1 Acclimation of leaf respiration consistent with optimal photosynthetic capacity

- 2 Han Wang^{1,2}, Owen K. Atkin^{3,4}, Trevor F. Keenan^{5,6}, Nicholas G. Smith⁷, Ian J.
- 3 Wright⁸, Keith J. Bloomfield⁹, Jens Kattge^{10,11}, Peter B. Reich^{12,13} and I. Colin
- 4 Prentice^{1,8,14}
- 5 ¹ Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Earth System Modelling, Department of
- 6 Earth System Science, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
- ⁷ Joint Centre for Global Change Studies, Beijing 100875, China
- 8 ³ Division of Plant Sciences, Research School of Biology, The Australian National
- 9 University, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia
- 10 ⁴ Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence in Plant Energy Biology,
- 11 Research School of Biology, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT
- 12 2601, Australia
- 13 ⁵ Department of Environmental Science, Policy and Management, UC Berkeley,
- 14 Berkeley, CA, USA
- 15 ⁶ Climate and Ecosystem Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory,
- 16 Berkeley, CA, USA
- ⁷ Department of Biological Sciences, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX, USA
- ⁸ Department of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia
- 19 9 Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, Silwood Park Campus,
- 20 Buckhurst Road, Ascot SL5 7PY, UK
- 21 ¹⁰ Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany
- 22 ¹¹ German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Leipzig,
- 23 Germany
- 24 ¹² Department of Forest Resources, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108,
- 25 USA
- 26 ¹³ Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, Penrith,
- NSW 2753, Australia

- 28 14 AXA Chair of Biosphere and Climate Impacts, Department of Life Sciences,
- 29 Imperial College London, Silwood Park Campus, Buckhurst Road, Ascot SL5 7PY,
- 30 UK
- 31 Correspondence
- Han Wang (Email: wang_han@tsinghua.edu.cn)

- 34 **Running Title** (A short running title of less than 45 characters including spaces)
- 35 Optimal acclimation of leaf dark respiration

Abstract

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

Plant respiration is an important contributor to the proposed positive global carboncycle feedback to climate change. However, as a major component, leaf mitochondrial ('dark') respiration (R_d) differs among species adapted to contrasting environments and is known to acclimate to sustained changes in temperature. No accepted theory explains these phenomena or predicts its magnitude. Here we propose that the acclimation of R_d follows an optimal behaviour related to the need to maintain long-term average photosynthetic capacity ($V_{\rm cmax}$) so that available environmental resources can be most efficiently used for photosynthesis. To test this hypothesis, we extend photosynthetic co-ordination theory to predict the acclimation of R_d to growth temperature via a link to $V_{\rm cmax}$, and compare predictions to a global set of measurements from 112 sites spanning all terrestrial biomes. This extended coordination theory predicts that field-measured $R_{\rm d}$ should increase by 3.7% and $V_{\rm cmax}$ by 5.5% per degree increase in growth temperature. These acclimated responses to growth temperature are less steep than the corresponding instantaneous responses, which increase 8.1% and 9.9% per degree of measurement temperature for R_d and $V_{\rm cmax}$, respectively. Data-fitted regression slopes proof indistinguishable from the values predicted by our theory, and smaller than the instantaneous slopes. Theory and data are also shown to agree that the basal rates of both R_d and V_{cmax} assessed at 25°C decline by ~ 4.4% per degree increase in growth temperature. These results provide a parsimonious general theory for R_d acclimation to temperature that is simpler – and potentially more reliable - than the plant functional type-based leaf respiration schemes currently employed in most ecosystem and land-surface models.

Keywords (6-10)

acclimation, carbon cycle, carboxylation capacity ($V_{\rm cmax}$), climate change, coordination, land-surface model, leaf mass per area, leaf nitrogen, nitrogen cycle, optimality, photosynthesis

1 | Introduction

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

Land plant respiration is a major component of the carbon cycle, releasing ca. 60 Pg C yr⁻¹ to the atmosphere: six times more than anthropogenic CO₂ emissions from all sources combined (Ciais et al., 2014). About half is due to mitochondrial respiration in leaves (Atkin et al., 2007), which is usually called 'dark' respiration (R_d) since it is most easily measured in darkened leaves; mitochondrial respiration continues in the light, although at a reduced rate (Tcherkez et al., 2017). Leaf respiration is closely coupled with photosynthetic activity (Hoefnagel et al., 1998, Noguchi & Yoshida, 2008, O'Leary et al., 2019, Tcherkez, 2012, Wright et al., 2004). As described by the standard biochemical model of photosynthesis (Farquhar et al., 1980), the instantaneous rate of photosynthesis by C₃ plants is limited either by the capacity of the enzyme Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) for the carboxylation of RuBP ($V_{\rm cmax}$), or by the rate of electron transport for the regeneration of RuBP, which depends on absorbed light and the electron transport capacity (J_{max}). R_{d} of fully developed leaves is used to support metabolic processes including protein turnover, phloem loading, the maintenance of ion gradients between cellular compartments, nitrate reduction, and the turnover of phospholipid membranes. Among these, protein turnover is the largest contributor to variation in R_d . Given that Rubisco is a substantial fraction of total leaf protein, R_d is expected to scale closely with $V_{\rm cmax}$, which sets the daily maximum photosynthetic rate achieved by leaves under natural growing conditions (Amthor, 2000, Atkin et al., 2000, Bouma, 2005, Cannell & Thornley, 2000, O'Leary et al., 2019). R_d is commonly assumed in Land Surface Models (LSMs) to be proportional either to $V_{\rm cmax}$ or, alternatively, to areabased leaf nitrogen content (N_{area}) (Rogers, 2014). Leaf respiration is enzyme-catalysed and therefore temperature-dependent. On a time scale of minutes to hours, R_d responds to leaf temperature near-exponentially and is determined principally by the temperature dependence of the reaction rates of multiple enzymes involved in various respiratory pathways in the cytosol and mitochondria (Atkin et al., 2005, Atkin & Tjoelker, 2003). We refer to this observed, composite temperature response as the "instantaneous" response. Because of this temperature response of R_d , it has been proposed that global warming will increase plant respiration and accelerate climate change (Cox et al., 2000, Huntingford et al.,

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

2013). However, the magnitude of this positive feedback remains unclear. It depends on the sensitivity of plant respiration to temperature changes over longer time scales, which – as many experiments have shown – is damped, relative to the short-term response, by acclimation (Atkin & Tjoelker, 2003, Reich et al., 2016, Scafaro et al., 2017). The longer-term response of plant respiration to the prevailing growth temperature is also manifest in spatial patterns of leaf R_d (Atkin et al., 2015, Slot & Kitajima, 2015), which show a far less steep pole-to-equator gradient than would be expected from the instantaneous response - a consequence of both acclimation (plastic responses) and adaptation, i.e. differences among genotypes and species adapted to contrasting environments. Vanderwel et al. (2015) moreover demonstrated consistency between the observed spatial pattern of R_d and the acclimation of leaf R_d over time. Pervasive long-term acclimation of respiration implies a weaker positive carbon-climate feedback than is implied by the instantaneous temperature response (Huntingford et al., 2017, Reich et al., 2016, Smith et al., 2016). Neglecting the acclimation of plant respiration to temperature in LSMs may therefore be a major source of bias in Earth System model predictions (Huntingford et al., 2017, Smith et al., 2016). Quantitative explanations and predictions of the acclimation and adaptation of leaf R_d to temperature are still lacking. Conclusions from empirical studies alone (Wright et al., 2006) are insufficient to address the underlying causality; a firmer theoretical basis is essential to build confidence in carbon-cycle predictions (Prentice et al., 2015). Here we propose a theoretical framework for the acclimation of leaf R_d based on a few key hypotheses. We first assume acclimation of $V_{\rm cmax}$ (Step 1 in Figure 1) via the 'co-ordination hypothesis', which states that $V_{\rm cmax}$ assessed at growth temperature $(V_{\text{cmax,tg}})$ has a general tendency to adjust to average daytime conditions so that the electron transport- and Rubisco-limited photosynthetic rates $(A_{\rm J}, A_{\rm c})$ are colimiting (Chen et al., 1993, Haxeltine & Prentice, 1996, Maire et al., 2012) (that is, A_J $\approx A_c$). Co-limitation is optimal in an eco-evolutionary sense because any other outcome would either incompletely exploit available light, or require additional respiration to maintain excess amounts of Rubisco. This hypothesis explained 64% of field-measured $V_{\rm cmax,tg}$ variability in C_3 plants across different biomes, and has been used with success to predict global patterns of primary production (Smith et al., 2019a, Wang et al., 2017b). Second, the various metabolic functions of R_d in mature leaves

