We consider a $N$-particle system interacting through the Newtonian potential with a polynomial cut-off in the presence of noise in velocity. We rigorously prove the propagation of chaos for this interacting stochastic particle system. Taking the cut-off like $N^{-\delta}$ with $\delta < 1/d$ in the force, we provide a quantitative error estimate between the empirical measure associated to that $N$-particle system and the solutions of the $d$-dimensional Vlasov–Poisson–Fokker–Planck (VPFP) system. We also study the propagation of chaos for the Vlasov–Fokker–Planck equation with less singular interaction forces than the Newtonian one.
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1. Introduction

The starting point is the classical Newton dynamics for point particles interacting through the interaction force \( F \) in the presence of noise:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{X}_i^t &= V_i^t \, dt, \\
\dot{V}_i^t &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} F(X_i^t - X_j^t) \, dt + \sqrt{2} \sigma dB_i^t, \\
& \quad i = 1, \ldots, N, \ t > 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \((X_i, V_i) := (X_1^t, \ldots, X_N^t, V_1^t, \ldots, V_N^t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2dN}\) are positions and velocities of the particles in \( \mathbb{R}^d \), and \( \sigma > 0 \) is the noise strength. Here, \( \{(B_i^t)_{t \geq 0}\}_{i=1}^N \) are \( N \) independent \( d \)-dimensional Brownian motions constructed over some probability space not specified for simplicity. There are many examples of physical systems of the form (1.1). Most classical examples of interaction kernels are the Coulombian or gravitational forces:

\[
F(x) = \xi \frac{x}{|x|^d}, \quad \text{where } \xi = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for plasma problems}, \\ -1 & \text{for astrophysics problems}. \end{cases}
\]  

We are interested in the rigorous derivation of the Vlasov–Poisson–Fokker–Planck (VPFP) equation as \( N \to \infty \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t f_t + v \cdot \nabla_x f_t + (F \ast \rho_t) \cdot \nabla_v f_t &= \sigma \Delta_v f_t, \quad (x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \ t > 0, \\
\rho_t(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_t(x, v) \, dv,
\end{align*}
\]

with the Poisson force \( F(x) = \xi x / |x|^d, \xi = \pm 1 \), where \( f = f(x, v, t) \) is the probability density function at phase space \((x, v)\) at time \( t \). Note that the VPFP system is the formal mean-field limit equation associated to (1.1). This is a classical kinetic equation whose well-posedness and qualitative properties were studied in different settings: classical solutions \([37, 3]\), weak solutions \([4, 8, 9, 36, 24]\) and the references therein. We refer to \([17, 32]\) for general theory on these problems.

The rigorous proof of the mean-field limit in the one-dimensional case for (1.3) with the force \( F \) given in (1.2) was obtained in \([19, 23]\). In \([26]\), the propagation of chaos for the system (1.3) with bounded forces, i.e. \( F \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) is studied based on relative entropy arguments. The intimately related classical Vlasov–Poisson system has also received lots of attention in the last years. This system corresponds to (1.1) and (1.3) with \( \sigma = 0 \). The propagation of chaos has been shown in \([21, 21]\) for the less singular case, i.e. \( |F(x)| \leq |x|^{-\alpha} \) with \( \alpha < 1 \). More recently, the physically relevant case of the propagation of chaos for the Newtonian potential with polynomial cut-off has been obtained in \([27]\).

The propagation of chaos and its consequence, the rigorous proof of the mean-field limit, are questions of nowadays importance in many other problems; for
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instance, in kinetic models of collective behavior [2, 5, 6, 10–12] with or without noise in velocity, see also [13, 30] for more general types of equations. However, in most of these applications, the singularity of the kernels is much better behaved. The case of Hölder interaction with Hölder exponent greater than 2/3 was also recently treated in [25]. The main motivation of our present work is to obtain the propagation of chaos in the degenerate diffusion setting, as the noise acts only on velocity, in the classical case of Newtonian interaction with cut-off.

Finally, let us point out that most of the propagation of chaos results for nonlinear nonlocal conservation equations with non-Lipschitz interaction, concern first-order models. Without diffusion, the mean field limit for the aggregation equation with interaction less singular than the Newtonian is studied in [6]. In one dimension, the case of all power-law singularities was treated in [1] (also with noise) while a corresponding result in higher dimensions was recently obtained in [14, 35] for the repulsive case. With diffusion, the case of Hölder interaction is treated in [25] by quantitative means leading to explicit rates of convergence. For the 2D Navier–Stokes equation in vortex formulation, the propagation of chaos is obtained in [16] by compactness, thanks to result of [22]. Similar techniques have been applied to the case of the diffusion dominated 2D Keller–Segel equations in [18, 34] and geometrical constraint interactions with reflecting diffusions in [10].

Let us be more precise about the different approximation levels involved in the propagation of chaos. Consider the regularized particle system

\begin{align}
  dX_i^{i,N} & = V_i^{i,N} dt, \\
  dV_i^{i,N} & = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} F_{\delta}^{N}(X_i^{i,N} - X_j^{j,N}) dt + \sqrt{2\sigma} dB_i^{i,N},
\end{align}

for \( i = 1, \ldots, N, \ t > 0 \),

with the initial data \( (X_i^{i,N}(0), V_i^{i,N}(0)) = (X_0^{i,N}, V_0^{i,N}) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, N \), where the interaction force \( F_{\delta}^{N} \), \( \delta > 0 \) is given by

\[ F_{\delta}^{N}(x) := \xi \frac{x}{(|x| \vee N^{-\delta})^d} \quad \text{and} \quad F_{\delta}^{N}(0) = 0, \]

where \( a \vee b := \max\{a, b\} \). Note that this system of stochastic differential equations (SDEs) (1.4) has a unique strong solution by the standard theorem for SDEs. It is worth mentioning that the sign of force term is not important for the propagation of chaos provided that we analyze it on a finite time interval. Thus, we only focus on the case \( \xi = 1 \).

Our main purpose is to provide a quantitative error estimates between the solutions to the particle system (1.4) and the VPFP equation (1.3), which extends the work and method in [27] to the case with noise. For this, we need to introduce an intermediate system of independent copies of nonlinear SDEs with cut-off.
L ρ suitably assumptions on the initial data. Solving the system (1.5) with the given

\[ \begin{align*}
  \frac{dY_{i,t}^N}{dt} &= W_{i,t}^N, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N, \quad t > 0, \\
  \frac{dW_{i,t}^N}{dt} &= F_\delta^N(\nu_{i,t}^N)dt + \sqrt{2\sigma}dB_{i,t}^N, \quad \rho_{i,t}^N = \mathcal{L}(Y_{i,t}^N),
\end{align*} \tag{1.5}
\]

where \( \rho_{i,t}^N = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_{i,t}^N dv \), and \( f_{i,t}^N = \mathcal{L}(Y_{i,t}^N,W_{i,t}^N) \), for all \( i = 1, \ldots, N \), is the globalin-time weak solution to the regularized VPFP system

\[ \begin{align*}
  \partial_t f_{i,t}^N + v \cdot \nabla_x f_{i,t}^N + (F_\delta^N + \rho_{i,t}^N) \cdot \nabla_v f_{i,t}^N = \sigma \Delta_v f_{i,t}^N, \quad (x,v) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t > 0,
\end{align*} \tag{1.6}
\]

with the initial data \( f_{0,t}^N = \mathcal{L}(Y_{0,t}^N,W_{0,t}^N) \), \( i = 1, \ldots, N \). Due to the regularization, we can easily obtain the global existence of weak solutions to Eq. (1.6) under suitable assumptions on the initial data. Solving the system (1.5) with the given \( \rho_{i,t}^N \) to get the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the system (1.6) is by now standard.

Before stating our main result in this paper, we need to introduce some notions and notations. We define the empirical measure \( \mu_{t}^N \) associated to a solution to the particle system (1.4) and \( \nu_{t}^N \) the one associated to the nonlinear independent particle (1.5) as

\[ \mu_{t}^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{(X_{i,t}^N,Y_{i,t}^N)} \quad \text{and} \]
\[ \nu_{t}^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{(Y_{i,t}^N,W_{i,t}^N)}, \]

respectively. For a function \( f \) and \( p \in [1,\infty] \), \( \|f\|_p \) represents the usual \( L^p \)-norm for functions in \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \), and \( \|f\|_{p,q} := \|f\|_p + \|f\|_q \) for \( p, q \in [1,\infty] \). For \( T > 0 \), \( L^p(0,T,E) \) is the set of \( L^p \) functions from an interval \((0,T)\) to a Banach space \( E \). \( \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \) and \( \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \) stand for the sets of all probability measures and probability measures with finite moments of order \( p \in [1,\infty] \). We consider the Wasserstein distance of order \( p \), \( W_p \), \( p \in [1,\infty] \), on \( \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \), see [38] for classical definitions and properties of optimal transport distances. We also denote by \( C \) a generic positive constant independent of \( N \).

