



Cancer datasets and the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic: establishing principles for collaboration

Carlo Palmieri ^{1,2}, Daniel Palmer,^{1,2} Peter JM Openshaw ³, J Kenneth Baillie,^{4,5} Malcolm G Semple ^{6,7}, Lance Turtle ^{7,8}

To cite: Palmieri C, Palmer D, Openshaw PJM, *et al.* Cancer datasets and the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic: establishing principles for collaboration. *ESMO Open* 2020;5:e000825. doi:10.1136/esmoopen-2020-000825

Received 6 May 2020
Accepted 7 May 2020

Dear Editor,

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic has been a major disruptive event for the global oncology community. It has challenged and compromised the delivery of oncological care as a result of (1) the diversion of resource to support the care of acutely and critically ill patients with COVID-19; (2) a reduction in the number of highly trained staff who deliver such treatments due to sickness, self-isolation or family reasons¹; and (3) concerns related to treating patients with cancer, given issues related to the potential risks of acquiring SARS-CoV-2 and the degree of severity of COVID-19 as a result of either innate or iatrogenic cancer-related immunodeficiency. The current peer-reviewed data regarding the course of COVID-19 in patients with cancer is limited to retrospective cases series of 11–105 patients with variability in the data reported^{2–6} and one study involving aggregate-level data from 334 patients.⁷ These datasets do not enable the identification of risk factors that might predispose to symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection nor do they identify those factors that predict for serious morbidity and mortality as a result of infection. Such information is urgently needed to inform the development of a robust evidence base approach to risk stratification by tumour and treatment type, as well as development and introduction of appropriate mitigation measures.

It is in response to this information vacuum that a number of cancer-specific observational studies and audits have been developed in an organic and parallel manner (table 1). These studies cover surgical, oncological and psychological aspects of COVID-19. They range from the collection of data on all cancers to information on specific cancers; most are retrospective, involving a single specialist group. Some do take a cross specialty approach and enable comparison to non-cancer cohorts, as well as enable translational research from

biological samples (table 1). In addition to these, there are audits led by specialist societies, such as Intensive Care National Audit and Research Centre, which provide some information on cancer cases with the potential to be analysed in great detail and to allow comparison with patients without cancer.⁸ The International Severe Acute Respiratory and Emerging Infections Consortium WHO Clinical Characterisation Protocol has collected detailed clinical information and outcomes for over 30 000 people of all ages admitted to hospitals with COVID-19 and has recorded major comorbidities and concomitant medications that identify those people affected by cancer.⁹

It is key that all these important efforts culminate in a robust evidence which can enable¹ governments and policy makers to provide clear advice regarding the need or otherwise for patients with cancer to self-isolate/cocoon, as well as to identify groups to prioritise for vaccination or other evidence-based interventions which might reduce the severity of infection²; oncologists to provide clear advice regarding the risks of specific treatment modalities and systemic anti-cancer therapy for specific cancers in the era of SARS-CoV-2 and³ patients to make more informed decisions regarding their cancer care and the degree they choose interact and mix at societal and family levels. The latter is particularly important for patients with life-limiting diagnoses, as well as for addressing the mental health effects of self-isolation.¹⁰

To enable this and to ensure we harness the true potential of all these data, we wish to suggest the adoption of what we have named ‘principles for collaboration in the field of cancer and COVID-19’. These principles are (1) the establishment of a searchable database of all non-IMP (Investigational medicinal products) COVID-19 cancer studies with all protocols and documents being made

© Author (s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY.

Published by BMJ on behalf of the European Society for Medical Oncology.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Professor Carlo Palmieri, Department of Medical Oncology, Clatterbridge Cancer Centre NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, United Kingdom; C.Palmieri@liverpool.ac.uk

Table 1 Summary of the current cancer observational and translational studies related to the SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19 pandemic