129 are assumed to be tightly coupled to $V_{\rm cmax}$ (Step 3 in Figure 1) – implying a close link between the acclimation of $V_{\rm cmax}$ and $R_{\rm d}$. We test this hypothesis later, alongside the 130 131 alternative hypothesis that R_d depends on N_{area} . To simplify the theoretical framework 132 and mathematical derivations, we (a) disregard any possible differences in the 133 instantaneous thermal responses of R_d and V_{cmax} among species and across sites (Steps 2 and 4 in Fig 1), and (b) assume infinite mesophyll conductance and non-limiting 134 135 electron-transport capacity (Keenan et al., 2016, Togashi et al., 2018, Wang et al., 2014). Although uncertainties are thereby inevitably introduced, these simplifications 136 137 allow us to test first-order effects at a global scale, appropriately for the potential 138 improvement of LSMs.

2 | Materials and methods

139

140

2.1 | Quantitative predictions

- Based on the simplifying assumption that leaf R_d adjusts over time primarily to
- maintain the turnover of Rubisco and other enzymes involved in the Calvin cycle, we
- start from the premise that at the prevailing growth temperature (T_g) , the acclimated
- 144 $R_{d,tg}$ is proportional to acclimated $V_{cmax,tg}$:

$$R_{d,tg} = b_{tg} V_{cmax,tg}$$
 (1)

- while recognizing that the proportionality factor b_{tg} could vary with environmental
- conditions. We therefore first focus on quantitative prediction of the optimal thermal
- 148 acclimation of $V_{\text{cmax.tg.}}$

149 2.1.1 | Step 1: optimal V_{cmax,tg} and its thermal acclimation based on the

- coordination hypothesis
- We hypothesize that $V_{\rm cmax}$ of leaves at any canopy level acclimates to the current
- environment in such a way that the Rubisco-limited (increasing with $V_{\rm cmax}$) and
- electron transport-limited (increasing with absorbed PPFD) photosynthetic rates tend
- to converge. This is the 'strong form' of the coordination hypothesis (Chen et al.,
- 155 1993, Haxeltine & Prentice, 1996, Maire et al., 2012), contrasting with a 'weak form'
- that assumes that the total metabolic N content of the leaf is prescribed so that only
- the allocation of N to carboxylation versus electron transport capacities is optimized
- 158 (e.g. Quebbeman and Ramirez (2016)). In response to environmental variations, the

- coordination hypothesis predicts vertical variation of $V_{\rm cmax,tg}$ within the canopy,
- 160 geographic variation among sites, and temporal variations with atmospheric CO₂
- 161 concentration and climate (Haxeltine & Prentice, 1996, Smith et al., 2019b, Terrer et
- 162 <u>al., 2018</u>). Thus, under field conditions the coordination hypothesis predicts that
- 163 (Wang et al., 2017a):

164
$$V_{\rm cmax,tg} \approx \varphi_0 I_{\rm abs} \left(\chi c_{\rm a} + K \right) / \left(\chi c_{\rm a} + 2 \Gamma^* \right)$$
 (2)

- where φ_0 is the intrinsic quantum efficiency of photosynthesis (mol mol⁻¹); I_{abs} is the
- 166 PPFD absorbed by the leaf (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹); χ is the ratio of leaf-internal to ambient
- partial pressure of CO₂ (Pa Pa⁻¹); c_a is the ambient partial pressure of CO₂ (Pa); Γ^* is
- the photorespiratory compensation point (Pa); and K is the effective Michaelis-
- Menten coefficient of Rubisco (Pa). Γ^* and K are temperature-dependent following
- Arrhenius relationships as measured e.g. by Bernacchi et al. (2001). Acknowledging
- that Rubisco kinetics traits vary both within and among species, we applied various
- Rubisco catalytic constants (the Michaelis-Menten coefficients for carboxylation and
- oxygenation, and the Rubisco specificity factor) provided by (Galmés et al., 2016) to
- estimate the uncertainties (\pm 1 s.d.) in K, Γ^* and their instantaneous thermal responses.
- The least-cost hypothesis (Prentice et al., 2014, Wang et al., 2017b) predicts optimal χ
- to be a function of growing-season mean values of temperature $(T_g; K)$, vapour
- pressure deficit (D; Pa) and elevation (z; m). These predictions are quantitatively
- supported by worldwide measurements of χ across species and biomes (Wang et al.,
- 179 $\frac{2017b}{}$). Equation (2) then yields estimates of V_{cmax} given χ and field-relevant average
- values of c_a (Pa), temperature (K) and PPFD (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹).
- We define temperature sensitivities (β) of various quantities as fractional increases per
- degree. The fractional sensitivity of $V_{\text{cmax,tg}}$ to temperature after acclimation (β_{aV}) can
- be deduced by differentiating equation (2) with respect to $T_{\rm g}$:

184
$$\beta_{\text{aV}} = (\partial V_{\text{cmax,tg}}/\partial T_g) / V_{\text{cmax,tg}} = \partial \ln V_{\text{cmax,tg}}/\partial T_g$$

185
$$= \partial \ln(\chi c_a + K) / \partial T_g - \partial \ln(\chi c_a + 2\Gamma^*) / \partial T_g$$
 (3)

- Evaluating equation (3) under standard conditions ($T_g = 298 \text{ K}$, D = 1 kPa, z = 0, $c_a = 100 \text{ kPa}$
- 187 40 Pa) yields $\beta_{aV} = 5.5 \pm 0.3 \% \text{ K}^{-1}$. This value derives primarily from the sensitivities

- of K and Γ^* to temperature (8.5% K⁻¹ and 5.4% K⁻¹, respectively), which depend on
- their activation energies (Bernacchi et al., 2001), and to a lesser extent from the
- sensitivity of χ to temperature (0.9% K⁻¹).

191 2.1.2 | Step 2: optimal $V_{cmax,25}$ and its thermal acclimation

- 192 Described by a modified Arrhenius function (Kattge & Knorr, 2007), the
- instantaneous temperature response of V_{cmax} to temperature provides a link between
- $V_{\text{cmax,tg}}$ and $V_{\text{cmax,25}}$:
- $V_{\text{cmax,tg}} = V_{\text{cmax,25}} \times f_{\text{v}}$
- 196 where

197
$$f_{\rm v} = e^{Ha\,({\rm Tg-298.15})/\,298.15T{\rm g}R} \times \left[1 + e^{(298.15\Delta {\rm S} - H{\rm d})/\,(298.15R)}\right] / \left[1 + e^{(T{\rm g}\Delta {\rm S} - H{\rm d})/\,(T{\rm g}R)}\right]$$
 (4)

- where H_a is the activation energy (71 513 J mol⁻¹), R is the universal gas constant
- 199 (8.314 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹), $T_{\text{ref}} = 298.15 \text{ K}$, H_{d} is the deactivation energy (200 000 J mol⁻¹),
- and ΔS is an entropy term (J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹), which can be calculated using a linear
- 201 relationship with $T_{\rm g}$ from (<u>Kattge & Knorr, 2007</u>) with a slope of 1.07 J mol⁻¹ K⁻²
- and an intercept of $668.39 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$.
- To estimate the uncertainties (\pm 1 s.d.) in Rubisco kinetics, we applied various
- 204 maximum carboxylase turnover rates provided by Galmés et al. (2015). Equation (4)
- 205 then generates an instantaneous response of $V_{\rm cmax}$ to temperature with a sensitivity $\beta_{\rm iV}$
- of $9.9 \pm 1.4 \% \text{ K}^{-1}$, and allows β_{qV} to be derived as:

207
$$\beta_{qV} = \partial \ln V_{\text{cmax},25} / \partial T_{g}$$

$$208 = \partial \ln V_{\text{cmax,tg}} / \partial T_{g} - \partial \ln f_{v} / \partial T_{g}$$

$$= \beta_{aV} - \beta_{iV}$$

$$= -4.4 \pm 1.4 \% \text{ K}^{-1} \tag{5}$$

- We can thus break down the acclimated temperature sensitivity of $V_{\text{cmax,tg}}$ (β_{aV}) into
- 212 the instantaneous sensitivity of Rubisco to temperature changes (β_{iV}) and the
- 213 acclimated sensitivity (β_{qV}) of the amount of Rubisco (as indexed by $V_{cmax,25}$, the
- 214 catalytic activity of Rubisco at 25°C) to growth temperature.

