A COMPREHENSIVE VIEW OF A STRONGLY LENSED PLANCK-ASSOCIATED SUBMILLIMETER GALAXY
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ABSTRACT

We present high-resolution maps of stars, dust, and molecular gas in a strongly lensed submillimeter galaxy (SMG) at z = 3.259. HATLAS J114637.9−001132 is selected from the Herschel-Astrophysical Terahertz Large Area Survey (H-ATLAS) as a strong lens candidate mainly based on its unusually high 500 µm flux density (~300 mJy). It is the only high-redshift Planck detection in the 130 deg^2 H-ATLAS Phase-I area. Keck Adaptive Optics images reveal a quadruply imaged galaxy in the K band while the Submillimeter Array and the Jansky Very Large Array show doubly imaged 880 µm and CO(1→0) sources, indicating differentiated distributions of the various components in the galaxy. In the source plane, the stars reside in three major kpc-scale clumps extended over ~1.6 kpc, the dust in a compact (~1 kpc) region ~3 kpc north of the stars, and the cold molecular gas in an extended (~7 kpc) disk ~5 kpc northeast of the stars. The emissions from the stars, dust, and gas are magnified by ~17, ~8, and ~7 times, respectively, by four lensing galaxies at z ~ 1. Intrinsically, the lensed galaxy is a warm (T_dust ~ 40–65 K), hyper-luminous (L_{IR} ~ 1.7 × 10^{11} L_☉; star formation rate (SFR) ~ 2000 M_☉ yr^{-1}), gas-rich (M_{gas}/M_{baryon} ~ 70%), young (M_{stellar}/SFR ~ 20 Myr), and short-lived (M_{gas}/SFR ~ 40 Myr) starburst. With physical properties similar to unlensed z > 2 SMGs, HATLAS J114637.9−001132 offers a detailed view of a typical SMG through a powerful cosmic microscope.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Bright submillimeter-selected galaxies (SMGs; Blain et al. 2002) provide a powerful probe into the distant universe. Thanks to the negative $K$-correction in the Rayleigh–Jeans tail of the dust thermal emission, flux-limited submillimeter surveys with 850 $\mu$m flux density $S_{850} > 5$ mJy reach an almost uniform integrated infrared (IR) luminosity limit across a wide redshift range ($1 < z < 8$) and yield a galaxy population mostly at redshifts between $1.7 < z < 2.8$ (Chapman et al. 2005). With star formation rates (SFRs) of $\sim 10^{3}$ $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, the SMGs are the most intense star-forming galaxies, despite their inevitably short-lived nature (lifetime $\lesssim 0.1$ Gyr). Although such intense starburst systems are extremely rare in the local universe, SMGs and the Lyman break galaxies may contribute equally to the comoving SFR density at $z \sim 4$ (Daddi et al. 2009). In addition to their unique energetics, they also represent an important stage in massive galaxy formation. Multiple lines of evidence suggest that SMGs are likely the progenitors of massive elliptical galaxies (e.g., Lilly et al. 1999; Swinbank et al. 2006; Aravena et al. 2010; Lapi et al. 2011; Hickox et al. 2012), which apparently have formed the bulk of their stars rapidly at an early epoch (e.g., Renzini 2006).

Our understanding of this important high-redshift galaxy population is limited by the sensitivity and spatial resolution of current facilities. Gravitational lensing offers an elegant solution by effectively lifting both limiting factors. Also, thanks to the negative $K$-correction, it is relatively straightforward to identify strongly lensed SMGs in large area submillimeter surveys. Blain (1996) and Negrello et al. (2007) predict that extragalactic sources with 500 $\mu$m flux density $S_{500} > 100$ mJy are mostly strongly lensed or blended SMGs, nearby late-type galaxies, and radio active galactic nuclei (AGNs). As demonstrated by Negrello et al. (2010), objects in the last two categories can be easily removed using data at other wavelengths, leading to an extremely high success rate in identifying strongly lensed SMGs with this technique (see also Vieira et al. 2010). This simple flux selection has produced a few well-studied strongly lensed SMGs (Lockman01 $z = 3.0$: Conley et al. 2011; Riechers et al. 2011a; Scott et al. 2011; ID141 $z = 4.2$: Cox et al. 2011; Bussmann et al. 2012; HLS J091828.6+514223 $z = 5.2$: Combes et al. 2012; and HATLAS12−00 $z = 3.3$, the subject of this paper), all of which were discovered by the Herschel37 Space Observatory (Pilbratt et al. 2010). More complex selection processes have been proposed (e.g., González-Nuevo et al. 2012) which would allow selecting hundreds of fainter lensed galaxies with Herschel.

The brightest of the lensed SMGs might also be detected by the Planck mission (Planck Collaboration 2011a). With ~4′ resolutions, such sources are probably blended with fainter sources even in the highest frequency/resolution channels of Planck (545 and 857 GHz, or 550 and 350 $\mu$m). The Phase-I 130 deg$^2$ of the Herschel-Astrophysical Terahertz Large Area Survey (H-ATLAS; Eales et al. 2010) covers 28 Planck sources in the Planck Early Release Compact Source Catalog (ERCSC; Planck Collaboration 2011b). Herranz et al. (2012) find that 16 of them are high Galactic latitude cirrus, 10 are low-redshift galaxies, and 1 is resolved into two similarly bright nearby spirals (NGC 3719 and 3720).

36 Distinguished Visiting Professor.
37 Herschel is an ESA space observatory with science instruments provided by European-led Principal Investigator consortia and with important participation from NASA.

One Planck source is dominated by high-redshift galaxies: PLCKERC857 G270.59+58.52 ($S_{350} = 1.4 \pm 0.6$ Jy, $S_{350} = 2.1 \pm 0.8$ Jy38). With 18′, 25′, and 36′ angular resolutions at 250, 350, and 500 $\mu$m, respectively, Herschel detects 16 objects within a 4′/2 radius of the Planck position (Planck has a full-width-half-maximum (FWHM) resolution of 4′/2 at 857 GHz). There are 15 faint ($S_{350} \sim 40$ mJy) sources surrounding an unusually bright source (HATLAS J114637.9−001132, hereafter HATLAS12−00; $S_{350} = 378 \pm 28$ mJy). Taking into account the differences in the beam size and the filter transmission, the Herschel sources account for only ~28% and ~24% of the Planck flux densities at 545 and 857 GHz, respectively, suggesting that the Planck measurements are boosted because of either positive noise spikes (i.e., “Eddington bias”; Eddington 1913) or blending with an overdensity of sources that are below the confusion limit of Herschel (Negrello et al. 2005). The reader is referred to Herranz et al. (2012) for a detailed Planck–Herschel comparison.

