Indicator	Value Type/Unit/Scale	Definition	Suggested Quantitative	Value Ranges	Sugges	sted Qualitative Value Ranges		
Bioenergy carriers and	tonne per ha or GI/ba/yr	Measured in dry mass	Minimum net energy yiel	1 from Fritsche et al (2012)				
biomaterials	G5/Ha/yi	Crop yields/feedstock	Land type	2020	2030			
cultivated area		on the cultivation system, input levels, bioclimatic conditions.	smallholder, marginal/degraded land	smallholder, >25 GJbio/ha >35 GJbio/ha marginal/degraded land				
		and overall land suitability.	plantation, marginal/degraded land	>50 GJbio/ha	>75 GJbio/ha			
			plantation, arable land	>100 GJbio/ha	>150 GJbio/ha			
Direct/indirect land use change	Descriptive	Direct anthropogenic changes and descriptive documentation of how the land cover changed. Types of land use change include deforestation, afforestation, and rewilding. Indirect impacts from land use change require more substantial qualitative/quantitative data to document the impacts.	ILUC factor of 3.5 t CO2/ha/year for any bioenergy feedstock cultivation established on previously used agricultural land. 0 ILUC factor for bioenergy cultivation on land not in competition and not in conflict with biodiversity protection (Fritsche et al, 2012) The range of GHG Emissions from ILUC in for maize-based ethanol is 40-50 g CO2eq/MJbiofuel, and 50-75 g CO2eq/MJbiofuel for soybean-based biodiesel (OEKO, 2011) Estimated indirect land-use change emissions from biofuel, bioliquid and biomass fuel feedstock from the Recast of the Renewable Directive (European Commission, 2018): Feedstock group Mean (g CO2eq/MJ) Interpercentile range (g CO2eq/MJ) Cereals and other 12 starch-rich crops Sugars 13 4 to 17					
Primary and secondary products	Net economic value of output (€) per tdm (tonne dry mass) of input Second resource use as % of the	Covers biomass production section of the value chain, and is similar to total factor productivity. Economic value of the outputs – economic value of the inputs	calculated as follows: economic value of the outputs ($\mathcal{C}/GJ \times GJ$ energy carriers + $\mathcal{C}/ton \times ton$ materials) – economic value of the inputs (excl. the biomass) ($\mathcal{C}/GJ \times GJ$ energy carriers + $\mathcal{C}/ton \times ton$ materials), per dry tonne biomass input.				Fresh material (high value), which can also be used for material / food Residues, which can also be used/recycled for material or animal feed	

	input material (in tonne dry mass)	(excl. the biomass), per dry tonne biomass input. The use of residues is not by definition positive, so the indicator requires a descriptive part.	This value could be compared to the economic value of the heat which could be produced from burning the (dried) biomass inputs (reference = heat from natural gas ~ 10€/GJ).	++++++	 Fresh material, but difficult to use for material / food Residues, difficult to use for material / food Non-recyclable waste as input 	
Cumulative energy demand Non- renewable energy requirement	GJ input/ GJ output GJ input	Full value chain energy content (heating value and/or primary energy to produce them) of all inputs in the value chain, compared to the energy content of all outputs of the value chain. Also considers the non-renewable energy inputs in the value chain, in comparison to all outputs of the value chain.	Primary energy demand around 9.5 PJ/a renewable and 0.8 PJ/a non- renewable (Cherubini & Ulgiati, 2010)	N/A		
Life cycle GHG emissions	gCO2eq/MJ	Main greenhouse gases being CO ₂ , methane (CH ₄) and nitrous oxide (N ₂ O) considered over the full value chain. Carbon stock changes in the supply side (e.g. through land use change) are also considered.	 137 kt CO₂ eq for corn stover and 130 for wheat straw (Cherubini & Ulgiati, 2010) CAP (biomass production) RED (not biomaterials) 	N/A		

