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COMMUNICATION

Defluorosilylation of Industrially Relevant Fluoroolefins Using Nucleophilic Silicon Reagents


Dedication (optional)

Abstract: A number of new magnesium and lithium silyl reagents were prepared and shown to be outstanding nucleophiles in reactions with industrially relevant fluoroolefins. These reactions result in a net transformation of either sp² or sp³ C–F bonds into C–Si bonds by two modes of nucleophilic attack (Si–V or Si=2'). The methods are mild, proceeding with high chemoselectivity and regioselectivity. Mechanistic pathways are described that lead to new substitution patterns from HFO–1234yf, HFO–1234ze and HFO–1336mzz, previously inaccessible by transition metal catalysed defluorosilylation routes.

Over recent years there has been a push towards the development and implementation of more environmentally-friendly chemicals in the refrigeration industry. The so called ‘4’ generation of refrigerant gases consist mainly of hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), as successors to widely employed hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs). HFCs possess much lower global warming potentials (GWP) than HFCs. With the production of HFOs set to increase, the development of efficient protocols to reuse or recycle waste streams becomes ever more important. One potential approach that has been identified is the use of waste refrigerants as a synthetic entry point to organic molecules and materials.[1,2] It is well documented that fluorine atoms act as isosteres for hydrogen atoms and can have a marked impact on the efficacy of pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals.[3,4] In this context, the upgrading of low-cost fluorocarbons to form reactive fluorinated building blocks is an important and timely challenge.

Copper catalysts have been reported for the defluoroboration and defluorosilylation of fluoroolefins, including industrially relevant refrigerants.[3,4] The defluorosilylation procedure is proposed to proceed through the 1,2-addition of an intermediate copper silyl e.g. [LCu–SiR₃] to the alkene followed by β-fluoride elimination. Despite the importance of this work, the reactions have low efficiency, they rely on the combination of copper(1) catalyst at modest loading (5 mol%), high temperatures (100 °C) and employ stoichiometric quantities of main group reagents (R₃Si–Bpin or Bpin; R = alkyl, pin = pinacolato).[3,4] In contrast, s-block silyl anions (M–SiR₃; M = Li, Na, K) have been invoked as intermediates in highly efficient methods for the defluorosilylation of sp² C–F bonds of fluoroarenes and terminal sp² C–F bonds of fluoroalkanes.[8–11] In related studies we, and others, have shown that main group nucleophiles are exceptional reagents for the C–F functionalisation of fluoroarenes, fluoroalkenes and fluoroalkanes.[12–17] Strong M–F interactions provide a large thermodynamic driving force for the reaction and can also play a role in determining the selectivity of which C–F bond reacts.[13]

Herein, we extend our studies to nucleophilic magnesium and lithium silyl reagents and demonstrate a procedure for the selective sp² and sp³ C–F bond functionalisation of industrially relevant fluoroolefins. The method is synthetically straightforward being free from additives or transition metals and in the case of the lithium-based nucleophiles proceeds with high yields, high selectivity and high atom-efficiency under mild conditions.[3–7]

A number of lithium and magnesium silyls were synthesized.[3,9] Magnesium silyl reagents (1 – 4) were prepared by a two-step procedure involving sequential deprotonation of the prodigand (β-diketiminate, iminoanilide or guanidine) with [Mg₂²Bu²] followed by a metathesis reaction with R₃Si–Bpin (Figure 1).[18] In an alternative approach, lithium silyls (5·THF, 5·TMEDA, 5·PMDETA) were synthesised by direct metalation of PhMe₃SiCl with Li metal (Figure 1). 5·THF was isolated as a dark-red oil and based on ¹H NMR spectroscopic analysis contains 1.5 THF molecules per silicon atom. The remaining members of the series could be isolated as crystalline solids and have been characterised by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy and single crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 2). In benzene-d₅ solution at 22 °C 2a and 3b demonstrate resonances at δSi=–26.9 and 0.3 ppm respectively in the ²⁹Si NMR spectrum. The different solvates of 5 show a similar range of resonances to 2a, δSi=–27.5 to –29.8 ppm.