- 2.1.3 | Step 3: optimal $R_{d,25}$ and its thermal acclimation based on the link to
- $V_{cmax,25}$
- In commonly used photosynthesis models, leaf $R_{d,25}$ is assumed proportional to
- $V_{\rm cmax,25}$ with the ratio given as 0.011 (Farquhar et al., 1980) or 0.015 (Collatz et al.,
- 219 <u>1991</u>). This assumption implies that $R_{d,25}$ is related to $V_{\text{cmax},25}$ by a constant factor b_{25} :

$$220 R_{d \, 25} = b_{25} \, V_{\text{cmax} \, 25} (6)$$

- 221 and thus also that $\beta_{qV} = \beta_{qR}$ (Figure 1).
- We test this key assumption in parallel empirical analyses. The effects of other
- 223 potential influences, including leaf mass per area, leaf nitrogen content and soil
- properties, on $R_{d,25}$ are also tested.

225 2.1.4 | Step 4: optimal $R_{d,gt}$ and its thermal acclimation

Heskel et al. (2016) provided an empirical formula to estimate R_d at 25°C:

$$\ln R_{d,25} = a + 0.1012 \times 25 - 0.0005 \times 25^{2} \tag{7}$$

- 228 where a is an empirical constant varying among biomes, representing the natural
- logarithm of the value of R_d extrapolated to 0°C. The instantaneous response of R_d to
- temperature (β_{iR}) as given by <u>Heskel et al. (2016)</u> is 8.1% K⁻¹ at the mean T_g of the
- data. β_{iR} is slightly smaller than β_{iV} , and leads to a response of parameter b_{tg} in
- equation (1) given by the difference between β_{iR} and β_{iV} ($\beta_b = -1.8\%$ K⁻¹). This then
- generates the predictions $\beta_{qR} = -4.4\% \text{ K}^{-1}$ and $\beta_{aR} = 3.7\% \text{ K}^{-1}$.
- Using other instantaneous thermal response curves (for example, equations 1 and 3 in
- Atkin et al. (2015), equation 1 in (Reich et al., 2016), and equation 1 in Kattge and
- 236 Knorr (2007)) yielded slightly different instantaneous responses of R_d and V_{cmax} to
- 237 temperature. However, those changes also effect the temperature adjustment we
- applied in the parallel empirical analysis, and have little influence on our testing. We
- therefore report only the results from the equations as described above.

2.2 | Empirical analyses

240

241

2.2.1 | Photosynthesis and respiration data

242 We combined two R_d datasets: the global respiration (GlobResp) and leaf carbon 243 exchange (LCE) datasets. GlobResp (Atkin et al., 2015) contains measurements of 244 leaf R_d , V_{cmax} , N_{area} and leaf mass per area (LMA) from 899 species at 100 locations 245 across the major biomes and continents, including data from an earlier compilation by 246 Wright et al. (2004). LCE (Smith & Dukes, 2017a) contains field measurements of 247 leaf carbon exchange and chemical traits from 98 species at 12 locations spanning 53° latitude in North and Central America (Figure S1). Replicated measurements in LCE 248 249 on the same species and site were averaged. Juvenile samples were excluded. Leaf R_d 250 measurements in both datasets followed the same protocol. Both were taken on fully 251 expanded leaves in daytime after a period of dark adjustment. $V_{\rm cmax}$ values in 252 GlobResp were estimated by the 'one-point method' (De Kauwe et al., 2016) whereas 253 those in LCE were estimated from full $A-c_i$ curves. With a global dataset of $A-c_i$ 254 curves (564 species from 46 field sites, covering a range of plant functional types), De 255 Kauwe et al. (2016) showed that 'the one-point method' can provide a robust approach to expand the available set of field measurements on $V_{\rm cmax}$. We present 256 257 analyses based on the combined datasets as the main results in this paper. However, 258 given that Burnett et al. (2019) recently showed that the one-point method may 259 underestimate $V_{\rm cmax}$, we also analysed each dataset separately. The results are given in 260 the Supplementary Information. 261 We indexed T_g by the mean temperature during the thermal growing season with temperatures above 0° C (mGDD₀) (<u>Harrison et al., 2010</u>). V_{cmax} and R_{d} values in both 262 datasets were provided with information about measurement leaf temperatures. $V_{\rm cmax}$ 263 and R_d values were adjusted both to mGDD₀ and to 25°C using the relevant 264 265 instantaneous responses, as given in Heskel et al. (2016) and Kattge and Knorr (2007), 266 respectively. 267 A global climatology of monthly temperature provided by the Climatic Research Unit at a grid resolution of 10 arc minutes (CRU CL2.0) (New et al., 2000) was used to 268 provide estimates of mGDD₀ for each location. Thermal acclimation of R_d should in 269 270 principle apply to both C_3 and C_4 plants, but our theoretical prediction of $V_{\rm cmax}$

- acclimation here is developed for C₃ plants, and we did not include C₄ species in our
- analysis.

273 2.2.2 | Statistical analysis

- 274 The theoretical framework proposed here includes a series of quantitative predictions.
- 275 Statistical analysis focused on testing the agreement between these theoretical
- predictions and data. To test our predictions of β_a and β_q quantitatively, the R_d and
- $V_{\rm cmax}$ data (assessed at mGDD₀ and 25°C) were first normalized with estimates of the
- 278 site-mean PPFD absorbed by leaves (PPFD_L: see Dong et al. (2017b)) before
- 279 performing Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression against growth temperature.
- This normalization is appropriate because $V_{\rm cmax}$ is both predicted (equation 2) and
- observed (Niinemets & Keenan, 2012) to vary in proportion to PPFD. If it were
- omitted, the positive effect of PPFD on R_d and V_{cmax} would contribute to the fitted
- slope of mGDD₀ due to the correlation between those two variables (Figure S2).
- 284 PPFD_L was devised to deal with the fact that field-measured photosynthetic trait data
- reflect leaves developed at a range of irradiances at different levels in the canopy.
- 286 PPFD_L is estimated from growing-season total incident PPFD at the top of the canopy
- 287 (PPFD $_0$) as follows:

288
$$PPFD_L \approx f PPFD_0/L$$
 (8)

- where f is the fraction of incident PPFD absorbed by the canopy (obtained from
- 290 SeaWiFS data (Gobron et al., 2006)) and L is the leaf area index estimated from
- 291 Beer's law:

292
$$L \approx -(1/k) \ln(1-f)$$
 (9)

- with k = 0.5 (Dong et al., 2017a). PPFD₀ was calculated from CRU CL2.0 data using
- the SPLASH model (Davis et al., 2017).
- We applied OLS linear regression of normalized and natural log-transformed R_d and
- $V_{\rm cmax}$ values against mGDD₀ using all-species and site-mean data, respectively. To
- check the impact of the PPFD normalization, we also performed regressions without
- 298 it. We additionally applied mixed-effects models with species or sites contributing
- 299 random effects. To test the uncertainty introduced by applying a single set of