Name of study/location	Brief description
CovidSurg–Cancer/global	Observational Evaluate the 30-day COVID-19 infection rates in elective cancer surgery during the COVID-19 pandemic (https://globalsurg.org/cancercovidsurg/)
The COVID-19 and Cancer Consortium The USA, the European Union, Argentina, Canada and the UK are eligible to participate. Currently, there are 100 USA centres.	Observational Aim is to collect data about patients with cancer who have been infected with COVID-19 (https://ccc19.org/)
American Society of Haematology Research Collaborative COVID-19 Registry for Hematologic Malignancy/global	Observational Captures data on people who test positive for COVID-19 and have been or are currently being treated for hematological malignancy (https://www.ashresearchcollaborative.org/covid-19-registry)
Thoracic Cancers International COVID-19 Collaboration/global	Observational A global consortium designed to gather information on patients with thoracic cancer infected with COVID-19 regardless of therapies administered (http://www.etop-eu.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=115644&catid=13&Itemid=557)
Clinical Characterisation Protocol–Cancer UK/UK	Prospective observational and biological samples The study will characterise the presentation, management and outcome of patients with solid and haematological malignancies recruited into the prospective Clinical Characterisation Protocol for Severe Emerging Infections in the UK. It will also compare patients with cancer to those without cancer. The biology of SARS-CoV-2 in the context of cancer-associated or iatrogenic immunosuppression will also be investigated (https://isaric.tghn.org/UK-CCP/)
UK Coronavirus Cancer Monitoring Project/UK	Observational The UK Coronavirus Cancer Monitoring scheme is a clinician-led reporting project recoding data related to patients with cancer who have tested positive for COVID-19 across the UK (https://ukcoronaviruscancermonitoring.com/). Paediatrics (https://ukcoronaviruscancermonitoring.com/paediatrics/)
ONCOVID/UK, Italy and Spain	Observational To describe the features of COVID-19 infection in patients with cancer, investigate its severity in this population and evaluate long-term outcomes (https://www.oncovid.net/)
UK COVID and Gynaecological Cancer Study/UK	Observational Records and assesses changes and outcomes in patients across the whole patient pathway and within the multidisciplinary team context bb-ukcogs@qmul.ac.uk
Patients with AML and COVID-19 Epidemiology/UK	Observational Aims to understand the incidence, presentation and severity of COVID-19 during treatment of AML. As well as to develop informed recommendations for the care of patients with AML, including those who develop COVID-19 infection during treatment or have recovered from prior COVID-19 infection
COVID-RT Clinical and Translational Radiotherapy (CT-RAD) Research Working Group, UK	Observational Aim to capture changes in radiotherapy pathways and understand their impact on radiotherapy services and patient outcomes across the UK. The initiative will not only focus on patients with COVID-19, but all radiotherapy patients (https://www.ncri.org.uk/news/covid19-radiotherapy-initiative/)
The American Society of Clinical Oncology Survey on COVID-19 in Oncology Registry/USA	Observational Captures baseline and follow-up data on how the impact of SARS-CoV-2 on cancer care and cancer patient outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic and into 2021
Psychology study/China	Observational The effects of prevention and control measures on treatment and psychological status of patients with cancer during the COVID-19 outbreak (http://www.chictr.org.cn/showproj.aspx?proj=50714)

Continued

Table 1 Continued

Name of study/location	Brief description
Clinically related study/China	Observational/retrospective Clinical characteristics and prognosis of patients with cancer with COVID-19 based on bioinformatics analysis (http://www.chictr.org.cn/showproj.aspx?proj=51019)
Perioperative immune prediction and intervention of patients with tumour undergoing surgery during the COVID-19 outbreak period/China	Interventional/prospective To understand the influence of the pandemic on the prognosis of patients undergoing cancer surgery and to understand the influence of different interventions on outcomes (http://www.chictr.org.cn/showproj.aspx?proj=50984).

AML, acute myeloid leukemia; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

available; (2) enabling patients with cancer to register and contribute their own data and biological material if they so wish; (3) establishment of an agreed core cancer COVID-19 dataset with accepted common definitions, such as defining events and severity of infection; (4) the involvement of experts in infectious disease, microbiology, infection control and critical care in all projects, given the cross-cutting nature of COVID-19 and the need to capture relevant data across these specialities; (5) agreement to bring all datasets together for a meta-analysis; and (6) the creation of a public facing open-access repository of all data for future research and policymaking. We hope that the oncology–COVID research community that has developed since the inception of the pandemic can cooperate and coordinate using these principles for the benefit of our patients and society.

