Characterisation of isomiRs in stem cells

Geok Chin Tan

Institute of Reproductive and Developmental Biology Department of Surgery and Cancer Faculty of Medicine Imperial College London

Thesis submitted to Imperial College London for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Statement of Originality

All experiments included in this thesis were performed by me unless otherwise stated in the text.

Copyright Statement

'The copyright of this thesis rests with the author and is made available under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives licence. Researchers are free to copy, distribute or transmit the thesis on the condition that they attribute it, that they do not use it for commercial purposes and that they do not alter, transform or build upon it. For any reuse or redistribution, researchers must make clear to others the licence terms of this work'

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisor Dr Nicholas Dibb for giving me the opportunity to work in his lab and for all of his guidance and support throughout my PhD, without which this project would not have been possible. I am also very grateful to Dr Wei Cui for teaching me the technique of stem cell culture, her comments on my project related to stem cells and as a wonderful co-supervisor. I would like to also thank Professor Malcolm Parker for his supports and advise on academic and non-academic related subjects.

Many thanks to Elcie Chan for the generation of all the stem cell libraries which forms the platform for my project. My sincere thanks also to Gunter Meister for supplying the Argonaute antibodies, Leandro Castellano for the help in the design of RNA sponges, Laki Buluwela for the pTRIPz lentiviral vector and last but not least Alywn Dart from Charlotte Bevan group for the prostate cancer cell lines. To all IRDB members, especially the past and current members of the $5th$ and $1st$ floors. many thanks for all your help and advice over the years and for making my time at the IRDB so enjoyable.

My special thanks go to my wife and children, Pauline, Jonathan and Grace, for their continuous encouragement and supports. A special acknowledgement goes to the Malaysian Ministry of Higher Education, National University of Malaysia and Genesis Research Trust for funding my PhD scholarship and research grant, and for giving me the opportunity to continue exploring my scientific interest at a higher level.

Abstract

Since the inception of deep sequencing, isomiRs are consistently observed to be produced by most miRNA genes in a variety of cell types. Here I use northern blotting to show that isomiRs are not a sequencing artefact and I also observed that different cell lines and tissue types expressed distinctive isomiR patterns. All tested isomiRs could be immunoprecipitated with Argonaute proteins 1 or 2, indicating that they are functional.

IsomiRs with differences at the 5' end have a different seed sequence compared to the canonical/ annotated microRNA. Bioinformatics analysis predicts that 5' isomiRs will target large numbers of different mRNAs compared to their canonical counterpart and *vice versa*. These predictions were supported by my *in vitro* luciferase assays, which I used to establish that isomiR-9 has gained the ability to target DNMT3B and NCAM2 mRNA but has lost the ability to target CDH1. During this study I identified a number of new targets of miRNAs *in vitro*, all of which were confirmed by mutagenesis of the predicted target sites.

Moreover, I have made RNA sponge vectors that can distinguish between miR-9 and isomiR-9. The "isomiR-9 sponge" could specifically sequester isomiR-9 at a better efficiency than the canonical miR-9, which has just one base difference at the 5' end, and *vice-versa*. This adds further assurance that isomiRs can recognise different targets to canonical/ annotated microRNAs and also establishes a useful research tool for future studies.

Taken together, this study shows that isomiRs are capable of targeting 3' UTRs, can associate with Argonaute proteins and may have different target mRNAs to canonical mRNAs. I also discuss some examples of miRNA genes whose evolution is likely to have been influenced by isomiR production, which adds further support to the view that isomiRs are of biological and evolutionary importance.

Presentations and Publications

Tan GC, Sarkar R, Chandrashekran A, Cui W, Dibb NJ. "MicroRNA mediated reprogramming of fibroblasts to iPSCs by inducible lentiviral system" was presented as a poster in the Surgery and Cancer skill afternoon at Imperial College London on 11 January 2012.

Tan GC, Chan E, Sarkar R, Robinson S, Cui W, Dibb NJ. "IsomiRs are functional and have different set of target genes from their canonical microRNA" was presented as a poster in Cell Symposium: Functional RNAs in Spain on 2-4 December 2012.

Tan GC, Chan E, Sarkar R, Cui W, Molnar A, Meister G, Baulcombe D, Dibb NJ. "Canonical microRNAs and their isomiRs have different target genes" was presented as a poster in the "Biogenesis and turnover of small RNAs" meeting at the Royal Society, Edinburgh, UK on 15-17 January 2013. It was awarded the best poster prize by the Biochemical Society Transactions.

Tan GC, Dibb NJ. MicroRNA-induced pluripotent stem cells. Malays J Pathol 2012;34(2):167-168.

Tan GC, Chan E, Molnar A, Ellis P, Robinson S, Isa IM, Chauhan R, Sarkar R, Guillot P, Castellano L, Langford C, Cui W, Winston RM, Meister G, Baulcombe D, Dibb NJ. IsomiRs have specific cell and tissue expression patterns and can target different mRNAs (in preparation).

Content

Chapter 4 [Evaluation of miR-9 and isomiR-9 targets by RNA](#page-110-0) sponges[…………………………………………………………………………...112](#page-110-0)

Chapter 5 [MiR-302 cluster and somatic cell reprogramming](#page-131-0) 133

Abbreviations

List of Figures

List of Tables

List of supplementary tables

List of supplementary figures

Chapter 1 Introduction

1.1 The discovery of RNA interference

In 1998, Fire and colleagues reported that the injection of double stranded RNA caused the degradation of mRNA encoded by the gene *unc-22* of the small nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans.* They termed this effect RNA interference and showed that it was sequence specific and effective at concentrations far lower than the target *unc-22* mRNA, indicating the involvement of amplification effect in this process (Fire et al., 1998).

In parallel, David Baulcombe's group (Hamilton et al., 1999) discovered posttranscriptional gene silencing (PTGS) in plants. Both viral infection and transgenic expression in plants can induce PTGS, which targets both cellular and viral mRNA. It was inferred that PTGS operates through the generation of small RNA molecules of 21 to 25 nucleotides, which are now known as small interfering RNAs (siRNAs). Consequently, work on both animals and plants together revealed a highly conserved mechanism of RNA interference that had evolved at least in part for combating viral infection.

Two biochemistry groups were able to recapitulate RNA interference in cell free extracts from Drosophila cells, which led to the establishment of three phases of the RNA interference reaction: cleavage of a long dsRNA into shorter dsRNA segments by Dicer; the loading of single stranded RNA into the RISC (RNA-induced silencing complex) and the targeting and degradation of mRNA by this complex (Tuschl et al., 1999; Hammond et al., 2000; see below). Subsequently mutations of C.*elegans* that confirm resistance to RNA interference were found to disrupt genes that encoded components of RISC (Fire, 2007).

1.2 The discovery of microRNAs

Lee et al., (1993) identified two overlapping transcripts of the *lin-4* gene of *C. elegans*, of approximately 22 and 61 nts that inhibited the expression of *lin-14* through complementarity to the 3' untranslated region (UTR) of lin-14 mRNA. The 61 nucleotides molecule can also fold into a double-stranded "hairpin" (Lee et al., 1993). They suggested that lin-4 inhibits translation of lin-14 through an antisense RNA-RNA interaction. A similar conclusion was made by another group who reported that there were 7 conserved sites in the 3' UTR of lin-14, which were complementary to a portion of lin-4 RNA (Wightman et al., 1993).

Subsequently, it was shown that *lin-4* and a second gene *let-7* acted in a sequential stage specific expression pattern that regulates the timing of *C.elegans* development. The *let-7* gene encodes a 21-nucleotide RNA that is complementary to the 3' UTR of genes *lin-14, lin-28, lin-41, lin-42* and *daf-12*. *Let-7* is expressed at the adult but not embryonic stage. *Let-7* was also identified in humans, fruit flies, chickens, frogs, zebrafish, molluscs and sea urchins and the binding site in its target was conserved in some of these organisms (Reinhart et al., 2000; Pasquinelli et al., 2000). In 2001, three groups published their discovery of large number of similar small RNA molecules, referred to as microRNAs, in *C.elegans* and subsequently mouse (Lee et al., 2001; Lau et al., 2001; Lagos-Quintana et al., 2001, 2002). Remarkably, the cytoplasmic cellular machinery that mediates RNA interference is also responsible for

the generation of microRNAs (miRNAs). As described below, there are additional processing steps for miRNA generation that take place in the nucleus.

1.3 Non-coding RNAs

MicroRNAs belong to one of the classes of non-coding RNAs. Non-coding RNAs are functional RNAs that do not translate into protein. They comprise: transfer RNA (tRNA); ribosomal RNA (rRNA); small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA); microRNA; small interfering RNA (siRNAs); small nuclear RNA (snRNA); piwi-interacting RNA (piRNA), and long ncRNA (Figure 1.1; Morin et al., 2008). MicroRNAs are the most abundant and to date, approximately 1600 pre-miRNAs and 2040 mature human miRNAs have been identified (miRBase, August 2012, Griffith-Jones et al., 2004; Kozomara et al., 2011). MicroRNAs are about 19-25 nucleotides in length and are now known to have important post-transcriptional roles in almost every cellular process in eukaryotes. These processes include the regulation of developmental timing and signalling pathways, apoptosis, metabolism, myogenesis and cardiogenesis, brain development, and human pathologies like viral diseases, genetic disorders and cancer (Esquela-Kerscher et al., 2006; Kloosterman et al., 2006a; Shi et al., 2008).

Figure 1.1

Distribution of sequence count of 8 major classes of small RNAs in the hESCs small RNA library. They are represented as a fraction of the total sequences that has at least one perfect alignment to the human reference genome. MiRNA represents the most abundantly expressed class, i.e., >50% of the 8 classes of small RNAs. snRNA – small nuclear RNA; tRNA – transfer RNA; rRNA – ribosomal RNA; scaRNA – Small Cajal body-specific RNA; mRNA – messenger RNA. Reproduced from Morin et al., (2008).

1.4 MicroRNA

1.4.1 Biogenesis

MicroRNA genes can be located between genes as well as within the intron or exon regions of other genes in the human genome (Figure 1.2). The miRNA genes are transcribed into primary miRNA (pri-miRNA) by RNA polymerase II (Lee et al., 2004; Cai et al., 2004) or in some instances polymerase III (Borchert et al., 2006). These primary transcripts range from hundreds to thousands of nucleotides in length and can encode multiple precursor miRNAs (Breving et al., 2010).

Figure 1.2 Examples of genomic location of miRNA genes, also indicated is whether the direction of transcription is the same or opposite of the host gene.

Pri-miRNA undergoes processing by Drosha, an RNase III endonuclease (Lee et al., 2003). Drosha forms a microprocessor complex with DGCR8 (DiGeorge syndrome critical region gene 8), which is called Pasha in Drosophila and PASH-1 in C. *elegans* (Yeom et al., 2006; Han et al., 2009; Breving et al., 2010). This complex binds to stem loops within pri-miRNA and can excise and release precursor miRNA (premiRNA) (Basyuk et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2003) (Figure 1.3). DGRC8 assists Drosha to cleave \sim 11 bp away from the ssRNA-dsRNA junction (Han et al., 2006). The hairpin of pre-miRNA is \sim 70 nt in length. Not all miRNAs are dependent upon Droshamediated processing, these include miRNAs called mirtrons that are processed by splicing (Berezikov et al., 2007; Chan et al., 2007).