- 300 instantaneous responses, whereas different kinetics responses might arise among 301 species and sites, we conducted a further OLS regression by using a subset of the 302 dataset when $R_{\rm d}$ and $V_{\rm cmax}$ were measured at a leaf temperature that differs from 25°C 303 or growth temperature less than 1°C. 304 To test the key assumption that $R_{d,25}$ is mainly determined by $V_{\text{cmax},25}$, we applied OLS 305 linear regression of R_d versus $V_{\rm cmax}$ (standardized to 25°C and separately to mGDD₀, 306 without transformation) to estimate b_{25} and b directly from the fitted slopes. We also 307 included LMA and soil pH as additional predictors in the regression described above. 308 LMA carries information on the structural component of plant leaves. Broadly 309 speaking, higher soil pH and cation exchange capability indicate higher soil fertility 310 (Jenny, 1994, Sinsabaugh & Follstad Shah, 2012), and pH has been shown to 311 influence χ (Wang et al., 2017b). These covariates were selected to test any potential 312 influences of leaf structure and soil nutrient availability on $R_{d,25}$. An estimate of soil 313 pH for each location was extracted from the Harmonized World Soil Database (http://www.iiasa.ac.at/web/home/research/researchPrograms/water/HWSD.html). 314 Relationships of N_{area} with V_{cmax} and R_{d} were also tested by OLS linear regression 315 316 with or without LMA as an additional predictor. All regressions were performed in R 317 (version 3.5.1). 318 3 | Results 319 3.1 | Testing the theoretical implications: thermal acclimation of R_d, V_{cmax} and 320 their ratio The predicted relationship of $V_{\text{cmax,tg}}$ to growth temperature (β_{aV}) was 5.5% K⁻¹ under 321 322 standard environmental conditions. The difference between the instantaneous 323 sensitivities of leaf R_d and V_{cmax} to temperature implies that the sensitivity of 324 acclimated $R_{\rm d,tg}$ to temperature ($\beta_{\rm aR}$) is 1.8% lower than that of $V_{\rm cmax,tg}$ ($\beta_{\rm aV}$), implying 325 a theoretical optimum rate of increase of $R_{\rm d,tg}$ by 3.7% K⁻¹. Despite the various 326 simplifications we made, and large variation among species at any given site, these
 - the all-species analysis, in spite of the large within-site spread, our theoretical

theoretical values are very close to the data-fitted values – whether obtained from all-

species or site-mean analyses. They are however shallower than the 9.9% and 8.1%

 K^{-1} predicted for the instantaneous responses of V_{cmax} and R_{d} (Table 1, Figure 2). In

327

328

- predictions are indistinguishable from the fitted regression coefficients of normalized
- and transformed $V_{\rm cmax,tg}$ and $R_{\rm d,tg}$ against mGDD₀ (5.2 \pm 0.3% K⁻¹, R^2 = 0.48 for
- 333 $V_{\text{cmax,tg}}$, and 3.3 \pm 0.2% K⁻¹, $R^2 = 0.34$ for $R_{\text{d,tg}}$) (Table 1, Figure 2). Growth
- temperature alone explains 45% and 65% of the observed site-mean variation in
- 335 $V_{\text{cmax,tg}}$ and $R_{\text{d,tg}}$ respectively (Table S1, Figure S3).
- Theoretically predicted values of the fractional sensitivities of acclimated $R_{\rm d,25}$ ($\beta_{\rm aR25}$)
- and $V_{\text{cmax},25}$ (β_{aV25}) to temperature are negative (-4.4 % K⁻¹) and this is consistent with
- 338 the observed negative responses of $R_{\rm d,25}$ and $V_{\rm cmax,25}$ to temperature seen in the data
- 339 (Table 1). The observed negative response of $V_{\rm cmax.25}$ to growth temperature is
- indistinguishable ($-4.2 \pm 0.3\%$ K⁻¹ for all-species, $-3.4 \pm 0.9\%$ K⁻¹ for site-mean)
- 341 from our theoretical prediction, while the observed response of $R_{\rm d,25}$ is marginally
- larger than our all-species prediction ($-4.9 \pm 0.3\%$ K⁻¹), but indistinguishable in the
- 343 site-mean analysis ($-4.3 \pm 0.7\% \text{ K}^{-1}$).
- Regressions performed without PPFD-normalization showed temperature responses
- 345 with the same signs (positive for V_{cmax} and R_d at growth temperature, negative at
- 346 25°C) but slightly steeper (positive slopes) or shallower (negative slopes) than in the
- main analyses (Table S2) as expected due to the confounding of PPFD and
- 348 temperature effects (Figure S2), which normalization removes. R^2 values were
- 349 consistently greater in the main analyses, by 6-7% for $V_{\rm cmax}$ and R_d at growth
- 350 temperature and 11-17% for V_{cmax} and R_d at 25°C.
- 351 Mixed-effects models show a similar acclimation pattern as the OLS regression
- 352 models (Table S3). When the random effect from either species or site effect is
- included, the predicted positive (but weaker than instantaneous) thermal responses of
- $R_{\rm d,tg}$ and $V_{\rm cmax,tg}$ emerge from the data. The resulting negative thermal responses of
- 355 $R_{d,25}$ and $V_{cmax,25}$ are also supported by the data. The data-fitted thermal sensitivities
- after acclimation show patterns in agreement with our theoretical predictions (β_{aR} <
- 357 β_{aV} whereas $\beta_{aR25} = \beta_{aV25}$), although the fitted sensitivities in these analyses are
- marginally higher than the theoretical predictions (Table S3).
- Using only a subset of the dataset with no temperature correction applied to the
- measured $R_{\rm d}$ and $V_{\rm cmax}$, we again show thermal acclimation of $R_{\rm d,25}$ and $V_{\rm cmax,25}$
- 361 consistent with our prediction. The responses of $R_{d,gt}$ and $V_{cmax,gt}$ to growth

- temperature in this analysis are stronger than predicted, but the uncertainties are much
- larger due to the limited size of this subset (Table S4).
- Regressions based on the GlobResp and LCE datasets separately are generally
- 365 consistent with our theoretical predictions (Table S5). The LCE dataset shows a
- stronger acclimation in $R_{\rm d,tg}$ and a weaker acclimation in $R_{\rm d,25}$ than $V_{\rm cmax}$ ($\beta_{\rm aR} > \beta_{\rm aV}$,
- $\beta_{aR25} < \beta_{aV2}$), but the empirically estimated sensitivities are nevertheless close to our
- 368 theoretical predictions.
- The prediction that b should decline with temperature by 1.8% K⁻¹ was consistent
- with the fitted regressions of the ratio of $R_{d,tg}$ to $V_{cmax,tg}$; we observed a small but
- significant negative response of b to growth temperature with a sensitivity of 2.0% \pm
- 372 0.3%, while b_{25} was indeed independent of mGDD₀ (Table 1, Table S3, Figure 2).
- 373 The fitted temperature response of $R_{\rm d,tg}$ was consistently about 2% less steep than that
- of $V_{\text{cmax,tg}}$ (Table 1). However, the observed temperature-dependence of this ratio is
- weak and becomes non-significant in the analyses of site-mean data or the LCE
- dataset alone (Table S1, S3, S5).