HATLAS12−00 peaks at 350 $\mu$m in flux density (‘350 $\mu$m peaker’), implying a high photometric redshift given typical dust temperatures. Subsequent detections of multiple carbon monoxide (CO) lines from this unusually bright object determined a redshift of $z_{\text{CO}} = 3.2592 \pm 0.0010$ (Zspecrometer, Combined Array for Research in Millimeter-wave Astronomy (CARMA), Z-Spec; Harris et al. 2012; P. Van der Werf et al., in preparation; D. A. Riechers et al., in preparation). The high 500 $\mu$m flux density ($S_{500} = 298 \pm 24$ mJy), in combination with the confirmed high redshift, makes HATLAS12−00 an excellent strong lens candidate. It is also the only strongly lensed SMG candidate associated with a Planck detection in the entire 130 deg$^2$ H-ATLAS Phase-I region. Although the Planck detection is partly due to spurious factors (i.e., Eddington bias and/or blending), the confirmation of the lensed nature of the dominating source demonstrates that Planck can efficiently identify the brightest-lensed SMGs once Galactic cirrus and low-redshift galaxies are removed.

In this paper, we present a detailed multi-wavelength analysis of this Planck-associated SMG. We describe our high-resolution Keck Adaptive Optics imaging, Submillimeter Array (SMA) and Jansky Very Large Array (JVLA) interferometric observations, and the panchromatic photometry in Section 2. We then perform a joint strong lens modeling at rest frame 0.5 $\mu$m, and CO(1−0) in Section 3. In Section 4, we derive the intrinsic physical properties of the SMG from its spectral energy distribution (SED). We conclude by discussing the physical properties of HATLAS12−00 in the context of unlensed $z > 2$ SMGs (Section 5). Throughout we adopt a $\Lambda$CDM cosmology with $\Omega_m = 0.3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$, and $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$.

2. OBSERVATIONS

2.1. Keck Adaptive Optics Imaging

We obtained a 3440 s K$_s$-band (hereafter $K$) image on 2011 April 13 (UT) and a 2100 s J-band image on 2011 June 30 (UT) with the Keck II laser guide star adaptive optics system (LGS AO; Wizinowich et al. 2006). An $R = 15.8$ mag star 48′′ SW of HATLAS12−00 served as the tip-tilt reference star. The estimated Strehl ratios at the source position are ~23% and 5% in the $K$ and $J$ bands, respectively. We used the NIR2 camera at 0′04 pixel$^{-1}$ scale for both filters (40′′ field) and dithered with

38 Flux densities are taken from the ERCSC GAUFLUX column. The source is only detected at 545 and 857 GHz by Planck.
2′′–3′′ steps. The atmospheric seeing at 0.5 μm was ~0′′.4 and 0′′.5 during the K- and J-band imaging, respectively.\(^{39}\)

We used our IDL (Interactive Data Language) programs to reduce the images. After dark subtraction and flat-fielding, sky background and object masks are updated iteratively. For each frame, after subtracting a scaled median sky, the residual background is removed with B-spline models. In the last iteration, we discard the three frames of the poorest image quality and correct the NIRC2 geometric distortion using the solution of P. B. Cameron\(^{40}\) before combining the aligned frames. The resolutions of the final K- and J-band images are 0′′.16 and 0′′.27 in FWHM, respectively. We measure the FWHMs from the most compact source in the field located 10′′ SE of HATLAS12−00 (labeled “PSF” in Figure 1(a)); we also use this object as the point-spread function (PSF) in the lens modeling (Section 3.2). The images are flux calibrated against UKIRT Infrared Sky Survey (UKIDSS; Lawrence et al. 2007) and reach depths of 25.6 and 25.0 AB for a 5σ detection with 0′′.1 and 0′′.2 radius apertures,\(^{41}\) respectively.

2.2. William Herschel Telescope Imaging

Limited by the small field of NIRC2, a deep wide-field image is required for astrometry calibration. Optical imaging was obtained with the high-throughput auxiliary-port camera (ACAM) mounted at a folded-Cassegrain focus of the 4.2 m William Herschel Telescope (Benn et al. 2008) on 2011 April 26 (UT). We obtained four images of 200 s on a ~2′ field centered on HATLAS12−00, without any filter. The seeing was ~0′′.9. The images were reduced and combined following standard techniques in IRAF.\(^{42}\) No accurate photometric calibration is possible because we did not use any broadband filter. But by comparing sources extracted from the ACAM image and the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) i-band catalog in the same field, we find that our image reaches an equivalent i-band 5σ depth of 24.6 AB, or 2.3 mag deeper than the SDSS.

We solve the astrometry of the ACAM image using the on-sky positions of SDSS (Aihara et al. 2011) DR8 sources inside the field. We use the astrometry routines in Marc Buie’s IDL library\(^ {43}\) to correct for offsets, rotation, and distortions, with four terms (constant, X, Y, and R = √X²+Y²). Sources that appear blended in the SDSS catalog are excluded. With 35 SDSS sources, we measure 1σ dispersions of δR.A. = 0′′.13 and δDecl. = 0′′.14 between the astrometry-calibrated ACAM image and the SDSS. Finally, we use the same routines to match the NIRC2 images to the ACAM image with 13 well-detected sources inside the 40″ NIRC2 field of view. The corrected NIRC2 images show 1σ dispersions of δR.A. = 0′′.04 and δDecl. = 0′′.05.

2.3. SMA Submillimeter Imaging

We obtained SMA interferometric imaging of HATLAS12−00 at 880 μm (339.58 GHz) in the compact array configuration with an on-source integration time (t_{int}) of 1 hr and at 890 μm (336.9 GHz) in the subcompact array configuration with t_{int} = 2 hr. The compact and subcompact observations took place on 2011 May 2 and 2012 January 14, respectively. During both nights, atmospheric opacity was low (τ_{225 GHz} ~ 0.1) and phase stability was good. Both observations used an intermediate frequency coverage of 4–8 GHz and provide a total of 8 GHz bandwidth (considering both sidebands). The quasars 1229+020 and 1058+015 were used for time-variable gain (amplitude and phase) calibration. The blazar 3C 279 served as the primary bandpass calibrator. For the compact data, we used Titan as the absolute flux calibrator. For the subcompact data, we intended to use Callisto as the flux calibrator, but Jupiter might have fallen into one of the side lobes of the SMA primary beam while we observed Callisto. So we decided to use 3C 279 in lieu of Callisto as the flux calibrator. It is possible to use 3C 279 because we have reliable measurements of its flux both before and after the observation of HATLAS12−00.

