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ABSTRACT: (235 words)

BACKGROUND: Bioaccessibility of food allergens may be a key determinant of allergic reactions.

OBJECTIVE: To develop a protocol allowing the detection of the major peanut allergen, Ara h 6, in the bloodstream following ingestion of low amounts of peanut, and to compare Ara h 6 bioaccessibility by food matrix. We further assessed for differences in absorption in healthy versus peanut-allergic volunteers.

METHODS: A blood pretreatment combining acidic shock and thermal treatment was developed. This protocol was then applied to blood samples collected from human volunteers (n=6, healthy controls; n=14, peanut-allergic patients) at various timepoints following ingestion of increasing levels of peanut incurred in different food matrices (cookies, peanut butter, chocolate dessert). Immuno-detection was performed using an in-house immunoassay.

RESULTS: An original pretreatment protocol was optimised, resulting in irreversibly dissociation of human antibodies-Ara h 6 immune complex, thus rendering Ara h 6 accessible for its immunodetection. Ara h 6 was detected in samples from all volunteers following ingestion of 300-1000mg peanut protein, although variations in the kinetics of passage were observed between individuals and matrices. Interestingly, in peanut-allergic subjects, Ara h 6 could be detected following ingestion of lower doses, and at higher concentrations than in non-allergic volunteers.

CONCLUSIONS AND CLINICAL RELEVANCE: The kinetics and intensity of Ara h 6 passage in bloodstream depend on both individual and food matrix. Peanut-allergic patients appear to demonstrate higher absorption rate, the clinical significance of which warrants further evaluation.

Keywords: Allergy / Food matrix/ Peanut/ Bioaccessibility/ Ara h 6

Abbreviations: WPPE, Whole Peanut Protein Extract
INTRODUCTION

Allergen exposure in IgE-mediated food allergy can cause clinical reactions within minutes, including anaphylaxis. The release, digestion and subsequent absorption of allergen from a food matrix in the mouth and gastrointestinal tract are likely to be a determinant of allergic reactions. Although the resistance of some food proteins to gastroduodenal digestion impacts on their ability to trigger a systemic response,¹ little is known as to how uptake in the gut affects both symptoms and kinetics of an ensuing allergic reaction.

Absorption of non-digested dietary antigens and their passage into the bloodstream is a normal physiological process. Dietary proteins have been detected in human fluids and compartments in healthy volunteers, reflecting their bioaccessibility.² Peanut proteins were detected in serum using a cell-based assay, following both ingestion but also isolated chewing (without ingestion) in non-allergic volunteers, demonstrating the existence of an oral phase to allergen absorption across the buccal mucosa.³ Foods proteins have also been detected at low levels in placental tissue ⁴,⁵,⁶ and in breastmilk.⁷,⁸,⁹,¹⁰,¹¹ The transfer of food antigens may be enhanced in diseases where intestinal barrier integrity is impaired, such as coeliac disease¹² and in subjects with underlying food allergy,¹³,¹⁴,¹⁵,¹⁶ although data are limited. Intestinal permeability is not predictive of food allergy,¹⁷ but may play a role in specific conditions such as Wheat-dependent Exercise-Induced Anaphylaxis.¹³ Whether modified gut permeability might be a contributory factor or consequence of food allergy beyond exercise-induced anaphylaxis to wheat is unclear. However, the assessment of passage of allergens into the bloodstream could yield insights into the pathogenesis of IgE-mediated food allergy, and provide information on factors which contribute to symptom severity.¹⁸