3.2 | Testing the theoretical assumptions: relationships of dark respiration and

- 378 photosynthetic capacity to other variables
- We examined the relationships between $R_{\rm d}$, $V_{\rm cmax}$ and other potential influences, in
- order to further test our assumption that among those variables R_d is most strongly
- correlated to variations in $V_{\rm cmax}$. We found that measured $R_{\rm d}$ and $V_{\rm cmax}$ were positively
- 382 correlated in the datasets when normalized either to mGDD₀ ($R^2 = 0.25$) or to a
- reference temperature of 25°C ($R^2 = 0.16$) (Table S6). The canonical value of $b_{25} =$
- 384 0.015 in the photosynthesis model of Collatz et al. (1991), was similar to the fitted
- value of $b_{25} = 0.014 \pm 0.001$ based on the regression of $R_{\rm d,25}$ with respect to $V_{\rm cmax,25}$
- 386 (Table S6). The inclusion of LMA or soil pH in addition to mGDD₀ as a predictor
- provided negligible increases in explained variance (Table S7).
- Relationships of leaf R_d and V_{cmax} to N_{area} were similar in strength when normalized to
- 389 25°C ($R^2 = 0.14$ and 0.12) (Table 2), but notably weaker when considered at growth
- temperature ($R^2 = 0.05$ for $R_{\rm d,tg}$ and 0.02 for $V_{\rm cmax,tg}$). LMA and $V_{\rm cmax,25}$ together
- 391 accounted for 42% variation in N_{area} , but most of this explanatory power comes from

395

416

- 392 LMA (Table 2). LMA and $R_{\rm d,25}$ together explained 41% variation in $N_{\rm area}$, but again
- most of this explanatory power is due to LMA (Table 2).

4 | Discussion

4.1 | Comparison with other studies

- 396 <u>Heskel et al. (2016)</u> provided an empirical function for leaf R_d at 25°C (equation (4) in
- Methods), where the parameter a (the logarithm of the basal rate of R_d at 0°C) is -1.60
- for tundra, declining to -2.75 for lowland tropical rainforest. We estimated a by
- rearranging equation (3) in Heskel et al. (2016) at a reference temperature of 25°C,
- 400 and assuming proportionality between $R_{d,25}$ and $V_{cmax,25}$, yielding independent
- 401 estimates: a = -1.41 for tundra, and -2.50 for lowland tropical rainforest. The values
- of a given by Heskel et al. (2016) allow us to approximate the thermal sensitivity of a
- as –4.6% K⁻¹, assuming a growth temperature range of 25°C from tundra to rainforest:
- close to our prediction, $\beta_{qR} = -4.4\%$ K⁻¹. Our results are also consistent with previous
- 405 findings showing that while $V_{\rm cmax,tg}$ increases with growth temperature, $V_{\rm cmax,25}$, the
- 406 amount of Rubisco, and the fraction of leaf N allocated to Rubisco all decline
- 407 (Scafaro et al., 2017).
- 408 The canonical ratio $R_{\rm d,25}/V_{\rm cmax,25} = 0.015$ (Collatz et al., 1991) perhaps co-incidentally
- lies within the 95% confidence intervals of the fitted slope (0.014 \pm 0.001) obtained
- 410 by regression of $R_{\rm d,25}$ on $V_{\rm cmax,25}$ (Table S6). We found $R_{\rm d,25}/V_{\rm cmax,25}$ was not
- 411 significantly related to growth temperature but a small significant negative response
- of $R_{\rm d,tg}/V_{\rm cmax,tg}$ to growth temperature (2.0% \pm 0.3% K⁻¹) (Figure 2). Our theory
- 413 predicts a temperature dependence of the ratio of $R_{d,gt}$ to $V_{cmax,gt}$ due to their different
- 414 instantaneous thermal responses, and this is observed, but the relationship to
- temperature is much less robust than that of R_d and V_{cmax} themselves.

4.2 | Implications of photosynthetic and respiratory acclimation

- We predicted that field-measured $V_{\text{cmax,tg}}$ and $R_{\text{d,tg}}$ should increase with growth
- 418 temperature by 5.5% and 3.7% per degree, respectively (Table 1, Figure 2). These
- 419 responses are not instantaneous biochemical responses. They arise, instead, because
- of the differential temperature sensitivities of two quantities the effective Michaelis-
- 421 Menten coefficient of Rubisco (K) and the photorespiratory CO₂ compensation point

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

 (I^*) (see equation 3 in Methods). The acclimated responses are determined by the coordination between the Rubisco-limited and light-limited photosynthesis rates, and achieved by the changes of the amount of Rubisco. These predicted thermal sensitivities (β_{aV} , β_{aR}) are within the 95% confidence intervals of regression coefficients independently derived from data (Table 1, Figure 2). The tundra site from Alaska with growth temperature around 5°C is dominated by C₃ herbaceous and shrub species, which show high $R_{d,tg}$ values comparable or even higher than the tropical sites. Although the normalization by its low level of site-mean PPFD_L contributes to the high $R_{d,tg}$ values in Figure 2, the observed $R_{d,tg}$ values without normalization are also higher than other sites with similar growth temperature (Figure S4). Meanwhile, the observed $V_{\rm cmax,tg}$ from this site seems quite comparable to other sites. This decoupling might indicate some other energy consuming processes in leaves of those species from extreme environmental conditions. Many ecosystem and land-surface models disregard acclimation, and assume that the long-term $R_{\rm d}$ and $V_{\rm cmax}$ responses to temperature follow the instantaneous functions routinely observed (β_{iV} = 9.9% K⁻¹ (<u>Kattge & Knorr, 2007</u>) and β_{iR} = 8.1% K⁻¹ (Heskel et al., 2016). Our results contradict this assumption, and provide a quantification of the temperature responses of both $V_{\rm cmax}$ and $R_{\rm d}$ that explicitly takes acclimation into account. Given that $V_{\rm cmax}$ has been found to vary seasonally, and can be predicted using the temperature of the previous week (Smith & Dukes, 2018), a weekly to monthly acclimation time scale would be appropriate for LSMs to incorporate this process. It has also been shown that high growth temperature has a stronger negative impact on the instantaneous thermal response of $V_{\rm cmax}$ than that of dark respiration (Smith & Dukes, 2017b). However, such effects occur above 30°C, whereas the maximum growth temperature of the sampled sites we used here is only ~28°C. More measures at hot sites would be helpful for future studies to understand acclimation behaviour over a larger range of temperatures. Theory also predicts that the amount of active Rubisco should decline with temperature ($\beta_{qV} = -4.4\% \text{ K}^{-1}$), because the instantaneous response of V_{cmax} to temperature is steeper than its acclimated response. At higher temperatures, less active Rubisco is required to achieve the value of $V_{\rm cmax}$ indicated by the co-ordination hypothesis (Figure 1). Lower levels of Rubisco require lower levels of maintenance respiration for Rubisco turnover. Both predictions are quantitatively consistent with

483

484

485

455 observed negative responses of $R_{\rm d,25}$ and $V_{\rm cmax,25}$ to temperature (Table 1, Figure 2), 456 although the goodness of fit to the data at 25°C is weaker than that at growth 457 temperature. 458 The growth temperature-dependent trend in both $V_{\rm cmax}$ and leaf $R_{\rm d}$ emerges clearly 459 from the data despite considerable scatter around the regression (Figure 2). Much of 460 this scatter may be linked to within-site microclimatic variation (especially in PPFD) 461 that is not accounted for in analyses of this kind. Consistent with this hypothesis, 462 growth temperature explains a larger fraction of the variation in community-mean 463 values of $V_{\rm cmax}$ and $R_{\rm d}$ (Table S1) than in individual species values. The diversity 464 among species in other relevant traits and leaf life history may also explain some 465 within-site variation in $V_{\rm cmax}$ and $R_{\rm d}$. For example, it has been shown that diverse 466 hydraulic strategies can influences plant photosynthetic capacity under the same 467 abiotic conditions (Zhu et al., 2018), while age-dependent leaf physiology can 468 significantly influence $V_{\rm cmax}$ and consequently the total canopy carbon uptake, e.g. 469 observed in tropical evergreen forests (Albert et al., 2018). Optimality-based theory 470 on respiration acclimation as presented here could (a) be applied globally at a community-mean level, and (b) potentially be refined by explicitly considering 471 472 variations within the canopy in microclimate and differences among plant strategies 473 and leaf life histories. Equation (3) could potentially allow predictions of the responses of leaf R_d to other 474 475 environmental determinants, including vapour pressure deficit, elevation and CO₂. 476 Our theory predicts a downregulation of V_{cmax} and thus of R_{d} (both at 25°C and Tg) as a response to increased atmospheric CO2. Consequently, enhanced thermal 477 acclimation in $V_{\rm cmax}$ and $R_{\rm d}$ ($\beta_{\rm aV}$ = 2.7% K⁻¹ and $\beta_{\rm aR}$ = 4.5% K⁻¹, $\beta_{\rm qV}$ = $\beta_{\rm qR}$ = -5.4% 478 K⁻¹) are expected at high CO₂. The data currently available do not allow us to test 479 480 these predictions, for various reasons including (a) the limited environmental range 481 covered by data, (b) correlations between potential explanatory variables and (c)

(<u>Ainsworth & Long, 2005</u>). Nevertheless, our theory provides testable predictions on the acclimation of R_d to various environmental factors and also a simple, first-principles approach to directly predict the thermal acclimation of R_d , which is one of

uncertainties in the measurement methods used in manipulative experiments

- 486 the most important mechanisms missing from current LSMs (Huntingford et al.,
- 487 <u>2017</u>).