The main result of this work gives an explicit estimate on the decay of the distance between the empirical measure of the interacting particle system \( \mu_{t}^N \) and the solution of the VPFP equation \( f_t \) in optimal transport distances in the probability sense.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( T > 0, d > 1 \) and \( \delta < 1/d \). Let \( (X_{0,i}^N, Y_{0,i}^N)_{i=1,\ldots,N} \) be \( N \) independent random variables with law \( f_0 \). Let \( f_t \) and \( f_t^N \) be the solutions to the VPFP equation (1.3) and its regularized version (1.6), respectively, up to time \( T > 0 \).
Assume further that $f, f^N \in L^\infty(0, T; (L^1 \cap L^\infty)(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \cap C([0, T]; \mathbb{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^{2d}))$ with the same initial data $f_0 \in (L^1 \cap L^\infty \cap \mathbb{P}_q)(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ for some $q \geq 2$, and that their respective spatial densities $\rho_t$ and $\rho_t^N$ satisfy

$$\int_0^T \|\rho_t\|_\infty dt \leq C_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \int_0^T \|\rho_t^N\|_\infty dt \leq C_0,$$

with $C_0$ independent of $N$. Then, given any $p \in [1, 2q)$, $\gamma < (\frac{1}{2(d+q)} \wedge \delta)$, and $\varepsilon \in (0, q - \frac{p}{1-p})$, the estimate

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{P}(W_p(\mu_t^N, f_t) \geq CN^{-\gamma}) \leq CN^{\frac{d}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{\gamma + \gamma_0} \right)}$$

for $N$ large enough, holds for some constant $C > 0$ depending only on $d, T, p, q, \varepsilon, f_0$, and $C_0$. Here $a \wedge b := \min\{a, b\}$.

We next present the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions for Eq. (1.3), which gives all the required a priori hypotheses in Theorem 1.1 for the propagation of chaos, see also Lemma 3.2.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $p \geq 1$ and $(Y_0, W_0)$ be independent of $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with law $f_0$. Suppose that $f_0$ satisfies

$$f_0 \in (L^1 \cap L^\infty \cap \mathbb{P}_p)(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \quad \text{and} \quad f_0(x, v) \leq C \langle v \rangle^{-\gamma},$$

for some $\gamma > d$ and $C > 0$, where $\langle v \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |v|^2}$. Then there exists a unique nonlinear solution to the following SDEs:

$$
\begin{align*}
    dY_t &= W_t dt, \\
    dW_t &= (F \ast \rho_t)(Y_t) dt + \sqrt{2\sigma} dB_t, \quad \rho_t = \mathcal{L}(Y_t), \\
    \mathcal{L}(Y_0, W_0) &= f_0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_t dv$ and $f_t \in L^\infty(0, T; (L^1 \cap L^\infty)(\mathbb{R}^{2d})) \cap C([0, T]; \mathbb{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^{2d}))$ is the unique weak solution to the equation (1.3) satisfying $\rho_t \in L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ for some $T > 0$.

Let us briefly explain the strategy of the proof of this theorem.

- First, using the techniques introduced in [27], one can estimate the probability that the error between the empirical measure $\mu^N_t$ associated to the particle system (1.1) and the empirical measure $\nu^N_t$ associated to the nonlinear independent particle system with cut-off (1.4) exceeds the threshold $N^{-\gamma}$. In this way, it is shown that this probability decreases faster than any negative power of $N$ (see Lemma 3.2).
- Then using a concentration inequality of [15], one can obtain bounds on the probability that the error between $\nu^N_t$ empirical measure associated to i.i.d. random variables and their law $f^N_t$ solution at time $t$ to Eq. (1.6) exceeds the threshold.
The main results in [15] provide an optimal rate of convergence which is of order of some negative power of $N$. (See Proposition 2.1).

- Finally, we show that the error between the solution to Eq. (1.6) and the solution to Eq. (1.3) never exceeds the threshold $N^{-\gamma}$, for $N$ large enough. Contrary to [27, Proposition 9.1], this error has to be estimated in $W_p$ distance with $1 \leq p < \infty$ due to the presence of noise in velocity (See Proposition 3.1).

Our main contributions in comparison to the noiseless case treated in [27] are the following. On the one hand, we provide a convergence result of the solution to Eq. (1.6) to the solution to Eq. (1.3), in $W_p$ metric for $p \in [1, \infty)$, which is crucial since the support of $f^N_t$ and $f_t$ are not compactly supported in our present case. On the other hand, we provide a well-posedness result for Eq. (1.3). This requires to show that the spatial density $\rho_t$ of solution to this equation lies in $L^1(0,T;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$. For the case without diffusion, i.e. Vlasov–Poisson system, characteristic methods can be used to get a uniform bound on the spatial density, which leads to a uniqueness of solutions, under suitable assumptions on the initial density [28, 29, 31]. However, the presence of diffusion makes it more complicated. In [33], an uniform-in-time $L^\infty$-bound of the spatial density is obtained by means of the stochastic characteristic method under the assumptions on compactly supported initial density $f_0$ in velocity. We also provide a simple proof of the local-in-time $L^\infty$ propagation by employing Feynman–Kac’s formula assuming only that the initial data has a polynomial decay in velocity (see Lemma 4.1 together with Theorem 1.2). Note that obtaining the bound estimate of $\rho_t$ in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is equivalent to the one for $\|\rho^N_t\|_\infty$ in $N$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we deal with some preliminary materials introduced in [27]. Section 3 contains the new key estimate on the $W_p$ stability between the solutions the VPFP equation with and without cut-off. Section 4 shows the well-posedness of solution to the VPFP equation with the assumed regularity in Theorem 1.1, i.e. the assumed $L^\infty$ bound on the spatial density. Finally, Sec. 5 contains generalizations of this result for less singular kernels.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we provide estimates for the force fields in Eqs. (1.3) and (1.6) in $L^1(0,T;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and the $q$th moment estimate for the solutions of that. We also recall several useful estimates on the force fields whose proofs can be found in [27].

Under the assumptions on the spatial densities $\rho_t$ and $\rho^N_t$ in Theorem 1.1, we can easily find

$$\int_0^T \|F \ast \rho_t\|_\infty dt < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \int_0^T \|F^N \ast \rho^N_t\|_\infty dt < \infty,$$
There exists a constant $C$ such that for some $q \geq 2$

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (|x|^q + |v|^q) f \, dx \, dv
$$

$$
= q \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |x|^{q-2} x \cdot v \, f \, dx \, dv + q \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |v|^{q-2} v \cdot (F \ast \rho) \, f \, dx \, dv
$$

$$
+ \sigma q (q-2+d) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |v|^{q-2} f \, dx \, dv
$$

$$
\leq C + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |x|^q f \, dx \, dv + C (1 + \|\rho_t\|_{1,\infty}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |v|^q f \, dx \, dv.
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (|x|^q + |v|^q) f_t \, dx \, dv 
\leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (|x|^q + |v|^q) f_0 \, dx \, dv,
$$

and similarly, we also have

$$
\sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (|x|^q + |v|^q) F^n_t \, dx \, dv 
\leq C \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (|x|^q + |v|^q) f_0 \, dx \, dv,
$$

where $C > 0$ is independent of $N$.

Let us now recall the interaction force with a cut-off:

$$
F^N_\delta(x) := \frac{x}{(|x| \lor N^{-\delta})^d},
$$

and define $l^N_\delta(x)$ by

$$
l^N_\delta(x) := \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{|x|^d} & \text{if } |x| \geq dN^{-\delta}, \\
N^{\delta d} & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
$$

We drop the subscript $\delta$ in $F^N_\delta$ and $l^N_\delta$ for notational simplicity in the rest of paper, i.e. $F^N_\delta = F^N$ and $l^N_\delta = l^N$.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $d > 1$ be given.

1. There exists a constant $C$, which depends only on $d$, such that

$$
|F^N(x) - F^N(x + z)| \leq C 1^N(x) |z|,
$$

for any $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|z| \leq (d-1)N^{-\delta}$.

2. There exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of $N$ such that

$$
\|l^N \ast \rho\|_{\infty} \leq C \ln N \|\rho\|_{1,\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla F^N \ast \rho\|_{\infty} \leq C \ln N \|\rho\|_{1,\infty}.
$$
Proof. See [27, Lemmas 6.1 and 6.3].

Then we recast here some laws of large number like estimates. For $\kappa, \delta > 0$, we set $h : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$|h(x)| \leq c_0 (N^{\kappa \delta} \wedge |x|^{-\kappa}).$$

(2.1)

Lemma 2.2. Let $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_N)$ be i.i.d. random variables of law $\rho \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and define the associated empirical measure $\rho_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{Y_i}$. Suppose that $0 < \varepsilon := 2\delta + (1 - d\delta)1_{1 > d\delta} < 2$. Then, for all integer $m > \frac{1}{2 - \varepsilon}$, there exist $\gamma_m, C_m > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[ \sup_{1 \leq i \leq N} |h \ast \rho_N(Y_i) - h \ast \rho(Y_i)|^{2m} \right] \leq C_m N^{-\gamma_m},$$

where $\gamma_m = (2 - \varepsilon)m - 1$.