The passage of food allergens into the blood may be affected by the form in which they are ingested, which in turn reflects the impact of food processing and of the food matrix on physicochemical properties of allergens. This can be due to the chemical modification, aggregation, intermolecular interactions and/or denaturation of the allergen itself, as well as interactions of the allergen with matrix components.¹⁹ The inclusion of egg or cow’s milk into a baked matrix does not seem to impact on the dose of allergen needed to elicit objective symptoms in allergic patients,²⁰,²¹ although fat-rich matrices can impact on both the kinetics of symptoms and potentially their severity, at least for peanut.²² Higher fat contents may prolong gastric residence time, delaying the onset of symptoms and potentially allowing more allergen to be consumed.²³ The protein content of the food matrix may also affect allergen absorption.²⁴
Ara h 6, a peanut 2S albumin, is a small and compact protein which is highly resistant to gastrointestinal digestion and is able to cross the intestinal epithelial barrier in a Caco-2 cell model.\textsuperscript{25,26,27} It is a major allergenic determinant of peanut allergy,\textsuperscript{28,29} which is the most common cause of food-related anaphylaxis in Europe.\textsuperscript{30,31} Ara h 6 is therefore a good candidate to evaluate transfer of peanut allergen into the blood compartment. Indeed, a recent study detected low levels of Ara h 6 (but not Ara h 2) in the sera of some but not all healthy volunteers following ingestion of high amounts of peanut (at least 25 grams peanut protein, equivalent to >100 peanuts).\textsuperscript{32} Allergen detection in blood is difficult, because the allergens may be present at very low concentrations and be modified during digestion, impairing detection by antibody-dependent assays. In addition, immunoassays are subject to interference from the interaction of food proteins or fragments derived thereof with other plasma constituents, such as allergen-specific antibodies: JanssenDuijghuijsen et al. recently described the significant interference of IgG antibodies on the immunodetection of Ara h 6 following ingestion of peanut by healthy volunteers.\textsuperscript{33}

We therefore aimed to develop a simple blood pretreatment to minimise interference from serum proteins such as specific antibodies, irrespective of their isotype and concentration. We combined this approach with an in-house immunoassay previously used for Ara h 6 detection in breastmilk.\textsuperscript{10} Using this approach, we assessed the absorption and kinetics of Ara h 6 passage into the blood following ingestion of low amounts of peanut incurred in different food matrices. We also evaluated whether uptake kinetics might differ between peanut-allergic and non-allergic individuals.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Biological material

For the development and optimization of the pre-treatment protocol and associated immunoassay, plasma from peanut-allergic patients was purchased from PlasmaLab International (Everett, WA, USA). Following assay optimisation, serum samples were collected from healthy volunteers and peanut-allergic patients as described below.

Analysis of specific humoral response to Ara h 6 in plasma/serum

Enzyme immunometric assays were performed in 96-well microtiter plates (Immunoplate Maxisorb®, Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) using AutoPlate Washer and Microfill dispenser equipment from BioTek instruments (Avantec, Rungis, France). Specific antibodies against Ara h 6 purified from roasted peanut were analyzed by direct Enzyme AllergoSorbant Test on allergen-coated plates, as previously described.

Food matrices used for feeding trials and food challenges

The challenge matrices are described in supplementary Tables S1 to S3. Roasted peanuts were purchased from KP nuts (Slough, UK); peanut butter from Kraft Foods (Victoria, Australia). Defatted light roasted peanut flour (Golden Peanut Company, Albany, GA; 12% fat) was incurred at different levels into a water-continuous dessert base matrix adapted from that developed within the EuroPrevall project (EU-funded FP6) for double-blinded, placebo-controlled food challenges (DBPCFC), and hydrated prior to use. The same peanut flour was also included in a baked cookie matrix. The protein content of the defatted peanut flour was determined by Kjedahl total nitrogen employing a conversion factor of 5.4, while the manufacturer’s specified protein content was used for roasted peanut and peanut butter.

Human subjects and ethics

Subjects were recruited at Imperial College (London, UK). Informed consent was obtained from all volunteers, and the study was approved by the NHS Health Research Authority (reference 15/LO/0286).

Healthy volunteer study

Volunteers (n=6) were fasted for at least four hours prior to study, and had avoided peanut consumption for at least 7 days prior. An intravenous cannula was sited and baseline samples taken, following which
volunteers ingested increasing amounts of peanut proteins (100 to 3000mg peanut protein) incurred in one of four different matrices (water-continuous dessert matrix, cookie, peanut butter and as roasted peanuts). The different matrices were tested by each volunteer on separate occasions, at least one week apart, and blood samples collected as shown in supplementary figure S1. Due to the excessive amount of water-continuous dessert matrix required to provide a 3000 mg dose, the final dose was instead substituted with a 10g portion of roasted peanuts (~2.5g peanut protein) to serve as a positive control. Blood samples were allowed to clot at room temperature for 20 minutes, centrifuged and serum aliquoted and snap-frozen at -80°C.