We used the convert and clean tasks in the Multichannel Image Reconstruction, Image Analysis, and Display (MIRIAD) software (Sault et al. 1995) to invert the ν visibilities and deconvolve the dirty map, respectively. We used natural weighting to obtain the best sensitivity. For the compact data, the cleaned image has a synthesized beam with a FWHM resolution of 2′07 × 1′87 at a position angle (P.A.) of ~23.6 deg east of north; for the subcompact data, the beam is 3′57 × 3′68 at P.A. = 65.7 deg. The primary beam of the SMA is ~37″. The rms noise levels are 3.0 mJy beam−1 and 3.6 mJy beam−1 for the compact image and the subcompact image, respectively. HATLAS12−00 is resolved into two components by the SMA (Figure 1). Taking into account the 10% flux calibration uncertainty, the total flux is 70 ± 10 mJy and 93 ± 12 mJy for the compact image and the subimage, respectively. The latter agrees well with the Large APEX BoLometer CAmera (LABOCA) bolometer array flux measurement at 870 μm (Section 2.5). The compact array data did not fully capture the source flux because of the sparser array configuration, i.e., ~25% of the total flux is distributed on spatial scales larger than those accessible to the SMA in its compact array configuration. So we use the total flux from subcompact data for SED modeling (Section 4.2). We chose to use the compact image for lens modeling (Section 3.3) because of its higher spatial resolution. We find that using the subcompact image or the subcompact + compact combined image does not change the lens modeling result, but they give larger errors for the derived parameters.

2.4. JVLA CO(1→0) Imaging

We exploited the recent upgrade to the National Radio Astronomy Observatory’s\(^ {44}\) Very Large Array (VLA; Perley et al. 2011), which includes the provision of Ka-band receivers (26.5–40 GHz), to observe the redshifted CO(1→0) emission from HATLAS12−00 at 27.06532 GHz (ν_{rest} = 115.27120 GHz; Morton & Noreau 1994).

Observations were carried out dynamically during excellent weather conditions on 2012 January 6 and 8. During this Open Shared Risk Observing period the available bandwidth from the new Wideband Interferometric Digital ARchitecture correlator consisted of two independently tunable output pairs of eight sub-bands each, with 64 × 2 MHz full-polarization channels per sub-band, giving a total bandwidth of 2048 MHz. At the redshift of HATLAS12−00, however, the CO(1→0) line could be reached by only the BD output pair, giving ~11.350 km s⁻¹ coverage and ~22 km s⁻¹ resolution. We offset our tuning by

\(^{39}\) http://kiloaoao.soest.hawaii.edu/current/seeing/

\(^{40}\) http://www2.keck.hawaii.edu/inst/nirc2/or/ReDoc/post_observ/ng/dewarp/

\(^{41}\) Different aperture sizes were chosen here because of the different resolutions.

\(^{42}\) http://irafe.noao.edu/

\(^{43}\) http://www.boulder.swri.edu/∼buie/idl/

\(^{44}\) NRAO is operated by Associated Universities Inc., under a cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.
64 MHz to avoid noisier edge channels. The eight sub-bands of output pair AC were tuned to 32.5 GHz.

The bright compact calibration source, J1150−0023, was observed every few minutes to determine accurate complex gain solutions and bandpass corrections. 3C 286 (observed every few minutes to determine accurate complex gain around 2 hr of data were obtained for HATLAS12 the pointing accuracy was checked locally every hour. In total, reported by Harris et al. (2012) using Zpectrometer on the Green Bank Telescope are

output pair AC were tuned to 32.5 GHz.

Figure 1. High-resolution images of HATLAS12−00. All images are aligned and the tickmarks are spaced at intervals of 1”. Green crosses mark the two components seen in the JVLA image. (a) Keck K-band image painted with a pseudocolor map from Keck K (red), J (green), and ACAM optical (blue) images. Lensing galaxies and the PSF star are labeled. The scale bar indicates 5” or 40 kpc at the lens redshift. The inset shows the lens-subtracted K-band image overlaid with the peak positions for lens modeling (Section 3.1). For clarity, the positional errors, as indicated by the ellipses, are enlarged by a factor of four. The colors distinguish images from the three clumps in the source plane. (b) SMA 880 μm compact array image. Contours are drawn at –2, –1, +1, +2, and +4σ, where σ is the rms noise (3 mJy beam−1), (c) JVLA CO(1−0) image. Contours are drawn at –1, +2, +4, and +8σ, where σ is the rms noise (27 μJy beam−1). The inset shows the CO spectrum from the same data cube, along with a Gaussian fit (red). In (b) and (c), the ellipse to the lower right shows the beam. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

2.5. Panchromatic Photometry

Photometry of HATLAS12−00 was obtained from the SDSS (u, g, r, i, and z), the UKIDSS (Y, J, H, and K), the Wide-Field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE, 3.6 and 4.6 μm; Wright et al. 2010), the Herschel/PACS (100 and 160 μm; Program ID: OT1_RIVISON_1; Ibar et al. 2010), the Herschel/SPire (250, 350, and 500 μm; Pascale et al. 2011; Rigby et al. 2011), the LABOCA (870 μm; Siringo et al. 2009), the SMA (880 μm), the Max-Planck Millimetre Bolometer (MAMBO, 1.2 mm; Kreyza et al. 1999), the CARMA (2792 and 3722 μm; Bock et al. 2006), and the VLA FIRST survey (21 cm; Becker et al. 1995).

We obtained imaging at 870 μm with the LABOCA bolometer array at the Atacama Pathfinder EXperiment (APEX) telescope in 2011 November (D. L. Clements et al., in preparation). LABOCA observed a 11.4 diameter field with a resolution of FWHM = 18.6′. The observations have a total integration time of ~30 hr reaching a 1σ sensitivity of ~2 mJy.

We obtained 1.2 mm imaging with MAMBO at the IRAM 30 m telescope (FWHM ~ 10′′) in 2011 January and February (H. Dannerbauer et al., in preparation). Observing time in the on–off mode is 24 minutes, achieving a 1σ sensitivity of ~1 mJy.

We obtained continuum observations at 81.2 and 108.2 GHz (3722 and 2792 μm; covering rest-frame CO(3−2) and CO(4−3) lines) on 2011 March 18 and September 1 as part of our CO follow-up campaign of bright, lensed H-ATLAS SMGs with CARMA in D array (D. A. Riechers et al., in preparation). Observations were carried out for 0.9 and 1.4 hr on source, respectively, using the 3 mm receivers and a bandwidth of 3.7 GHz per sideband. HATLAS12−00 is unresolved in these observations, with angular resolutions of 6′.8 × 5′.0 and 6′.0 × 3′.8 at 81.2 and 108.2 GHz, respectively (restored with natural baseline weighting).