Proof. See the proof of [27, Proposition 7.2].

We conclude this section by recalling some concentration inequalities in Proposition 2.1 whose proof can be found in [15, Theorem 2].

Proposition 2.1. Let $N \geq 1$ and $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_N)$ be N.i.i.d. random variables of law $\rho \in \mathcal{P}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $q > 0$. Let $\rho_N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{Y_i}$. Then, for any $p \in (0, q/2), \varepsilon \in (0, q)$, there exist constants $C, c > 0$ depending only on $d, p, q, \varepsilon$ and the $q$-order moment bound of $\rho$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(W_p^q(\rho_N, \rho) \geq x) \leq CNx^{-\frac{2q}{p} + a(N, x)1_{x \leq 1}}, \text{ for any } x > 0,$$

where $a(N, x)$ is defined by

$$-\log a(N, x) = \begin{cases} 
  cNx^2 & \text{if } p > d, \\
  cN \left( \frac{x}{\ln \left( \frac{2+1}{x} \right)} \right)^2 & \text{if } p = d, \\
  cNx^{2d/p} & \text{if } 1 \leq p < d.
\end{cases}$$

3. Propagation of Chaos: Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we provide the details of the proof of Theorem 1.1. We begin with the following Lipschitz estimates on the force fields $F$ and $F^N$.

Lemma 3.1. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we get

$$|F(x) - F(y)| \leq C_0 |x - y| \left( \frac{1}{|x|^2} + \frac{1}{|y|^2} \right).$$
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and

\[ |F^N(x) - F^N(y)| \leq C_0 |x - y| \left( \frac{1}{(|x| \lor N^{-\delta})^d} + \frac{1}{(|y| \lor N^{-\delta})^d} \right), \]

where \( C_0 \) is a positive constant depending only on \( d \).

**Proof.** The first assertion is straightforward. For the proof of the second one, we consider three cases as follows:

(i) \( |x|, |y| \geq N^{-\delta} \): In this case, we get \( F^N(x) = F(x) \) and thus it is clear to obtain

\[ |F^N(x) - F^N(y)| = |F(x) - F(y)| \leq (|\nabla F(x)| \lor |\nabla F(y)|)|x - y|. \]

Note that

\[ \nabla F(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^d} I_d - d \frac{x \otimes x}{|x|^{d+2}}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad |\nabla F(x)| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^d} = \frac{C}{(|x| \lor N^{-\delta})^d}, \]

where \( C > 0 \) depends only on \( d \). This yields

\[ |F^N(x) - F^N(y)| \leq \frac{C|x - y|}{(|x| \lor |y|)^d} \leq C|x - y| \left( \frac{1}{(|x| \lor N^{-\delta})^d} + \frac{1}{(|y| \lor N^{-\delta})^d} \right). \]

(ii) \( |x|, |y| \leq N^{-\delta} \): By definition of \( F^N \), we find

\[ |F^N(x) - F^N(y)| = \frac{|x - y|}{N^{-\delta}d} \leq |x - y| \left( \frac{1}{(|x| \lor N^{-\delta})^d} + \frac{1}{(|y| \lor N^{-\delta})^d} \right). \]

(iii) \( |x| < N^{-\delta} \leq |y| \) or \( |y| < N^{-\delta} \leq |x| \): For \( |x| < N^{-\delta} \leq |y| \), let us define \( \bar{x} \) as the intersection between the line segment \([x, y]\) and the ball \( B(0, N^{-\delta}) \). Then we get

\[ |x| < |\bar{x}| = N^{-\delta} \leq |y| \quad \text{and} \quad |x - \bar{x}| + |\bar{x} - y| = |x - y|. \]

By employing the similar arguments as in the previous cases (i) and (ii), we have

\[ |F^N(x) - F^N(\bar{x})| \leq \frac{C|x - \bar{x}|}{N^{-\delta}d} \quad \text{and} \]

\[ |F^N(\bar{x}) - F^N(y)| \leq \frac{C|\bar{x} - y|}{(|\bar{x}| \lor |y|)^d} = \frac{C|\bar{x} - y|}{|\bar{x}|^d} = \frac{C|\bar{x} - y|}{N^{-\delta}d}. \]

This implies that for \( |x| < N^{-\delta} \leq |y| \)

\[ |F^N(x) - F^N(y)| \leq |F^N(x) - F^N(\bar{x})| + |F^N(\bar{x}) - F^N(y)| \]

\[ \leq \frac{C|x - y|}{N^{-\delta}d} = \frac{C|x - y|}{(|x| \lor N^{-\delta})^d}. \]
Proposition 3.1. Let $f_1$ and $f_2$ respectively, such that their first marginal are $\rho_1$ and $\rho_2$, respectively. Let $(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$ be an independent copy of $(X, Y)$. Suppose $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $N \geq e$. Then we have

$$E[|F(X - \bar{X}) - F(Y - \bar{Y})|^p] \leq C(\|\rho_1\|_\infty + \|\rho_2\|_\infty)E[G_p]^p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \ln E[G_p] \right)$$

and

$$E[|F^N(X - \bar{X}) - F^N(Y - \bar{Y})|^p] \leq C \sqrt{\ln N} E[G_p] \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \ln E[G_p] \right)$$

for $p \geq 1$, where $\ln_+ (x) := \ln(x) \wedge 0$ and the constant $C > 0$ depends only on $d$.

Proof. Let us denote by $\alpha_d$ the surface area of unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Estimate for the non-cut-off force field. First, we note that

$$|F(X - \bar{X}) - F(Y - \bar{Y})| \leq \frac{C(|X - Y| + |\bar{X} - \bar{Y}|)}{|x - \bar{x}|^d + |y - \bar{y}|^d} \left(\frac{1}{|x - \bar{x}|^d} + \frac{1}{|y - \bar{y}|^d}\right).$$

This yields that for any $r > 0$

$$E[|F(X - \bar{X}) - F(Y - \bar{Y})|^p] \leq E \left[\left(\frac{1}{|x - \bar{x}|^d} + \frac{1}{|y - \bar{y}|^d}\right) 1_{|X - \bar{X}|, |Y - \bar{Y}| \leq r} G_p^{-1}\right]$$

$$+ C E \left[|X - Y| + |\bar{X} - \bar{Y}|\left(\frac{1}{|X - \bar{X}|^d} + \frac{1}{|Y - \bar{Y}|^d}\right) 1_{|X - \bar{X}|, |Y - \bar{Y}| > r} G_p^{-1}\right]$$

$$=: I_1 + I_2.$$
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- Estimate of $I_1$: Note that the event $\{ |X - \bar{X}| \wedge |Y - \bar{Y}| \leq r \}$ can be partitioned as

$$\{ |X - \bar{X}| \wedge |Y - \bar{Y}| \leq r \} = \{ |X - \bar{X}| \vee |Y - \bar{Y}| \leq r \} \cup \{ |X - \bar{X}| > |Y - \bar{Y}| \} \cup \{ |X - \bar{X}| \leq r < |Y - \bar{Y}| \}.$$

Taking into account this, we split $I_1$ into three terms:

$$I_1 = E \left[ \left( \frac{1}{|X - \bar{X}|^{d-1} + |Y - \bar{Y}|^{d-1}} \right) 1_{|X - \bar{X}| \vee |Y - \bar{Y}| \leq r} G^{p-1} \right]$$

$$+ E \left[ \left( \frac{1}{|X - \bar{X}|^{d-1} + |Y - \bar{Y}|^{d-1}} \right) 1_{|X - \bar{X}| > |Y - \bar{Y}|} G^{p-1} \right]$$

$$+ E \left[ \left( \frac{1}{|X - \bar{X}|^{d-1} + |Y - \bar{Y}|^{d-1}} \right) 1_{|X - \bar{X}| \leq r < |Y - \bar{Y}|} G^{p-1} \right]$$

$$=: I_1^1 + I_1^2 + I_1^3.$$

For the estimate of $I_1^1$, by separating in the notations the expectation with respect to the independent copies $(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$, from the expectation with respect to the random variables $(X, V), (Y, W)$, we get

$$I_1^1 = E_{(X, V), (Y, W)} \left[ E_{\bar{X}, \bar{Y}} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{|X - \bar{X}|^{d-1} + |Y - \bar{Y}|^{d-1}} \right) 1_{|X - \bar{X}| \vee |Y - \bar{Y}| \leq r} G^{p-1} \right] \right]$$

$$\leq E_{(X, V), (Y, W)} \left[ \left( \int_{|X - x| \leq r} \frac{1}{|X - x|^{d-1}} \rho_1(dx) \right) + \left( \int_{|Y - y| \leq r} \frac{1}{|Y - y|^{d-1}} \rho_2(dy) \right) G^{p-1} \right]$$

$$\leq \alpha_d(\|\rho_1\|_\infty + \|\rho_2\|_\infty) r E[G^{p-1}].$$

For $I_1^2$, we obtain

$$I_1^2 \leq E \left[ \frac{2}{|Y - \bar{Y}|^{d-1}} 1_{|Y - \bar{Y}| \leq r} G^{p-1} \right]$$

$$= 2E_{(X, V), (Y, W)} \left[ \left( \int_{|Y - y| \leq r} \frac{1}{|Y - y|^{d-1}} \rho_2(dy) \right) G^{p-1} \right]$$

$$\leq 2\alpha_d \|\rho_2\|_\infty r E[G^{p-1}].$$
Similarly, we estimate $I_1^3$ as $I_1^3 \leq 2\alpha_d \|\rho_1\|_\infty \mathbb{E}[G^{p-1}]$. Combining the above estimates, we have

$$I_1 \leq C(\|\rho_1\|_\infty + \|\rho_2\|_\infty)\mathbb{E}[G^{p-1}] \leq C(\|\rho_1\|_\infty + \|\rho_2\|_\infty)\mathbb{E}[G^p](p-1)/p,$$

where $C > 0$ only depends on $d$.