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1.** Li and Mg silyl nucleophiles. TMEDA = tetramethylethylenediamine, PMDETA = pentamethyldiethylenetriamine.
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Compound 2b represents, to the best of our knowledge, only the second example of a three-coordinate magnesium silyl as characterised by single crystal X-ray diffraction.\textsuperscript{18-20} The Mg-Si interaction of 2.6022(9) Å is slightly longer than that reported for 1 of 2.5900(7) Å.\textsuperscript{18} Due to difficulty in isolation, a number of these species were isolated as solvates containing coordinating ethers. 2a-THF and 4a-OET\textsubscript{2} exhibit longer Mg-Si bonds (2.614(1) and 2.664(3) Å respectively) than 1, consistent with the higher coordination number at Mg. These values fall well within the range established for simple silicon analogues of Grignard reagents, e.g. [Mg(c\textsuperscript{2}-TMEDA)(Br)(SiMe\textsubscript{3})] for which Mg-Si = 2.651(6) Å.\textsuperscript{19,21} The Li-Si bond length in 5-TMEDA is towards the upper end of the range established for lithium silyls for which Li-Si distances vary between 2.52 – 2.76 Å.\textsuperscript{21-24} The structure of 5-PMDETA has been previously reported by Strohmann and co-workers.\textsuperscript{22}

A series of reactions between 1 – 5 and industrially relevant fluoroolefins were conducted. While 1 shows undesirably ligand-based reactivity due to the nucleophilic carbon of the diketiminate ligand, 2 – 5 are effective reagents for defluorosilylation of fluoroolefins.

The silyl lithium reagents proved highly reactive, effecting defluorosilylation at 22 °C (or below) within 15 minutes of mixing. A range of fluoroolefins react at 1 bar pressure with a degassed 0.2 M solution of 5-TMEDA in CsF\textsubscript{6} (Figure 3). The defluorosilylation products 6a-e were formed in high yields 64-88 % and high selectivity. For example, in the case of hexafluoropropene the product, Z-6a was formed with 92.8 Z:E. Choice of solvent is important and while most reactions with 5-TMEDA proceed readily at 22 °C in benzene-d\textsubscript{6}, HFO-1234yf requires modification of the conditions to –78 °C in THF to avoid the formation of doubly silylated products (vide infra). Preparative reactions with 5-TMEDA were performed on modest scales (~1 mmol) and the resulting organosilanes isolated by distillation in 47-69% yield. Care should be taken during these preparative scale reactions due to the exothermic nature of the reaction due to formation of LiF.

The coordination environment around lithium influences the reaction. 5-PMDETA and 5-TMEDA displayed diminished reactivity towards fluoroolefins relative to 5-TMEDA. In toluene-d\textsubscript{6} at –78 °C, 6a was generated from hexafluoropropene in 31% yield (86:14, Z:E) from 5-PMDETA, while 5-TMEDA failed to produce any of the desired product. When performing the reaction with 5-PMDETA at 22 °C, a slightly higher yield of 46 % (91:9, Z:E) was achieved.

The magnesium silyls reagents 2 – 4 also showed diminished reactivity toward fluoroolefins. 2a reacts with hexafluoropropene or trifluoropropene but only at high temperature (100 °C) over long reaction periods. 2b did not react with hexafluoropropene despite heating for several days at 100 °C. We have previously shown that accessibility to the reactive metal–metal bond of Mg–Mg and...
Mg–Zn nucleophiles is the most important factor in determining reactivity with fluorocarbons.\[13\] Consistent with this finding, the enlarged pocket around the Mg–Si bond in 3a–b leads to improved reactivity relative to 2a–b. Nucleophilic attack of 3a on fluoroolefins at 1 bar was observed between 22 and 60 °C with NMR yields recorded between 60–68 %. No reaction was observed between 3a and 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoropropene (HFO-1234yf). The low-coordinate geometry and associated vacant coordination site at Mg proved essential for reactivity as 3a•THF did not react with hexafluoropropene even at 100 °C while 4a•OE\(_2\) gave products in only low yields.

The lithium and magnesium nucleophiles show similar reactivity patterns to one another. Product distributions are consistent with those in the literature approaches to the silylation and borylation of sp\(^3\) C–F bonds and involve fluoride abstraction by highly electronegative R=Si\(^+\) species.\[25\]-\[27\]

The new methodology offers important advantages over literature approaches to the silylation and borylation of fluoroalkenes. For the silyl lithium reagents 5, all reactions proceed rapidly under ambient conditions and can operate as low as ~78 °C (cf. 100 °C for Cu-catalysed methods).\[3\] The reaction proceeds with very high atom economy (LiF and THF solvent as byproducts), in a single step from simple starting materials, while avoiding transition metal or additives.. Furthermore, high selectivity of >9:1 (\(Z/E\)) can be achieved for the silylation of hexafluoropropene on a preparative scale (cf. 5:1 from Cu-catalysed methods).\[8\] Both Li and Mg nucleophiles demonstrate \(S_{2}\)\(^2\) reactivity in reactions with HFO-1234yf and HFO-1336-mzz giving access to new fluorinated organosilane building blocks 6d and 6e yet to be observed in transition metal catalysed protocols.

Re-exposing 6e to the reaction conditions results in an additional defluorosilylation step. Hence, an NMR titration of 6e with 5•THF cleanly produces the double silylation product 7e. This reaction is remarkably selective and provides a fluorinated building block with potential dual points of reactivity from HFO-1234yf (Figure 4).