The pre-miRNA is then transported into the cytoplasm by Exportin-5 (Yi et al., 2003; Murchison et al., 2004). Here, the pre-miRNA is further processed by Dicer, also an RNase III endonuclease, resulting in the generation of a \sim 22 nt miRNA-miRNA* duplex (Grishok et al., 2001; Ketting et al., 2001), leaving the 5' phosphate and 2 nt 3' overhang characteristic of processing by an RNase III.

Figure 1.3 Biogenesis of miRNA.

MiRNA genes are transcribed into primary miRNA (pri-miRNA) transcripts that undergo processing by Drosha. The resulting hairpin precursor miRNAs (premiRNAs) are transported to the cytoplasm by exportin 5 (XPO5 on figure). The Dicer complex removes the loop region from pre-miRNAs, and one strand of the resulting duplex is bound by Argonaute to form an miRNA-induced silencing complex (miRISC), which targets mRNAs for regulation. While the other strand, which is often called the star strand (miRNA*), is degraded. Taken from Pasquinelli, 2012. Copyright permission obtained from author and Nature Publishing Group.

1.4.2 The complexity of miRNA regulation

The regulation of miRNA biogenesis is under transcriptional, post-transcriptional and feedback loops controls. Studies have shown that miRNAs expression differ in developmental stages and tissue types. Therefore, precise control of miRNA biogenesis is crucial in the maintenance normal cellular function (Kim et al., 2009).

Transcriptional control

Various Pol II-associated transcription factors are involved in the control of miRNA transcription. For instance, in the studies of myogenesis, Rao et al., (2006) found that myogenin and myoD1 bind to regions upstream to muscle specific miRNAs (miR-1 and miR-133 cluster) and likely to regulate their expression. Meanwhile, Chen et al., (2006) showed that miR-1 and miR-133 are regulated by serum response factor (SRF).

Post-transcriptional control

The primary miRNA let-7 is found in both undifferentiated stem cells and differentiated cells. However, interestingly mature let-7 is only identified in differentiated cells, because it is under post-transcriptional control. Many studies have established that the RNA binding protein Lin28 is responsible for the inhibition of let-7 maturation (Nam et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2009; Lehrbach et al., 2010). RNA editing is another post-transcriptional control mechanism, where adenine is altered to inosine by adenine deaminases (Yang et al., 2006; Kawahara et al., 2007). In addition, there are other proteins that are involved in the post-transcriptional regulation of miRNAs (Siomi et al., 2010; Guil et al., 2007; Davis et al., 2008; Trabucchi et al., 2009).

Feedback loop control

Two types of feedback loops have been observed: (1) single negative feedback and (2) double negative feedback. Martinez and colleagues analysed the transcription factors that are associated with miRNAs and predicted targets of miRNAs which are also transcription factors and found that many of the transcription factors are repressed by the same miRNA that activated it (Martinez et al., 2008). Let-7 and lin28 are an

example of a double negative feedback loop. Lin28 blocks let-7 biogenesis, whereas let-7 suppresses lin28 protein synthesis (Kim et al., 2009).

1.4.3 Mechanism of target selection

Mature miRNA is held at both ends by an Argonaute protein in the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) that guides the miRNA towards target mRNAs resulting in reduced protein production, via mechanisms that are still under investigation, namely mRNA destabilisation, deadenylation or translational repression. In animals, miRNAs usually form incomplete complementary duplexes with their mRNA targets, which are normally located at the 3' UTR. The canonical site of target recognition is the "seed region" which is located at nucleotides 2 to 7 or 2 to 8 at the 5' end of the miRNA and often has perfect complementarity pairing to the target mRNA (Bartel, 2009). Atypical sites have also been described such as the interaction between let-7 and lin-41 in C. elegans. In this example, imperfect pairing of the seed region at the 5' end is compensated for by pairing at the 3' end (Vella et al., 2004; Bartel, 2009; Pasquinelli, 2012). Recently, central pairing (nucleotides 4 to 15) been shown to lead to Ago2 mediated target cleavage (Shin et al., 2010).

1.4.4 Argonaute protein

Human Ago1, Ago3 and Ago4 genes are located on chromosome 1, whereas the Ago2 gene is on chromosome 8 (Hock et al., 2008). In addition, Ago2 is the only one with 'slicer' activity and therefore capable of cleaving target mRNA (Liu et al., 2004). Ago2 also mediates the action of interfering RNA. Argonaute protein consists of 3 domains: PIWI, MID and PAZ. The PAZ domain recognises the 2 nucleotides at the 3' overhang of the miRNA duplex that is produced by Dicer (Cenik et al., 2011). The

5' monophosphate of the miRNA is buried within the MID domain, while the 3' end is exposed at the PAZ domain. Based on the structure of Ago2, it favours binding of small RNAs that begin with an adenosine (A) or uridine (U) at the 5' end. These features of Ago proteins might be important in the loading of miRNA into the RISC complex.

1.4.5 Star strands

In general, one strand of the RNA duplex denoted as the guide strand preferentially accumulates (Schwarz et al., 2003). This strand is often assumed to be the dominant functional product that is incorporated into the RISC to direct translational repression or degradation of mRNA (Hutvagner, 2005). The opposite strand is referred to as the passenger or star strand (miR*) and usually is less frequently sequenced (Lagos-Quintana et al., 2002; Aravin et al., 2003; Lim et al., 2003). In some instances both miR and miR* are equally expressed (Kloosterman et al., 2006b; Stark et al., 2007).

In the cloning and sequencing data of Chan (unpublished; see chapter 5) of human embryonic stem cells (hESCs), miR-302a* was detected as the dominant strand, in fact, it was sequenced 20 times more than the guide strand of miR-302a, suggesting that it might be a functional strand. Indeed, recent studies have demonstrated that the star strand of a precursor miRNA can be associated with Argonaute proteins (Ghildiyal et al., 2010) and the inhibitory effect of miR* has been shown in cultured cell and transgenic animals (Okamura et al., 2008).

Many deep sequencing studies indicate that the dominant strand of the mature miRNA can switch in different tissues and at different developmental times (Ro et al., 2007;

Ruby et al., 2007; de Wit et al., 2009; Chiang et al., 2010). The predicted targets of miR and miR* differ significantly (Griffiths-Jones et al., 2011). The process of switching between miR and miR* in different tissues is referred to as arm switching and is suggested to be a fundamental mechanism in the evolution of miRNA function (de Wit et al., 2009; Griffith-Jones et al., 2011).

In Drosophila, reports showed that star strands are associated with Ago proteins (Ghildiyal et al. 2010; Okamura et al., 2008). Recently, miR-24-2* was found to be preferentially expressed in MCF7 breast cancer cells where it might have a tumour suppression role. Ectopic expression of miR-24-2* resulted in reduced cell survival through the suppression of protein kinase C alpha ($PKC\alpha$) (Martin et al., 2012). Interestingly, in a study where either miR-10a or miR-10a* was transfected into Group B coxsackievirus (RLuc-CVB3) infected HEK cells, only miR-10a* was found to up-regulate the biosynthesis of CVB3. The authors suggested that miR-10a* might be involved in viral pathogenesis (Tong et al., 2013). Bioinformatics analysis showed that a substantial fraction of miRNA* species are stringently conserved over vertebrate evolution, with greatest conservation in their seed regions (Yang et al., 2011). It was also found that the 3' UTR target sites that match the seed sequence of miRNA* species are under demonstrable selective conservation (Okamura et al., 2008).

1.5 IsomiRs

1.5.1 The identification of isomiRs

The advent of high-throughput deep sequencing has led to the detection of large numbers of miRNAs (Morin et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2010; Cloonan et al., 2011). In these miRNA libraries, miRNAs encoded by the same gene frequently exhibited variation in length from the canonical sequence annotated in miRBase, as a result of an addition or deletion at the 5' or 3' ends or both. These variants were termed as isomiRs (Neilsen et al., 2012). They can be categorised into 5' isomiRs, 3' isomiRs and mixed 5' and 3' isomiRs.

To date, isomiRs have been detected in a variety of cell lines and cancers such as hESCs, endothelial cells, 293T cells, prostate cancer, gastric cancer, breast cancer and leukemic cells (Morin et al., 2008; Bar et al., 2008; Kuchenbauer et al., 2008; Lipchina et al., 2011; Voellenkle et al., 2012; Watahiki et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012; Chang et al., 2012). Currently, the isomiR databases that are available in the web include miRBase (Griffith-Jones et al., 2004), YM500 (Cheng et al., 2012), Hood lab (Institute of Systems Biology, 2012), miRGator v3.0 (Cho et al., 2012; Narry Kim lab) and SeqBuster (Pantano et al., 2010) (Table 1.1).

Table 1.1 List of isomiR databases

Despite the large number of isomiRs that have been detected, there is relatively little experimental proof that they are functional (Fernandez-Valverde et al., 2010; Burroughs et al., 2011; Fukunaga et al., 2012; Humphreys et al., 2012; Lloren et al., 2013). Dominant isomiRs were found to be differentially expressed across *Drosophila melanogaster* development and tissues (Fernandez-Valverde et al., 2010). Burrough et al., (2011) showed that isomiRs can associate with Ago protein. Using an assay that shows Ago2 cleaves target mRNA at nucleotide positions 10 and 11 from the 5' end of small RNA (Beitzinger et al., 2007), Azuma-Mukai et al., (2008) showed that 5' isomiRs were able to participate in Ago2-mediated RNA cleavage.

It was subsequently shown that altering the Dicer partner proteins could change the choice of the cleavage site, producing isomiRs with different target specificities and function in Drosophilia (Fukunaga et al., 2012). Recently, Lloren et al., (2013), analysed gene expression by microarray after transfecting miR-101 and isomiR-101 into SH-SY5Y cells. They found that isomiR-101 has an overall weaker inhibitory effect than miR-101 and largely targeted the same set of genes. Only two of the genes that were found to be down-regulated in isomiR-101 transfected cells were not regulated by miR-101.

1.5.2 Origin of isomiRs

There has been some concern that isomiRs are simply sequencing artefacts. However, "spike in" synthetic RNA oligonucleotide experiments indicate that isomiR identification far exceeds error rates (Wyman et al., 2011). 3' isomiRs are the most frequently observed isomiRs (Wyman et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2010; Burroughs et al., 2011; Newman et al., 2011). Although not as frequent, 5' isomiRs were also detected. This heterogeneity in length is thought to arise in part from imprecise cleavage by Drosha or Dicer, which would be expected to give rise to equivalent numbers of 3' or 5' isomiRs that will otherwise match the parent gene and for this reason are referred to as templated (Neilsen et al., 2012). Non-templated refers to post-transcriptional modifications such as A to I editing that may not match the parent gene. The excess of 3' isomiRs that are observed are thought to arise by trimming, adenylation or uridylation (Han et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2011; Wyman et al., 2011; Heo et al., 2012). In addition, Liu et al., (2011) showed that knockdown of *Nibbler* (a 3' to 5' exoribonuclease) was accompanied by loss of some 3' isomiRs.

The 3' ends of miRNA extend from the PAZ domain of the Argonaute protein and are therefore available to exonucleolytic attack (Schirle et al., 2012; Elkayam et al., 2012), whereas the 5' ends of miRNAs are buried within the MID domain and are protected

(Neilsen et al., 2012). Wu et al., (2009) showed that alternative processing of primary miRNA by Drosha and DGCR8 can generate precursor miRNA with or without 5' end variation. Eventually, these precursor miRNAs may undergo 3' end modification which produces mature miRNAs having 5', 3' or mix variations (Wu et al., 2009).