$\diamond$ Estimate of $I_2$: We decompose $I_2$ as

$$I_2 = C\mathbb{E}\left[|X - Y|\left(\frac{1}{|X - \bar{X}|^d} + \frac{1}{|Y - \bar{Y}|^d}\right)I_{|X - \bar{X}| > r}G^{p-1}\right] + C\mathbb{E}\left[|X - \bar{Y}|\left(\frac{1}{|X - \bar{X}|^d} + \frac{1}{|Y - \bar{Y}|^d}\right)I_{|X - \bar{X}| > r}G^{p-1}\right] =: I_2^1 + I_2^2.$$

First, we easily obtain

$$I_2^1 = C\mathbb{E}(X,V),(Y,W)\left[G^p\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}X,Y)\left(\frac{1}{|X - \bar{X}|^d} + \frac{1}{|Y - \bar{Y}|^d}\right)I_{|X - \bar{X}| > r}\right] \leq C\mathbb{E}(X,V),(Y,W)\left[G^p\left(\int \frac{1}{|X - x|^d}1_{|X - x| > r}\rho_1(dx) + \int \frac{1}{|Y - y|^d}1_{|Y - y| > r}\rho_2(dy)\right)\right].$$

We then consider two cases: $r > 1$ and $0 < r \leq 1$. For $r \leq 1$, we get

$$\int \frac{1}{|X - x|^d}1_{|X - x| > r}\rho_1(dx) = \int \frac{1}{|X - x|^d}1_{|X - x| > 1}\rho_1(dx) + \int \frac{1}{|X - x|^d}1_{|X - x| \in [1,r]}\rho_1(dx) \leq \|\rho_1\|_1 + \alpha_d\|\rho_1\|_\infty \int_r^1 u^{-1}du \leq 1 - \alpha_d\|\rho_1\|_\infty \ln^+ r.$$

For the case $r > 1$, it is clear to obtain

$$\int \frac{1}{|X - x|^d}1_{|X - x| > r}\rho_1(dx) \leq \|\rho_1\|_1 = 1.$$

This yields

$$I_2^1 \leq C(\|\rho_1\|_\infty + \|\rho_2\|_\infty)\mathbb{E}[G^p](1 - \ln^+ r),$$
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where \( C > 0 \) only depends on \( d \). For the term \( I_2^2 \), we use Hölder inequality to find

\[
I_2^2 = CE \left[ \frac{1}{|X - X|^d} \right] + \frac{1}{|Y - Y|^d} \right)^{1/p} \\
\times \left( \left| \frac{1}{|X - X|^d} + \frac{1}{|Y - Y|^d} \right|^{1/p} \right) \left| X - Y \right| |Y - Y| \geq \left( \left| \frac{1}{|X - X|^d} + \frac{1}{|Y - Y|^d} \right| \right)^{1/p} \left| X - Y \right| |Y - Y| \geq C \left[ \left| X - Y \right| |Y - Y| \right].
\]

Similarly as before, we take the expectations on \((X, Y)\) and \((\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\) for the first and second expectations in the above, respectively, to find

\[
I_2^2 \leq C(\|\rho_1\|_\infty + \|\rho_2\|_\infty) (1 - \ln^{-r}) \mathbb{E}[|X - Y|^p] \mathbb{E}[G^p]^{(p-1)/p}
\leq C(\|\rho_1\|_\infty + \|\rho_2\|_\infty) (1 - \ln^{-r}) \mathbb{E}[G^p],
\]
due to \(|X - Y| \leq G\), where \( C > 0 \) only depends on \( d \). Thus, by putting all those estimates together and using Hölder inequality, we have for any \( r > 0 \)

\[
\mathbb{E}[|F(X - \bar{X}) - F(Y - \bar{Y})|G^p-1]]
\leq C(\|\rho_1\|_\infty + \|\rho_2\|_\infty) (1 - \ln^{-r}) \mathbb{E}[G^p] + C(\|\rho_1\|_\infty + \|\rho_2\|_\infty)r \mathbb{E}[G^p]^{(p-1)/p}.
\]

Finally, we choose \( r = \mathbb{E}[G^p]^{1/p} \) to obtain the desired result.

**Estimate for the cut-off force field.** Note that since \( F^N \) is continuous, we have

\[
\mathbb{E}[|F^N(X - \bar{X}) - F^N(Y - \bar{Y})|G^p-1]]
\leq CE[|X - Y| + G^p-1]|\nabla F^N(X - \bar{X})| + |\nabla F^N(Y - \bar{Y})|G^p-1]]
\leq CE[|X - Y|(|\nabla F^N(X - \bar{X})| + |\nabla F^N(Y - \bar{Y})|)G^p-1]]
\leq C(\|\rho_1\|_\infty + \|\rho_2\|_\infty) \ln \mathbb{E}[|X - Y|G^p-1]
\leq C(\|\rho_1\|_\infty + \|\rho_2\|_\infty) \sqrt{\ln \mathbb{E}[G^p]}.\]
where we used Lemma 2.1 and \( \sqrt{\ln N} |X - Y| \leq G_N \). For the term \( J_2 \), we again use the similar argument as before to find

\[
J_2 \leq C E[|f(X, Y)| \leq \frac{C}{p} \frac{C}{p}]
\]

\[
\times E[|\nabla F^N(X - Y)| + |\nabla F^N(Y - Y)|] G_N^{(p-1)/p}.
\]

Taking the expectations on \((X, Y)\) in the first expectation and on \((X, Y)\) in the second one leads to the desired result.

We next estimate the error between solutions to the nonlinear SDEs and the one with cut-off given by

- **Nonlinear SDEs:**

\[
\begin{align*}
& dY_t = W_t dt, \\
& dW_t = (F \ast \rho_t)(Y_t) dt + \sqrt{2} \sigma dB_t, \quad \rho_t = \mathcal{L}(Y_t), \\
& \mathcal{L}(Y_0, W_0) = f_0.
\end{align*}
\] (3.1)

- **Nonlinear SDEs with cut-off:**

\[
\begin{align*}
& dY_t^N = W_t^N dt, \\
& dW_t^N = (F \ast \rho_t^N)(Y_t^N) dt + \sqrt{2} \sigma dB_t, \quad \rho_t^N = \mathcal{L}(Y_t^N), \\
& \mathcal{L}(Y_0^N, W_0^N) = f_0.
\end{align*}
\] (3.2)

Here, \( \rho_t^N = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_t^N dv \) and \( f_t^N \) is the global-in-time weak solution of Eq. (1.6). As mentioned in Sec. 1, for fixed \( N > 0 \), the global existence and uniqueness of solutions to (3.2) is ensured due to classical SDEs theory. At the moment, we assume the existence of solutions to the SDEs (3.1) and its associated PDE (1.3) up to a given time \( T > 0 \). We will give the details of that in Sec. 4.

**Proposition 3.2.** For a given \( T > 0 \), let \((Y_t, W_t)\) and \((Y_t^N, W_t^N)\) be the solutions to the equations (3.1) and (3.2) for the same initial condition on the time interval \([0, T]\), respectively. Suppose that \( \rho_t, \rho_t^N \in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)) \) and \( \|\rho_t^N\|_{L^1(0,T;L^\infty)} \leq C \) with \( C > 0 \) independent of \( N \). Then, for \( N \geq c \) and \( p \geq 1 \), we have

\[
E \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left( \sqrt{\ln N} |Y_t^N - Y_t| + |W_t^N - W_t| \right) \right]^{1/p} \leq C N^{-\delta} \exp(C \sqrt{\ln N}),
\]

where \( C \) is a positive constant independent of \( p \) and \( N \).