Table 1 lists the photometry. We have included in the errors the absolute flux calibration uncertainties (3% for WISE, 3%−5% for PACS, 7% for SPIRE, 10% for SMA, and 15% for LABOCA, MAMBO, and CARMA).
3. LENS MODELING

Because the LGS AO image has the highest spatial resolution, we use it to find the best-fit lens model. We initially use the peak positions of the multiply imaged source to constrain the lensing potentials (Section 3.1), then we exploit the K-band light distribution in the image plane to quantify the morphologies of the source as well as refining the lensing potentials (Section 3.2). Finally, we use the best-fit lensing potentials and the SMA and JVLA images to constrain the sizes and locations of the dust and molecular gas in the source plane (Sections 3.3 and 3.4).

### 3.1. K-band Peak Positions

We use LENSTOOL (Kneib et al. 1996; Jullo et al. 2007) to find the best-fit parameters and their errors from the peak positions. LENSTOOL implements a Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo sampler to derive the posterior distribution of each parameter and an estimate of the evidence for the model.

The lensing system is mainly made of two red filaments that are $\sim 3.5\prime$ apart (Figure 1(a)). The outer curved shape of the northern arc can be explained if the source is intrinsically curved. Hence, we split each of the two arcs into three parts and build a simple lens model by putting two deflectors centered on G1 and G2. We find that the predicted counterimages can explain the additional features close to G1 and G2. Guided by the predicted counterimages, we define three systems of lensed images (Figure 1(a), inset). The 11 peak positions in three separate systems provide a total of 16 constraints ($11 \times 2 - 3 \times 2$), allowing us to include shear from nearby galaxies G3 and G4.

For the lensing galaxies, we find photometric redshifts of $z_{\text{G1+G2}} = 1.06 \pm 0.16$ and $z_{\text{G3+G4}} = 0.80 \pm 0.28$ with the public photo-$z$ code EZY (Brammer et al. 2008). We obtain the nine-band photometry from the SDSS ($u$, $g$, $r$, $i$, and $z$) and the UKIDSS ($Y$, $J$, $H$, and $K$) surveys. At these wavelengths, the flux from the lensed galaxy is negligible (Section 4). The redshift of G1+G2 is measured from the total fluxes of G1 and G2, because they are blended in the seeing-limited data. G3 is undetected in SDSS but shows similar color as G1 and G2. Hence in the lens modeling we assume that all four galaxies are at $z = 1.06$.

Note that although redshift errors of the lensing galaxies would lead to errors in the estimated lens masses, they would not change our conclusions on the lensed galaxy because the magnification factors would remain the same.

For the lens model, we assume that the dark matter plus baryonic mass profiles of the foreground lens galaxies G1 to G4 can be described as singular isothermal ellipsoids (SIEs; Kormann et al. 1994). The SIE profile is parameterized by the velocity dispersion ($\sigma$), the position ($x$, $y$), the axis ratio ($q = b/a$), and the P.A. ($\theta$, E of N). We fix the positions to the centers of the galaxies. For G3 and G4, we further fix their $q$ and $\theta$ to those from the light distribution, because they are not well constrained by the peak positions and there are significant correlations between the P.A. and ellipticity of the light and of the mass distribution (e.g., Sluse et al. 2012). Therefore, we have a total of eight free parameters. We find a best fit with $\chi^2 = 7.9$ for $dof = 8$ (degrees of freedom) and an average positional error of $0.04\prime$ (~1 pixel). The parameters and their errors are summarized in Table 2. We also list the mass enclosed by the critical curve for each SIE,

\[
M_E = \frac{4\pi^2}{G} \frac{D_L D_{LS}}{D_S} \frac{\sigma^4}{c^2},
\]

(1)

where $D_L$, $D_S$, and $D_{LS}$ are the angular diameter distances to the lens, to the source, and between the lens and the source, respectively. The radius of the area enclosed by the critical curve can be approximated by the circularized Einstein radius:

\[
b = 4.5 \left( \frac{\sigma}{200\,\text{km}\,\text{s}^{-1}} \right)^2 \sqrt{\frac{2q}{1+q^2}} \, \text{kpc}.
\]

(2)

In the errors of masses and velocity dispersions, we have included the 1$\sigma$ uncertainty of the photometric redshift.
The nominal model described above is the most favorable description of the lensing system because of the following.

1. Adding $q$’s and $\theta$’s of G3 and G4 as free parameters does not substantially improve the fit: the Bayesian evidence\(^{45}\) increases by only $\Delta \ln(E) = 0.5$ and the reduced $\chi^2$ actually increases from 1.0 to 1.6 as a result of the decreased degree of freedom.

2. Excluding the potentials of G3 and/or G4 does degrade the fit significantly. The Bayesian evidence decreases by $\Delta \ln(E) = 2.5$ and 30, and the reduced $\chi^2$ increases from 1.0 to 1.8 and 6.5, when we exclude G4 and both G3 and G4, respectively.

3. Including a group-scale potential with a Pseudo-Isothermal Elliptic Mass Distribution (PIEMD) profile (Kassiola & Kovner 1993) does not improve the fit. For the PIEMD profile, we adopt a cutoff radius of 500 kpc but allow the position, ellipticity, P.A., core radius, and velocity dispersion to vary. To limit the number of free parameters, we fix the $q$’s and P.A.’s of the SIEs to those measured from the lensing galaxies but allow their velocity dispersions to vary. So we have a total of 10 free parameters. The reduced $\chi^2$ of the best fit is 1.9, much higher than that of the nominal model. The Bayesian evidence also decreases by $\Delta \ln(E) = 6.9$ when compared with the nominal model.

3.2. K-band Source

Although the peak positions can constrain the deflectors through ray tracing, they cannot provide an accurate estimate of the magnification factor because the source plane light distribution is not taken into account. Because we want to estimate the intrinsic properties of the lensed galaxy, we are interested in the luminosity-weighted magnification factor, which depends on the source morphology because the magnification factor is different at each source plane position. In this section, we model the morphology of the lensed galaxy and refine the lensing potentials simultaneously with the K-band image. The PSF is derived from the most compact source in the field, which is 10$''$ SE of HATLAS12−00 (Figure 1(a)).

