Photovoltaic characterisation of GaAsBi/GaAs multiple quantum well devices
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Abstract
A series of strained GaAsBi/GaAs multiple quantum well diodes are characterised to assess the potential of GaAsBi for photovoltaic applications. The devices are compared with strained and strain-balanced InGaAs based devices.
The dark currents of the GaAsBi based devices are around 20 times higher than those of the InGaAs based devices. The GaAsBi devices that have undergone significant strain relaxation have dark currents that are a further 10–20 times higher. Quantum efficiency measurements show the GaAsBi devices have a lower energy absorption edge and stronger absorption than the strained InGaAs devices. These measurements also indicate incomplete carrier extraction from the GaAsBi based devices at short circuit, despite the devices having a relatively low background doping. This is attributed to hole trapping within the quantum wells, due to the large valence band offset of GaAsBi.
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1. Introduction
The current world record solar cell efficiency is held by a multi-junction device [1]. Multi-junction devices absorb different portions of the solar spectrum in different sub-cells, minimising the below-band gap and thermalisation losses in the device [2]. Maximising the efficiency of a multi-junction solar cell requires the band gaps of the sub-cells to be well optimised, balancing the current produced by each sub-cell. Finding lattice matched materials at the appropriate band gaps has proven very difficult, necessitating techniques such as metamorphic growth and wafer bonding [3]. Multiple quantum well (MQW) systems have also been developed to overcome this issue and have yielded very high efficiencies in commercially available devices [4]. InGaAs based MQWs have been used in GaAs sub-cells to extend their absorption edge; however, strain has been a problem with this approach and even with strain balancing, the critical thickness of each quantum well (QW) has historically limited the absorption of these devices to ~ 1.3 eV [4]. More recently, interlayered QW designs have been produced that absorb at longer wavelengths [5-8]; these designs
incorporate layers of intermediate lattice constant and band gap between the QWs and barriers. These layers have two effects: they reduce the impact of the abrupt lattice constant change on the crystal quality [7, 9]; they also reduce the quantum confinement energy of the QWs and aid thermionic carrier escape [5, 6]. Theoretically, an infinite number of QWs can be stacked without lattice relaxation, provided that the average lattice constant of the QWs and barriers matches the substrate lattice constant. However, maximising the long wavelength absorption of the MQW stack necessarily means maximising the In content of the QWs. In order to maintain strain balance and a reasonable total MQW thickness, this also requires a large P content of the barriers. The resulting large lattice mismatch interface between each QW and barrier acts as a potential seeding point for dislocations and many-period MQWs often suffer from significant lattice relaxation. The incorporation of GaAs interlayers reduces the mismatch at each interface and allows thicker MQW stacks to be grown without significant relaxation [7, 9]. The interlayers also impact on the carrier confinement in the QW. Adding a GaAs interlayer between an InGaAs QW and a GaAsP barrier staggers the change in potential between the QW and barrier, reducing the quantum confinement. This also combines with the potential gradient due to the built-in electric field to reduce the energy required to thermionically escape from the QW [5, 6]. This effect may prove important, as recent work on GaInAsN — which produces large conduction band offsets on GaAs — has demonstrated electron trapping in MQW layers [10]. This has enabled InGaAs/GaAsP MQW absorption to extend to 1.13 eV [8].

GaAsBi is a relatively recent material system that may be an alternative to InGaAs. The incorporation of Bi reduces the band gap of GaAs by ~ 75 meV/% Bi [11] (~ 620 meV/% strain on GaAs); which is significantly larger than the ~ 15 meV/% In [12] (240 meV/% strain on GaAs) reduction with the incorporation of In. The band gap reductions per unit strain for several III V materials on GaAs are shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Band gap vs strain for several III-V ternary alloys on GaAs over a range of compositions. Note that the strains are compressive for GaAsBi, InGaAs and GaAsSb and tensile for GaAsN. The band gaps are calculated for free standing material and the strain is calculated for the same material compositions, grown pseudomorphically on GaAs. While the curves are not physically meaningful — they do not account for the effect of strain on the band gaps — they are indicative of the band engineering potential of each alloy. Data taken from [11-14].](image)

As GaAsBi and InGaAs have approximately the same critical thickness [15], a greater range of band gaps is afforded by GaAsBi than by InGaAs while maintaining pseudomorphic
material. This enhanced band engineering capability has driven a dramatic development in GaAsBi growth [16-18], with several important technological applications for the material system identified, including solar cells [19, 20], lasers [21, 22], spintronics [23] and detectors [24]. By applying this material system to photovoltaics, it is envisioned that the enhanced flexibility in band engineering will accelerate the development of multi-junction photovoltaics in the current bid to exceed 50% efficiency [25].