In principle, 5' isomiRs have different seed regions to their canonical miRNA and therefore could have a different subset of target genes. Although miRBase (August 2012) has included isomiRs in their database, miRNAs are still annotated as a single mature miRNA sequence.

1.6 Target prediction programs

As a result of the use of cloning and high throughput deep sequencing, thousands of miRNAs have been discovered. Target prediction programs have been created to attempt to generate predictions of miRNA targets based on genome wide computational search for miRNA and mRNA UTR complementary sites. The initial clue came from the observation that lin-4 complementarity to multiple conserved sites to the 3' UTR of lin-14 mRNA is required for the repression of lin-14 (Lee et al., 1993; Wightman et al., 1993; Bartel., 2009).

The most significant contribution to target recognition was the identification of Watson-Crick miRNA-mRNA perfect complementarity of 6 to 8 bp at the 5' end of miRNA and 3' UTR of mRNA (Lewis et al., 2003; Rakewsky et al., 2004). As a result, the initial method of target prediction was based on complementarity of the miRNA to the target site and the predicted free energy of the miRNA-mRNA duplex (Rakewsky

et al., 2004; Rakewsky et al., 2006). Subsequently, a new generation of miRNA target prediction programs emerged in 2005 that are based on more extensive bioinformatics analysis using cross-species comparison (Lewis et al., 2005).

In TargetScan (Lewis et al., 2005), miRNA targets are predicted by searching for Watson-Crick base pairing matches between the seed region and 3' UTRs that are conserved via whole genome alignment. Based on a prediction study, more than 5300 human genes were predicted targets of miRNA, which represented 30% of the human gene set (Lewis et al., 2005). Figure 1.4 illustrated the conserved predicted miRNA target sites in the 3' UTR of NCAM2 (long red arrows). Intriguingly, there are a few other conserved sites (short yellow arrows) that are not predicted target sites of any canonical/ annotated miRNA (Figure 1.4). These sites could be undiscovered target sites of isomiRs or perhaps targets of RNA binding proteins. Another related example is the mRNA encoded by the BACE2 gene, there are 3 highly conserved sites and one of these is a predicted target site of let-7. Notably, one of the remaining two conserved sites is a target site of isomiR-9 (Figure 1.4, see Chapter 3).

Figure 1.4 Conserved miRNA target sites in the 3' UTR of NCAM2 and BACE2. Long red arrows represent known miRNA target sites. Short yellow arrows denote conserved sites that are not known to be a target of any canonical/ annotated miRNA. Reproduced and modified from USCS genome browser.

Table 1.2 lists some of the miRNA target prediction programs that are available on the web. These programs differ in their selection criteria like the stringency of seed complementarity and measurement of base pairing stability and selection of different UTR sequence (Bartel, 2009; Ritchie et al., 2009). Different prediction databases

predict different sets of target genes, for example the predicted targets generated from TargetScan and MiRanda overlap by 39.5% only (Ritchie et al., 2009). The differences in prediction might result from the used of different 3' UTR sequence in the prediction programs (Bartel, 2009). So far, only a handful of these predictions have been experimentally validated (Rosa et al., 2009; Barroso-delJesus et al., 2011).

Table 1.2 List of target prediction tools. All target prediction programs depend on seed target complementarity. In addition, some include conservation and/or free energy measurement. Adapted from Bartel, (2009).

A large scale approach using mass spectrometry to measure protein level reduction after miRNA transfection has revealed that a 7mer-A1 match, which has only 6 complementary base pairs (Figure 1.5) was more effective than a complete 1-7mer Watson-Crick match (Baek et al., 2008). Therefore, an A in the UTR which aligns with miRNA nucleotide 1 favours miRNA-mediated protein down-regulation, even when the A in the UTR does not participate in a Watson-Crick interaction. This also explains the preferential conservation of an A at position 1 of UTR target sites (Lewis et al., 2005). In animals, the currently recognised canonical types of miRNA target sites that involve the seed region include 7mer-A1, 7mer-m8 and 8mer (Figure 1.5). Other atypical types include 3' supplementary and compensatory sites that have pairing at the seed region as well as additional pairing at the 3' end to enhance target recognition, usually at position 13 to 16 nucleotides from 5' end (Bartel, 2009). Recently, other atypical sites have also been discovered, known as central pairing that has 11 to 12 contiguous Watson-Crick pairing at the centre but lacks pairing at both the seed region and 3' end (Shin et al., 2010).

8mer

Figure 1.5 Examples of the canonical type of miRNA – mRNA target interaction. Vertical line represents Watson-Crick base pairing.

Farh and colleagues reported that the predicted non-conserved target sites outnumbered the conserved ones by ten to one (Farh et al., 2005). Using reporter assays, the authors showed that a large proportion of the non-conserved target sites can function. However, analysis of mRNA and miRNA expression profile revealed that 3' UTR with non-conserved target sites are most often found in genes that are expressed in tissue where the complementary miRNA is absent (Farh et al., 2005).

Grimson et al., (2007) showed there were other features in the 3' UTR that increased target site efficacy such as: (1) target sites that are positioned away from the centre of long UTRs. One possible explanation is that sites at the centre would have the opportunity to fold from segments at either sides but sites near the end would not (Bartel, 2009). (2) An AU-rich nucleotide composition near the site and (3) positioning within the 3' UTR at least 15 nt from the stop codon. In fact, by at large conserved 7-mer target sites were preferentially found in the above mentioned areas (Gaidatzis et al., 2007; Grimson et al., 2007; Majoros et al., 2007).

UTRs may contain multiple targeting sites. The repression response in a 3' UTR with multiple sites is nearly the same as that observed in the sum of each site independently (Grimson et al., 2007; Nielsen et al., 2007), showing that there is an additive effect in these cases (Doench et al., 2003). In theory, miRNAs might also act synergistically. Bartel, (2009) suggested that cooperative miRNA function could provide a mechanism where repression can become more sensitive to small changes in miRNA expression levels, which greatly enhances their regulatory effect. It was also found that repression was enhanced when the distance between two target sites was between 13 and 35 nucleotides (Saetrom et al., 2007).

Other factors that could influence targeting include (1) the presence of naturally occurring decoy mRNA that might compete with 3' UTR in miRNA binding, thus reducing the amount of free miRNA (Franco-Zorrilla et al., 2007; Poliseno et al. 2010). (2) Competing RNA-binding proteins like deadend 1 (DND1) that might shield target sites from miRNA RNA-induced silencing complex (miRISC) binding (Kedde et al., 2007). (3) There are factors that might associate with the RISC and influence its regulation either positively or negatively, for example NHL2 and meiotic P26 (Mei-P26) (Neumüller et al., 2008; Hammell et al., 2009).

In addition to 3' UTRs, experiments showed that miRNA targeting can also occur at the 5' UTR and open reading frame (ORF) (Kloosterman et al., 2004; Lytle et al., 2007). Indeed, a large number of targets in the ORF were observed by computational genome wide analyses (Farh et al., 2005; Lewis et al., 2005; Lim et al., 2005; Easow et al., 2007: Grimson et al., 2007; Baek et al., 2008). However, ORF targeting is probably less frequent and less effective than 5' UTR and 3' UTR targeting, probably due to displacement of the silencing complex at this position by the translation machinery (Bartel, 2004; Bartel, 2009).

In addition, Argonaute protein could also influence the processing and loading of miRNAs. For example Ago2 favours the binding of small RNAs that begin with an adenosine (A) or uridine (U) at the 5' end (Frank et al., 2010; Cenik et al., 2011).

1.7 MicroRNA sponges

MicroRNA sponges were first described by Ebert et al., (2007) and Franco-Zorrilla et al., (2007). These sponges are decoy mRNAs that compete with endogenous mRNA for base pairing with miRNAs (Figure 1.6). The first naturally occurring RNA sponge was discovered in a plant (Franco-Zorrilla et al., 2007). The authors reported that a non–protein coding gene called induced by phosphate starvation (IPS1) from *Arabidopsis thaliana* contained a motif with sequence complementarity to miR-399. Interestingly, the pairing is interrupted by a mismatched loop at the expected miRNA cleavage site (nucleotides 10-11), which protected it from cleavage by Argonaute. Hence, IPS1 RNA is not cleaved but instead sequesters miR-399. In addition, IPS1 overexpression resulted in increased accumulation of the miR-399 target PHO2 mRNA which encodes an E2 ubiquitin conjugase–related protein.

Figure 1.6 RNA sponge competes with target mRNA for binding with miRNA. Sponge RNAs (in red) contain binding sites (small grey rectangle) for miRNA of interest (grey hexagons). Target mRNAs are in blue. Blue oval represents protein output. Taken from Ebert et al., (2010a). Copyright permission obtained from author and Elsevier.
Naturally occurring miRNA sponges have also been reported in mammalian cells. These sponges are transcribed from pseudogenes (Poliseno et al., 2010). PTENP1 is an example of a naturally occurring RNA sponge. Its 3' UTR has similar conserved binding sites with that of the 3' UTR of PTEN. PTENP1 was found to be selectively lost in human cancer and appears to act as a decoy for miRNAs that target PTEN. It was found that knockdown of PTENP1, increased the abundance of PTEN targeting miRNAs, which led to a reduction of PTEN mRNA and protein levels (Poliseno et al., 2010). Based on alignment studies, other possible pseudogenes have been identified that could act as decoys for miR-145, the miR-1 family, miR-182, miR-143 and let-7 which are thought to regulate OCT4, CX43, FOXO3B and KRAS1P respectively (Poliseno et al., 2010). These natural miRNA decoys have been termed as "competitive endogenous RNAs" (ceRNAs) (Poliseno et al., 2010; Cesana et al., 2011; Karreth et al., 2011; Sumazin et al., 2011).

For cells that are difficult to transfect, viral vectors can be used to stably express RNA sponges (Ebert et al., 2010a, b). Haraguchi et al., (2009) described another type of transgenic expression RNA sponge termed TuD RNAs or ''tough decoy''. Their prototype decoy consisted of a stem loop hairpin with the miRNA binding site located at the single stranded loop region. After comparing various models of their tough decoys, the most effective one has two multiple binding sites which are flanked by two stem structures (Figure 1.7). It was also found that these RNA decoys were stable and could achieve long term suppression of miRNA (Haraguchi et al., 2009). As some miRNAs have been found to be very stable and to have *in-vivo* half lifes of more than a week (van Rooij et al., 2007; Bail et al., 2010), RNA sponges might be an effective way to sequester and thereby inhibit miRNA activity.

Figure 1.7 TuD RNA or tough decoy.This decoy RNA contains two multiple binding site (MBS) regions, which are flanked by two stem structures through 3-nt linker. Taken from Haraguchi et al., (2009).

1.8 MicroRNA and Stem Cells

1.8.1 MicroRNA and human embryonic stem cells

Embryonic stem cells (ESCs) are derived from the inner cell mass of the blastocyst stage of an embryo (Martin, 1981; Thomson et al., 1998). They are pluripotent and therefore have the ability to differentiate into any type of specialised cells in the three embryonic germ layers (endoderm, ectoderm and mesoderm) and can also replicate indefinitely in the undifferentiated state (Martinez et al., 2010). More importantly, hESCs have a normal karyotype, maintain high telomerase activity, and exhibit remarkable unlimited expansion in culture. Hence, hECSs are a useful *in-vitro* system to study the mechanisms underlying human development (Odorico et al., 2001).