Following Section 3.1, we assume that the source consists of three clumps, each described as a Sérics profile. Again we use SIE profiles for the lensing potentials. So we have a total of 29 parameters: 7 parameters for each Sérics profile and 8 parameters for the SIE potentials. Our fitting procedure is as follows. For an initial set of parameters describing the source and the lenses from Section 3.1, we use lenstool to generate a lensed image of the source, which is then convolved with the PSF and compared with the observed image. We limit the comparison in a 3.3$''$ × 4.5$''$ (83 × 113 pixels) rectangular region that encloses the lensing features. This process is iterated with AMOEBA_SA to find the parameters that minimize the residual between the observation and the model. AMOEBA_SA is based on the IDL multidimensional minimization routine AMOEBA (Press et al. 1992) with simulated annealing added by E. Rosolowsky. We allow a maximum of 1000 iterations in each call of AMOEBA_SA. For the simulated annealing, we adopt an initial “temperature” of 100 and decrease it by 20% in each subsequent call to AMOEBA_SA. A good fit with a reduced $\chi^2$ around unity is normally found after a few calls to AMOEBA_SA. For each iteration, we compute the total luminosity-weighted magnification factor ($\mu_K$) by summing the pixel values in the image and the source planes with apertures matched by inverting the image plane aperture to the source plane. The 1$\sigma$ confidence interval of $\mu_K$ is found with $\chi^2(\mu) - \chi^2_{\text{min}} \leq 1$. Note that we compute the $\chi^2$ values on the residual image binned by 4 pixel boxes (FWHM = 0$''$16 = 4 pixel), so that the noise becomes uncorrelated between pixels; or equivalently, one could divide the $\chi^2$ values from the original residual images by a factor of 16. We find the luminosity-weighted magnification to be $\mu_K = 16.7 \pm 0.8$. The best-fit parameters for the deflectors are listed in Table 2. The results are very similar to those from fitting the peak positions, although the errors are smaller because the entire image provides more information than the peak positions alone.

Figure 2 shows the best-fit model. The lensed galaxy has a curved morphology, causing the northern arc bending in the opposite direction of the deflectors. In the source plane, the three clumps extend over only 0$''$21 or 1.6 kpc, and their effective radii are 0$''$21 ± 0$''$04 (1.5 ± 0.3 kpc), 0$''$085 ± 0$''$013 (0.6 ± 0.1 kpc), and 0$''$11 ± 0$''$05 (0.8 ± 0.4 kpc) from W to E.

The nature of the feature NNE of G2 in the residual image is unclear, but it is unlikely to be at the same redshift as the lensed galaxy: tracing its position to the source plane and imaging it back predicts an unobserved equally bright counterimage 0$''$8 S of the southern arc. This feature could therefore be part of the galaxy G2.

3.3. 880 $\mu$m Source

Precise astrometry calibration is crucial for a joint analysis of images from different wavelengths. Because the only $K$ source detected by the SMA is HATLAS12−00, we have to estimate the astrometry offset between the two images in a statistical way. Because the Keck image is tied to the SDSS astrometry and the SMA image is tied to the radio reference frame, we cross-correlate the VLA FIRST catalog (Becker et al. 1995) and the SDSS catalog within 1$''$ of HATLAS12−00 and compute the optical–radio separation. Ninety-four radio sources have optical counterparts within 3$''$. We then fit an elliptical Gaussian to the two-dimensional distribution in $\Delta$R.A. = $(\alpha_{\text{FIRST}} - \alpha_{\text{SDSS}})$ and $\Delta$Decl. = $\delta_{\text{FIRST}} - \delta_{\text{SDSS}}$. The systematic offset from the peak position of the Gaussian is consistent with zero ($\Delta$R.A. = −0$''$07, $\Delta$Decl. = +0$''$08). The best-fit Gaussian has $\sigma$’s of 0$''$40 and 0$''$30, and a P.A. of 119$^\circ$ for the major axis. Therefore, the 1$\sigma$ ellipse of the astrometry offset has major/minor semiaxes of 0$''$61/0$''$45. Our result is consistent with that of Ivezić et al. (2002), who found a $\sim$0$''$1 systematic offset and a 1$\sigma$ error circle of 0$''$47 in radius between FIRST and SDSS astrometry.

We can constrain the astrometry offset further through lens modeling. As demonstrated by Kochanek & Narayan (1992) and Wucknitz (2004), interferometric data are most naturally modeled with the $u\nu$-plane visibilities, because this avoids beam deconvolution and naturally handles correlated noise. Here, however, we opt to model the cleaned map directly, because (1) the images are essentially unresolved in the SMA map and (2) we already have a good lens model from the K-band image (Section 3.2). Because of the limited spatial resolution of the SMA 880 $\mu$m image, the two centroid positions do not offer enough information to constrain the lens model. Hence, for the deflectors, we fix all the parameters to the best-fit values from Section 3.2; for the source, we assume a circular Gaussian profile with variable position and size. We shift the SMA image relative to the K model on a 2$''$ × 2$''$ grid with 0$''$1 steps. At each offset position, we find the best-fit model using the same fitting

\(^{45}\) The improvement of a model is substantial if $1 < \Delta \ln(E) < 2.5$, strong if $2.5 < \Delta \ln(E) < 5$, and decisive if $\Delta \ln(E) > 5$ (Jeffreys 1961).
procedure as in Section 3.2. The modeling is performed on a 51 × 68 pixel (5′.1 × 6′.8) region enclosing the SMA sources. Figure 3(a) shows a map of the minimum $\chi^2$ values at each offset position. The global best fit, with reduced $\chi^2$ of unity, is reached when we shift the SMA image 0′.6 E of the K image. The middle panels of Figure 2 show this global best-fit model.

The noise of the SMA map is Gaussian but is highly correlated. We compute the rms noise of the SMA map after binning it by boxes of $n^2$ pixels. We find that the noise starts to decrease as $1/n$ for $n \gtrsim 20$ pixels (FWHM ≃ 2′′ = 20 pixel), indicating that the noise becomes uncorrelated on 20 pixel scales. Therefore, we divide the $\chi^2$ values from the residual images by a factor of 400, which is equivalent to computing $\chi^2$ from residual images binned by 20 pixel boxes.

In combination with the 1σ error ellipse from FIRST–SDSS cross-correlation, we determine that the astrometry offset between 880 μm and K images is ΔRA. = −0′.5 ± 0′.1 and ΔDecl. = −0′.0 ± 0′.2, i.e., the overlapping region between the ellipse and the 1σ contour of the $\chi^2$ map. Collecting all of the solutions in this permitted offset region satisfying $\chi^2(\mu) - \chi_{\text{min}}^2 \leq 1$, we estimate a luminosity-weighted 880 μm magnification of $\mu_{\text{880}} = 7.6 ± 1.5$ and an 880 μm source size of FWHM = 0′.15 ± 0′.14 or 1.2±0.5 kpc. Because we have fixed the deflectors with the best-fit parameters from the K band, the errors here do not include the uncertainties of the deflectors. Higher resolution far-IR images are required to constrain the deflectors and the source simultaneously.

Dust-emitting regions are often spatially offset from the UV/optical emitting regions in SMGs (Tacconi et al. 2008; Bothwell et al. 2010; Carilli et al. 2010; Ivison et al. 2010b; Riechers et al. 2010). This is clearly the case for HATLAS12−00, which shows distinctly different morphologies at the K band and 880 μm, even after convolving the K-band image with the SMA beam (compare Figures 2(c) and (f)). From the lens model, we estimate a source plane separation between the 880 μm source and the central K clump of 0′.41 ± 0′.07 or 3.1 ± 0.5 kpc (Figure 2(e)).