GaAsBi MQW systems have been studied for a number of years [26-28]. It has been shown that they can be subject to the same homogeneity issues as GaAsBi bulk structures [29-31]. These homogeneity issues cause the first QW in a series to be either more Bi rich or more Bi poor than the other QWs, similar to the bulk GaAsBi system [32]; this is probably caused by the chemisorbed Bi layer mediated growth mechanism of GaAsBi [33]. It has been shown, however, that careful control over the growth conditions can mitigate this effect [29, 31]. The growth of GaAsBi has now progressed to the point where a GaAsBi MQW system can provide sufficient gain to realise an electrically pumped laser with emission beyond 1 µm [34]. While GaAsBi MQWs have demonstrated lasing capabilities, the absorption and photovoltaic properties of these systems have received very little attention.

In this work, a series of strained GaAsBi/GaAs MQW devices (collectively referred to as “the GaAsBi devices” henceforth) are characterised to assess their potential as solar cells. It is important that the characteristics of the strained GaAsBi system are understood before introducing strain balancing. The results are put into context by comparison with two InGaAs based MQW devices: the strained 10 period In$_{0.15}$Ga$_{0.85}$As/GaAs device reported by Barnes et al. [35] (henceforth referred to as “R1”); the strain-balanced 35 period interlayered InGaAs/GaAsP device reported by Toprasertpong et al. [8] (henceforth referred to as “R2”).

2. Material and methods

A systematic series of GaAsBi/GaAs MQW p-i-n devices was grown by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE). The devices were grown on (100) GaAs n-type substrates and are designed as follows: 200 nm n-type GaAs buffer; 200 nm n-type Al$_{0.3}$Ga$_{0.7}$As cladding; 620 nm undoped GaAsBi/GaAs MQW; 600 nm p-type Al$_{0.3}$Ga$_{0.7}$As cladding; 10 nm p+ GaAs cap. The i-regions contained different numbers of evenly spaced, nominally 8 nm thick, GaAsBi QWs with GaAs barriers of the requisite thickness to maintain the total i region thickness. The Bi content of the wells is difficult to estimate; transmission electron micrographs show that they are thinner than the nominal 8 nm and do not have abrupt interfaces [33]. As such it is very difficult to produce an accurate, meaningful X ray diffraction fitting model of the system and calculations of the quantum confinement cannot assume “square” QWs. If one assumes “square” QWs in these devices then Bi contents of around 4.5% are estimated throughout the series [15]. In reality, the non-uniform nature of the QWs suggests that the peak Bi content is closer to 5%. It is possible that the graded Bi contents in these layers may alleviate the impact of the abrupt QW/barrier lattice constant change. This may mean that careful optimisation of the growth protocol could potentially remove the need for interlayers in GaAsBi based MQW solar cells. The details of the general growth methodology [36] and the specific protocol used to grow these devices [15] have been discussed elsewhere. The device structure and details of the nominal i region designs are shown in Figure 2a. For clarity, the designs of the R1 and R2 are also shown in Figure 2.
Circular mesa diodes of several radii up to 200 µm were fabricated by using standard photolithography techniques and wet etching. The back n-type contact was made using In/Ge/Au and the top p-type contact was made using Au/Zn/Au. The top contacts were annular to allow optical access to the device.

External quantum efficiency (EQE) and reflectance spectra were measured using a combination of xenon and halogen lamps coupled to a Bentham Instruments monochromator. The monochromatic light was then delivered via a 600 µm core optical fibre to a custom-built microscope system, which illuminated a small (150 × 150 µm) area.
The EQEs were calibrated by measuring the incident spectrum using calibrated Si and Ge detectors. For the reflectance (R) measurement, the reflected light was measured using calibrated Si and Ge detectors and the device reflectance extracted from the raw data using corresponding measurements of a reference mirror. Internal quantum efficiency (IQE) is calculated as IQE = EQE / (1 – R).

Light current-voltage curves were measured under a close-matched AM1.5 spectrum (1000 Wm⁻²) using a TS-Space Systems solar simulator (Unisim). The solar simulator is dual source with a metal halide source covering the UV–Vis portion of the spectrum and a quartz halogen lamp covering the Vis–IR. The effective spectral range is 250-1800 nm. The spectrum was calibrated using a spectroradiometer. The incident light was filtered with a 900 nm long pass filter to simulate operation under an In₀.₀₁Ga₀.₉₉As subcell in a multi-junction solar cell.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. I-V

Figure 3. I-V plot comparing the GaAsBi devices with R1 and R2. The data from R1 starts at 10⁻⁴ mAcm⁻² as it was extracted from [37].