**Proof.** For \( p \geq 1 \), we set

\[
D_s := \sqrt{\ln N} |Y_s^N - Y_s| + |W_s^N - W_s| \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_t^N := \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} D_s^p.
\]
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Then we estimate $\phi_t^N$ as

$$\phi_t^N \leq p \int_0^t \sqrt{\ln N} |W_s^N - W_s| + |F^N \ast \rho_s^N (Y_s^N) - F \ast \rho_s(Y_s))|D_s^{p-1} ds$$

$$\leq p \int_0^t \sqrt{\ln N} D_s^p + |F^N \ast (\rho_s^N (Y_s^N) - \rho_s(Y_s))|D_s^{p-1}$$

$$+ |(F^N - F) \ast \rho_s(Y_s))|D_s^{p-1} ds.$$ 

Taking the expectation on both sides of the above inequality and using Fubini’s Theorem, we obtain

$$E[\phi_t^N] \leq p\sqrt{\ln N} \int_0^t E[\phi_s^N] ds + p \int_0^t E[(F^N \ast (\rho_s^N (Y_s^N) - \rho_s(Y_s))|D_s^{p-1})] ds$$

$$+ p \int_0^t E[(F^N - F) \ast \rho_s(Y_s)|D_s^{p-1}] ds$$

$$=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3,$$ 

where we can directly use the cut-off force field estimate in Lemma 3.1 to estimate $I_2$ as

$$I_2 \leq C p\sqrt{\ln N} \int_0^t \left( \|\rho_s^N\|_{\infty} + \|\rho_s\|_{\infty} \right) E[D_s^p] ds,$$

where $C > 0$ only depends on $d$. For the estimate of $I_3$, we easily find

$$|(F^N - F) \ast \rho_s(Y_s)| \leq \int_{|Y_s - y| \leq N^{-\delta}} |Y_s - y| (|Y_s - y|^{-d} - N^{-d}) \rho_s(dy)$$

$$\leq \int_{|Y_s - y| \leq N^{-\delta}} |Y_s - y|^{-(d-1)} \rho_s(dy) \leq \alpha_d \|\rho_s\|_{\infty} N^{-\delta}.$$

This yields

$$I_3 \leq p\alpha_d N^{-\delta} \int_0^t \|\rho_s\|_{\infty} E[D_s^{p-1}] ds \leq p\alpha_d N^{-\delta} \int_0^t \|\rho_s\|_{\infty} E[\phi_s^N]^{(p-1)/p} ds$$

$$\leq (p - 1)\alpha_d \int_0^t \|\rho_s\|_{\infty} E[\phi_s^N] ds + \alpha_d N^{-p} \int_0^t \|\rho_s\|_{\infty} ds,$$

where we used Young’s inequality for the last inequality. We then combine the above estimates to have

$$E[\phi_t^N] \leq p\sqrt{\ln N} \int_0^t (1 + \|\rho_s\|_{\infty} + \|\rho_s^N\|_{\infty}) E[\phi_s^N] ds + \alpha_d N^{-p} \int_0^t \|\rho_s\|_{\infty} ds,$$

where $C > 0$ only depends on $d$. We now apply Lemma 4.1 with

$$f(t) = E[\phi_t^N], \quad g(t) = p\sqrt{\ln N}(1 + \|\rho_s\|_{\infty} + \|\rho_s^N\|_{\infty}),$$

$$h(t) = \alpha_d N^{-p} \int_0^t \|\rho_s\|_{\infty} ds,$$
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to obtain
\[
\mathbb{E}[\phi_t^N] \leq \alpha_d N^{-p\delta} \int_0^t \|\rho_u\|_\infty \exp\left( pC\sqrt{\ln N} \int_u^t (1 + \|\rho_u\|_\infty + \|\rho_v^N\|_\infty) du \right) ds \\
\leq C t N^{-p\delta} \exp(pC\sqrt{\ln N} t),
\]
due to the uniform bound assumption on both $\rho_t$ and $\rho_t^N$ in $L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$. This completes the proof.

**Remark 3.1.** Note that for any $p > 0$
\[
\exp(\sqrt{\ln N}) = \exp\left( \frac{\ln N}{\sqrt{\ln N}} \right) = N^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\ln N}}} = o(N^p).
\]

Let us define the functional $J^N : \mathbb{R}^{4dN} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ by
\[
J^N : (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{W}) \in \mathbb{R}^{4dN} \mapsto 1 \wedge (\sqrt{\ln N} N^\delta |\mathcal{X} - \mathcal{Y}|_\infty + N^\beta |\mathcal{Y} - \mathcal{W}|_\infty).
\]
Then by using the same argument as in [27, Theorem 4.2], which is based on Gronwall lemma, Lemma 2.2 and Markov’s inequality, we obtain the following estimate on $J^N$.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $(\mathcal{X}^N_t, \mathcal{Y}^N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a solution to (1.1) and let $(\mathcal{Y}^N_t, \mathcal{W}^N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be solutions to (1.5) with the same independent identically distributed initial conditions. Assume that
\[
\sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \int_0^T \|\rho_t^N\|_\infty dt < \infty.
\]
Then, for any $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\beta > 0$, there exists $C_\beta > 0$ such that
\[
P\left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} J^N_t \geq 1 \right) \leq \frac{C_\beta}{N^{\beta}},
\]
where $J^N_t := J^N(\mathcal{X}^N_t, \mathcal{Y}^N_t, \mathcal{Y}^N_t, \mathcal{W}^N_t)$.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1** For any $p \geq 1$, we first estimate $W_p(\mu^N_t, f_t)$ as
\[
W_p(\mu^N_t, f_t) \leq W_\infty(\mu^N_t, \nu^N_t) + W_p(\nu^N_t, f^N_t) + W_p(f^N_t, f_t),
\]
where the empirical measure $\nu^N_t$ is associated to $N$ copies solutions to the nonlinear SDEs with cut-off (1.1). It follows from Proposition 3.2 that for any $\gamma < \delta$ and $t \in [0, T]$, we find
\[
W_p(f^N_t, f_t) \leq C N^{-\delta} e^{C\sqrt{\ln N}} \leq N^{-\gamma} \quad \text{for } N \text{ large enough.}
\]
This implies
\[
P(W_p(\mu^N_t, f_t) \geq 3N^{-\gamma}) \leq P(W_\infty(\mu^N_t, \nu^N_t) \geq N^{-\gamma}) + P(W_p(\nu^N_t, f^N_t) \geq N^{-\gamma}).
\]
(3.3)
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Note that under the event \( \{ J_t^N < 1 \} \), we get
\[
W_\infty(\mu_t^N, \nu_t^N) < N^{-\delta},
\]
thus by using Lemma 3.2 we obtain
\[
\mathbb{P}(W_\infty(\mu_t^N, \nu_t^N) \geq N^{-\gamma}) \leq \mathbb{P}(J_t^N \geq 1) \leq \frac{C_\delta}{N^\gamma},
\]
where \( C_\delta > 0 \) is independent of \( N \). For the estimate of last term in (3.3), we use Proposition 2.1 with \( x = N^{-\rho} \) to have
\[
\mathbb{P}(W_p^\rho(\nu_t^N, J_t^N) \geq N^{-\rho}) \leq C N^{1 - \frac{(1 - \rho)(\delta - \varepsilon)}{\rho}} + a(N, N^{-\rho}).
\]
Combining the above estimates concludes the desired result.

4. Well-Posedness of Nonlinear SDEs and PDE: Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we study the well-posedness of nonlinear SDEs (1.7) which is associated to the VPFP equation (1.3). For this, we use the nonlinear SDEs with cut-off given by
\[
\begin{align*}
\{ dY_t^N &= W_t^N dt, \\
\quad dW_t^N &= (F^N \ast \rho_t^N)(Y_t^N) dt + \sqrt{2\sigma^2} dB_t, \quad \rho_t^N = \mathcal{L}(Y_t^N),
\}
\end{align*}
\]
where \( \rho_t^N \) is the spatial density of solution to (1.3). We first show the uniform-in-\( N \) estimate of spatial density \( \rho_t^N \) in \( L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)) \).

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( T > 0 \). Assume that the initial data \( f_0 \) satisfies \( f_0 \in (L^1 \cap L^\infty)(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \). Then there exists a unique weak solution \( f_t^N \) to the system (1.0) with the initial data \( f_0 \), such that \( f^N \in L^\infty(0, T; (L^1 \cap L^\infty)(\mathbb{R}^{2d})) \). Furthermore, if we assume that for some \( C > 0 \)
\[
f_0(x, v) \leq C \langle v \rangle^{-\gamma}, \quad \text{where } \langle v \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |v|^2},
\]
then, for \( \gamma > d \), there exists a time \( T > T_* > 0 \) such that
\[
\sup_{N \geq 1} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_*} \| \rho_t^N \|_\infty < \infty,
\]
where \( \rho_t^N \) denotes the spatial density of the law of solution at time \( t \) to Eq. (1.1).