If we assume zero astrometry offset between SMA and Keck, then we obtain a model that poorly fits the observation (Δ$\chi^2$ ≃ 4; Figure 3(a)). The lens model gives a slightly larger magnification ($\mu_{\text{880}} = 8.4 ± 1.6$) and doubles the source size (FWHM = 2.5±1.9 kpc). However, the source plane separation between the 880 μm source and the central K clump remains the same (3.2 ± 0.2 kpc).

### 3.4. CO (1→0) Source

We use the same technique to model the JVLA CO(1→0) map as in Section 3.3. The lensed images are better resolved in the JVLA image than in the SMA image, so we use an elliptical Gaussian instead of a circular Gaussian for the source...
profile. The model has a total of six free parameters ($x, y, \sigma$, contours are overlaid for 1σ, 2σ, and 3σ intervals. The white plus sign indicates the offset that yields the minimum $\chi^2$ value. The ellipse shows the 1σ astrometry uncertainty determined from FIRST–SDSS cross-correlation. The cross indicates the systematic offset ($-0.07, +0.08$) between FIRST and SDSS within 1° radius of HATLAS12–00. The overlapping area between the 1σ contour of the $\chi^2$ map and the ellipse gives the best estimate of the astrometric offset and its uncertainty. Zero offset is indicated by the white circle. (b) Same as (a) but for JVLA relative to Keck.

4. SPECTRAL ENERGY DISTRIBUTIONS

Useful physical parameters are encoded in the SEDs. The optical-to-NIR SED of HATLAS12–00 is dominated by the foreground galaxies G1 and G2, from which we can derive the photometric redshift and the stellar population of the lensing galaxies. The far-IR and submillimeter regime is dominated by the lensed SMG, as evident in the SMA image, so the data can tell us the dust and star formation properties of the SMG.

4.1. Lensing Galaxies

Adopting the photometric redshift of 1.06, we model the nine-band photometry ($u, g, r, i, z, Y, J, H,$ and $K$) of G1+G2 with the stellar population synthesis models of Bruzual & Charlot (2003, hereafter BC03). We assume a Chabrier (2003) initial mass function (IMF), Calzetti et al. (1994) extinction law, and exponentially declining star formation history, with a range of e-folding times ($\tau = 0.1–30$ Gyr) and ages (0.01–12.5 Gyr). For each template, we fit for the stellar mass ($M_{\text{stellar}}$) and extinction ($E(B - V)$). The best-fit model gives $\chi^2 = 6.4$ for dof = 7 (Figure 4(a)). The derived properties of G1+G2 are listed in Table 3. The intrinsic extinction is small ($E(B - V) = 0.04^{+0.11}_{-0.04}$) and there is very little current star formation ($\text{SFR} = 0.1^{+0.4}_{-0.1}$ $M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$). The dust-absorbed UV/optical luminosity $(3^{+3}_{-3}) \times 10^{10} L_{\odot}$ is less than 0.15% of the total integrated IR luminosity before lensing correction (L$_{\text{IR}} = 1.2 \times 10^{14} L_{\odot}$). Therefore, G1 and G2 do not contribute significantly to the far-IR fluxes, in agreement with their absence in the SMA image. The stellar mass from SED modeling is ~80% of the total mass within the critical curves from lens modeling (Table 3), implying that the galaxies are dominated by stellar mass within ~7 kpc.

4.2. Lensed SMG

In the $J$ and $K$ bands, we obtain the photometry of the SMG with an aperture contoured around the multiply imaged features after subtracting the foreground lenses. We measure $K = 21.2 \pm 0.1$ and $(J - K) = 2.1 \pm 0.2$ in AB magnitudes, consistent with the red $J - K$ colors of unlensed SMGs (Frayer et al. 2004; Dannerbauer et al. 2004). Careful modeling is required to extract SMG photometry from the WISE data because the SMG is blended with the foreground galaxies G1 through G4 (FWHM = $6'' - 12''$). We model the WISE 3.4 $\mu$m source
The red data points are for the lensed SMG. The top black curve shows the best-fit BC03 stellar population synthesis model of G1+G2 at z = 1.06, using the nine data points below 3 μm. The bottom black curve shows the best-fit BC03 model for the SMG, along with the 1σ range of acceptable models. (b) The full SED of the SMG. The short dashed (green), dash-dotted (purple), and long dashed (orange) curves are the best-fit SED templates of the “Cosmic Eyelash” (Ivison et al. 2010c), Arp 220, and Mrk 231, respectively. The Eyelash provides the best description of the overall SED among the three. The solid black and blue curves are the best-fit models with a single-temperature-modified blackbody using the general and optically thin formulas, respectively. The inset shows the 1σ, 2σ, and 3σ contours in the T − β plane for the general (black) and optically thin (blue) models.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

**Table 3. Derived Physical Properties**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G1+G2</td>
<td>Mstellar</td>
<td>3.5 ± 1.3 × 10^{11}</td>
<td>M_{⊙}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>3 ± 2</td>
<td>Gyr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>τ</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>Gyr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E(B−V)</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
<td>mag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SFRopt</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>M_{⊙} yr^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMGa</td>
<td>Mstellar</td>
<td>3.5 ± 2.4 × 10^{10}</td>
<td>M_{⊙}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SFRopt</td>
<td>1000 ± 1000</td>
<td>M_{⊙} yr^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMGB</td>
<td>Tdust</td>
<td>63 ± 2</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mstellar</td>
<td>7.0 ± 2.0 × 10^{9}</td>
<td>M_{⊙}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>λO</td>
<td>250 ± 40</td>
<td>μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>σ</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>kpc^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LIR</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.3 × 10^{13}</td>
<td>L_{⊙}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SFRIR</td>
<td>1900 ± 400</td>
<td>M_{⊙} yr^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMGC</td>
<td>Tdust</td>
<td>44 ± 3</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mdust</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.3 × 10^{9}</td>
<td>M_{⊙}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMGD</td>
<td>L_CO</td>
<td>9.3 ± 2.6 × 10^{10}</td>
<td>K km s^{-1} pc^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FWHM_{CO}</td>
<td>172 ± 58</td>
<td>L_{⊙} (K km s^{-1} pc^2)^{-1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mgas</td>
<td>7.4 ± 2.1 × 10^{10}</td>
<td>M_{⊙}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M_dust</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.3 × 10^{13}</td>
<td>M_{⊙}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mgas/M_dust</td>
<td>68% ± 17%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mgas/M_dust</td>
<td>23% ± 11%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Magnification-dependent parameters have been demagnified and their errors include the magnification uncertainties.

*a* Stellar population synthesis modeling of the near-IR SED.

*b* General “optically thick” modified blackbody fit to the far-IR-to-mm SED.

*c* Optically thin modified blackbody fit.