A network of transcriptional factors and RNA binding proteins have been identified, known as "stemness factors" that are involved in the maintenance of stem cell identity. These factors include Oct4, Sox2, Nanog, Lin28 and Klf4 (Marson et al., 2008). Card et al., (2008) showed that Oct4 and Sox2 bind to the conserved promoter region of the miR-302 cluster and regulate its expression. In addition, the miR-302 cluster might also be involved in cell cycle regulation by its repression of cyclin D1 (Card et al., 2008). Similarly to Oct4, the miR-302 cluster was found to be down-regulated upon

differentiation (Card et al., 2008). Inhibition of miR-302 resulted in downregulation of pluripotency markers, whereas overexpression of miR-302 lead to upregulation of these genes (Rosa et al., 2009). Similarly, Barroso-delJeus et al., (2008) also found that there were conserved binding sites for Oct4, Sox2 and Rex1 upstream to the miR-302 cluster indicating that they might be regulators of the cluster.

It was also found that let-7 induced stem cell differentiation through the repression of multiple stemness factors include Lin28, Sall4 and c-Myc, where let-7 binding sites were found in their 3' UTRs (Melton et al., 2010). The global loss of miRNAs in DGCR8 deficient ESCs resulted in defects in proliferation and differentiation (Gangaraju et al., 2009). In addition, Dicer-deficient mice die at early stages of development (Martinez et al., 2010). Therefore, maintenance of self-renewal and induction of differentiation of ESCs is tightly regulated by miRNAs (Figure 1.8).

Figure 1.8 Regulation of self-renewal and differentiation by miRNAs. The left part of the figure shows miRs that facilitate, directly induce, or inhibit reprogramming of iPS cells. The right part summarizes miRs that were shown to control ESC maintenance and differentiation. Taken from Heinrich et al., (2012). Copyright permission obtained from author and Wolters Kluwer Health.

1.8.2 Reprogramming using the miR-302 cluster

Cloning and deep sequencing of hESCs consistently identified the miR-302 cluster as the most abundant and specific miRNA in stem cells (Suh et al., 2004, Bar et al., 2008, Morin et al., 2008; Lipchina et al., 2011; Chan, unpublished). MiR-302 is a polycistronic cluster that houses 5 precursor miRNAs, i.e. miR-302b, miR-302c, miR-302a, miR-302d and miR-367. In the human genome, it is 688 nt in length and located in intron 8 of the Larp7 gene in chromosome 4 (see Chapter 5). Interestingly, it is possible to reprogram a differentiated cell back to its unspecialised state, also known as an induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC). This can be achieved by introducing stemness genes, namely *oct4, sox2, klf4* and *c-myc* (OSKM) transcription factors (Takahashi et al., 2006). Recently, it was found that the miR-302 cluster alone can reprograme both mouse and human fibroblasts to iPSCs with high efficiency (1-10%) (Anokye-Danso et al., 2011; see Chapter 5).

Several groups have addressed the mechanism of somatic cell reprogramming by miRNAs (Rosa et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2013). Lin et al., (2011) suggested that the mechanism of reprogramming by the miR-302 cluster involves targeted suppression of four epigenetic regulators including Lysine-specific demethylase 1 (LSD1 also known as KDM1 or AOF2), Lysine-specific histone demethylase 2 (AOF1), MECP1-p66 and methyl CpG binding protein 2 (MECP2), leading to global demethylation. As global demethylation naturally occurs in 2 stages of development, i.e., (1) during early embryogenesis and (2) at the initial stage of gametogenesis, the authors suggested that global demethylation can reset the cell back to its pluripotent state (Lin et al., 2011).

Studies have also shown that the miR-302 cluster is involved in the maintenance of pluripotency and is involved in the regulation of a number of cell signalling pathways including TGFb/nodal signalling and cyclin D1 regulation (Rosa et al., 2009; Lipchina et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2008; Card et al., 2008; Subramanyam et al., 2011; Sun et al., 1999).

The combination of target prediction, miRNA perturbation and PAR-CLIP experiments has given great insight into the targets of the mir-302 cluster. Using these assays, Lipchina and colleagues (2011) identified 146 high confidence targets of miR-302 cluster. Furthermore, inhibition of the miR-302 cluster reduced proliferation whereas overexpression increased proliferation of stem cells (Lipchina et al., 2011).

Generating disease-specific or patient-specific iPSCs provides the opportunity to study the diseases in an *in vitro* situation with greater flexibility and to gain mechanistic insight into the disease (Bellin et al., 2012). iPSCs can also be used for drug screening and development of patient-specific therapy and for the study of rare genetic disorders. In addition, it might be useful for the exploration of cell-based and gene repair therapies (Figure 1.9; Robinton et al., 2012). For example, iPSC models have been used to study cardiomyocytes with Type 1 long QT syndrome and using this model it was found that treatment with propanolol, a β-adrenergic receptor blocker, attenuated the QT phenotype. Meanwhile, Itzhaki et al., (2011) found that nifedipine, a calcium channel blocker improved Type 2 long QT syndrome phenotype. Agarwal et al., (2010) studied the biology of telomerase using an iPSC model of dyskeratosis congenital, a disorder of telomere maintenance. In addition, other iPSC disease models that have been reported include Alzheimer's disease (Israel et al.,

2012), Huntington's disease (Camnasio et al., 2012), Parkinson's disease (Soldner et al., 2009), Timothy syndrome (Yazawa et al., 2011), Pompe's disease (Huang et al., 2011), spinal muscular atrophy (Ebert et al., 2009) and familial dysautonomia (Lee et al., 2009).

Figure 1.9 Application of iPSCs in a patient specific model. Patient-specific iPS cells - in this case derived by ectopic co-expression of transcription factors in cells isolated from a skin biopsy and used in one of the two pathways. Taken from Robinton et al., (2012). Copyright permission obtained from author and Nature Publishing Group.

All of the above studies would be helped by improvements in iPSC reprogramming efficiency. Therefore, studies are necessary to find better techniques to improve reprogramming efficiency. My aim was to determine if miR-302 cluster can reprogram human fibroblast back to its pluripotent state and to identify targets of miR-302a*.

1.8.3 MicroRNAs and neural progenitor/ stem cells

Neural stem cells (NSC) are multipotent cells that can differentiate into cells of the central nervous system (CNS) such as neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes (Alvarez-Buylla et al., 2002). NSCs can be derived from embryonic stem cells (Gerrard et al., 2005) or adult nervous system and can be cultivated *in-vitro* (Bonnamain et al., 2012), in the presence of growth factors like bFGF (Vescovi et al., 1993) and EGF (Reynolds et al., 1992). Transplantation of NSCs or recruitment of endogenous adult NSCs might be a potential strategy for the treatment of spinal cord injury (Ronaghi et al., 2010). Moreno-Manzano et al., (2009) reported that transplantation of ependymal stem progenitor cells that were derived from adult rat spinal cord that suffered a traumatic lesion lead to a functional motor recovery.

In cloning and deep sequencing of NSC, a subset of miRNAs was noted to be highly expressed and some were highly specific to NSC (Lipchina et al., 2011; Chan, unpublished). In both Lipchina et al., (2011) and Chan sequencing results, miR-9 was one of the top 3 most abundant and specific miRNAs in NSC. MiR-9 is a highly conserved miRNA and expressed primarily in the CNS (Kapsimali et al., 2007). The human genome has three miR-9 genes termed hsa-miR-9-1, hsa-miR-9-2 and hsamiR-9-3, which encode an identical mature miR-9 (5p) and miR-9* (3p). The hsamiR-9-1 gene is located in the intron 2 of C1orf61 gene in chromosome 1. The hsamiR-9-2 is located in the exon of the LINC00461 gene in chromosome 5. The hsamiR-9-3 gene is located in an intergenic region, although it partially overlaps with a non-coding RNA (LOC254559) on chromosome 15.

Remarkably, expression of miR-9/9*, miR-124 and neuroD2 converted human fibroblasts to neurons (Yoo et al., 2011). Expressing neuroD2 alone did not produce neurons but its inclusion enhanced this process. Expression of miR-9 and miR-124 was enough to cause a reduction in proliferation and to induce neuron-like morphology, but the efficiency was low (Yoo et al., 2011). Bonev et al., (2011) reported that depletion of miR-9 reduced neuronal differentiation, both at the forebrain and hindbrain in *Xenopus Tropicalis*.

Le and colleagues (2009) used retinoid acids to induce the differentiation of neuroblastoma cells into neuron-like cells. In the process, they measured miRNA levels by microarray and northern blotting, and identified 6 miRNAs that were consistently upregulated during the process of differentiation, namely miR-7, miR-124a, miR-125b, miR-199a, miR-199a* and miR-214. Subsequently, the authors showed that ectopic expression of miR-124a and miR-125b significantly increased the percentage of differentiated cells with neurite outgrowth. Using a microarray, they found 388 genes that were repressed by ectopic expression of miR-125b. Out of these 388 genes, 164 were targets of prediction programs. Ten target genes of miR-125b were validated by reporter assays. These genes are involved in metabolism, proliferation and apoptosis (Le et al., 2009).

MiR-9 was reported to have an association with neurological disorders (Yuva-Aydemir et al., 2011). It has been reported that alcohol increased miR-9 expression in supraoptic nucleus neurons and striatal neurons in an adult rat brain (Pietrzykowski et al., 2008). Increased levels of miR-9 were also found post-mortem, in the brains of patients with Alzheimer disease (Lukiw et al., 2007). In contrast, miR-9 was

downregulated in cerebral ischemia due to middle cerebral artery occlusion in rats (Jeyaseelan et al., 2008).

It is certain that miRNAs play an essential role in the maintenance of pluripotency and differentiation of human embryonic stem cells. Identifying the specific targets of miRNAs during hESC differentiation will help to elucidate the regulation of this complex mechanism.

1.9 Project Aims

1. To determine if isomiRs are functional and whether 5' isomiRs can inhibit the expression of different mRNAs compared to the canonical/ annotated miRNA.

2. To test if it is possible to inhibit specific isomiRs by using sponge vectors.

3. To identify targets of miR-302a* and to determine if miR-302a* is important for the induction of pluripotent stem cells from somatic cells.

Hypothesis

As 5' isomiRs have different seed region to their canonical or annotated counterparts, my hypothesis is that 5' isomiRs could have different sets of target genes.

Chapter 2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Cell culture

2.1.1 General cell culture

All culture dishes (Corning, Costar), flasks (Corning), and serological plugged pipettes (Corning, Costar) used were suitable for sterile tissue culture. Unless otherwise stated, all cell lines used in the experiment were cultured in D10 media (Dulbeco's Modified Medium (DMEM) (Invitrogen, Gibco) supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat inactivated Foetal Bovine Serum (FBS) (PAA Laboratories), 50 U/ml penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen, Gibco) and 200 μM glutamine (Invitrogen, Gibco). Experiments were carried out in sterile condition, in a Class II flow cabinet and all cells were maintained at 37° C in 5% CO₂.

Generally, cells were passaged twice weekly or once they reached approximately 80% confluency. Prior to incubating with 0.25% trypsin (Invitrogen, Gibco) for 5 minutes at 37° C in 5% CO₂, cells were washed in PBS. Subsequently, the cells were resuspended in D10 media and centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 minutes. Then, the cell pellet was resuspended in D10 and plated to the required density.