**Proof.** Since the existence and uniqueness of solutions \( f_t^N \) to Eq. (1.0) is classical due to the regularity of the force fields, we only focus on the uniform-in-\( N \) estimate of the spatial density \( \rho_t^N \) in the rest of the proof. We divide the proof into two steps.

- **Step A (Feynman–Kac’s representation formula).** Let \( (\chi_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon > 0} \) be a family of mollifying kernels. First, we note that \( f_t^N = \mathcal{L}(Y_t^N, W_t^N) \), the law of solution
Thus, finally, we choose \( f_t \) to (4.1), is a solution in the sense of distributions to
\[
\partial_t f_t^N + v \cdot \nabla_x f_t^N + (F^N * \rho_t^N) \cdot \nabla_v f_t^N = \sigma \Delta_x f_t^N, \quad (x,v) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t > 0,
\]
with the initial data \( f_0 = \mathcal{L}(Y_0^N, W_0^N) \). Denote by \( f_t^{N,\varepsilon} \) the solution to the same equation with initial condition \( f_0 * \chi_\varepsilon \). Since the coefficients of the above equation are Lipschitz and locally bounded, classical existence theory guarantees the global existence and uniqueness of strong solutions. We now fix \( t \geq 0 \) and consider the following “backward” stochastic integral equations:
\[
Y_s^{x,v} = x - \int_0^s W_u^{x,v} du, \quad W_s^{x,v} = v - \int_0^s F^N * \rho_{t-s}^{N,\varepsilon}(Y_s^{x,v}) du + \sqrt{2 \sigma} B_s.
\]
It is classical that there exists a unique strong solution to the above equations due to the strong regularity of the force fields. We next set
\[
\theta_s := f_{t-s}^{N,\varepsilon}(Y_s^{x,v}, W_s^{x,v}),
\]
and apply Ito’s rule to \( \theta \) to find
\[
\theta_s = \theta_0 + \int_0^s \left( -\partial_t f_{t-u}^{N,\varepsilon}(Y_u^{x,v}, W_u^{x,v}) - \nabla_x f_{t-u}^{N,\varepsilon}(Y_u^{x,v}, W_u^{x,v}) \cdot \nabla_v f_{t-u}^{N,\varepsilon}(Y_u^{x,v}, W_u^{x,v}) \right) du
- \int_0^s \left( \nabla_v f_{t-u}^{N,\varepsilon}(Y_u^{x,v}, W_u^{x,v}), F^N * \rho_{t-u}^{N,\varepsilon}(Y_u^{x,v}) \right) du
+ \sqrt{2 \sigma} \int_0^s \left( \nabla_v f_{t-u}^{N,\varepsilon}(Y_u^{x,v}, W_u^{x,v}), dW_u \right) + \sigma \int_0^s \Delta_x f_{t-u}^{N,\varepsilon}(Y_u^{x,v}, W_u^{x,v}) du.
\]
Taking the expectation to the above equation together with
\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^s \left( \nabla_v f_{t-u}^{N,\varepsilon}(Y_u^{x,v}, W_u^{x,v}), dW_u \right) \right] = 0
\]
yields
\[
\mathbb{E}[\theta_s] = \mathbb{E}[\theta_0] + \int_0^s \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( -\partial_t f_{t-u}^{N,\varepsilon}(x,v) - v \cdot \nabla_x f_{t-u}^{N,\varepsilon}(x,v) - \int_0^s \left( \nabla_v f_{t-u}^{N,\varepsilon}(x,v), F^N * \rho_{t-u}^{N,\varepsilon}(Y_u^{x,v}) \right) du + \sigma \Delta_x f_{t-u}^{N,\varepsilon}(x,v) \right) \right] du.
\]
Thus, finally, we choose \( s = t \) to have
\[
f_t^{N,\varepsilon}(x,v) = \mathbb{E} \left[ f_0 * \chi_\varepsilon(Y_t^{x,v}, W_t^{x,v}) \right].
\]

- **Step B (Uniform-in-\( N \) estimate).** It follows from the previous bound that
\[
f_t^{N,\varepsilon}(x,v) \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( v - \int_0^t F^N * \rho_{t-u}^{N,\varepsilon}(Y_u^{x,v}) du + \sqrt{2 \sigma} B_t \right)^{-\gamma} \right].
\]
Note that for all \(v, w \in \mathbb{R}^d\) and \(\gamma \geq 1\)
\[
\langle v - w \rangle^{-1} \leq \sqrt{2} \langle v \rangle^{-1} \langle w \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle v + w \rangle^\gamma \leq C \gamma (1 + |v|^\gamma + |w|^\gamma).
\]

Using those facts, we get
\[
f_t^{N, \varepsilon}(x, v) \leq C \langle v \rangle^{-\gamma} \mathbb{E} \left[ -\int_0^t F^{N} \ast \rho_{t-u}^{N, \varepsilon}(Y_u^{x,v}) du + \sqrt{2d} B_t \right]^\gamma,
\]
and further we find for \(\gamma > d\)
\[
\|\rho_t^{N, \varepsilon}\|_\infty \leq C \left( 1 + (\sqrt{2d})^\gamma \mathbb{E} \|B_1\|^{\gamma} \right) + \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t F^{N} \ast \rho_{t-u}^{N, \varepsilon}(Y_u^{x,v}) du \right]^{\gamma/2}.
\]

Due to \(\|F^{N} \ast \rho^{N, \varepsilon}(x)\|_\infty \leq C \|\rho^{N, \varepsilon}\|_{1, \infty}\), we find for any fixed time \(T > 0\)
\[
\|\rho_t^{N, \varepsilon}\|_\infty \leq C \left( 1 + \int_0^T \|\rho_s^{N, \varepsilon}\|_\infty^\gamma ds \right) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,
\]
where \(C > 0\) is independent of \(N\). Finally, we use Lemma A.1.3 to have
\[
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_*} \|\rho_t^{N, \varepsilon}\|_\infty \leq C \quad \text{with} \quad T_* < \min \left\{ \frac{\gamma - 1}{C \gamma}, T \right\},
\]
where \(C > 0\) is independent of \(N\). The result follows from the fact that \(\rho_t^{N, \varepsilon}\) converges at least weakly star to \(\rho_t^N\) in \(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\) as \(\varepsilon\) goes to 0.

We are now ready to give the details of the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2**

We split the proof into three steps.

- **Step A (Cauchy estimates).** Let \((Y_t^N, W_t^N)\) be the strong solution to the system (4.1) on the time interval \([0, T]\). Then by using a similar argument as in Proposition 3.4, we can show that for \(N, N' \geq \varepsilon\)

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\sqrt{\ln N} |Y_t^N - Y_t^{N'}| + |W_t^N - W_t^{N'}|)^p \right]^{1/p} \leq C (N^{-\delta} + (N')^{-\delta}) \exp(C \sqrt{\ln N}),
\]

where \(C\) is a positive constant independent of \(p, N'\) and \(N\).

- **Step B (Existence).** It follows from the previous step that the sequence \((Y_t^N, W_t^N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}\) of solution to (4.1) is a Cauchy sequence. Thus, there exists a limit process \((Y_t, W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}\) such that \((Y_t^N, W_t^N)\) goes in law to \((Y_t, W_t)\) as \(N\) goes to
infinity. Moreover, denoting by \((f_t^N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}\) the sequence of the law of solution to (4.1), we find

\[
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} W_p(f_t^N, f_t^{N'}) \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left( \sqrt{\ln N} |Y_t^N - Y_t^{N'}| + |W_t^N - W_t^{N'}| \right)^p \right]^{1/p}
\leq C(N^{-\delta} + (N')^{-\delta}) \exp(\sqrt{\ln N}),
\]

where \(C\) is a positive constant independent of \(p\) and \(N\). This deduces that \((f_t^N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}\) converges weakly to some \(f_t \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d))\) which is the law of \((Y_t, W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}\). It now remains to prove that this process is indeed a solution to (4.1). In order to check this, it is sufficient to prove that \((F_t^N * \rho_t^N(Y_t))_{t \in [0, T]}\) converges \(\mathbb{P}\) almost surely (up to a subsequence) to \((F * \rho_t(Y_t))_{t \in [0, T]}\). It follows from Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 4.1 and \(\|(F_t^N - F) * \rho_t\|_\infty \leq \|F^N - F\|_1 \|\rho_t\|_\infty \leq CN^{-\delta} \|\rho_t\|_\infty\) that

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T |F_t^N * \rho_t^N(Y_t) - F * \rho_t(Y_t)| dt \right] \\
\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T |F_t^N * \rho_t^N(Y_t) - F_t^N * \rho_t(Y_t)| dt \right] \\
+ \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T |F_t^N * \rho_t(Y_t) - F * \rho_t(Y_t)| dt \right] \\
\leq C \ln N \int_0^T (\|\rho_t^N\|_\infty + \|\rho_t\|_\infty) \mathbb{E}(\|Y_t^N - Y_t\|_1 dt + CN^{-\delta} \int_0^T \|\rho_t\|_\infty dt \\
\leq C \frac{\ln N}{N^\delta} e^{C \sqrt{\ln N}} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad N \to \infty,
\]

where \(C > 0\) is independent of \(N\).