**Oral food challenges in peanut-allergic individuals**

Peanut-allergic subjects (n=14; table 1) were recruited to a randomized crossover study (TRACE Peanut study, described elsewhere) and had undergone initial double-blind, placebo-controlled food challenge (DBPCFC) to peanut to confirm their allergic status. Participants then underwent further DBPCFC conducted according international consensus criteria (PRACTALL). We used an identical protocol to that used in the TRACE Peanut study. In brief, DBPCFC were performed on two separate days, at least 14 days apart. On each day, subjects were fasted from at least 4 hours prior to challenge. A cannula was sited and baseline blood sample collected. Subjects then received increasing doses, every 30 minutes, of peanut protein (or placebo) at the following doses: 3µg, 30µg, 300µg, 3mg, 30mg, 100mg, 300mg and 1000mg (incurred in the same water-continuous dessert matrix used above) until stopping criteria were met. The order of DBPCFC challenges was determined by a computer-generated randomisation table. Serum samples were collected at 30 and 120 minutes after occurrence of objective allergic reaction and processed as above.

**Production and characterization of monoclonal antibody for detection of Ara h 6**

Anti-Ara h6 monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) were generated in mice using a classical fusion procedure, and Ara h 6 purified from roasted peanut as immunogen. The antibody-secreting cells (hybridomas) were screened by analysing cell supernatants on microtiter plates coated with goat anti-mouse antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch, Europe Ltd.) and using acetylcholinesterase (AChE)-labelled Ara h 6 or Ara h 2 as tracers. Positive hybridoma cells were cloned and further expanded to obtained mAbs. mAbs were first screened for reactivity towards Ara h 6 and Ara h 2, and their specificity fully characterised using mutated
Ara h 6, proteolysed isoforms of Ara h 6 and chimeric 2S-albumins, as previously described for human IgE-binding studies.\textsuperscript{34,42,43} Anti-Ara h 6 mAbs produced have been involved in various studies.\textsuperscript{44–46} The two mAbs selected for the current study recognised proteolysed forms of Ara h 6 and were directed against C-terminal and N-terminal part of Ara h 6, respectively.

**Ara h 6 quantification in human plasma/serum samples**

Anti-Ara h 6 mAb was immobilized on 96-well microtiter plates (Immunoplate Maxisorp\textsuperscript{®}, Nunc) at 5 µg/ml in 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, for 24h at 4°C. Plates were then washed and saturated for at least 4 h at room temperature using EIA buffer (0.1 M phosphate buffer, 0.1% bovine serum albumin free of protease and immunoglobulins (Sigma-Aldrich, St-Louis, USA), 0.15M NaCl, 0.01% sodium azide, pH 7.4). Diluted plasma/serum samples (1/3 to 1/5) or internal standards (see below) were added to each well (50µl) and incubated for 2 hours at room temperature under agitation. Wells were then washed and 50 µl of the complementary biotinylated anti-Ara h 6 mAb (antibody:biotin molar ratio 1:30, EZ-link\textsuperscript{®} NHS-PEG4-biotin, Thermo Scientific) were dispatched to each well at a concentration of 100 ng/ml in EIA buffer containing mouse IgG from non-immune serum (25 µg/ml) in order to suppress interference due to heterophilic antibodies. After a further 2 hours incubation at room temperature, wells were washed and 50 µL of AChE-labelled streptavidin were dispensed for 20 minutes at room temperature. After washing, Ellman’s reagent was used as enzyme substrate and absorbance was measured at 414 nm using automatic reader plates. Mean\textsubscript{blank} and SD\textsubscript{blank} were estimated by measuring 8 to 10 replicates of signals obtained with EIA buffer. The limit of detection (LoD, mean\textsubscript{blank}+3xSD\textsubscript{blank}) and of quantification (LoQ, mean\textsubscript{blank}+10*SD\textsubscript{blank}) of Ara h 6 were 0.006 and 0.008 ng/ml, respectively. No cross-reactivity was observed with other peanut proteins, including Ara h 2 (supplementary table S4).