2.1.2 Freezing cell lines

After washing with PBS, adherent cells were incubated with 1 ml of 0.25% trypsin in a 6-well plate. Then, 9 mls of D10 media was added to inactivate the trypsin. The cells were centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 minutes and re-suspended in D10 media with 10% dimethyl sulfoxide and promptly aliquoted into 1 ml cryotubes. The cells were

frozen slowly in a cryo freezing container containing isopropyl alcohol at -80°C for at least 48 hrs. Subsequently, they were stored either in -80° C or in liquid nitrogen.

2.1.3 Production of mouse embryonic fibroblast-conditioned medium (MEF-CM) for hESCs culture

Mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEF) were grown and expanded in D10 media to passages 3 or 4 depending on the speed of cell growth. Cells were then trypsinised and collected into 50ml Falcon tubes and counted. Followed by irradiation at 40 Grays (4000 rads), centrifuged at 800rpm for 4 minutes and plated at 18.8×10^6 cells into gelatin-coated T225 flasks with D10 media. Subsequently, 150 ml KSR media (KO DMEM and KO serum replacement, supplemented with 4ng/ml Fibroblast Growth Factor basic (FGF2) (Peprotech))(Knockout™ DMEM is a basal medium from Invitrogen, optimized for growth of undifferentiated embryonic and induced pluripotent stem cells and Knockout™ Serum Replacement from Invitrogen, is a defined, serum-free formulation optimized to grow and maintain undifferentiated ES cells in culture) was added to replace D10 media the next day. Collection was carried out continuously for 7 days. The collected media, called mouse embryonic fibroblastconditioned media (MEF-CM) was stored at -80○C. Upon use, MEF-CM was thawed in water-bath at 37^oC and L-glutamine and P/S before added being filtered and kept at 4° C.

2.1.4 Preparation of matrigel coated plates

5 mls of stock matrigel (Invitrogen, Gibco) was slowly thawed at 4° C overnight and diluted with 5 mls of cold KO-DMEM. This solution was aliquoted, 1 ml working volume into 15-ml tube on ice and stored at -20○C. Upon use, matrigel was slowly defrosted at 4° C and finally diluted with 14 mls of cold KO-DMEM. 1 ml per well of the diluted matrigel was plated onto a 6-well plate, and incubated overnight at 4° C. In case of urgency, the incubation time could be shortened to an hour at room temperature before use.

2.1.5 Human embryonic stem cells culture

H1, H7 and T5 (transgenic H1 with Oct4-EGFP) hESCs were cultured in MEF-CM supplemented with 4-8 ng/ml FGF2 in matrigel coated plates and media changed daily. Cells were routinely passaged at a 1:3 dilution after treatment with 200U/ml collagenase IV (Invitrogen) and mechanic dissection.

2.1.6 Freezing and resuscitating hESCs

hESCs were harvested similar as routine propagation except during mechanical dissection step 1x freezing mix (KO serum replacement and 10% DMSO) was added instead of MEF-CM. 1 ml aliquots were frozen overnight at -80○C in cryo freezing container before being transferred to liquid nitrogen for long-term storage. Frozen cells were revived by thawing rapidly at 37○C and resuspended in 10 mls of MEF-CM. Cells were centrifuged at 800rpm for 5 minutes to removed the DMSO, and then plated on a matrigel coated 6-well plate containing MEF-CM with FGF2.

2.1.7 Neural progenitor/stem cell differentiation from hESCs

hESCs was differentiated to neural lineage following the published protocol (Gerrard et al., 2005). Briefly, confluent hESCs were split with EDTA/ PBS in 1:5 ratios into culture dishes coated with poly-L-lysine/laminin and cultured in N2B27 media (DMEM/F12 / neurobasal media (1:1) (Invitrogen), 100x N-2 supplement (Invitrogen),

50x B-27 supplement (Invitrogen), L-glutamine and P/S) supplemented with 100ng/ml mouse recombinant noggin (R&D systems). At this stage, cells were defined as passage 1 (P1). Medium was changed every other day. Cells of P1 and P2 were split by collagenase IV into small clumps and continuously cultured in N2B27 medium plus noggin until formation of neural progenitor/ stem cells at P3.

2.1.8 Culture of neural progenitor/stem cells (NSCs)

Preparation of poly-L-lysine/laminin

Poly-L-Lysine (PLL) was diluted 1 in 6 with phosphate buffer solution (PBS) and then 1ml was added per well of a 6-well plate. Subsequently, it was incubated in hood at room temperature for 1 hour. Mouse laminin (Sigma) was diluted with 6mls of PBS to a final concentration of 20 μg/ml, plated 1ml per well of a 6-well plate and incubated overnight at 4○C.

Culture of neural progenitor/stem cells

NSCs were disassociated into single cell by TrypLE express (Invitrogen) and cultured in N2B27 media supplemented with 20ng/ml FGF2 and/or 20ng/ml Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF) (Peprotech).

2.2 Luciferase assay

All the constructs that were used for luciferase assays were listed in supplementary figure 2.3, and a full list of the primers used for cloning are given in table 2.6. Reporter vectors were constructed by the insertion of predicted 3'UTR containing miRNA target sites downstream of the gene encoding for firefly luciferase in the pGL3-Control vector (Promega). Assays were performed in 24-well plates using HEK293 cells seeded at 50,000 cells per well a day prior to the time of transfection in D10 media without phenol red, and incubated for 48 hrs. Renilla luciferase was used as the internal control. A green fluorescent protein (GFP) expressing vector driven by the EF-1 alpha promoter was used to enable visualization of transfection efficiency. All experiments were performed in triplicates, as follows:

For each well of a 24-well plate, the following 5 components were added to give a final transfection volume of 50 μl: 200-400 ng of the reporter firefly luciferase construct; 25 ng of the renilla luciferase vector; 1-2 μl of HiPerfect transfection reagent (Qiagen) and synthetic miRNAs (known as miRNA miScript mimics, Qiagen) diluted to a range of $1 - 40$ nmol, all diluted in Opti-MEM (Invitrogen). The mixture was then incubated at room temperature for 20 minutes before being added to the wells dropwise gently. The cells were incubated at 37° C with 5% CO₂ for 48 hours.

After incubation, the cells were lysed by adding 100 μl of Glo lysis buffer (Promega) and incubated for 5 minutes. Two equal amounts of lysates (50 μl) were transferred to a white wall 96-well plate and added an equal volume of Bright Glo reagent (Promega) to one of the well and Renilla Glo reagent (Promega) to the other well. Next the firefly and renilla luciferase reading were taken using the Partha Luminescence program on a plate reader (Wallac 1420 Victor2, PerkinElmer). The two datasets were combined to allow the standardization of the firefly luciferase reading against the renilla luciferase reading for the final result.

2.3 Plasmid preparation

2.3.1 Recovery of plasmid from bacterial stab culture

Plasmid construct with full length DNMT3B gene was obtained from Addgene (Plasmid 35522: pcDNA3/Myc-DNMT3B1) (Chen et al., 2005), and received as bacterial stab culture. LB agar plate with 100 μg/ml ampicillin was used to grow the bacteria. The bacteria growing within the punctured area of the stab culture was obtained by a sterile pipette tip and run lightly over the agar plate, and then spread evenly over the entire surface of the plate using a sterile spreader. The plate was incubated overnight in a 37° C incubator.

A sterile pipette was used to pick up a single colony from the plate the next morning, and inoculated to 5 mls of 2x YT media containing 100 μg/ml ampicillin. 2xYT (Yeast Extract Tryptone) medium is nutritionally rich and developed for growth of recombinant strains of *Escherichia coli*. YT medium was prepared using 16 gms tryptone, 10 gms bacto-yeast extract, 5 gms NaCl and added water up to 1 litre. This was incubated at 37° C with constant shaking. In the evening, it was entire transferred to a 500ml flask contain 150-200 mls 2x YT media and returned to the incubator with constant shaking. The bacteria were harvested the next day by spinning at 3,000 *g* at 4°C for 10 minutes. Plasmid was extracted using Mini, Midi or Maxi Prep (Qiagen).

2.3.2 Plasmid isolation

Plasmid DNA was purified by HiSpeed plasmid kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, the bacteria pellet was resuspended in buffer P1 (Mini - 250μ , Midi – 4 mls and Maxi – 10 mls) and mixed well by vortexing. The cell mixture was added buffer P2, mixed vigorously by inverting (until the mixture appear uniformly blue due to Lyseblue reagent) and incubated at room temperature for 5 minutes prior to the addition 4 mls of pre-chilled buffer P3 which was mixed vigorously until it was completely colourless. Lysate was then poured into the QIAfilter cartridge and incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes. Meanwhile, the QIAGEN-tip was equilibrated by applying 4 mls (Midi) or 10 mls (Maxi) with QBT Buffer. The lysate was then filtered into the equilibrated QIAGEN-tip and allowed to flow through by gravity flow. The column was washed by 20 mls (Midi) or 60 mls (Maxi) QC washing buffer. Subsequently, the plasmid DNA was eluted from the filter by 5 mls (Midi) or 15 mls (Maxi) QF buffer. To precipitate the plasmid DNA, 3.5 mls (Midi) or 10.5 mls (Maxi) of isopropanol was added and incubated for 5 minutes. The mixture was then transferred to a syringe fitted with QIAprecipitator. Using constant pressure the mixture was filtered through the QIAprecipitator. The DNA was then washed with 2 mls of 70% ethanol. Finally, 1 ml of TE buffer (TE buffer, pH 8.0 or 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5) was used to elute the DNA.

2.3.3 Ligation

The ligation reaction was prepared as followed; 2 μl 5X ligation buffer, 3:1 inserts**:** vector ratio, 1 unit of T4 DNA ligase and toped up with dH_2O to 10 μ l. The reaction mix was incubated at room temperature for 2 hours, and was ready for transformation.

2.3.4 Plasmid transformation

Plasmid (1-5 μl) and 25 μl competent cells (DH5 α) (New England Biolabs) were mixed and incubated on ice for 20 minutes prior to heat shock at 42○C for 45 seconds. The mix was put back on ice for 2 minutes before adding 200 μl 2x YT media and plated on LB-agar plate with appropriate selection antibiotics.

2.4 Total RNA extraction

Total RNA was extracted from cells using Trizol (Invitrogen) as per manufacturer's instructions. A sub-confluent to confluent cells in a 6-well plate generated approximately 20-90 μg of total RNA. 1 ml of trizol reagent was used per well in a 6 well plate. Total RNA was precipitated by isopropanol and washed with 75% ethanol. Finally, the RNA pellet was resuspended in 20 - 30 μl of water treated with 0.1% diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC). The samples were quantified by measuring 1 μl on a nanodrop. All RNA samples were promptly stored at -80° C. 0.5 μl of the sample was removed for quality check on a 1.5% TBE agarose gel containing 0.1 μg/ml of Ethidium Bromide (Sigma). The samples were run at 100 V for 1 hr and the quality of the 28S and 18S rRNA bands were checked under UV light.

2.5 First strand cDNA synthesis

100 ng of sample RNA and 0.1-0.5 μg of random hexamers were made up to 4.5 μl with dH₂O and denatured at 65° C for 10 mins. The mixture was then added 1 µl of 100 mM DTT, 2 μl of 5 x first strand buffer, 0.5 μl of RNase out (40 U/μL) (Invitrogen), 1 μl of 10 mM dNTPs, and lastly 1 μl of SuperScript™ III reverse transcriptase enzyme (200U/ μ L) (Invitrogen). The sample was incubated at 42^oC for 30 minutes and then 50° C for 30 minutes. The sample was stored at -20 $^{\circ}$ C.