The dark I-V curves from the GaAsBi devices are shown in Figure 3, alongside the curves from R1 and R2. The I-V characterisation was performed on several diodes of different mesa area for each of the GaAsBi devices. The measured current densities were consistent throughout the measurements, indicating that bulk — rather than surface — conduction was taking place. All of the GaAsBi devices show good rectifying characteristics, with ideality factors between 1 and 2, although at high bias QW54 shows a non-exponential increase of current with bias, indicative of a large series resistance in this device. The dark current densities of R1 and R2 are around 20 times lower than those of QW05–QW40, which are, in turn, 10–20 times lower than those of QW54 and QW63. Previous work [15] suggests that QW54 and QW63 have undergone strain relaxation and we attribute the high dark currents
in these devices to the dislocations formed during this relaxation. The same work suggested that QW20 and QW40 contain enough strain to undergo dislocation propagation (without dislocation generation), whereas QW05 and QW10 do not. As the dark currents of QW05–QW40 are all very similar, we conclude that strain related structural defects do not dominate these I-V curves and, therefore, QW05–QW40 are representative of the elastically strained GaAsBi material system.

The purpose of this paper is to compare strained GaAsBi and InGaAs MQW devices; therefore, the relaxed GaAsBi devices — QW54 and QW63 — are neglected for the remainder of this paper.

3.2. Quantum efficiency

The internal quantum efficiency (IQE) data from all of the devices are shown in Figure 4. Figure 4a shows that QW05–QW40 have an absorption edge at ~ 1.12 eV, which is comparable to that of R2. The absorption edge achieved by R1 is at ~ 1.25 eV, which is a significantly higher energy than those of the GaAsBi devices. It is clear that R2 out-performs the GaAsBi devices, which is to be expected as R2 is a very well optimised structure, employing strain balancing and interlayered QWs. To establish whether GaAsBi has the potential to outperform InGaAs for MQW PV, it is instructive to compare the GaAsBi devices with an InGaAs device of a similar design. Figure 4a also compares the GaAsBi devices with the strained R1. QW40 shows an extended absorption edge compared to that of R1, despite both devices being grown very close to their critical thicknesses.

Figure 4b shows the reverse bias IQEs of the GaAsBi devices and R2. If the poor IQEs of the GaAsBi devices are caused by incomplete depletion of their i-regions, then the application of a reverse bias — which will act to enhance the in-built electric field — will increase their depletion widths and, hence, IQEs. In the case of GaAsBi, a poor depletion of the i-region may be caused by unintentional doping due to Bi incorporation. Previous work has shown that devices of comparable thickness and average Bi content require a modest reverse bias to be fully depleted [38]. Also shown is the 0 V bias spectrum from R1 for reference. The purpose of Figure 4b is to compare the IQE spectra in the case of complete carrier extraction; for R1, the peak IQE actually drops slightly in reverse bias (not shown), which is consistent with measurements of other high quality MQW systems [39]. R2 shows very little improvement in its IQE with reverse bias, indicating efficient extraction of the photo-excited carriers in this device. However, reverse bias applied to the GaAsBi devices results in improved IQEs. Evidently there is incomplete carrier extraction from the GaAsBi devices in the short circuit condition.
Figure 4. IQE data a) at 0 V bias b) at reverse bias (except R1). The bias used for each device was determined by finding the bias at which the IQE appeared to saturate. The R1 spectrum was collected at 0 V bias; the carrier extraction is assumed to be near 100% in this device, so it is instructive to compare the reverse bias IQEs of the other devices to the 0 V IQE from R1. The reverse biases used were: QW05: 2 V, QW10: 3 V, QW20: 6 V, QW40: 5 V.

3.3. Illuminated IV

Figure 5. Illuminated I-V of the GaAsBi devices and R2 under an AM1.5 spectrum using a 900 nm filter to simulate operation under an In_{0.01}Ga_{0.99}As subcell (inset shows the depletion width as a fraction of the i-region thickness for each GaAsBi device at short circuit as determined by capacitance-voltage measurements performed on the un-illuminated devices).