To quantify Ara h 6 in samples from the volunteers/allergic patients after the different feeding trials or DBPCFC, Whole Peanut Protein Extract (WPPE) was prepared from each of the peanut source incurred in matrices (i.e. roasted peanut, peanut flour or peanut butter) and used as a standard for Ara h 6 quantification. One gram of roasted peanut, peanut flour or peanut butter was resuspended in 20 ml of 0.3% sodium borate pH 9.0 including 0.9% n-Octyl-β-D-glucopyranoside, 10 mM EDTA and a protease inhibitor cocktail (4-(2-aminoethyl) benzenesulphonyl fluoride, leupeptin, pepstatin A, chymostatin, benzamidine). Suspension was further homogenized using an Ultra-Turrax\textsuperscript{®} Tube drive (IKA\textsuperscript{®}-Werke, Staufen, Germany) with individual dispersing vessel (DT-50). After stirring for 2 h at 40°C, the suspension was centrifuged at 3000xg for 20 min at 4 °C. The supernatant (S1) was collected, and the pellet
suspended in 4 M guanidium chloride. After stirring for 1 h at 40°C, the soluble fraction was separated by centrifugation and a second supernatant (S2) collected. The two supernatants were separately dialysed against 20 mM phosphate pH 7.4 buffer. Protein content was quantified using Bicinchoninic Acid (BCA) Assay and the two supernatants characterized by SDS-PAGE analysis and finally pooled before aliquoting and storing at -80°C. Protein recovery was at least 75%. Ara h 6 content in the different WPPEs were assessed by the sandwich ELISA assay described in this work, using Ara h 6 purified from roasted peanut as a reference. Whole peanut protein extracted from roasted peanut, peanut flour or butter contained 3.8%, 4% and 4.4% of Ara h 6, respectively.

Treatment of blood samples

Two hundred µl aliquots of plasma or serum were mixed with 120 µl of 10 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.4. Then, 80 µl of various buffers (1 M sodium citrate pH 3.0, 1 M sodium acetate pH 4.0, 1 M sodium acetate pH 5.0, 1 M potassium phosphate pH7.4) were added to a final concentration of 0.2 M and final pH ranging from 3 to 7.4 (acidic shock), with or without an additional heating step (30 minutes at 60°C). Addition of acetonitrile was also tested. Samples were then centrifuged (10,000g, 10 min, +20°C) and 200µl of supernatants collected and pH carefully adjusted to 7-7.5 with 2 M NaOH. A solution of 0.2 M phosphate buffer pH 7.4, 0.3% bovine serum albumin free of protease and immunoglobulins, 0.45 M NaCl was then added to adjust final volume at 300 µl before Ara h 6 quantification.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using Prism for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). For non-allergic volunteers, paired non-parametric test was performed, using Wilcoxon test to compare values during kinetics. Absorption of Ara h 6 in allergic and non-allergic volunteers at any given dose/time point were compared using Mann Whitney U test between groups and Friedman’s test within the same group. In all cases, differences between groups were regarded as significant when P<0.05.
RESULTS

Endogenous antibodies interfere with Ara h 6 detection in plasma/serum

We first evaluated immunodetection of Ara h 6 in blood samples from healthy volunteers collected prior to peanut ingestion and then spiked with WPPE (0 to 10 ng/mL, corresponding to 0 to 0.4 ng/mL of Ara h 6). Ara h 6 was poorly detected in spiked serum (supplementary Figure S2A), and detection was not enhanced when using a mix of mAbs with various specificities, both as capture and revelation antibodies, in the Ara h 6 immunoassays (supplementary Figure S2B). Similar experiment performed on individually-spiked sera from 4 other healthy volunteers showed comparable results, with a maximum recovery of 50% depending on the serum tested. These results suggested that factors present in serum were interfering with Ara h 6 detection.

Ara h 6 recovery was also poor (around 10%) when analysing pooled plasma from peanut-allergic patients spiked with 2.5 to 10 ng/ml of WPPE (corresponding to 0.1 to 0.4 ng/ml of Ara h 6) (supplementary Figure S3). Ara h 6 detection was improved (recovery of 30%) if the plasma had been depleted of IgE, and was increased up to ~70% when upstream partial depletion of immunoglobulins was performed using protein A (removing mainly IgGs), demonstrating that antibodies (such as IgG and IgE) present in plasma were causing significant interference with Ara h 6 immunodetection (supplementary Figure S3). Moreover, Ara h 6 was poorly detected in the flowthrough fraction when plasma was spiked prior to protein A depletion, indicating that Ara h 6 was complexed to immunoglobulins retained on protein A.