4.3 | On the correlation between R_d and N_{area}

- Empirical relationships of both $V_{\text{cmax},25}$ and $R_{\text{d},25}$ to area-based leaf nitrogen content
- 490 (N_{area}) have been interpreted as showing 'nitrogen limitation' at the leaf level (<u>Luo et</u>
- 491 <u>al., 2004</u>) and form the basis of R_d prediction in some N-cycle enabled LSMs.
- However, recent studies have shown two problems with this interpretation. First,
- 493 $V_{\text{cmax},25}$ accounts for only the metabolic component of N_{area} , whereas a large
- 494 component of variation in N_{area} is proportional to LMA (<u>Dong et al., 2017b</u>, <u>Onoda et</u>
- 495 <u>al., 2017</u>). Here, we confirm that substantially more variation in N_{area} can be explained
- 496 by LMA than by $V_{\rm cmax}$ or $R_{\rm d}$. This finding suggests that $N_{\rm area}$ is not the main
- determinant of either $V_{\rm cmax}$ or $R_{\rm d}$ (Table 2). Second, global patterns of variation in
- 498 $V_{\rm cmax}$ have been shown to be predictable from climate alone (Smith et al., 2019b),
- 499 suggesting that $V_{\text{cmax},25}$ (and therefore $R_{\text{d},25}$) is not determined by N_{area} , but rather
- primarily by photosynthetic potential which is set by the local climatic environment.
- This potential in turn determines the metabolic component of N_{area} . Differences in soil
- N availability then primarily influence plant-level carbon allocation, instead of leaf-
- 503 level N: the less soil N supply, the more carbon allocated belowground for N
- acquisition (LeBauer & Treseder, 2008, Poorter et al., 2012).
- Our analysis therefore suggests an alternative to the common approach of carbon-
- 506 nitrogen cycle coupling applied in LSMs, whereby leaf nitrogen is prescribed by plant
- functional types and used to predict $V_{\rm cmax}$ and leaf $R_{\rm d}$ at standard temperature, and
- enzyme kinetics determines their temperature response at both fast (half-hourly) and
- slower (weekly and longer) time scales. In our proposed approach, leaf nitrogen is
- 510 determined jointly by LMA (which may differ among plant functional types) and
- 511 $V_{\rm cmax}$ $V_{\rm cmax}$ and $R_{\rm d}$ at standard temperature would then be considered independent of
- 512 plant functional type, but allowed to acclimate gradually to environmental conditions
- 513 following a simple optimality principle. Nitrogen availability would influence
- primarily the allocation of nitrogen among plant organs, i.e. roots versus leaves.

515 5 | Conclusion

The theory developed here provides a first-principles approach to predicting the thermal acclimation of leaf $R_{\rm d}$, a key process missing from current LSMs. According to both theory and data, the observed thermal acclimation of $R_{\rm d}$ follows the optimization of $V_{\rm cmax}$ as predicted by the coordination hypothesis. This acclimation dampens the instantaneous response of $R_{\rm d}$ to temperature and shows little influence from other factors. The discrepancy between thermal acclimation and instantaneous thermal response implies that both $R_{\rm d}$ and $V_{\rm cmax}$, converted to 25°C or any other arbitrarily chosen reference temperature, must decline with plant growth temperature. These principles are straightforward to incorporate in an LSM framework. The theory provides an explanation for observed correlations among $N_{\rm area}$, $V_{\rm cmax}$ and $R_{\rm d}$ that differs from the common assumption that $N_{\rm area}$ determines $V_{\rm cmax}$ and $R_{\rm d}$, and supports an alternative perspective on the coupling between terrestrial carbon and nitrogen cycles.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by National Key R&D Program of China (no. 2018YFA0605400), National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 31971495) to H.W. and the High End Foreign Expert awards at Tsinghua University to I.C.P (GDW20181100161). It has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No: 787203 REALM to I.C.P.) It is a contribution to the AXA Chair Programme in Biosphere and Climate Impacts and the Imperial College initiative on Grand Challenges in Ecosystems and the Environment. O.K.A. acknowledges the support of the Australian Research Council (DP130101252 and CE140100008). T.F.K acknowledges financial support from the Laboratory Directed Research and Development (LDRD) fund under the auspices of DOE, BER Office of Science at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. I.J.W. acknowledges the support of the Australian Research Council (DP170103410). NGS acknowledges support from Texas Tech University.

Author contributions

544

548

555

565

566

567

- H.W. and I.C.P. derived the theory and designed the study. H.W. carried out all the
- analyses and constructed the Figures and Tables, and wrote the first draft. All authors
- contributed to the interpretation of the results and to the text.

Data sharing and data accessibility

- The GlopResp database is accessible at the TRY plant trait database (www.try-db.org)
- or by contacting Owen Atkin. The LCE dataset is publicly accessible at
- 551 https://github.com/SmithEcophysLab/LCE/releases/tag/v1.1. The climate data and
- fractional absorbed photosynthetic active radiation data are publicly accessible from
- 553 Climatic Research Unit (https://crudata.uea.ac.uk/cru/data/hrg/tmc/), and NASA's
- OceanColor Web (https://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/data/seawifs/), respectively.

References

- Ainsworth EA, Long SP (2005) What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO₂. New Phytologist, **165**, 351-372.
- Albert LP, Wu J, Prohaska N *et al.* (2018) Age-dependent leaf physiology and consequences for crown-scale carbon uptake during the dry season in an Amazon evergreen forest. New Phytologist, **219**, 870–884.
- Amthor JS (2000) The McCree–de Wit–Penning de Vries–Thornley respiration paradigms: 30 years later. Annals of Botany, **86**, 1-20.
 - Atkin OK, Bloomfield KJ, Reich PB *et al.* (2015) Global variability in leaf respiration in relation to climate, plant functional types and leaf traits. New Phytologist, **206**, 614-636.
- Atkin OK, Bruhn D, Tjoelker MG (2005) Response of Plant Respiration to Changes in Temperature: Mechanisms and Consequences of Variations in Q10; Values and Acclimation. In: *Plant Respiration, Advances in Photosynthesis and Respiration.* (ed Lambers H R-CM) pp Page. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- 573 Atkin OK, Millar AH, Gardeström P, Day DA (2000) Photosynthesis, carbohydrate 574 metabolism and respiration in leaves of higher plants. In: *Photosynthesis*. pp 575 Page., Springer.
- Atkin OK, Scheurwater I, Pons TL (2007) Respiration as a percentage of daily photosynthesis in whole plants is homeostatic at moderate, but not high, growth temperatures. New Phytologist, **174**, 367-380.
- Atkin OK, Tjoelker MG (2003) Thermal acclimation and the dynamic response of plant respiration to temperature. Trends in plant science, **8**, 343-351.
- Bernacchi C, Singsaas E, Pimentel C, Portis Jr A, Long S (2001) Improved temperature response functions for models of Rubisco-limited photosynthesis. Plant, Cell & Environment, 24, 253-259.