Sustainable	% of net annual	Harvest of trees, wood	Mean soil nitrogen balan	ce with	different	rates of	residue ha	rvest and	Residue h	narvest t	hresh	holds	with re	espect t	o tolera	able
harvest level	growth	resources and the	management systems (Gregg & Izaurralde, 2014)						soil loss (Gregg &	z Izau	urrald	le, 2014	4):		
	harvested	removal of wood harvest residues (including stumps), but also the removal of	160 Conventional management Conservation management 140 120 120						Crop Rotati on	Slop e (%)	Res	sidue	Harve	st Rate	(%)	
		agricultural residues such as straw and stubbles and pruning	100 - 					-			0	15	30	45	60	75
		residues from permanent crops. Long-term harvest	450) 1950 1960 60 - 19 40 -					-	Corn- soy	0.1	В	В	В	В	В	В
		lower than net growth and forests are allowed to expand		2005 4505	80% 75% 0%	15% 20%	45% 60% 7		Cotton - peanut	1	Α	Α	A	В	В	В
		their carbon storage.	Initial 01% 12% 30% 43% 00% 75% 0% 15% 30% 45% 00% 75% (0) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (0) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) Residue removal (Mg hat year 1) Passive humus Slow humus Slow humus Structural litter Metabolic litter Biomass						Corn- soy	5	В	С	С	С	С	С
			(Zhao et al, 2015). Harvest rates of 25 – 50% can be sustained when considering SOC content as a limiting factor for residue harvest. Agricultural lands with low initial SOC content have a higher sustainable limits with more than 25% of crop residue sustainably harvestable. Croplands with high initial SOC content have low					ed when vest. er nably ow n SOC:	Winter Wheat - Sunflo wer	10	A	A	В	В	В	В
			Initial SOC Content (t ha ⁻¹) Harvest Rate	9-23	23-29	26- 36	36-48	48- 116	Spring Wheat - Canola	10	A	A	A	A	В	В
			Regimes (%)	30%	45%	15%	55%	65%		1 .1					г.	
			0-23	50%	4.570	4,1 %	5570	0.5 70	A: Erosion within tole	n iess th erable so	an to oil lo	oierab	ie soil	ioss; B Erosio	: Erosio n excee	on eds
			25-50	10%	20%	20%	20%	20%	tolerable s	oil loss.		55 141		210510		
			50-75	20%	20%	20%	20%	15%								
			75-100	40%	15%	15%	5%	0%								

Conservation	Risk of	Direct effects of land	Both the farmland bird index and high nature value farming can be used for identifying land requiring conservation action						
or protection	disturbing	use and management	(European Commission, Impact Indicator fiches, 2018):						
of	conservation	changes on species		Formland hird inde	J. J	High Natura Value forming			
biodiversity	land	and habitats and		Farmand bird index					
	ecosystem capacity to provide services. Species diversity and carbon stock are key indicators, and	Definition	Rate of change in th common bird specie feeding and nesting other habitats	ne relative abundan es dependent on fa and are not able to	nce of ırmland for o thrive in	Percentage of Utilised Agricultural Area farmed to generate High Nature Value, defined as having either a high proportion of semi-natural vegetation, a mosaic of low intensity agriculture and natural elements, or supporting rare species			
and and gras		usually protected sites and include natural and semi-natural forest land, wetland and grassland.	Data collectionPopulation counts are carried out by a network volunteer ornithologists coordinated withi schemes. Index is calculated with reference base year, when the index value is set at 14 Trend values express the overall population over a period of years			a network of within national erence to a at 100%. Ilation change	Member State authorities are responsible for conducting this assessment using methods suited to the prevailing bio-physical characteristics and farming systems		
			Unit of measureme nt	Index - (base year 2	2000 = 100)		Percentage (%) and absolute area of UAA and of HNV farmland (ha)		
			Data source(s)	Eurostat, Environm http://appsso.eurost aset=ENV BIO2&1	ent statistics, Biod <u>at.ec.europa.eu/nu</u> l <u>ang=en</u> #	liversity: <u>i/show.do?dat</u>	CORINE and other land cover data, IACS/LPIS, agricultural census data, species and habitat databases, GIS, specific sampling surveys, RDP monitoring data, designations; NATURA 2000 designations found here: https://ec.europa.eu/assets/agri/cap-context- indicators/documents/c34_en.pdf		
Cultivation practises in line with biodiversity	Management practices and behaviour in number of species	ent Cultivation practices compatible with biodiversity conservation by using local crop varieties		cies richness Semi-natural elements (%)	Land management practices for				
	(Descriptive)	avoiding monocultures and invasive species, promoting cover cropping, agroforestry and intercropping, low fertiliser and pesticide	Actio	n	year)		 producing this type of biomass generally have a negative impact on biodiversity (e.g. monocultures) - 		

		use and including buffer zones. End use	Vascular Plants		Decrease	Increase	0	No impact on biodiversity (e.g. no land use involved)
		relevant to species health.	Birds		Decrease (60 birds for 0 kg and 45 birds for 400 kg)	Increase (45 birds for 0% and 60 birds for 60%)	+++	Positive impact on biodiversity
			Bees	Increase		Slight increase		
			Carabid beetles	Increase		Increase		
			Heteroptera bugs	Increase (35 bugs for one crop, 70 for 8 crop types)		Increase		
			Hoverflies	Slight increase		Increase (20 for 0% and 40 for 60%)		
			Spiders	Slight increase		Increase		
Soil quality Soil carbon (unit of mass/ha) content		Soil organic carbon content of land being used for biomass	Soil organic carbo conversion regime	time associated with ixeira et al, 2009)	different land		High risk for losing soil organic carbon and/or nutrient balance when growing and harvesting this	
Soil nutrients (qualitative)	oil nutrients qualitative)	feedstock cultivation must be at least maintained Nutrient	Land use change	e Soil org	ganic carbon change		_	type of biomass
		balance is expressed as a risk for being disturbed.	Agricultural to c with residue rem		0.2% loss (about 0.06–0.09 Mg C ha ⁻¹ for every 1% increase in residue removal, which represents 4.2 Mg C h yr ⁻¹		0	No relation to soil use / maintained soil organic carbon and/or nutrient balance
			Native forest or grassland to sugarcane	22% or	20 Mg C ha ⁻¹ loss		+	Growing and harvesting this type of biomass generally increases soil