2.6 Primer design and alignment

A Primer-BLAST was conducted using the NCBI search engine, with the sequence of designed primers as a query sequence. Database of human genome and reference sequence for RNA were compared to identify the specificity and size of PCR products.

2.7 PCR reaction

The PCR reaction was set up using the gene specific primers (refer to table 2.3 for the list of primers). Briefly, 1.25 μl of the RT sample was added to 1.25 μl of mix forward and reverse primers (10 uM), 1 μl of 10 mM dNTPs, 2 μl of 10 x buffer and 1 μl of Taq polymerase (Promega) and made up to 25 μl with water. The PCR reaction was set at 94 \degree C for 2 minutes, followed by 30 cycles of 94 \degree C for 30 sec, 55 \degree C for 30 sec, 72^oC for 30 sec, and terminated at 72^oC for 5 mins (Note – extension time was determined based on a rate of extension of 1 kb/min).

All PCR products were run on TAE agarose gels to check the product sizes. Briefly, 1 - 2% (w/v) agarose, depending on fragment sizes, was dissolved in 1x TAE and left to cool before adding 0.1 μg/ml ethidium bromide (Sigma-Aldritch). Samples were mixed with 1/6 volume of 6 x loading dye containing bromophenol blue and then loaded into the wells of the gel along with a 1 Kb ladder (New England Biolabs) or a 100 bp ladder (New England Biolabs). Gels were run at 120 V for ½ to 1 hour and then visualised for bands on a UV light box.

2.8 Mutagenesis using PCR to generate mutant UTR

Mutant UTR was synthesized by 3 steps PCR. This was performed using 4 primers, i.e. 2 mutagenic primers and 2 non-mutagenic primers (see below, * represents mutant site). Initially, 2 mutagenic primers $(b + c)$ were designed with multiple point mutations in the seed target site. First PCR was performed using primer "a" and mutagenic primer "b", which will synthesis the first front half of the DNA with mutation at the seed target site. Then primer "d" and mutagenic primer "c" were used in the second PCR and generated the second half of the DNA. The first and second PCR products generated the first and second halves of the UTR that have mutant seed target site but it was not a complete sequence. Finally, a third PCR was performed using primers "a" and "d" on the mixture of two PCR products to generate the complete UTR with mutant seed target site (Figure 2.1).

Figure 2.1 Mutagenesis by PCR

2.9 Lentivirus preparation

2.9.1 Production of lentivirus

One day prior to transfection, $1.5x10^6$ HEK293 cells were seeded in a 10 cm tissue culture plate containing 15mls of D10 (DMEM with glutamax and hepes (Invitrogen), P/S and 10% heat inactivated FBS). The next morning, replaced medium with 12 mls of fresh D10 and performed transfection in the afternoon. Performed a plasmid DNAs mix of 15 μg lentivector, 10μg pCMV∆8.91 packaging construct and 5 μg VSV-g envelope construct, in a ratio of 3:2:1 (Lentivector: Packaging: Envelope). Calcium phosphate transfection kit (Invitrogen) was used in the transfection.

Then, sterile water and calcium phosphate were added to a total volume of 500 μl. Followed by, 500 μl of 2x HBS added drop-wise into the plasmid/ calcium phosphate mix, while mixing them rigorously and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes. 25µM chloroquine (Sigma) was added to the media just before the addition of plasmid/ calcium phosphate mix, gently drop-wise. The cells were incubated overnight at 37° C with 5% CO₂. After 18 hours post-transfection, the cells were washed twice with D10 and replaced with 8 mls fresh media. The media containing lentivirus was harvested continuously for 2 days, concentrated and stored at -80° C.

2.9.2 Preparation for lentiviral infection

The cells were split a day prior to infection and seeded at 50,000 cells in a 24-well plate. The next day, 4 μg/ml polybrene (Sigma) was added, just before the addition 10-20 μl of viral particle to the media. The cells were incubated overnight and replaced with fresh media the following day. Total RNA extraction using Trizol or protein extraction using RIPA buffer was performed after 48 or 72 hours.

2.10 Flow cytometry analysis

Cells were detached by trypsinised and washed with PBS. Cells were analyzed in FACScan (BD Biosciences) using CELLQUEST software (BD Biosciences). Ten thousand cells were acquired for each sample. WinMDI software was used to plot the results.

2.11 Ligation of PCR product into pGEM-T easy vector

The PCR products were cloned into pGEM-T easy vector (Promega) following manufacturer protocol. In brief, 2-3 μl of the PCR sample was added to 1 μl of pGEM-T easy vector, 5 μl of 2 x rapid ligation buffer, 1 μl of T4 DNA ligase, and made up to 10 μl with water. The ligation reaction was incubated at room temperature for 2 hrs. It was then added to 50 μl of DH5α competent cells and incubated on ice for 20 minutes. The cells were subjected to heat shock for 30 sec at 42° C, and then placed on ice for 2 minutes. LB plate supplemented with 100 μg/ml ampicillin was prewarmed at 37° C. 200 μl of 2x YT media was added to the cells and spread evenly onto the pre-warm LB plate and incubated at 37° C overnight. Colonies were picked the next day. Plasmids were purified, digested and sequenced to validate cloning products (Figure S2.1).

2.12 Construction of pGL3 and pMIR reporter vectors

pGEM-T easy vector (Promega, A1360) was used to clone all PCR products (Table 2.1 listed all the primers used in the cloning of reporter vectors), prior to insert into a reporter vector. pGL3-control (Promega, E1741) and pMIR-REPORT™ miRNA expression reporter (Invitrogen, AM5795) vectors were used to generate the reporter constructs below. All 3' UTRs were cloned into XbaI and FseI sites at positions 1934 and 1953 respectively in pGL3-control vector (Figure S2.2). In pMIR-REPORT vector, UTRs were inserted into SpeI and SacI sites at positions 525 and 519 (Figure S2.3).

- 1) pGL3 BTG1 UTR (520bp)
- 2) pGL3 BTG2 UTR (698bp)
- 3) pGL3 CDH1 UTR (680bp)
- 4) pGL3 DNMT3B UTR (470bp)
- 5) pGL3 Lefty1 UTR (401bp)
- 6) pGL3 PTEN UTR (417bp)
- 7) pGL3 Rock1 UTR (305bp)
- 8) pGL3 SP3 UTR (795bp)
- 9) pMIR NCAM2 UTR (307bp)
- 10) pMIR HMGA2 UTR (433bp)
- 11) pMIR ZNF148 UTR (429bp)
- 12) pGL3 Mutant BTG1 UTR
- 13) pGL3 Mutant CDH1 UTR
- 14) pGL3 Mutant DNMT3B UTR
- 15) pGL3 Mutant PTEN UTR
- 16) pMIR Mutant NCAM2 UTR
- 17) pMIR Mutant HMGA2 UTR

Table 2.1 Primer sequences for reporter vector/ UTR cloning

2.13 Restriction endonuclease digestion

Digestion mix was prepared in a 1.5 mls DNase free eppendorf tube and incubated at 37° C for the required period of time and the digested product was analysed by agarose gel electrophoresis. The digestion mix consisted of 1 μg DNA, 2 μl 10x restriction enzyme buffer $(1 - 4$ depending on which enzyme was used), 0.5μ l of each restriction enzyme (New England Biolabs) and water upto a total volume of 20 μl.

2.14 Northern hybridisation

2.14.1 Total RNA separation in denaturing gel, semi-dry blot and UV crosslinking

20 – 40 μg of total RNA was separated on a 15% polyacrylamide denaturing gel (7M Urea) in 0.5 x TBE buffer at 250 V. Six pieces of Whatman filter paper, and 1 piece of Hybond N+ nylon membrane (GE healthcare Amersham) was cut to the same size as the gel and soaked in 0.5 x TBE. Three pieces of filter paper were then placed on the semi-dry blot apparatus and the membrane was layered on top. The gel was positioned on top of the membrane before the remaining 3 pieces of filter paper were also added to the gel sandwich (Figure 2.2). A long pipette was used to squeeze out the bubbles within the gel sandwich by rolling over the top, and any excess liquid surrounding the sandwich was wiped away. The semi-dry apparatus was run at 3.3

mA/cm² of the gel sandwich for 35 minutes (\sim 5 V). The membrane was washed in 0.5 x TBE for 5 minutes, and then placed on top of a piece of filter paper or plastic saran wrap and UV cross-linked at 1200 μJoules, twice.

Figure 2.2 Arrangement of gel sandwich

2.14.2 Labelling of oligonucleotide probe by ³²P γATP

Oligonucleotide probes complementary to the targets miR-21, miR-9* and miR-302a* (Table 2.2) were labelled with ^{32}P γATP. Procedure was performed with necessary radioactive precaution. In brief, 0.5 µl of the oligonucleotide probe (50 µ M) was added to 1 μl of polynucleotide kinase (PNK) buffer, 1 μl of PNK enzyme, 1 μl of ^{32}P γATP and toped up to 10 μl with water. The sample mix was incubated at 37° C for 1 hour. To remove any excess ³²P γ ATP, an ethanol precipitation step was performed by adding 70 μl of Tris-EDTA pH 8.0 (TE) buffer, 20 μl of ammonium acetate (10 M) and 1 μl of glycogen to the sample. Finally, 250 μl of -20° C 100% ethanol was added to the sample and left on ice for 1 hour. The sample was then centrifuged at 1300 rpm at 4° C for 20 minutes. The supernatant was removed and resuspended in 100 μ l TE. The radioactivity of the precipitate and the removed supernatant were checked with a Geiger counter and the precipitate was stored at -80° C. A reading of 2:1 ratio between precipitate and supernatant was considered as a successful labelling.

Table 2.2

Probe sequences for northern hybridisation

2.14.3 Hybridisation

The membrane was washed with 2 x SSC and 0.1% SDS with gentle agitation for 5 minutes at room temperature. 15 mls of hybridisation buffer consisting of 7.5 ml of 20 x SSC, 1.5 ml of 50 x Denhardt's solution, 0.375 ml of 20% SDS, and water was prewarmed to 42° C. The membrane was placed inside a 50 ml falcon tube with the transferred RNA side facing upwards, and pre-hybridised with 5 mls of the hybridisation buffer with constant rotation at 42° C for 30 minutes. The solution was removed and fresh hybridisation buffer was added to the tube along with 50 -100 μl of the $32P$ γATP labelled oligonucleotide probe or digoxigenin (DIG) labelled locked nucleic acid (LNA) probe (Exiqon). The probe was hybridised to the membrane overnight at 42° C.

For membrane hybridised with ³²P γATP labelled probe, it was washed twice in 2 x $SSC + 0.1\%$ SDS at room temperature and exposed to an x-ray film in a cassette with intensifying screen at -80° C overnight or up to 5 days. For membrane hybridised with

DIG-labelled LNA probe, it was washed twice with $2 \times SSC$ and 0.1% SDS at $42\degree C$ for 15 minutes, followed by washed twice with $0.1 \times$ SSC and 0.1% SDS 42^oC for 5 minutes. Then, the membrane was briefly rinsed with $1 \times SSC$ at $42^{\circ}C$ for 10 minutes. Subsequently, the membrane was incubated in blocking buffer (Roche) or 1x Maleic acid with 1% BSA for 3 hours at room temperature. The solution was replaced by fresh blocking buffer added with anti-DIG antibody (1:10,000) (Roche) and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes. The membrane was then washed in DIG wash buffer (Roche) 4 times for 15 minutes each. The membrane was incubated in development buffer (Roche) for 5 minutes. Then, Disodium 3-(4-methoxyspiro {1,2 dioxetane-3,2'-(5'-chloro)tricycle $[3.3.1.1^{3.7}]$ decan $\{-4-y\}$)phenyl phosphate (CSPD) (1:100) substrate solution was added to the development buffer and applied to the surface of the membrane and incubated for 5 minutes. After that, the membrane was placed in a heat-sealable plastic bag and any extra buffer was squeezed out, and incubated at 37° C for 15 minutes in the dark. Finally, the membrane was exposed to X-ray films in a cassette at room temperature for a suitable amount of time depending on the signal intensity.