- Bouma TJ (2005) Understanding plant respiration: separating respiratory components versus a process-based approach. In: *Plant respiration*. pp Page., Springer.
- Burnett AC, Davidson KJ, Serbin SP, Rogers A (2019) The "one-point method" for estimating maximum carboxylation capacity of photosynthesis: A cautionary tale. **42**, 2472-2481.
- Cannell M, Thornley J (2000) Modelling the components of plant respiration: some guiding principles. Annals of Botany, **85**, 45-54.
- Chen J-L, Reynolds JF, Harley PC, Tenhunen JD (1993) Coordination theory of leaf nitrogen distribution in a canopy. Oecologia, **93**, 63-69.
 - Ciais P, Sabine C, Bala G *et al.* (2014) Carbon and other biogeochemical cycles. Climate change 2013: the physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 465-570.
 - Collatz GJ, Ball JT, Grivet C, Berry JA (1991) Physiological and environmental regulation of stomatal conductance, photosynthesis and transpiration: a model that includes a laminar boundary layer. Agricultural and Forest meteorology, **54**, 107-136.
 - Cox PM, Betts RA, Jones CD, Spall SA, Totterdell IJ (2000) Acceleration of global warming due to carbon-cycle feedbacks in a coupled climate model. Nature, **408**, 184-187.
 - Davis TW, Prentice IC, Stocker BD *et al.* (2017) Simple process-led algorithms for simulating habitats (SPLASH v. 1.0): robust indices of radiation, evapotranspiration and plant-available moisture. Geoscientific Model Development, **10**, 689.
 - De Kauwe MG, Lin Y-S, Wright IJ *et al.* (2016) A test of the 'one-point method' for estimating maximum carboxylation capacity from field-measured, light-saturated photosynthesis. New Phytologist, **210**, 1130-1144.
 - Dong N, Prentice IC, Evans BJ, Caddy-Retalic S, Lowe AJ, Wright IJ (2017a) Leaf nitrogen from first principles: field evidence for adaptive variation with climate. Biogeosciences, **14**, 481-495.
 - Dong N, Prentice IC, Harrison SP, Song QH, Zhang YP (2017b) Biophysical homoeostasis of leaf temperature: A neglected process for vegetation and land-surface modelling. Global Ecology and Biogeography, **26**, 998-1007.
 - Farquhar GD, Von Caemmerer SV, Berry J (1980) A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation in leaves of C₃ species. Planta, **149**, 78-90.
 - Galmés J, Hermida-Carrera C, Laanisto L, Niinemets Ü (2016) A compendium of temperature responses of Rubisco kinetic traits: variability among and within photosynthetic groups and impacts on photosynthesis modeling. Journal of Experimental Botany, **67**, 5067–5091.
 - Galmés J, Kapralov M, Copolovici L, Hermida-Carrera C, Niinemets Ü (2015) Temperature responses of the Rubisco maximum carboxylase activity across domains of life: phylogenetic signals, trade-offs, and importance for carbon gain. Photosynthesis Research, **123**, 183–201.
- Gobron N, Pinty B, Taberner M, Mélin F, Verstraete MM, Widlowski JL (2006)
 Monitoring the photosynthetic activity of vegetation from remote sensing data.
 Advances in Space Research, 38, 2196-2202.
- Harrison SP, Prentice IC, Barboni D, Kohfeld KE, Ni J, Sutra JP (2010) Ecophysiological and bioclimatic foundations for a global plant functional classification. Journal of Vegetation Science, **21**, 300-317.

- Haxeltine A, Prentice IC (1996) A general model for the light-use efficiency of primary production. Functional Ecology, **10**, 551-561.
- Heskel MA, O'sullivan OS, Reich PB *et al.* (2016) Convergence in the temperature response of leaf respiration across biomes and plant functional types. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, **113**, 3832-3837.

640

641642

643

647

648

649

650

651

657658

662

663

664 665

666

667 668

669

670 671

672

- Hoefnagel M, Atkin O, Wiskich J (1998) Interdependence between chloroplasts and mitochondria in the light and the dark. Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Bioenergetics, **1366**, 235-255.
- Huntingford C, Atkin OK, Heskel MA *et al.* (2017) Implications of improved representation of plant respiration in a changing climate. Nature communications, **8**, 1602.
- Huntingford C, Zelazowski P, Galbraith D *et al.* (2013) Simulated resilience of tropical rainforests to CO₂-induced climate change. Nature Geoscience, **6**, 268.
 - Jenny H (1994) Factors of soil formation: a system of quantitative pedology, Courier Corporation.
 - Kattge J, Knorr W (2007) Temperature acclimation in a biochemical model of photosynthesis: a reanalysis of data from 36 species. Plant, Cell & Environment, **30**, 1176-1190.
- Keenan TF, Prentice IC, Canadell JG, Williams CA, Wang H, Raupach M, Collatz GJ (2016) Recent pause in the growth rate of atmospheric CO₂ due to enhanced terrestrial carbon uptake. Nature communications, 7, 13428.
- Lebauer DS, Treseder KK (2008) Nitrogen limitation of net primary productivity in terrestrial ecosystems is globally distributed. Ecology, **89**, 371-379.
 - Luo Y, Su B, Currie WS *et al.* (2004) Progressive nitrogen limitation of ecosystem responses to rising atmospheric carbon dioxide. AIBS Bulletin, **54**, 731-739.
- Maire V, Martre P, Kattge J, Gastal F, Esser G, Fontaine S, Soussana J-F (2012) The coordination of leaf photosynthesis links C and N fluxes in C₃ plant species. PloS one, 7, e38345.
 - New M, Hulme M, Jones P (2000) Representing Twentieth-Century Space—Time Climate Variability. Part II: Development of 1901–96 Monthly Grids of Terrestrial Surface Climate. Journal of Climate, **13**, 2217-2238.
 - Noguchi K, Yoshida K (2008) Interaction between photosynthesis and respiration in illuminated leaves. Mitochondrion, **8**, 87-99.
 - O'leary BM, Asao S, Millar AH, Atkin OK (2019) Core principles which explain variation in respiration across biological scales. New Phytol.
 - Onoda Y, Wright IJ, Evans JR *et al.* (2017) Physiological and structural tradeoffs underlying the leaf economics spectrum. New Phytologist, **214**, 1447-1463.
 - Poorter H, Niklas KJ, Reich PB, Oleksyn J, Poot P, Mommer L (2012) Biomass allocation to leaves, stems and roots: meta-analyses of interspecific variation and environmental control. New Phytologist, **193**, 30-50.
- Prentice IC, Dong N, Gleason SM, Maire V, Wright IJ (2014) Balancing the costs of carbon gain and water transport: testing a new theoretical framework for plant functional ecology. Ecology letters, 17, 82-91.
- Prentice IC, Liang X, Medlyn BE, Wang Y-P (2015) Reliable, robust and realistic: the three R's of next-generation land-surface modelling. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, **15**, 5987-6005.
- Quebbeman JA, Ramirez JA (2016) Optimal allocation of leaf-level nitrogen: Implications for covariation of Vcmax and Jmax and photosynthetic downregulation. **121**, 2464-2475.

