascade testing for elevated lipoprotein(a) in relatives of probands with familial hypercholesterolaemia and elevated lipoprotein(a). *Atherosclerosis* 2022;**349**:219–226.
178. Vuorio A, Watts GF, Kovanen PT. Familial hypercholesterolaemia and COVID-19: triggering of increased sustained cardiovascular risk. *J Intern Med* 2020;**287**:746–747.
179. Watts GF, Gidding SS, Mata P, Pang J, Sullivan DR, Yamashita S *et al.* Familial hypercholesterolaemia: evolving knowledge for designing adaptive models of care. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2020;**17**:360–377.
180. Ellis KL, Perez d I, Alonso R, Fuentes F, Watts GF, Mata P. Value of measuring lipoprotein(a) during cascade testing for familial hypercholesterolemia. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2019;**73**:1029–1039.
181. Kronenberg F. Lipoprotein(a) measurement issues: are we making a mountain out of a molehill? *Atherosclerosis* 2022;**349**:123–135.
182. Scharnagl H, Stojakovic T, Dieplinger B, Dieplinger H, Erhart G, Kostner GM *et al.* Comparison of lipoprotein(a) serum concentrations measured by six commercially available immunoassays. *Atherosclerosis* 2019;**289**:206–213.
183. Cobbaert CM, Althaus H, Begcevic Brkovic I, Ceglarek U, Coassin S, Delatour V, *et al.* Towards an SI-traceable reference measurement system for seven serum apolipoproteins using bottom-up quantitative proteomics: conceptual approach enabled by cross-disciplinary/cross-sector collaboration. *Clin Chem* 2021;**67**:478–489.
184. Marcovina SM, Clouet-Foraison N, Koschinsky ML, Lowenthal MS, Orquillas A, Boffa MB *et al.* Development of an LC-MS/MS proposed candidate reference method for the standardization of analytical methods to measure lipoprotein(a). *Clin Chem* 2021;**67**:490–499.
185. Lassman ME, McLaughlin TM, Zhou H, Pan Y, Marcovina SM, Laterza O, *et al.* Simultaneous quantitation and size characterization of apolipoprotein(a) by ultra-performance liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry. *Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom* 2014;**28**:1101–1106.
186. Tsimikas S, Fazio S, Viney NJ, Xia S, Witztum JL, Marcovina SM. Relationship of lipoprotein(a) molar concentrations and mass according to lipoprotein(a) thresholds and apolipoprotein(a) isoform size. *J Clin Lipidol* 2018;**12**:1313–1323.
187. Collet J-P, Thiele H, Barbato E, Barthélémy O, Bauersachs J, Bhatt DL *et al.* 2020 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation. *Eur Heart J* 2021;**42**:1289–1367.
188. Kostner GM, Ibovnik A, Holzer H, Grillhofer H. Preparation of a stable fresh frozen primary lipoprotein[a] (lp[a]) standard. *J Lipid Res* 1999;**40**:2255–2263.
189. Marcovina SM, Albers JJ, Scanu AM, Kennedy H, Giaculli F, Berg K *et al.* Use of a reference material proposed by the international federation of clinical chemistry and laboratory medicine to evaluate analytical methods for the determination of plasma lipoprotein(a). *Clin Chem* 2000;**46**:1956–1967.
190. Nordestgaard BG, Chapman MJ, Humphries SE, Ginsberg HN, Masana L, Descamps OS, *et al.* Familial hypercholesterolaemia is underdiagnosed and undertreated in the general population: guidance for clinicians to prevent coronary heart disease: consensus statement of the European atherosclerosis society. *Eur Heart J* 2013;**34**:3478–3490.
191. Langsted A, Kamstrup PR, Benn M, Tybjaerg-Hansen A, Nordestgaard BG. High lipoprotein(a) as a possible cause of clinical familial hypercholesterolaemia: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2016;**4**:577–587.