			Grassland to Miscanthus Cropland to mixed native land	11% or 5.8 Mg C ha ⁻¹ loss 68% or 16 Mg C ha ⁻¹ gain			organic carbon and/or nutrient balance.	
Air quality	Acidification (g SO ₂ eq/MJ) Particulate matter (g PM10/MJ) NOx	Air quality is affected by combustion installations, engines and end product characteristics. Small scale combustion of wood can have a severe impact while bigger installations will adhere to stricter emission legislation.	Limited to a maximum of al, 2012) There are three main cau combustion of fuels whe 1300°C/ 2370°F) to oxid This includes burning hy (https://clean-carbonene	Ises of NOx emissions:- High temperature ore the temperature is hot enough (above abo lise some of the nitrogen in air to NOx gases ydrogen, as it burns at a very high temperatu rgy.com/nox-emissions.html).	e et out s. re	N/A		
Water use efficiency	Water use efficiency (m ³ /GJ outputs)	Water use quantified for biomass production (cropping), irrigation and conversion must not exceed the average replenishment from natural flow in a watershed. Establishment of biomass cropping systems and conversion facilities must be placed outside areas with severe water stress.	 FAO database aquastat the volume of water which is applied to soils for irrigation purposes must be regulate in terms of water abstraction it causes from total surface or ground water Eurostat - statistics on the structure of agricultural holdings - SAPM 2010 - Table: Irrigation - number of farms, areas and equipment by size of irrigated area and NUTS 2 regions, data: volume of water used for irrigation per year, m3 Eurostat - environment statistics - Table annual water abstraction by source and by 29 sector (env wat abs/ data water abstraction for irrigation purposes. Information on the share of water abstraction in agriculture (for irrigation purposes) as a percentage of the total gross (freshwater) abstraction is also available 			N/A		
Levelised life cycle costs	€/GJ outputs	Bioenergy carriers and biomaterials costs, including capital expenditures (investment costs, for a certain annual	Case specific			N/A		

		capacity) and operating costs in terms of feedstock, machinery, maintenance and other costs.				
Technology readiness level for feedstock Technology readiness level for	Level 1 to 9 (qualitative)	Level 0: Idea/unproven concept; Level 1: basic research/no experimental proof; Level 2: technology formulation; Level 3: applied research/proof	Main activ	vities of thermochemical conversion to obtain renewable fu	uels and chemicals as related to TRLs (Beims et al, 2019)	
conversion	onversion	applied research proof of concept; Level 4: small scale prototype; Level 5: large scale, tested prototype; Level 6: prototype with expected performance; Level 7: demonstration operational system; Level 8: first of a kind commercial system; Level 9: Full commercial application (NASA, 2007)	applied research/proof of concept; Level 4: small scale prototype; Level 5: large scale, tested prototype; Level 6: prototype with expected performance; Level 7: demonstration operational system; Level 8: first of a kind commercial system; Level 9: Full commercial application (NASA, 2007)	TRLs 1-3 4 5	Main activities Formulation of principles intrinsic to proposing a new chemical concept or route, by evaluating different feedstocks and verifying the process by means of small-scale experiments. Operation scale, main contribution being related to reaction scheme proposals Experiments in bench-scale reactors, where the products are validated in the laboratory environment	Main aspect to classify studies/patents Influenced mostly by mass processing capacity
				commercial application (NASA, 2007)	6 7	Test experimental parameters obtained from bench- scale tests and kinetic models in a pilot plant Higher production rates have to be tested to confirm the product characteristics and properties before securing large investments with high risk
			8-9	Industrial operation with high production rates, verifying the technical parameters for biofuel production	Feasibility and viability establishment	

FTE along the	number of full-	Employment is	25 MWe plants are equivalent to 4000 man years or around 160 FTE,	
full value	time jobs/tonne	included in the	for power-only plants typically 1.27 man years/GWhe (Thornley et al,	
chain	or GJ of end	measurement of direct	2007)	
	products	jobs created, either		
		skilled or non-skilled		
		along the full value		
		chain, including the		
		manufacturing of		
		equipment,		
		distribution and sales,		
		installation of		
		conversion plants and		
		other equipment and		
		major research and		
		development.		
Contribution	€/GJ	This indicator reveals	Can be supported by Rural GDP per capita which is measured by	N/A
to rural		whether value chain	Purchasing Power Standard (European Commission, Impact Indicator	
economy		contributes more to	fiches, 2018)	
		regional growth and		
		development, or if it is		
		directed to large scale		
		industry and		
		international		
		companies.		