**Step C (Uniqueness).** Let \((Y_t^1, W_t^1)_{t \geq 0}\) and \((Y_t^2, W_t^2)_{t \geq 0}\) be two solutions to (4.1) with the same initial data \((Y_0, W_0)\) such that \(\rho_t^i \in L^1(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)), i = 1, 2\). Set

\[
\Delta_t := |Y_t^1 - Y_t^2| + |W_t^1 - W_t^2|.
\]

Then it follows from Proposition 3.1 that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}[\Delta_t^p] \leq p \mathbb{E}(|W_t^1 - W_t^2| \Delta_t^{p-1}) + \mathbb{E}[p(F_t^1 * \rho_t^1(Y_t) - F * \rho_t^2(Y_t) | \Delta_t^{p-1})]
\leq p \mathbb{E}[\Delta_t^p] + pC(\|\rho_t^1\|_\infty + \|\rho_t^2\|_\infty) \mathbb{E}[\Delta_t^p] \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \ln^{- \mathbb{E}[\Delta_t^p]} \right).
\]

Set \(Q(t) := \mathbb{E}[\Delta_t^p]\), then we get

\[
Q'(t) \leq pQ(t) + Cp(\|\rho_t^1\|_\infty + \|\rho_t^2\|_\infty)Q(t)(1 - \ln^{- Q(t)}),
\]

where \(\Delta_t := |Y_t - Y_{t-}| + |W_t - W_{t-}|\).
for $Q(t) \leq e$. On the other hand, since $Q_0 = 0$, applying Lemma 2.12 yields $Q(t) = 0$ for $t \in [0, T]$. It is very clear that the uniqueness of solutions to (3.1) implies the uniqueness of weak solutions to Eq. (1.3).

5. Vlasov–Fokker–Planck Equation with Less Singular Interactions than Newtonian

The previous strategy can directly be applied for the system (1.3) with milder singular interaction forces (see also [27, Sec. 10] for the case without noise). To be more precise, let us consider the following nonlinear Vlasov–Fokker–Planck equation with singular interactions:

$$\partial_t f_t + v \cdot \nabla_x f_t + (F_{\alpha} \ast \rho_t) \cdot \nabla_v f_t = \sigma \Delta_v f_t, \quad (x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t > 0,$$

(5.1)

where $F_{\alpha}$ satisfies

$$|F_{\alpha}(x)| \leq \frac{1}{|x|^{d\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla F_{\alpha}(x)| \leq \frac{1}{|x|^{d\alpha + 1}} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\},$$

with $F_{\alpha}(0) = 0$ by definition. Note that $\alpha = d - 1$ corresponds to the Newtonian case (1.2). Concerning the particle approximations, in a similar fashion as before, we consider the following stochastic particle system with cut-off given by

$$\begin{cases}
    dX_{i,N}^t = V_{i,N}^t dt, \\
    dV_{i,N}^t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} F_{\delta,\alpha}^N (X_{i,N}^t - X_{j,N}^t) dt + \sqrt{2\sigma} dB_{i,N}^t, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N, \quad t > 0,
\end{cases}
$$

(5.2)

where the cut-off interaction potential $F_{\delta,\alpha}^N$ is given by $F_{\delta,\alpha}^N(x) = F_{\alpha}(x)$ for $|x| \geq N^{-\delta}$ and satisfies

$$|F_{\delta,\alpha}^N(x)| \leq N^{\alpha\delta} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla F_{\delta,\alpha}^N(x)| \leq N^{(\alpha + 1)\delta} \quad \forall |x| < N^{-\delta}.$$

Then defining the associated empirical measure

$$\mu_{t}^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{X_{i,N}^t, V_{i,N}^t},$$

we can state the following result.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let $T > 0$ and $d > 1$. Let $(X_{0,N}^i, V_{0,N}^i)_{i=1,\ldots,N}$ be $N$ independent variables with law $f_0$. Let $f_t$ and $f_t^N$ be the solutions to the nonlinear Vlasov–Fokker–Planck equation (5.1) and its corresponding regularization (1.3) with $F_{t}^N$ instead of $F_{\delta}$ respectively, up to time $T > 0$. Assume that $0 \leq \alpha < d/\ell - 1$ and that $f, f^N \in L^\infty(0, T; (L^1 \cap L^{1/\ell})(\mathbb{R}^{2d})) \cap C([0, T]; P_{q}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}))$ with the same initial data $f_0 \in (L^1 \cap L^{1/\ell} \cap P_{q})(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ for some $q \geq 2$ and $1/\ell + 1/\ell' = 1$. Furthermore, assume that their respective spatial densities $\rho_t$ and $\rho_t^N$ satisfy

$$\int_0^T \|\rho_t||dt \leq C_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \int_0^T \|\rho_t^N||dt \leq C_0,$$
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where $C_0$ only depending on the initial data and $T$. Then, for any $p \in [1, 2q)$, $\delta$ satisfying either

$$\frac{\ell'}{d} \leq \delta < \frac{1}{1 + \alpha}$$

or

$$\ell' > \frac{d}{2(1 + \alpha)} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta < \frac{\ell'}{d},$$

and $\varepsilon \in (0, q - \frac{p}{1-p\delta})$, the estimate

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{P}(W_p(\mu^N_t, f_t) \geq 3N^{-\delta}) \leq CN^{\frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{d - p\delta}{p})} \quad \text{for } N \text{ large enough}$$

holds for some constant $C > 0$ depending only on $d, T, p, q, \varepsilon, \alpha, \ell, f_0$, and $C_0$.

Remark 5.1. Using almost same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.2 we can obtain the well-posedness of the nonlinear SDEs $\langle 5.1 \rangle$ and the corresponding PDE $\langle 5.4 \rangle$ with $F_0$ instead of $F$ under the assumption on the initial data: $f_0 \in (L^1 \cap L^p \cap Z_q)(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ for some $q \geq 2$ and $f_0(x, v) \leq C(v)^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma > d$.

Set

$$l_{\delta,\alpha}^N(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha+1}} & \text{if } |x| \geq (\alpha + 1)N^{-\delta}, \\ N^{(\alpha+1)\delta} & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

for $\alpha \in [0, d - 1)$. Similarly as before, for notational simplicity, we omit the subscript $\delta$ in $F_{\delta,\alpha}^N$ and $l_{\delta,\alpha}^N$ in the rest of this section, i.e. $F_{\alpha}^N = F_{\delta,\alpha}^N$ and $l_{\alpha}^N = l_{\delta,\alpha}^N$. In Lemma 5.1, we provide the weak–strong gradient estimate and uniform bound estimate of the gradient of force field in the cut-off parameter $N$, which can be obtained in the same manner as Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 5.1. Let $d - 1 > \alpha \geq 0$ be given.

1. There exists a constant $C$, which depends only on $d$, such that

$$|F_{\alpha}^N(x) - F_{\alpha}^N(x + z)| \leq CL_{\alpha}^N(x)|z|,$$

for any $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|z| \leq \alpha N^{-\delta}$.

2. There exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of $N$ such that

$$\|l_{\alpha}^N * \rho\|_{\infty} \leq C\|\rho\|_{1, \ell} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla F_{\alpha}^N * \rho\|_{\infty} \leq C\|\rho\|_{1, \ell},$$

where $\ell > 1$ satisfies $d > (\alpha + 1)\ell$ with $1/\ell' + 1/\ell = 1$.

Then slightly modifying the proof of Lemma 2.2 we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. Let $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_N)$ be i.i.d. random variables of the law $\rho \in L^\ell(\mathbb{R}^d)$, define the associated empirical measure $\rho_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{Y_i}$. Then define
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\[ \varepsilon := 2n\delta + (1 - d\delta/\ell')1_{\ell' > \delta} \]

with \(1/\ell + 1/\ell' = 1\). If \(\varepsilon < 2\) then for all integer \(m > \frac{1}{2-\varepsilon}\) there exist \(\tilde{\gamma}_m, C > 0\) such that

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{1 \leq s \leq N} |h \ast \rho_N(Y_t) - h \ast \rho(Y_t)|^{2m} \right] \leq CN^{-\tilde{\gamma}_m},
\]

where \(\tilde{\gamma}_m = (2 - \varepsilon)m - 1\) and \(h\) is defined as in (2.1).

Due to the milder singularity in the interactions than the Newtonian, we can bound the gradient of the force term uniformly in \(N\) in Lemma 5.1. This also enables us not to introduce the different weights in position and velocity for the error estimate between solutions of the corresponding nonlinear SDEs and the one with cut-off. More precisely, we have the following proposition which corresponds to Proposition 5.2.