*d* Parameters derived from CO(1→0) observations.

Then we measure the flux density of G1+G2 (∼205 μJy) decomposed from that of G3 and G4 (∼117 μJy). Finally, the flux density of the SMG (∼37 μJy) is estimated from the excess of G1+G2 relative to their best-fit stellar population synthesis model (Section 4.1). Unfortunately, we cannot separate G3 + G4 from G1+G2 in the longer wavelength channels of WISE because of the inferior image quality, so we treat the excesses over the best-fit model of G1+G2 as upper limits for the SMG. The far-IR-to-millimeter SED is dominated by the lensed SMG; therefore no foreground subtraction is necessary. Table 1 summarizes the photometry for HATLAS12−00.

We opt to model the rest-frame optical and far-IR emission separately, instead of fitting them together in a self-consistent way with MAGPHYS (da Cunha et al. 2008), because our lens model shows that they are emitted from physically distinctive regions, i.e., the dust that attenuates the optical emission has little to do with the starburst-heated dust that emits in the far-IR.

It is difficult to constrain the stellar population with only three photometric detections (dof = 1) in rest-frame optical. However, we can limit the parameter space by excluding unphysical models, such as those that require negative extinction corrections and those whose ages exceed the cosmic age at z = 3.2592 (1.9 Gyr). We use the same BC03 templates as in the previous section. The shaded region in Figure 4(a) shows all of the permitted models with χ^2 < dof + 1. These models give a range of extinctions, stellar masses, and SFRs: E(B − V) < 0.94, Mstellar = (3.5 ± 2.4) × 10^{10} M_{⊙}, and SFR < 2000 M_{⊙} yr^{-1} (Table 3). The dust-absorbed UV/optical luminosity ranges from 0% to 140% of the observed L_{UV}=10^{10}, but 90% of the models have dust-absorbed luminosity less than 50% of the observed L_{UV}=10^{10}.

We fit the far-IR SED with a single-temperature-modified blackbody,

\[ S(\nu_{\text{obs}}) = \sigma(1 - e^{-\tau})B(\nu_{\text{rest}}, T)(1 + z)\mu/d_{L}^{2}. \]  

where \( \sigma \) is the total absorption cross-section of dust particles at the optically thick limit (i.e., the size of the dust-obscured region), \( B(\nu, T) \) is the Planck function, \( \tau = (\nu_{\text{rest}}/\nu)^{\beta} = \)

with four elliptical Gaussians of the same shape. We fix their positions to those determined from the Keck image, but we allow the Gaussian shape to vary.
(\lambda_0/\Delta x)\mu^2$ the optical depth, $\mu$ is the lensing magnification factor, and $d_L$ is the luminosity distance. In the optically thin limit ($\lambda \gg \lambda_0$), dust mass can be derived based on the knowledge of the opacity $\kappa_d$ (absorption cross-section per unit mass):

$$M_{\text{dust}} = \frac{S(\nu_{\text{rest}})d_L^2}{\kappa_d(\nu_{\text{rest}})B(\nu_{\text{rest}}, T_d)(1+z)\mu}. \quad (4)$$

It is generally assumed that the opacity follows a power law, $\kappa_d(\nu) \propto \nu^\beta$, and has a normalization of $\kappa_d = 0.07 \pm 0.02$ m$^2$ kg$^{-1}$ at 850 $\mu$m (Dunne et al. 2000; James et al. 2002). Both the general “optically thick” ($S_n \propto (1 - e^{-\kappa_d B(T)})$) and the optically thin ($S_n \propto \nu^\beta B(T)$) models provide good fits to the observed SED (Figure 4(b)). For the general model, we use all of the nine detections between 100 $\mu$m and 3 mm. The best-fit general model gives $\chi^2 = 1.6$ for dof = 5, suggesting that the photometric errors have been overestimated. For the optically thin model, we exclude the PACS 100 $\mu$m point, which is clearly on the Wien tail where small grains tend to dominate the emission. The best-fit optically thin model gives $\chi^2 = 4.0$ for dof = 5. The derived parameters are listed in Table 3.

The optically thick model yields dust properties similar to those of the local ultraluminous infrared galaxy (ULIRG) Arp 220 (Rangwala et al. 2011), with the optical depth exceeding unity below rest frame $\sim 250$ $\mu$m. The intrinsic $8-1000$ $\mu$m luminosity of $L_{8-1000} = (1.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{13}$ $L_\odot$ classifies HATLAS12−00 as a hyper-luminous infrared galaxy (Hy-LIRG). The IR luminosity implies an SFR of $1900 \pm 400$ $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ for a Chabrier (2003) IMF (Kennicutt 1998). Using the values of $L_{8-1000}$ and $T = 63 \pm 2$ K in the Stefan–Boltzmann law, we obtain a spherical surface radius of 780 $\pm$ 100 pc, which is three times larger than that of Arp 220 (230 pc) because of the 10 times greater luminosity. The surface radius is comparable to that we derive from the optically thick model ($r = 500 \pm 60$ pc) and is consistent with the size we measure from modeling the SMA image (FWHM = 1.2$^{+1.0}_{-0.5}$ kpc; Section 3.3). Therefore, the optically thick model is preferred.

The radio luminosity from the observed 1.4 GHz flux density is $L_{1.4 \text{GHz}} = 4\pi d_L^2 S_{1.4 \text{GHz}}(1+z)^{\alpha-1} = (7.3 \pm 3.4) \times 10^{25}$ W Hz$^{-1}$ for a radio spectral index of $\alpha = 0.7$. Assuming the radio emission is magnified by the same factor as the submillimeter emission, the IR-to-radio luminosity ratio of HATLAS12−00, $q_L = \log(L_{1.4 \text{GHz}}/(4.52 \text{THz} \times L_{1.4 \text{GHz}})) = 2.1 \pm 0.2$, is consistent with the radio–far-IR correlation of high-redshift starbursts: e.g., Kovács et al. (2006) measured $q_L = 2.14 \pm 0.12$ for 15 SMGs, while Ivison et al. (2010a) measured $q_L = 2.40 \pm 0.24$ for 65 Herschel 250 $\mu$m selected galaxies. This suggests that the AGN contribution is insignificant in HATLAS12−00.

We also do not see significant AGN contribution in the mid-IR. In Figure 4(b), we fit the SEDs of the local ULIRGs Mrk 231, Arp 220, and the $z = 2.3$ SMG “Cosmic Eyelash” (Ivison et al. 2010c) to the far-IR SED. The WISE upper limits lie well below the AGN-dominated ULIRG Mrk 231 but are more consistent with Arp 220 and the Eyelash. Therefore, we conclude that HATLAS12−00 is predominantly a starburst system.