Author affiliations

- ¹Department of Molecular and Clinical Cancer Medicine, Institute of Systems, Molecular and Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK
²Department of Medical Oncology, Clatterbridge Cancer Centre NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, UK
³National Heart and Lung Division, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College, London, United Kingdom
⁴Roslin Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
⁵Intensive Care Unit, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
⁶Alder Hey Children's NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, UK
⁷NIHR Health Protection Research Unit in Emerging and Zoonotic Infections, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom
⁸Tropical and Infectious Disease Unit, Liverpool University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, UK

Correction notice This paper has been updated since first published to correct name of author 'J Kenneth Baillie'.

Twitter Carlo Palmieri @cancermedic, Malcolm G Semple @TweedieChap and Lance Turtle @lanceturtle

Collaborators NAD.

Contributors All authors contributed to the drafting of the letter of correspondence. LT is a member of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Protection Research Unit in Emerging and Zoonotic Infections in partnership with Public Health England (PHE). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, the Department of Health or PHE.

Funding We would like to acknowledge the Liverpool Experimental Cancer Medicine Centre for providing infrastructure support for this research (Grant Reference: C18616/A25153), Cancer Research UK, The Clatterbridge Cancer Centre, North West Cancer Research. Funding: This work is supported by the MRC (grant MC_PC_19059), NIHR (award CO-CIN-01), the NIHR Health Protection Research Unit in Emerging and Zoonotic Infections at University of Liverpool in partnership

with Public Health England (award 200907), Wellcome Trust, Department for International Development (award 215091/Z/18/Z), the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (grant OPP1209135), and Liverpool Experimental Cancer Medicine Centre (grant C18616/A25153).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and any changes made are indicated. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Carlo Palmieri <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9496-2718>
 Peter JM Openshaw <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7220-2555>
 Malcolm G Semple <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9700-0418>
 Lance Turtle <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0778-1693>

REFERENCES

- Survey on NHS physician work absence during COVID-19 pandemic. Available: <https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/news/covid-19-and-its-impactnhs-workforce>
- Liang W, Guan W, Chen R, *et al*. Cancer patients in SARS-CoV-2 infection: a nationwide analysis in China. *Lancet Oncol* 2020;21:335–7.
- Yu J, Ouyang W, Chua MLK, *et al*. SARS-CoV-2 transmission in patients with cancer at a tertiary care hospital in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Oncol* 2020.
- Zhang L, Zhu F, Xie L, *et al*. Clinical characteristics of COVID-19-infected cancer patients: a retrospective case study in three hospitals within Wuhan, China. *Ann Oncol* 2020. doi:10.1016/j.annonc.2020.03.296. [Epub ahead of print: 26 Mar 2020].
- He W, Chen L, Chen L, *et al*. COVID-19 in persons with haematological cancers. *Leukemia* 2020. doi:10.1038/s41375-020-0836-7. [Epub ahead of print: 24 Apr 2020].
- Dai M, Liu D, Liu M, *et al*. Patients with cancer appear more vulnerable to SARS-CoV-2: a multicenter study during the COVID-19 outbreak. *Cancer Discov* 2020. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-20-0422. [Epub ahead of print: 28 Apr 2020].
- Miyashita H, Mikami T, Chopra N, *et al*. Do patients with cancer have a poorer prognosis of COVID-19? an experience in New York City. *Ann Oncol* 2020. doi:10.1016/j.annonc.2020.04.006. [Epub ahead of print: 21 Apr 2020].
- Intensive care national audit and research centre (ICNARC) report on COVID-19 in critical care, 2020. Available: <https://www.icnarc.org/> [Accessed 5th April 2020].
- International severe acute respiratory and emerging infections Consortium (ISARIC), COVID-19 report, 2020. Available: <https://isaric.tghn.org/> [Accessed 5th May 2020].
- Holmes EA, O'Connor RC, Perry VH, *et al*. Multidisciplinary research priorities for the COVID-19 pandemic: a call for action for mental health science. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2020. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30168-1. [Epub ahead of print: 15 Apr 2020].