**Proposition 5.1.** For a given \(T > 0\), let \((Y_t, W_t)\) and \((Y^N_t, W^N_t)\) be the solutions to the equations (3.2) with \(F^N\) and (3.1) with \(F_\alpha\) appeared in (5.1) on the time interval \([0, T]\), respectively. Suppose that \(\rho_1, \rho^N_1 \in L^1(0, T; L^\ell(\mathbb{R}^d))\) and \(\|\rho^N_1\|_{L^1(0, T; L^\ell)} \leq C\) with \(C > 0\) independent of \(N\). Then, for \(p \geq 1\) and \(d > (\alpha + 1)\ell\), we have

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (|Y^N_t - Y_t| + |W^N_t - W_t|)^p \right] \leq CN^{-(d/\ell - \alpha)\delta},
\]

where \(C\) is a positive constant independent of \(p, \alpha, \ell, N\).

Let us define functional \(\tilde{J} : \mathbb{R}^{4dN} \to \mathbb{R}_+\) by

\[
\tilde{J} : (X, Y, h, W) \in \mathbb{R}^{4dN} \mapsto 1 \wedge (N^\delta|X - Y|_\infty + N^{3\delta}|Y - W|_\infty).
\]

In Lemma 5.3 we provide the estimate on \(\tilde{J}^N\) whose proof can be obtained by using the similar argument as in Lemma 5.2.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let \((X^N_t, Y^N_t)_{t \geq 0}\) be a solution to (3.2) and let \((Y^N_t, W^N_t)_{t \geq 0}\) be \(N\) copies solutions to (3.1) with \(F^N\) instead of \(F_\alpha\) and the same independent identically distributed initial conditions. Define \(\tilde{J}^N_t\) by

\[
\tilde{J}^N_t := \tilde{J}(X^N_t, Y^N_t, Y^N_t, W^N_t).
\]

Suppose \(d > (\alpha + 1)\ell\) and \(\|\rho^N_1\|_{L^1(0, T; L^\ell)} \leq C\). Then for any \(\beta > 0\) there is a constant \(C_\beta > 0\) such that it holds

\[
\mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \tilde{J}^N_t \geq 1 \right) \leq C_\beta N^{-\beta}.
\]

**Proof of Theorem 5.1** The strategy of the proof is the same as the one of Theorem 1.1. We first estimate \(\mathcal{W}_p(\mu^N_t, f_t)\) as

\[
\mathcal{W}_p(\mu^N_t, f_t) \leq \mathcal{W}_\infty(\mu^N_t, \nu^N_t) + \mathcal{W}_p(\nu^N_t, f^N_t) + \mathcal{W}_p(f^N_t, f_t),
\]

for any \(p \geq 1\), where the empirical measure \(\nu^N_t\) is associated to \(N\) copies solutions to the nonlinear SDEs with cut-off with \(F^N_\alpha\). We now estimate each of the three
terms as follows:

- It follows from Proposition 5.1 that for $t \in [0, T]$, we find that
  $$\mathcal{W}_p(f_1^N, f_1) \leq CN^{-(d/\ell' - \alpha)} \leq N^{-\delta}$$
  for $N$ large enough,

  due to $d/\ell' - \alpha > 1$. Then we deduce
  $$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W}_p(\mu_1^N, \nu_1^N) \geq N^{-\delta}) \leq 3N^{-\delta} \leq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W}_\infty(\mu_1^N, \nu_1^N) \geq N^{-\delta}) + \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W}_p(\nu_1^N, f_1^N) \geq N^{-\delta})$$.  

- Note that under the event $\{\tilde{J}_1^N < 1\}$, we get
  $$\mathcal{W}_\infty(\mu_1^N, \nu_1^N) < N^{-\delta},$$
  thus by Lemma 5.3, we obtain
  $$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W}_\infty(\mu_1^N, \nu_1^N) \geq N^{-\delta}) \leq \mathbb{P}(\tilde{J}_1^N \geq 1) \leq C_\beta N^{-\beta},$$
  for any $\beta > 0$.

- Finally, we use Proposition 2.1 with $x = N^{-p\delta}$ to have
  $$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W}_p(\nu_1^N, f_1^N) \geq N^{-p\delta}) \leq CN^{1 - \frac{1 - \ln^{-1} f_0}{p}} + a(N, N^{-p\delta}).$$

This completes the proof.

\[\Box\]

Appendix A. Gronwall Type Inequalities

In this appendix, we provide several Gronwall type integral and differential inequalities.

**Lemma A.1.** (1) Let $f, g, h$ be nonnegative functions satisfying

$$f(t) \leq h(t) + \int_0^t g(s)f(s)ds, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{A.1}$$

Then we have

$$f(t) \leq h(0)e^{\int_0^t g(s)ds} + \int_0^t h'(s)e^{\int_s^t g(\tau)d\tau}ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$  

(2) Let $f$ be nonnegative function satisfying

$$f'(t) \leq Cf(t)(1 - \ln^{-} f(t)) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T], \tag{A.2}$$

where $C > 0$. If $f_0$ satisfies

$$f_0 < \min\{\exp(1 - e^{CT}), 1\},$$

then we have

$$f(t) \leq \exp(1 - (1 - \ln f_0)e^{-Ct}) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T].$$

In particular, if $f_0 = 0$, then $f(t) \equiv 0$ for $t \in [0, T]$.
(3) Let $\gamma > 1$ and $f$ be nonnegative function satisfying
\[
f(t) \leq C_0 + C_1 \int_0^t f(s)^\gamma ds,
\]
where $C_0, C_1 > 0$. Then we have
\[
f(t) \leq \left( C_0^{1-\gamma} - \frac{C_1^2}{\gamma-1} t \right)^{1/\gamma} \quad \text{for } t < \frac{C_0^{1-\gamma} (\gamma - 1)}{C_1}.
\]

**Proof.** (1) Set
\[
F(t) := \int_0^t g(s)f(s)ds,
\]
then it follows from (A.1) that
\[
F'(t) = g(t)f(t) \leq g(t)(h(t) + F(t)).
\]
This yields
\[
(F(t)e^{-\int_0^t g(s)ds})' \leq h(t)g(t)e^{-\int_0^t g(s)ds} = -h(t)(e^{-\int_0^t g(s)ds})'.
\]
Since $F_0 = 0$, we get
\[
F(t)e^{-\int_0^t g(s)ds} \leq -h(t)e^{-\int_0^t g(s)ds} + h(0) + \int_0^t h'(s)e^{-\int_0^t g(s)ds}ds,
\]
i.e.
\[
F(t) \leq -h(t) + h(0)e^{\int_0^t g(s)ds} + \int_0^t h'(s)e^{\int_0^t g(s)ds}ds.
\]
Thus, we have
\[
f(t) \leq h(t) + F(t) \leq h(0)e^{\int_0^t g(s)ds} + \int_0^t h'(s)e^{\int_0^t g(s)ds}ds.
\]
(2) We first claim that
\[
f(t) < 1 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T].
\]
Since $f$ is continuous, there exists a $T_0 > 0$ such that $f(t) < 1$ for $t \in [0, T_0)$. Set
\[
T^* = \sup\{t \in [0, T] : f(s) < 1 \text{ for } s \in [0, t]\}.
\]
Let us assume that $T^* < T$. Then for $t \in [0, T^*)$, we obtain from (A.2) that
\[
(1 - \ln f) \leq C(1 - \ln f(t)), \quad \text{i.e. } (1 - \ln f) \geq -C(1 - \ln f(t)).
\]
This yields
\[
1 - \ln f(t) \geq (1 - \ln f_0)e^{-Ct}, \quad \text{i.e. } \ln f(t) \leq 1 - (1 - \ln f_0)e^{-Ct}.
\]
Thus, we get
\[
f(t) \leq \exp(1 - (1 - \ln f_0)e^{-Ct}) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T^*].
\]
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We now let $t \to T^*$ in the above inequality to find

$$1 = \lim_{t \to T^*} f(t) \leq \exp(1 - (1 - \ln f_0)e^{-ct}) < 1,$$

due to the assumption on the initial data $f_0$. This is a contradiction that $T^* < T$ and implies $T^* = T$. Hence we have $f(t) < 1$ and

$$f(t) \leq \exp(1 - (1 - \ln f_0)e^{-ct}) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [0, T].$$

(3) Set

$$F := C_0 + C_1 \int_0^t f(s) \gamma ds,$$

then we get $F(0) = C_0$ and

$$F'(t) = C_1 f(t)^\gamma \leq C_1 F(t)^\gamma.$$

This yields

$$(F^{1-\gamma})' \geq \frac{C_1}{1-\gamma},$$

and for $t < C_0^{1-\gamma}(\gamma - 1)C_1^{-1}$

$$f(t) \leq F(t) \leq \left( F(0)^{1-\gamma} - \frac{C_1}{\gamma - 1} t \right)^{1-\gamma} = \left( C_0^{1-\gamma} - \frac{C_1}{\gamma - 1} t \right)^{1-\gamma}.$$  \[\Box\]
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