Accordingly, Ara h 6-specific IgE, IgG1, IgG2 and IgG4 were detected at significant levels in blood samples from healthy volunteers and peanut-allergic patients (supplementary table S5). Sera from healthy volunteers contained less anti-Ara h 6 IgGs compared to peanut-allergic patients, and no detectable IgE to Ara h 6. Allergic patients exhibited various levels of IgE, IgG1 and IgG4 specific to Ara h 6 (0.6 to 48 kUA/L, 280 to 3380 ng/mL and <10 to 820 ng/mL respectively).

Development of a pretreatment protocol to suppress interference due to endogenous anti-Ara h 6 antibodies

We then tested various pretreatment protocols on spiked samples with the aim to irreversibly dissociate endogenous plasma protein-Ara h 6 complexes, thus liberating Ara h 6 for immunodetection. Tested conditions relied on acidic shock, with or without additional heat treatment. We utilised commercially-sourced pooled plasma from peanut-allergic patients due to its anti-Ara h 6 IgE and IgGs levels and the
availability of sufficient volume for protocol development. We observed that Ara h 6 recognition by mAb was not drastically modified by the tested treatments, by assaying Ara h 6 in buffer spiked with 20 ng/ml WPPE (0.8 ng/mL of Ara h 6) and then treated (Figure 1, empty grey bars). No background signal intensity, assessed on non-spiked buffer, was observed after the different treatments. Ara h 6 immunodetection in spiked buffer was only slightly decreased after acidic shock, and additional heating of sample (30min, 60°C) after acidic shock did not further decrease recovery (Figure 1 grey bars, conditions C-IV to CVIII).

A similar experiment was then performed using pooled plasma from peanut-allergic patients spiked with 20ng/ml WPPE. We confirmed that dilution of spiked plasma in phosphate buffer led to a very low recovery of Ara h 6 below 5% (Figure 1, red bar, condition C-0). Recovery remained very low (=10%) when performing an acidic shock (pH ranging from 5 to 3). Additional heating after acidic shock resulted in formation of a precipitate in the plasma (which was removed by centrifugation); final recovery of Ara h 6 in the supernatant was greatly enhanced (red bars, conditions C-V to C-VII). Highest recovery was obtained when acidic shock (0.2 M citrate buffer pH3.0) and heat treatment (60°C, 30min) were performed simultaneously, with more than 90% of Ara h 6 recovered (condition C-VIII), which was equivalent to that observed in spiked buffer under the same conditions. This strategy was selected as the optimal pretreatment for further evaluation.

Buffer or pooled plasma from peanut-allergic patients was then spiked with increasing concentrations of peanut proteins, ranging from 0.16 to 20 ng/ml (corresponding to 0.007 to 0.80 ng/ml of Ara h 6), and then treated (or not) using our optimal pretreatment. Whereas Ara h 6 was poorly detected in spiked sera without treatment, even at higher concentrations, recovery was high in treated plasma (supplementary figure S4). Curves obtained in treated plasma were equivalent to those obtained in buffer. The limit of detection for Ara h 6 in spiked and treated sera was 0.2 ng/mL of equivalent peanut protein, close to that obtained in buffer.

Finally, spiking experiments were performed on individual serum samples from healthy volunteers (n=6) and allergic patients (n=14) collected before the feeding trials. Each sample was spiked (or not) with 20ng/ml WPPE (corresponding to 0.8 ng/mL of Ara h 6). Ara h 6 detection was then performed on both spiked and unspiked sera, with or without the acidic shock/heat pretreatment. No significant signal was observed in non-spiked basal serum (with our without treatment). In untreated samples, 22-50% of Ara h 6 was recovered in sera from healthy volunteers, but <5% in spiked samples from peanut-allergic patients (Figure 2). However, pretreatment resulted in Ara h 6 recovery of 88-99% and 72-98% in individual samples from healthy volunteers and allergic patients, respectively (Figure 2).
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Detection of Ara h 6 in blood from healthy volunteers following roasted peanut kernel ingestion

Ara h 6 was then assayed in serum samples from six healthy volunteers (median age 28 years, 50% male) collected following ingestion of increasing quantities of peanut as the roasted kernel (protocol shown in supplementary Figure S1) and then subjected to pretreatment.