695

- Reich PB, Sendall KM, Stefanski A, Wei X, Rich RL, Montgomery RA (2016)
 Boreal and temperate trees show strong acclimation of respiration to warming.
 Nature, **531**, 633-636.
- Rogers A (2014) The use and misuse of $V_{c,max}$ in Earth System Models. Photosynthesis Research, 119, 15-29.
- 688 Scafaro AP, Xiang S, Long BM *et al.* (2017) Strong thermal acclimation of 689 photosynthesis in tropical and temperate wet-forest tree species: the 690 importance of altered Rubisco content. Global Change Biology, **23**, 2783-691 2800.
- 692 Sinsabaugh RL, Follstad Shah JJ (2012) Ecoenzymatic stoichiometry and ecological 693 theory. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, **43**, 313-343.
 - Slot M, Kitajima K (2015) General patterns of acclimation of leaf respiration to elevated temperatures across biomes and plant types. Oecologia, 177, 885-900.
- 697 Smith NG, Dukes JS (2017a) LCE: leaf carbon exchange data set for tropical, 698 temperate, and boreal species of North and Central America. Ecology, **98**, 699 2978-2978.
- 700 Smith NG, Dukes JS (2017b) Short-term acclimation to warmer temperatures 701 accelerates leaf carbon exchange processes across plant types. Global Change 702 Biology, **23**, 4840-4853.
- Smith NG, Dukes JS (2018) Drivers of leaf carbon exchange capacity across biomes at the continental scale. **99**, 1610-1620.
- Smith NG, Keenan TF, Prentice CI *et al.* (2019a) Global photosynthetic capacity is optimized to the environment. Ecology letters, **22**, 506-517.
- Smith NG, Keenan TF, Prentice IC *et al.* (2019b) Global photosynthetic capacity is optimized to the environment. Ecology letters.
- 709 Smith NG, Malyshev SL, Shevliakova E, Kattge J, Dukes JS (2016) Foliar 710 temperature acclimation reduces simulated carbon sensitivity to climate. 711 Nature Climate Change, **6**, 407-411.
- 712 Tcherkez G, Boex-Fontvieille, E, Mahe, a, Hodges, M (2012) Respiratory carbon fluxes in leaves. Current Opinion in Plant Biology, **15**, 308-314.
- 714 Tcherkez G, Gauthier P, Buckley TN *et al.* (2017) Leaf day respiration: low CO₂ flux 715 but high significance for metabolism and carbon balance. New Phytol., **216**, 716 986-1001.
- 717 Terrer C, Vicca S, Stocker BD *et al.* (2018) Ecosystem responses to elevated CO2 718 governed by plant–soil interactions and the cost of nitrogen acquisition. New 719 Phytologist, **217**, 507-522.
- Togashi FH, Prentice IC, Atkin OK, Macfarlane C, Prober SM, Bloomfield KJ, Evans BJ (2018) Thermal acclimation of leaf photosynthetic traits in an evergreen woodland, consistent with the co-ordination hypothesis. Biogeosciences, **15**, 3461–3474.
- Vanderwel MC, Slot M, Lichstein JW *et al.* (2015) Global convergence in leaf respiration from estimates of thermal acclimation across time and space. New Phytologist, **207**, 1026-1037.
- Wang H, Prentice I, Davis T (2014) Biophsyical constraints on gross primary production by the terrestrial biosphere. Biogeosciences, **11**, 5987-6001.
- 729 Wang H, Prentice IC, Davis TW, Keenan TF, Wright IJ, Peng C (2017a)
 730 Photosynthetic responses to altitude: an explanation based on optimality
 731 principles. New Phytologist, **213**, 976-982.

- Wang H, Prentice IC, Keenan TF *et al.* (2017b) Towards a universal model for carbon dioxide uptake by plants. Nature plants, **3**, 734-741.
- Wright IJ, Reich PB, Atkin OK, Lusk CH, Tjoelker MG, Mark W (2006) Irradiance, temperature and rainfall influence leaf dark respiration in woody plants: evidence from comparisons across 20 sites. New Phytol., **169**, 309-319.
- Wright IJ, Reich PB, Westoby M *et al.* (2004) The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. Nature, **428**, 821-827.
- 739 Zhu S-D, Chen Y-J, Ye Q *et al.* (2018) Leaf turgor loss point is correlated with drought tolerance and leaf carbon economics traits. Tree physiology, **38**, 658–663.

Table

Table 1: Summary of Ordinary Least-Squares regressions for natural log-transformed leaf R_d and V_{cmax} , and their ratio as a function of growth temperature. Both R_d and V_{cmax} have been converted to growth temperature ($R_{d,tg}$ and $V_{cmax,tg}$) and to 25°C ($R_{d,25}$ and $V_{cmax,25}$) from the measured leaf temperature, and normalized by site-mean leaf absorbed photosynthetic photon flux density. For comparison, the theoretical values of thermal sensitivities are shown together with the fitted coefficient and its confidence intervals. Non-significant coefficients are shown in grey.

Quantity	Theoretical	Fitted	Confidence intervals		Intercept	R^2	df
	value	coefficient			$(mean \pm se)$		
			2.5%	97.5%			
$R_{ m d,tg}$	0.037	0.033	0.029	0.038	-9.335±0.051	0.34	1245
$V_{ m cmax,tg}$	0.055	0.052	0.047	0.057	-6.255 ± 0.054	0.48	1009
$R_{\rm d,tg}/V_{\rm cmax,tg}$	-0.018	-0.017	-0.023	-0.011	-3.044 ± 0.061	0.03	1007
$R_{d,25}$	-0.044	-0.049	-0.054	-0.045	-7.261±0.052	0.25	1245
$V_{\mathrm{cmax,25}}$	-0.044	-0.042	-0.047	-0.036	-3.922 ± 0.054	0.25	1009
$R_{\rm d,25}/V_{\rm cmax,25}$	0	-0.007	-0.012	0.001	-3.302 ± 0.060	0.00	1007

Table 2: Summary statistics for Ordinary Least Squares regressions of leaf nitrogen content against leaf mass per area (LMA) and/or R_d or V_{cmax} . R_d and V_{cmax} are assessed at growth temperature ($R_{d,tg}$ and $V_{cmax,tg}$), or 25°C ($R_{d,25}$ and $V_{cmax,25}$). The fitted slopes are shown together with the intercept (mean \pm standard error), the adjusted coefficient of determination (R^2) and the degrees of freedom (df). All variables were natural-log transformed.

		Coefficient			Intercept	R^2	df
$V_{\rm cmax,tg}$	$V_{\rm cmax,25}$	$R_{ m d,tg}$	$R_{ m d,25}$	LMA			
0.083 ± 0.019		-			0.409 ± 0.059	0.02	935
0.058 ± 0.015				0.491 ± 0.021	-1.849 ± 0.107	0.39	934
	0.242 ± 0.022				-0.199 ± 0.081	0.12	935
	0.148 ± 0.018			0.458 ± 0.021	-2.055 ± 0.106	0.42	934
		0.177 ± 0.022			0.677 ± 0.015	0.05	1165
		0.107 ± 0.018		0.508 ± 0.020	-1.724 ± 0.097	0.38	1164
			0.301 ± 0.022		0.590 ± 0.013	0.14	1165
			0.174±0.019	0.471 ± 0.020	-1.606 ± 0.097	0.41	1164

Figure Legend

Figure 1: Schematic of the thermal sensitivities of leaf maximum carboxylation capacity ($V_{\rm cmax}$) and dark respiration ($R_{\rm d}$). Step 1: The "co-ordination hypothesis" predicts a positive response of $V_{\rm cmax}$ to growth temperature ($T_{\rm g}$). Due to increasing Rubisco oxygenation relative to carboxylation, a higher $V_{\rm cmax}$ is required to achieve the optimal photosynthetic rate at higher growth temperatures ($T_{\rm g}$). Step 2: When temperature increases, the value of $V_{\rm cmax}$ achieved through the instantaneous response of Rubisco is super-optimal. Consequently, the amount of Rubisco (indexed by $V_{\rm cmax}$ at the standard reference temperature of 25°C) must be "down-regulated" from $V_{\rm cmax,25}$ to $V_{\rm cmax,25}$. Step 3: We hypothesize that respiratory and photosynthetic capacities are linked such that leaf $R'_{\rm d,25}$ at growth temperature is a fixed fraction of $V'_{\rm cmax,25}$. Step 4: Just as for $V_{\rm cmax}$, leaf $R'_{\rm d,25}$ at the new growth temperature is lower than $R_{\rm d,25}$ at the original growth temperature, implying an acclimated/adapted thermal response that is less steep than the instantaneous response.

Figure 2: Natural log-transformed leaf dark respiration (R_d), maximum carboxylation capacity (V_{cmax}) and their ratio as a function of growth temperature (mGDD₀). Both R_d and V_{cmax} are standardized to growth temperature and to 25°C, and normalized by site-mean leaf absorbed photosynthetic photon flux density. Solid blue lines are the fitted lines from Ordinary Least Squares regressions. Solid black lines are theoretical predictions. Dashed lines represent instantaneous temperature responses.