The normalised light I V curves from the GaAsBi diodes and R2 are shown in Figure 5. The curves have been normalised by dividing each diode’s current density by its short circuit current density, allowing the shapes of the curves to be compared more easily. This is also necessary due to the different experimental conditions used for R2 and the difference in design between R2 and the GaAsBi devices. R2 was measured under an 800 nm long pass filter, rather than the 900 nm filter used for the GaAsBi devices. Also, R2 was designed with
a 100 nm GaAs emitter, which is not present in the GaAsBi device designs. The standard solar cell characteristics of the GaAsBi devices and R2 are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Standard solar cell characteristics for the GaAsBi devices and R2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Long pass filter (nm)</th>
<th>$I_{SC}$ (mAcm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$V_{OC}$ (V)</th>
<th>FF (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QW05</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QW10</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QW20</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QW40</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>0.746</td>
<td>68.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The GaAsBi devices exhibit open circuit voltages ($V_{OCs}$) around 0.45 V, which is consistent with the dark I-V results. This is ∼ 300 meV smaller than the $V_{OC}$ of R2 despite a similar onset of absorption. The incorporation of Bi into GaAs has been reported to introduce an absorption tail below the band gap, due to disorder of the material [24, 40, 41]. Based on previous work [42, 43], the disorder induced states extend < 100 meV into the band gap. It seems unlikely, therefore, that the reduction in the $V_{OCs}$ of the GaAsBi devices are entirely due to Bi induced disorder. It is probable that the reduced $V_{OCs}$ are also due, in part, to material defects caused by the low growth temperatures necessary for the formation of GaAsBi.

The illuminated I-V curves in Figure 5 indicate a reducing fill factor (FF) with increasing QW number throughout the series. The current densities of the GaAsBi devices are sublinear in reverse bias, suggesting that the FF is limited by increasingly poor carrier extraction as the QW number rises, rather than by shunting; QW05 is an exception to this trend, as it demonstrates a linearly increasing current density in reverse bias. One potential cause of the poorer carrier extraction with increasing QW number is a reduction of the depletion width due to the background doping of the GaAsBi QWs. However, the depletion widths of the devices in the dark as measured by C-V — shown in the insert of Figure 5 — indicate that all of the devices are > 90 % depleted at 0 V bias. Nonetheless, applying a reverse bias to the devices dramatically improves the IQEs of QW20 (∼ 40 % improvement) and QW40 (∼ 70 % improvement), as can be seen by comparing Figure 4a and Figure 4b. It seems likely that carrier trapping in the GaAsBi QWs is the cause of the poor carrier extraction, as has previously been shown for GaInAsN devices [10]. However, in contrast to incorporating N, the majority of the band gap reduction of GaAs due to the incorporation of Bi has been shown to be due to a raising of the valence band energy [44, 45]. This suggests that holes, rather than electrons, are being trapped in the QWs of the GaAsBi devices. This trapping appears to affect carriers created within the QWs and in the GaAs barrier regions, as evidenced by Figure 6, as the increase of IQE with reverse bias applies for photons of energy both above and below the GaAs band gap. A similar issue was investigated in InGaAs MQW systems [46], although in that system it did not dominate the device characteristics. Reducing the valence band offset by alloying with In or N could potentially mitigate this issue in GaAsBi based MQWs.
As new techniques are developed to improve the electronic properties of GaAsBi devices [47, 48], the performance of GaAsBi MQW PV will become increasingly competitive with InGaAs MQW PV.

4. Conclusions

A systematic series of strained GaAsBi/GaAs MQW pin diode devices have been characterised and compared to two previously published InGaAs based MQW devices [8, 35]. The strained GaAsBi based devices all show rectifying diode behaviour, with ideality factors between 1 and 2, and dark currents roughly 20 times higher than those of the InGaAs/GaAsP devices. The GaAsBi/GaAs devices with more than 40 QWs show an increased dark current, indicative of strain relaxation. The reverse bias IQEs of the GaAsBi/GaAs devices show absorption onsets at lower energies than that of the strained InGaAs/GaAs device. However, the strain balanced InGaAs/GaAsP device outperforms the GaAsBi devices. Illuminated I-V measurements show that the fill factors of the GaAsBi based devices degrade with increasing QW number. This appears to be due to poor carrier extraction from the QWs, as C-V measurements in the dark show near complete depletion of the i-regions of the devices. The carrier trapping is probably due to the trapping of holes as a result of the large valence band offset in GaAsBi.

Further work is required to improve carrier extraction and reduce the dark currents in the GaAsBi based devices. As these improvements are made, GaAsBi could become a competitive material system for multi-junction PV.
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