2.15 Western blotting

2.15.1 Cell lysis and protein extraction by RIPA buffer

Cells were washed 1x in PBS at 4° C and lysed in 50-200 μl of RIPA buffer directly in the wells (the amount of RIPA buffer depends on the size of tissue culture plate and the cell confluency). Cells were scraped using a cell scraper and the lysates were transferred to a 1.5 ml eppendorf. It was kept at 4° C for 5 minutes and followed by centrifuge at 13,000 x g for 10 minutes at 4° C to pellet any insoluble debris. The sample/ supernatant was transferred to a new eppendorf and stored at -20° C.

2.15.2 SDS-PAGE electrophoresis

Cell lysates were run on a 12% (w/v) resolving with 4% (w/v) stacking acrylamide gel. The bottom and top atrium of the tank (Invitrogen) were filled with 1 x SDS running buffer. 1:4 Laemmli was added to each sample protein. A bromophenol dye was added to each well followed by the samples. Samples were loaded at equal amount and the gel was run at 120 volts for 2 to 3 hours. 10μl colorplus prestained protein ladder (New England Biolabs) was also loaded for subsequent determination of the size of the band.

2.15.3 Nitrocellulose wet transfer

Gels were transferred using a semi-dry blotting system (Biorad) onto a nitrocellulose membrane (0.2 μm pore size). Gels were carefully removed from the plastic cassette (1 mm) (Invitrogen) and layered into the permeable folding apparatus in the following order; a sponge layer, 2 sheets of Whatman chromatography paper, the nitrocellulose sheet (Hybond-ECL, GE healthcare Amersham), the gel, 2 further sheets of Whatman blotting paper (Whatman), and finally another sponge layer. The gel sandwich was soaked in a tray filled with transfer buffer and any air bubbles were removed by rolling a tube across the sandwich. The gel sandwich was placed on the platform of the transfer apparatus and transfer buffer was poured onto it until it was soaked. The transfer apparatud lid was then attached and protein was transferred for 1 hour and 45 minutes at 300 mA (<15 volt). The nitrocellulose membrane was stained with Ponceau S (Sigma) for general proteins as a loading control and indication of successful transfer. The membrane was scanned or photographed at this point to obtain a permanent record.

2.15.4 Antibody hybridisation

The membrane was washed with 1 x TBS-T buffer for 5 - 10 minutes, rolling at room temperature in a 50 ml falcon tube (Appleton Woods) to remove any residual ponceau staining. The wash buffer was then removed and replaced with 4 ml of 5% milk in 1 x TBS-T, blocked for 30 minutes at room temperature. Then, 5% milk in 1 x TBS-T was added 1:500 dilution of the DNMT3B antibody (rabbit polyclonal IgG, Santa Cruz, sc-20704) or NCAM2 (mouse monoclonal IgG, Santa Cruz, sc-136328) and incubated at 4° C overnight rolling. The membrane was then washed 3 times with 1 x TBS-T buffer for 10 minutes, before incubated for 2 hours rolling at room temperature with 4 ml of 2% milk in 1 x TBS-T added with 1:10,000 dilution of antirabbit or anti-mouse IgG horse radish peroxidase (HRP) secondary antibody (Sigma). The membrane was then washed 3 times with 1 x TBS-T buffer for 10 minutes. Membranes were developed using the Immobilon chemiluminescent HRP substrate (1 ml of solution A and 1 ml of solution B) (Millipore). The membrane was laid flat on cling film and the HRP substrate was left on the membrane for 5 minutes. Finally, the membrane was taken to the dark room and light emission was detected by GRI biomax film and developed with a developing machine (Kodak). Whenever necessary, the membrane blot was stripped by rolling with western blot stripping buffer (Thermo Scientific) twice for 30 minutes at room temperature. It was then washed extensively with 1 x TBS-T and re-probed.

2.16 Argonaute immunoprecipitation

Cells from 8 wells of 6-well plate were washed with PBS and lysed with 10 ml of NP-40 lysis buffer. The cells were spun at $3,000 \times g$ for 30 minutes at 4^oC, and the supernatant was added to a fresh 15 ml falcon tube. 2 mls of Argonaute 1 (Ago1) and Argonaute 2 (Ago2) hybridoma supernatants were added, these were supplied by Gunter Meister, Max-Planck Institute, Germany. The tubes were rolled at $4^{\circ}C$ overnight and then 80 μl of protein-G beads (Santa Cruz) was added to the lysate and rolled at 4° C for 2 hrs. The beads were then spun down at 3,000 x g for 5 minutes and washed with 3 x 10 mls of NP-40 wash buffer and 1 x 10 mls of PBS, before resuspended in 200 μl of TE buffer. Next the beads solution was transferred to a 1.5 ml eppendorf tube and an equal volume of phenol pH 8 was added. The tube was vortexed for 1 minute and then spun at maximum speed for 2 minutes at room temperature. The resultant aqueous phase was pipetted into a fresh 1.5 ml tube and ethanol precipitated with 1 μl of glycoblue (Ambion), 1/10 volume of 3M sodium acetate and 3 x volumes of 100% ethanol, overnight at -20° C or on ice for 2 hrs. The precipitated RNA was resuspended in DEPC-treated water and stored at -80° C or directly loaded onto a 15% denaturing PAGE gel for northern hybridisation.

2.17 Construction of sponge (reporter and expression vectors)

2.17.1 Generation of pMIR reporter sponge constructs with 6 multiple miRNA binding sites and 2 multiple miRNA binding sites

The design of sponges was described in detail in chapter 4. MiR-9 (CDH1) sponge (Eurogentec) was excised from pUC57 by XbaI and HindIII at position 425 and 471 respectively and ligated into pMIR report between SpeI and HindIII at position 525 and 463 in a multiple cloning site downstream to luciferase sequence. This generated pMIR-miR9 sponge reporter vector (Figure S2.4).

IsomiR-9 (DNMT3B) sponge (Eurogentec) was excised from pUC57 by SalI and HindIII at position 448 and 471 respectively and then ligated into pMIR report between XhoI and HindIII at position 545 and 463 in a multiple cloning site downstream to luciferase sequence. This generated pMIR-isomiR9 sponge reporter vector (Figure S2.4).

pMIR sponges with 2 binding sites was created by excising a segment of the sponge (133bp) containing 4 binding sites using SpeI restriction enzyme. Thus, produced pMIR-miRNA sponges with 2 multiple binding sites. Clone 3 of pMIR- miR-9 (CDH1) sponge and all clones of pMIR-isomiR9 (DNMT3B) sponge were successfully generated (Figure S2.5).

2.17.2 Generation of pcDNA3.1(+) miR-9 and isomiR-9 sponges expression vectors

MiR9 (CDH1) and isomiR9 (DNMT3B) sponges were ligated into pcDNA3.1(+) (Invitrogen) at EcoRI (Position 952)/ApaI (Position 1001) and HindIII (Position 911)/XbaI (Position 991) respectively (Figure S2.6). These expression sponges have 6 multiple binding sites and their expression are driven by CMV promoter.

2.18 Generation of DNMT3B coding region along with its full length 3'UTR

The coding region of DNMT3B was amplified from a plasmid (Plasmid 35522: pcDNA3/Myc-DNMT3B1) obtained from addgene. This plasmid contained an insert of the full length (2562bp) of the coding region of DNMT3B. The 3'UTR of DNMT3B (1560bp) was then amplified from human genomic DNA (Promega, long

PCR kit). PCRs of the coding region of DNMT3B (DC1) and 3'UTR of DNMT3B (DC2) were performed (94-2mins, 94-30sec, 60-30sec, 65-2mins (31 cycles) and 72- 10mins; GoTaq® Long PCR Master Mix (M4021), Promega) (Figure S2.7). Finally, PCR was performed to generate the DNMT3B with the full length 3'UTR (DC12) (94-2mins, 94-30sec, 60-30sec, 65-4mins (30 cycles) and 72-10mins) (Figure S2.7). 1.25µl of mixed 50ng/µl DC1 and DC2 was used as template in the PCR. Primers used in the PCR were listed in Table 2.3. DNMT3B along with its full length 3'UTR was cloned into pcDNATM3.1(+) (Invitrogen) between BamHI and XbaI at position 929 and 991, respectively (Figure S2.8).

No	Name		Sequence
	DC1F (DNMT3B coding region)	Fwd	ATGGATCCATGAAGGGAGACACCAGGCATCTCA
	DC1R (DNMT3B coding region)	Rev	GTCTGTGTAGTGCACAGGAAAGCCA
			Expected size: 2451bp
\mathcal{P}	DC2F DNMT3B 3'UTR	Fwd	TGGCTTTCCTGTGCACTACACAGAC
	DC2R DNMT3B 3'UTR	Rev	ACTCTAGAAGGTAAACTCTAGGCATCCGTCATCT
			Expected size: 1560bp

Table 2.3 Primer sequences for DNMT3B expression vector cloning

2.19 Construction of miRNA expressing pTRIPZ lentivector

Human genomic DNA (RP11-148B6; chromosome 4) comprising miR-302 cluster, accompanied by 120 bp upstream and 150 bp downstream to the cluster was amplified by PCR (Primers listed in Table 2.4). The amplified product is 975 bp in length. The amplified fragment was ligated into pGEM-T easy vector and verified by sequencing (Figure S2.9). Subsequently, it was excised and ligated into XhoI and MluI restriction sites, at position 3806 and 4064 respectively of pTRIPz inducible lentiviral vector (a gift from Dr Laki Buluwela, Imperial College London). This cluster consists of 5 precursor miRNAs in the following sequence, miR-302b, miR-302c, miR-302a, miR-302d and miR-367.

Table 2.4 Primers for amplification of miR-302 cluster from human genomic DNA.

Table 2.5 lists the primers used in the detection of pluripotency and neural related gene expression and Table 2.6 lists the primers used in the sequencing of pGEM-T easy vector.

	Primers		Sequence	Product size
$\mathbf{1}$	GAPDH	Fwd	tgcaccaccaactgcttagc	80bp
		Rev	ggcatggactgtggtcatgag	
2	Oct3/4	Fwd	cttgctgcagaagtgggtggaggaa	167bp
		Rev	ctgcagtgtgggtttcgggca	
3	Sox2	Fwd	cccccggcggcaatagca	448bp
		Rev	tcggcgccggggagatacat	
4	Nanog	Fwd	agcctctactcttcctaccacc	278bp
		Rev	tccaaagcagcctccaagtc	
5	Lin28A	Fwd	ggggaatcaccctacaacct	82bp
		Rev	acttccctatccaggccact	
6	Nestin	Fwd	CAGCTGGCGCACCTCAAGATG	209bp
		Rev	AGGGAAGTTGGGCTCAGGACTGG	

Table 2.5 Primer sequences used in the detection gene expression

Table 2.6 pUC/M13 sequencing primers for pGEM-T easy vector

2.20 Reagents and constructs

2.20.1 Northern hybridisation reagents

15% denaturing PAGE

21 g urea, 2.5 ml 10 x TBE, 18.75 ml of 40% (w/v) 19:1 acrylamide:bis-acrylamide,

adjust volume to 50 ml with water.