Ingestion of 100 mg peanut protein led to significant detection of Ara h 6 in four of six healthy volunteers, 30 min post ingestion (Figure 3). Ara h 6 was detected in all the 6 volunteers following ingestion of 300 mg peanut protein, and its concentration in serum increased proportionately with the amount of peanut consumed. Thirty minutes following ingestion of 3000 mg peanut protein, 0.12 to 0.36 ng/mL (mean 0.20 ng/mL) of Ara h 6 was detected, corresponding to an equivalent of 3 to 9 ng/mL of WPPE (mean 5 ng/ml). Assuming a circulating blood volume of ~5 litres in volunteers, this equates to a recovery of ~0.001% of peanut protein consumed. Slight variability in both Ara h 6 levels and kinetics of absorption were observed between healthy volunteers: Ara h 6 in serum increased progressively in volunteers A and E over 2 hours, while in volunteers C and F, peak levels were observed at 30 min after ingestion.

Impact of the food matrix on Ara h 6 bioaccessibility

We then compared the absorption kinetics of Ara h 6 in the same healthy volunteers after ingestion of peanut incurred in different matrices, i.e. peanut kernel, cookies, water-continuous dessert base and peanut buffer. Ara h 6 was detected in most of the volunteers 30 min after ingestion of 300 mg of peanut protein, irrespective of the matrix (Figure 4). However, there were differences between the matrices tested: Ara h 6 was detected in all samples from 30 min following ingestion of 1000 mg peanut protein when incorporated into a cookie or peanut butter, but only after 120 min with the water-continuous dessert base. Interestingly, Ara h 6 concentrations in serum were highest following ingestion of peanut as the roasted kernel. We did not otherwise observe statistical differences in absorption/detection kinetics between the different matrices, although these data are limited by the small sample size and inter-individual variability.

Absorption of Ara h 6 in peanut-allergic compared to healthy volunteers
Finally, we compared minimum ingestion levels of peanut needed to result in detectable Ara h 6 in treated samples, in 8 healthy (six of whom were included in the data presented above) and 14 peanut-allergic subjects, the latter who had undergone repeat DBPCFC to peanut using the same water-continuous dessert base as that eaten by non-allergic volunteers. In allergic subjects, Ara h 6 was detected following consumption amounts as low as 30 mg peanut protein (p<0.05, Friedman’s test) in contrast to healthy volunteers ingesting the same discrete dose of peanut protein (Figure 5). No Ara h 6 was detected at placebo challenge.
DISCUSSION

Immunoassays generally remain the method of choice in detecting food allergens in complex food matrices due to their high sensitivity, specificity and simplicity, and have also been applied to the detection of peanut allergens such as Ara h 6 in human biological samples.^{10,11,32,33} However, endogenous proteins, particularly in the blood, can cause significant interference, either by inducing a non-specific signal (e.g. heterophilic antibodies) or limiting the detection of the target molecule. Immunoassays (including Ara h 6 ELISA) have been used to evaluate peanut absorption in healthy individuals following consumption of high amounts (100 g) of roasted peanut or peanut flour, but Ara h 6 was undetectable in 33-40% of volunteers.^{32,33} Using spiking experiments in combination with immunoglobulin G depletion, JanssenDuijghuisen et al. concluded that allergen-specific IgG/IgG_4 in the blood of healthy volunteers interfered with the detection of Ara h 6 following peanut consumption.^{33} Our data are consistent with this, and further suggest that Ara h 6-specific IgG (IgG1 and IgG4) but also IgE antibodies may interfere or even totally inhibit Ara h 6 immunodetection, even with a highly sensitive immunoassay. We further demonstrated through spiking experiments that the recovery of Ara h 6 is dramatically lower in serum from peanut-allergic patients compared to non-allergic individuals who presented with lower concentrations of Ara h 6-specific IgG and no IgE.