## 5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We have presented high-resolution $K$-band, 880 $\mu$m, and CO(1$\rightarrow$0) observations and the near-IR-to-centimeter SED of a Herschel-selected strongly lensed SMG at $z = 3.2592$ (HATLAS12−00). The SMG shows distinctly different morphologies in the three images, suggesting differential magnification due to stratified morphologies. A joint strong lens modeling shows that the SMG is lensed by four galaxies at $z \sim 1$ and the luminosity-weighted magnification factors are $16.7 \pm 0.8$ in $K$, $7.6 \pm 1.5$ at 880 $\mu$m, and $6.9 \pm 1.6$ at CO(1$\rightarrow$0). In the source plane, the SMG consists of several stellar clumps extended over $\sim 1.6$ kpc with $(3.5 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ of stars, a compact ($\sim 1$ kpc) starburst enshrouded by $(7.0 \pm 2.0) \times 10^8$ of dust at $\sim 60$ K, and an extended ($\sim$6 kpc) cold molecular gas reservoir with $(7.4 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ of gas. The starburst and its gas reservoir are located $\sim 4$ kpc from the stars. Similar separations between optical and submillimeter/radio emission have been observed in unlensed high-redshift dusty starbursts (e.g., Tacconi et al. 2008; Casey et al. 2009; Bothwell et al. 2010; Carilli et al. 2010; Riechers et al. 2010). However, these previous results could also be attributed to astrometry offsets across different facilities. Casey et al. (2009) might be the only exception; they tied the astrometry of the images to larger radio and optical fields. In HATLAS12−00, the physical separations among stars, dust, and gas are less ambiguous because of the clear wavelength-dependent morphologies in the image plane: fortuitously, the stars and dust/gas straddle the caustic, so the less obscured $K$-band region is quadruply imaged while the heavily obscured starburst and its gas reservoir are doubly imaged. Because of the $\sim 4$ kpc separation between the stars and the gas-rich starburst and their similar masses, it is tempting to suggest that the SMG is in the process of a major merger, which presumably is driving the starburst activity in $z > 2$ SMGs (e.g., Tacconi et al. 2008; Engel et al. 2010). However, spatial separation of this scale can also be explained by differential dust obscuration in a single galaxy, as has been proposed for other high-redshift SMGs where spatial offsets have been observed between rest-frame UV and submillimeter (e.g., GN20 and AzTEC 3; Carilli et al. 2010; Riechers et al. 2010).

Differential magnification may affect the observed far-IR SED as well as the CO ladder, as hotter dust and higher-J CO lines may have more compact morphologies (Ivison et al. 2011). However, because the magnification map is smooth in the area, the six times difference in the sizes of the CO(1$\rightarrow$0) and the dust-emitting region only lead to a $\sim 10\%$ difference in magnification, which is smaller than the $1\sigma$ errors of our estimates of the magnification factors. Therefore, differential magnification is unlikely to be significant enough to affect the far-IR SED and future CO ladder measurements in HATLAS12−00.

How does the massive gaseous disk compare with the disks in other SMGs? We estimate a dynamical mass of $(3.2 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{11} M_\odot$ and a gas fraction of $f_{\text{gas}} = M_{\text{gas}}/M_{\text{dyn}} = 23 \pm 11\%$ for the CO(1$\rightarrow$0) disk using the “isotropic virial estimator” (e.g., Tacconi et al. 2008):
refrain from estimating the disk stability parameter of Toomre (1964).

HATLAS12–00 is a gas-rich, initial starburst system similar to unlensed SMGs and local ULIRGs. Its intrinsic IR luminosity well exceeds $10^{13} L_{\odot}$ (i.e., Hy-LIRG), implying an enormous rate of star formation ($190 \pm 400 M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$). Although the molecular gas reservoir is massive and it constitutes 68% $\pm$ 17% of the visible baryonic mass ($M_{\text{gas}} + M_{\text{dust}} + M_{\text{stellar}}$) and 23% $\pm$ 11% of the dynamical mass, it will exhaust in just 39 $\pm 14$ (A$_{CO}$/0.8) Myr at the current SFR (assuming no gas accretion). The star formation timescale, $t_{\text{dyn}} = M_{\text{dust}}/M_{\text{SFR}} = 18 \pm 13$ Myr, is only $\sim 1$% of the cosmic age at $z = 3.2592$ ($t_{\text{cosmic}} = 1.9$ Gyr), suggesting that HATLAS12–00 is an initial starburst system with maturity $\mu = t_{\text{dyn}}/t_{\text{cosmic}} \ll 1$ (Scoville et al. 2007). The specific SFR, $s_{\text{SFR}} = M_{\text{dust}}/M_{\text{SFR}} = 54 \pm 38$ Gyr$^{-1}$, is consistent with the average $z > 2$ SMGs, but it is an order of magnitude higher than the median value of the star-forming main sequence of Lyman break galaxies (1964).

The dust mass of unlensed SMGs (e.g., Michalowski et al. 2010), and the gas-to-dust ratio, $M_{\text{gas}}/M_{\text{dust}} = 110 \pm 40 (k_{SSO}/0.07 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1})(M_{CO}/0.8)$, is comparable to that of the Milky Way. Assuming that the dust emission is indicative of the size of the starburst and a starburst disk radius of $r_0 = 1$ kpc, the star formation surface density of $\Sigma_*= 600 \pm 120 M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-2}$ approaches the Eddington limit of radiation pressure supported starburst disks ($\Sigma_* \sim 10^5 M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-2}$, Scoville 2003; Thompson et al. 2005), similar to local ULIRGs such as Arp 220 and the host galaxy of the $z = 6.4$ quasar SDSS J114816.64+525150.3 (Walter et al. 2009).

In conclusion, HATLAS12–00 is a bona fide SMG with an intrinsic submillimeter flux density of $S_{850} = 9.2 \pm 2.2$ mJy. The starburst disk, where most of the molecular gas and dust reside, is spatially separated from the less obscured stellar population by $\sim 4$ kpc, suggesting either a major merger or differentiuated dust obscuration. The $\sim 1$ kpc radius starburst disk is presumably supported in large part by radiation pressure on the dust grains. Its physical properties, such as molecular gas mass, stellar mass, gas-to-dust ratio, gas fraction, SFR, star formation efficiency, and radio-to-far-IR luminosity ratio, are all very similar to unlensed $z > 2$ SMGs (Hainline et al. 2011; Wardlow et al. 2011; Michalowski et al. 2010; Kovács et al. 2006). The lensing boost of the effective angular resolution and sensitivity has allowed us to examine in unprecedented detail the properties of a typical starburst galaxy when the universe is only 1/7 of its current age. HATLAS12–00 provides a prelude to a golden age of SMG research, as Herschel is unveiling hundreds of strongly lensed SMGs before the mission completes (e.g., González-Nuevo et al. 2012; Wardlow et al. 2012).
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