![Figure 4. Reaction of 6e with silyl lithium 5•THF](image)

To gain a deeper mechanistic understanding of the reactivity, a series of calculations were undertaken on the reactions of fluoroolefins with 2a and 3a. While these magnesium silyl reagents showed diminished reactivity toward fluoroolefins relative to their lithium counterparts, the defined coordination sphere at magnesium makes them ideal candidates to probe the mechanism of C–F bond activation. These calculations capture both modes of reactivity described herein along with the ligand effects.\[28\] The B3PW91 functional and a hybrid basis set was used (6-31G\(^*/\)SDD\(^{ALL}\)), incorporating single point energy calculations to account for dispersion (D03) and solvation (PCM, benzene). These computational methods have been previously benchmarked against experimentally determined activation parameters.\[13\]

The calculations support the proposed \(S_{2}V\) and \(S_{2}V\)' pathways and account for the experimentally observed products (Figure 5). For example, reaction of 3a with hexafluoropropene is calculated to proceed by a concerted \(S_{2}V\) pathway from encounter complex Int-1-Z via a low energy four-membered transition state, TS-1-Z (\(\Delta G^\ddagger = +13.6 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}\)) to yield Z-6a in an exergonic process (\(\Delta G^\ddagger = -58.9 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}\)). The reaction product was modelled as a weak encounter complex with association occurring through coordination of the \(s\)-system of the organosilane to the metal atom of the magnesium fluoride moiety (see supporting information).

The lowest energy transition state, TS-1-Z, corresponds to the major stereoisomer Z-6a observed in experiments. In TS-1-Z, C–Si bond formation is accompanied by C–F bond breaking. Both the Mg–Si and C–F distances lengthen significantly from the starting materials stretching from 2.616 to 2.780 Å and 1.323 to 1.439 Å respectively. A similar \(S_{2}V\) pathway via an alternative transition state TS-1-E (\(\Delta G^\ddagger = +15.5 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}\)) leads to the minor isomer E-6a. An even higher \(S_{2}V\) pathway was located for the activation of the internal C–F bond of HFP (\(\Delta G^\ddagger = +19.0 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}\)), the product of which was not observed experimentally. Analogous transition states for the more sterically encumbered nucleophile 2a are expectedly higher in energy than those derived from 3a (see supporting information).

While the \(S_{2}V\) pathway was calculated to be the most favourable mechanism for hexafluoropropene or \(E\)-1,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (HFO-1234ze), upon modification of the substrate to 1,3,3-trifluoropropene, 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluorobut-2-ene (HFO-1336-mzz) or 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoropropene (HFO-1234yf) the \(S_{2}V\)' pathway becomes dominant. For example, addition of 3a to 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoropropene occurs via the 6-membered transition state TS-2 (\(\Delta G^\ddagger = 22.5 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}\)). The fluoroolefins studied herein all behave like Michael-acceptors and are susceptible to nucleophilic attack at terminal position. When this position bears a fluoride leaving group \(S_{2}V\) occurs, when it does not the \(S_{2}V\)' mechanism can take over. Due to the substrate polarisation, nucleophilic attack at the internal carbon is disfavoured.

The concerted \(S_{2}V\)' pathway bears some resemblance to the stepwise addition-elimination pathway known to operate for copper(I) silyl nucleophiles. Under catalytic conditions copper(I) silyl complexes are proposed to achieve the silylation of fluoroalkenes by the silyl-cupration of the C=C \(\alpha\)-bond to form an organocopper(I) intermediated followed \(\beta\)-fluoride elimination.\[9\]

The two pathways appear to be related aspects of a continuum differing only by the generation of a reaction intermediate as the result of formation and breaking of a Mg–C bond.\[29\]
In summary, we report a mild transition metal free method to generate fluorinated organosilanes from industrially relevant HFOs. Nucleophilic attack of s-block silyls on fluoroolefins was shown to occur by either SnV or Sn2 type mechanisms leading to a diverse range of products. The route holds promise as a means to upgrade and recycle 4th generation refrigerants and we are currently studying the applications of the new building blocks in synthesis.
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Figure 5. Calculated potential energy surfaces for the addition of 3a to (a) hexafluoropropene and (b) HFO-1234yf. B3PW91 functional and a hybrid basis set was utilised (6-31G**/SDDALL), incorporating single point energy calculations to account for dispersion (GD3) and solvation (PCM, benzene). Product energies are those of a weak encounter complex between 6 and the magnesium fluoride side product.
Magnesium and lithium silyl reagents are outstanding nucleophiles for upgrading industrially relevant fluoroolefins to fluorinated organosilanes.
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