We therefore developed a specific pretreatment to induce irreversible dissociation of human Ig-Ara h 6 complexes, thus liberating Ara h 6 for detection. Such a treatment had to be compatible with our immunoassay conditions and not cause structural changes that would prevent recognition of Ara h 6 by the mAb used in the immunoassay. Acidic shock is classically used to disrupt the binding of antigens to antibodies during immunopurification, but the acidic conditions tested were insufficient, probably because they did not irreversibly denature antibodies and prevent further re-association of endogenous antibody to the liberated Ara h 6. Various physical and chemical methods have been previously used to fractionate serum proteins. For example, heating plasma to 60°C at pH 5 induced denaturation and precipitation of proteins other than albumin.^{47} Many studies have described the impact of temperature and/or pH on denaturation, unfolding and aggregation states of immunoglobulins,^{48,49,50} thus reducing their capacity to bind antigen. Since Ara h 6 has been shown to be a highly structured thermostable soluble protein,^{51} we applied various combinations of acidic and heat pretreatment to dissociate Ara h 6-Ig complexes and then denature the dissociated antibodies. The resulting procedure, combining simultaneous acidic shock at pH 3 and heating at 60°C, allowed almost complete recovery of Ara h 6, irrespective of the concentrations and isotype of endogenous antibodies. Although the procedure may
have led to slight structural modifications of Ara h 6, it allowed further recognition of Ara h 6 without compromising sensitivity.

With this strategy, we were successful in detecting Ara h 6 in serum samples collected from both healthy and allergic volunteers following ingestion of much lower amounts of peanut (<1g) than that previously reported in the literature. Actually, we were able to detect Ara h 6 following consumption of 300 mg peanut protein (equivalent to ~1½ peanuts) by all healthy volunteers, with Ara h 6 detection increasing in a dose-dependent manner. JanssenDuijghuijisen et al. estimated that their assay was able to detect 0.0001% of Ara h 6 intake, following consumption of 100 g peanut (~25 g peanut protein). Applying a similar methodology to our data, we were able to measure 0.12 to 0.36 ng/mL of Ara h 6 (3 to 9 ng/mL of WPPE) in serum from volunteers, 30 min following ingestion of 120 mg of Ara h 6 (3000 mg of peanut proteins from roasted kernels). This equates to ~0.001% of estimated intake, i.e. ~10x more recovery than that described by JanssenDuijghuijisen et al.

Of note, significantly lower levels of ingestion (just 30 mg peanut protein) were required in peanut-allergic individuals to detect peanut protein in patient sera. In addition, higher levels of Ara h 6 were detected in sera from peanut-allergic individuals compared to healthy controls, for the same dose of peanut exposure in the same matrix (the feeding protocols could not be identical, due to the need to utilise a low dose, incremental challenge protocol for allergic volunteers to ensure patient safety). These data imply that peanut-allergic individuals have different absorption kinetics from non-allergic individuals, potentially due to antibody-mediated facilitated absorption, something that has also been demonstrated via the low affinity-IgE receptor in a laboratory model. Whether these differences contribute towards the development of clinical allergy needs further investigation.

The kinetics of Ara h 6 passage into the bloodstream observed in human healthy volunteers are consistent with previous work on absorption of allergenic proteins in non-allergic human volunteers who ingested peanut, although inter-individual variability was evident, with some volunteers showing a constant and progressive increase of Ara h 6 concentrations in plasma from ingestion to 120 min post-ingestion, while others achieved peak levels within 30min. Other factors can also modify the bioaccessibility of food proteins. Food processing may alter structure of allergens, depending on their physicochemical properties. Moreover, the composition of the food matrix and its caloric value alter the digestive process, modifying digestive secretions and other factors such as gastric residence time. These will all impact on apparent allergen bioaccessibility. It is difficult to determine which might be the predominant factors in explaining the differences in Ara h 6 kinetics we observed. In healthy volunteers, the bioaccessibility of Ara h 6 was greatest when peanut was ingested as the roasted kernel. Thus, incorporating peanut in
complex food matrix or using defatted peanut flour is likely to affect Ara h 6 passage into bloodstream. The fat content of the food matrix can affect onset and severity of symptoms experienced in peanut allergic individuals,\textsuperscript{22,23} which may be due to reduced IgE-binding capacity to peanut proteins for food matrices with higher fat content\textsuperscript{22,53} and/or delayed gastric emptying.\textsuperscript{23}

In conclusion, we have developed a method which allows for the evaluation of peanut allergen passage into the blood following ingestion of small amounts of peanut protein in human subjects, something which hitherto has not been possible in allergic individuals due to the small levels of allergen exposure needed to trigger reactions. Our study underlines the variability of absorption kinetics between volunteers – and in particular, allergic versus non-allergic subjects – and the impact of the food matrix on bioaccessibility. Further studies are needed to assess how other factors, such as medication, alcohol and exercise may further impact upon this, and the extent to which levels of bioaccessible allergen may determine clinical reactions.
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TABLES