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3925/6670882 by guest on 25 June 2026

192. Chan DC, Pang J, Hooper AJ, Bell DA, Burnett JR, Watts GF. Effect of lipoprotein(a) on the diagnosis of familial hypercholesterolemia: does it make a difference in the clinic? *Clin Chem* 2019;**65**:1258–1266.
193. Hopewell JC, Parish S, Offer A, Link E, Clarke R, Lathrop M *et al.* Impact of common genetic variation on response to simvastatin therapy among 18,705 participants in the heart protection study. *Eur Heart J* 2013;**34**:982–992.
194. Kronenberg F. Prediction of cardiovascular risk by Lp(a) concentrations or genetic variants within the LPA gene region. *Clin Res Cardiol Suppl* 2019;**14**:5–12.
195. Ridker PM, Danielson E, Fonseca FA, Genest J, Gotto AM, Jr., Kastelein JJ, *et al.* Rosuvastatin to prevent vascular events in men and women with elevated C-reactive protein. *N Engl J Med* 2008;**359**:2195–2207.
196. Waldmann E, Parhofer KG. Lipoprotein apheresis to treat elevated lipoprotein (a). *J Lipid Res* 2016;**57**:1751–1757.
197. Nugent AK, Gray JV, Gorby LK, Moriarty PM. Lipoprotein apheresis: first FDA indicated treatment for elevated lipoprotein(a). *J Clin Cardiol* 2020;**1**:16–21.
198. O'Donoghue ML, Fazio S, Giugliano RP, Stroes ESG, Kanevsky E, Gouni-Berthold I *et al.* Lipoprotein(a), PCSK9 inhibition, and cardiovascular risk. *Circulation* 2019;**139**:1483–1492.
199. Szarek M, Bittner VA, Aylward P, Baccara-Dinet M, Bhatt DL, Diaz R, *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) lowering by alirocumab reduces the total burden of cardiovascular events independent of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol lowering: ODYSSEY OUTCOMES trial. *Eur Heart J* 2020;**41**:4245–4255.
200. Boden WE, Probstfield JL, Anderson T, Chaitman BR, Desvignes-Nickens P, Koprowicz K *et al.* Niacin in patients with low HDL cholesterol levels receiving intensive statin therapy. *N Engl J Med* 2011;**365**:2255–2267.
201. Landray MJ, Haynes R, Hopewell JC, Parish S, Aung T, Tomson J, *et al.* Effects of extended-release niacin with laropiprant in high-risk patients. *N Engl J Med* 2014;**371**:203–212.
202. Chasman DI, Shiffman D, Zee RY, Louie JZ, Luke MM, Rowland CM *et al.* Polymorphism in the apolipoprotein(a) gene, plasma lipoprotein(a), cardiovascular disease, and low-dose aspirin therapy. *Atherosclerosis* 2009;**203**:371–376.
203. Tsimikas S. Potential causality and emerging medical therapies for lipoprotein(a) and its associated oxidized phospholipids in calcific aortic valve stenosis. *Circ Res* 2019;**124**:405–415.
204. Tsimikas S, Karwatowska-Prokopczuk E, Gouni-Berthold I, Tardif JC, Baum SJ, Steinhagen-Thiessen E *et al.* Lipoprotein(a) reduction in persons with cardiovascular disease. *N Engl J Med* 2020;**382**:244–255.
205. Koren MJ, Moriarty PM, Baum SJ, Neutel J, Hernandez-Illas M, Weintraub HS, *et al.* Preclinical development and phase 1 trial of a novel siRNA targeting lipoprotein(a). *Nat Med* 2022;**28**:96–103.
206. Nissen SE, Wolski K, Balog C, Swerdlow DI, Scrimgeour AC, Rambaran C, *et al.* Single ascending dose study of a short interfering RNA targeting lipoprotein(a) production in individuals with elevated plasma lipoprotein(a) levels. *JAMA* 2022;**327**:1679–1687.
207. Lamina C, Kronenberg F. Estimation of the required lipoprotein(a)-lowering therapeutic effect size for reduction in coronary heart disease outcomes: a Mendelian randomization analysis. *JAMA Cardiol* 2019;**4**:575–579.
208. Powell BJ, Waltz TJ, Chinman MJ, Damschroder LJ, Smith JL, Matthieu MM *et al.* A refined compilation of implementation strategies: results from the expert recommendations for implementing change (ERIC) project. *Implement Sci* 2015;**10**:21.

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/43/39/3925/6670882 by guest on 25 June 2026