Table 1: Baseline demographics of peanut-allergic volunteers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Peanut-allergic volunteers (n=14) expressed as median and (range) values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>26 (18 to 40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (% male)</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPT to peanut (mm)</td>
<td>10 (5 to 23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgE to peanut (kUA/l)</td>
<td>15.7 (0.6 to 111)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgE to Ara h 1 (kUA/l)</td>
<td>0.71 (&lt;0.1 to 26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgE to Ara h 2 (kUA/l)</td>
<td>8.7 (0.2 to 74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgE to Ara h 3 (kUA/l)</td>
<td>&lt;0.1 (&lt;0.1 to 15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgE to Ara h 6 (kUA/l)</td>
<td>4.8 (0.6 to 48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG1 to Ara h 6 (ng/ml)</td>
<td>900 (280 to 3380)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG4 to Ara h6 (ng/ml)</td>
<td>240 (&lt;10 to 820)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliciting dose at challenge (mg peanut protein)</td>
<td>133 (33 to 1433)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaphylaxis at challenge</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Assessment of the impact of various sample treatments on final recovery of Ara h 6 in buffer or in plasma previously spiked or not with 20 ng/mL of whole peanut protein extract (WPPE). Beige full bars: buffer spiked with 20 ng/mL of WPPE. Red full bars: plasma from peanut-allergic patients spiked with 20 ng/mL of WPPE. Open bars: Non-specific binding observed in non-spiked buffer or plasma submitted to the same treatments. Samples treatments: C-0: phosphate pH 7.4; C-I: acetate pH 5.0; C-II: acetate pH 4.0; C-III: acetate pH 4.0+ACN; C-IV: phosphate pH 7.4+60°C for 30 min; C-V: acetate pH 5.0+60°C for 30 min; C-VI: acetate pH 4.0+60°C for 30 min; C-VII: citrate pH 3.0+60°C for 30 min; C-VIII: simultaneous citrate pH 3.0 and 60°C for 30 min.

Figure 2: Recovery of Ara h 6 in serum from 6 healthy (black square) and 14 allergic volunteers (red circle) spiked with 20 ng/ml of WPPE. Aliquots of spiked serum were untreated (open symbols) or treated (closed symbols) using simultaneous acidic shock (citrate, pH 3) and heating (60°C, 30 minutes) and diluted to 1/3 before Ara h 6 detection.

Figure 3: Kinetics of Ara h 6 bioaccessibility assessed in six healthy volunteers who ingested increasing amounts of peanut proteins as the roasted kernel. Ara h 6 immunoassay was performed on individual plasma collected at various time points (figure S1). Samples were treated using simultaneous acidic shock (citrate, pH 3) and heating (60°C, 30 minutes). Results are expressed as ng/ml of whole peanut protein extract (Left Y-axis) and as ng/mL of Ara h 6 (Right Y-axis).

Figure 4: Ara h 6 detection expressed as ng/ml of WPPE (Left Y-axis) and as ng/mL of Ara h 6 (Right Y-axis) in treated serum from the six healthy volunteers who ingested increasing amount of peanut proteins incorporated in various food matrices and as roasted peanut kernels. *P<0.05.

Figure 5: Ara h 6 detection (expressed in equivalents of WPPE) in treated serum samples from 8 healthy volunteers versus 14 allergic volunteers prior to, and following ingestion of discrete doses of peanut protein in a water-continuous dessert base. Samples were collected 30 and 120 minutes after consumption in healthy volunteers, and 30 and 120 minutes following objective reaction to the same amount of peanut protein consumed in allergic individuals. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.

APPENDICES

Supporting information including tables and figure is supplied as separate file.
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![Graph comparing WPPF levels over time with different doses for peanut allergic volunteers and healthy volunteers.](image-url)

- **30mg**: Peanut allergic volunteer (n=12-14) vs. Healthy volunteer (n=8)
- **100mg**: Peanut allergic volunteer (n=12-14) vs. Healthy volunteer (n=8)
- **300mg**: Peanut allergic volunteer (n=12-14) vs. Healthy volunteer (n=8)
- **1000mg**: Peanut allergic volunteer (n=12-14) vs. Healthy volunteer (n=8)