Add 350 μl of 10% (w/v) ammonium persulphate (APS) and 17.5 μl of TEMED

Denaturing loading dye

10 ml deionized formamide, 200 μl 0.5 M EDTA pH 8.0, 1 mg xylene cyanol FF, 1

mg bromophenol blue

Non-denaturing loading dye

0.02% w/v 1 M EDTA pH 8.0, 0.25% w/v xylene cyanol FF, 0.25% w/v bromophenol

blue, 15% Ficoll in water

2.20.2 Western blotting reagents

Antibodies

Primary

DNMT3B rabbit polyclonal IgG (Santa Cruz, sc-20704)

NCAM2 mouse monoclonal IgG (Santa Cruz, sc-136328)

Secondary

Peroxidase conjugated Goat anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma Aldrich)

Peroxidase conjugated Goat anti-mouse IgG (Sigma Aldrich)

Polyacrylamide 12% gel

10.15 ml Deionised water, 20 ml 30% Acrylamide/Bis solution (Biorad), 18.75 ml 1

M Tris (pH 8.8), 0.5 ml 10 % SDS, 0.5 ml 10% ammonium persulphate, 30 μl

TEMED

Polyacrylamide stacking gel

6.8 ml Deionised water, 1.7 ml 30% Acrylamide /Bis solution (Biorad), 1.25 ml 1 M

Tris (pH 6.5), 0.1 ml 10 % SDS, 0.1 ml 10 % ammonium persulphate, 10 μl TEMED

RIPA/SDS lysis buffer

1% Nonidet P-40, 1% Triton X-100, 1% Sodium Deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 2 nM NaF (RIPA/SDS was stored at 4°C and 10μl per ml of protease inhibitor mix and aprotinin was added just before used)
Protease inhibitor mix

20 mg/ml phenyl methyl sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 20 mg/ml 1-10 phenanthroline, 20 mg/ml Benxamine. Dissolved in ethanol and stored at -20°C.

Laemmli lysis buffer

20% Glycerol, 2% SDS, 0.1 M Tris pH 6.8, 10% ß-Mercaptoethanol, 7 M Urea

Laemmli loading dye

20% Glycerol, 2% SDS, 0.1 M Tris pH 6.8, 7 M Urea, 10% w/v bromophenol blue

10x Running buffer: 121g Tris base, 578g Glycine, 40g SDS, water to 4 litres

Transfer buffer

25 mM Tris base, 0.2 M Glycine, 20% Methanol

Ponceau S

0.2 % Ponceau Red, 5% Acetic acid

Blocking buffer

5% non fat milk/TBS plus 0.15% TWEEN 20

2.20.3 Immunoprecipitation reagents

NP-40 lysis buffer

25 mM Tris HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM KCl, 0.5% NP-40, 2 mM EDTA

Add fresh 1mM NaF, 0.5 mM DTT, 1% proteinase inhibitors and 10 U/ml RNase out

NP-40 wash buffer

300 mM KCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 1 mM MgCl2, 0.1 % NP-40

Figure 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5 show map of vectors used in the cloning of reporter vectors

and expression vectors.

Figure 2.3 Vectors used in luciferase assays

pGEM-T easy vector (A), pGL3-Control vector (B) and pMIR-REPORT™ Reporter vector (C) were used in the cloning of reporter constructs. pRL-SV40 was used as normalisation control. pGEM-T easy, pGL3-Control and pRL-SV40 vectors map reproduced from Promega technical manual. pMIR-REPORT™ Reporter vector map reproduced from Invitrogen technical manual.

2.22 Vector used in cloning for sponge and DNMT3B expressions

pcDNA3.1(+) vector in the cloning of sponge and DNMT3B expression vector. pcDNA3.1(+) was used in the cloning for construction of sponge and DNMT3B expression vector. Vector map reproduced from Invitrogen technical manual.

2.23 Vector used in cloning for miR-302 cluster expression

Figure 2.5

pTRIPz inducible lentiviral vector in the cloning of miR-302 cluster expression vector. pTRIPz inducible lentiviral vector was used in the cloning for construction of miR-302 cluster expression vector. Vector map reproduced from Thermo Scientific Open Biosystems technical manual.

2.24 List of cell lines used in my thesis

2.25 Bioinformatics programs

miRBase - http://microrna.sanger.ac.uk/sequences/ TargetScan - http://www.targetscan.org/ PicTar - http://pictar.mdc-berlin.de/ miRGen - http://www.diana.pcbi.upenn.edu/cgi-bin/miRGen/v3/Targets.cgi Diana Lab TarBase - http://diana.cslab.ece.ntua.gr/tarbase/ MicroRNAdb http://bioinfo.au.tsinghua.edu.cn/micrornadb/browse_seq.php?ID=hsa-mir-23a UCSC Genome Browser - http://genome.cse.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgBlat Ensembl Genome Browser - http://www.ensembl.org/index.html NCBI Blast - http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi Primer3 - http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/ Venny - http://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es/tools/venny/index.html NEB cutter V2.0 - http://tools.neb.com/NEBcutter2/ Hoodlab – Institute of System Biology - http://hood.systemsbiology.net/ OligoAnalyzer 3.1 http://eu.idtdna.com/analyzer/applications/oligoanalyzer/default.aspx MiRanda/ EMBL miRNA target prediction - http://www.ebi.ac.uk/enrightsrv/microcosm/htdocs/targets/v5/

Chapter 3 Characterisation and evaluation of IsomiRs

3.1 Introduction

During her PhD, Elcie Chan generated miRNA libraries from human ESCs, NSCs and MSCs using Solexa or 454 technologies in collaboration with David Baulcombe and Attila Molnar. Human ESCs were derived from the inner cell mass of a blastocyst (Thomson et al., 1998; see Introduction). Neuronal stem cells (NSCs) were derived from hESCs by blocking the bone morphogenetic protein signalling using noggin (Gerrard et al., 2005) and human MSCs were derived from first trimester fetal bone marrow (Guillot et al., 2007). In general, MSCs have a fibroblast-like morphology and can differentiate into cells of the mesenchymal lineage, namely bone, cartilage and fat cells. In addition to their multipotent ability, MSCs have immunosuppressive properties and the ability to support the growth of other cell types (Uccelli et al., 2008). MSCs can be isolated from bone marrow (Friedenstein et al., 1970), amniotic fluid (In't Anker et al., 2003a), placenta (Parolini et al., 2008), fetal tissues (In't Anker et al., 2003b) and umbilical cord blood (Bieback et al., 2004).

It was observed from the sequencing data that the vast majority of miRNAs in all 3 stem cell types are expressed as isomers (isomiRs) (see Introduction; Figure 3.1). Many other deep sequencing studies have discovered that mature miRNA consists of a group of isomiRs that differ in length (Morin et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2010; Cloonan et al., 2011). In principle, 5' isomiRs have different seed regions to their canonical miRNA and therefore could have a different subset of target genes. Here it is tested whether isomiRs are functional and more importantly whether 5' isomiRs can repress new mRNA subsets.

3.2 Results

3.2.1The distribution of different categories of isomiRs in embryonic stem cells (ES), neural stem cells (NS) and mesenchymal stem cells (MS)

Figure 3.1 is an analysis of a sequencing study by Elcie Chan (see above) which indicates that miRNA isomers are widely expressed by three different stem cell types (Table S3.1). Eight percent of the miRNAs in hESCs have 5' isomiRs, with 9.6% in NSCs and 20% in hMSCs. Meanwhile, 50% of miRNAs in hESCs have 3' isomiRs, with 72% in NSCs and 71% in hMSCs. The relatively small percentage of miRNA that have 5' isomiRs suggests that processing at the 5' end of the miRNA could be tightly regulated, perhaps because the 5' end harbours the seed sequence which is an important site for target recognition.

The number of isomiRs with differences at the 5' end is small, representing about 10% of all miRNAs in hESCs and hNSCs and about 20% in hMSCs. However, the number of isomiRs with differences at the 3' end is huge, constituting about 50 to 60% of the miRNA (Figure 3.1). About 80% of the 5' isomiRs have additions or deletions of only 1 nucleotide (Figure 3.1D). In contrast the variation in the size of deletions or additions is bigger at the 3' end (Figure 3.1E).

Figure 3.1

The distribution of 5' and 3' isomiRs in embryonic stem cells (hESCs), neural stem cells (NSCs) and mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs). A) miR-302a as an example of a miRNA expressed by hESCs with variation at 5' and 3' ends giving rise to isomiRs, denoted as 5' or start site isomiRs and 3' or end site isomiRs. Purple texts represent the canonical miRNAs. B) A bar graph illustrating the percentage of each category of isomiRs in hESC, hNSC and hMSC. C, D, E) Bar graph and tables show the number of additions and deletions of bases at 5' and 3' isomiR ends.

3.2.2 IsomiRs are not sequencing artefacts

IsomiRs are not sequencing artefacts because they were consistently detected by northern blotting (Figure 3.2). The intensity of the bands in the northern blots roughly corresponds to the sequencing numbers of miR/isomiRs (Figure 3.2). For miR-302a, the most highly sequenced miR length was 23 nts (sequencing number: 54), follow by 22 nts (sequencing number: 34), which correspond to 2 bands in the northern blot, a darker band above and a lighter band below. In miR-367, the lengths of miR/isomiR are 20 nts (sequencing number: 11) and 22 nts (sequencing number: 34), which corresponds to the two bands. However, the intensity of both bands was almost the same - based on the sequencing number, the top band should be 3 times darker than the band below. For miR-9, there is a good overall correspondence between the sequencing and northern blot results, although we did not detect a band of 24 nucleotides that was observed by sequencing (Figure 3.2).

Figure 3.2 IsomiRs are not sequencing artefacts

IsomiRs observed in sequencing results were also detected by northern blotting. Figure shows comparison of northern blots and sequencing results of miR-302a, miR-367 and miR-9. A) Northern blotting result of total RNA of NSC. 22-mer oligonucleotides were stained with ethidium bromide acted as ladder. B) Northern blotting of total RNA of either hESC or NSC hybridised with miR302a, miR-367 and miR-9 probes (with the predicted length of miR/isomiR) and C) sequencing results of corresponding miRNAs with the total sequencing number of each isomiRs based on their length.

3.2.3 Expression of miR/isomiRs varies in different human cell lines and mouse tissues

IsomiRs of let-7a, miR-151-5p and miR-221 were readily detected in a variety of cell and tissue types confirming that isomiRs are commonly expressed *in vivo* (Figure 3.3). Intriguingly, different cell lines and tissues express different ratios of isomiRs, as indicated by red arrows (Figure 3.3). For example, MRC5 cells and lung tissue have relatively more of the smallest isomer of miR-151-5p. In contrast, the middle band isomer of MCF7 is darkest while liver has the darkest uppermost band. This differing band intensity between cell types was also seen for miR-221 (Figure 3.3).

Figure 3.3

Expression of miR/isomiRs in different human cell lines and mouse tissues. Northern blots for the indicated miRNAs of total RNA prepared from A) Human cell lines: embryonic stem cells (hECS), neural stem cells (NSC), breast cancer cells (MCF7), lung fibroblasts (MRC5) and mesenchymals stem cells (hMSC) B) Mouse tissues: heart, kidney, liver, lung and spleen. Total RNA containing rRNA was stained with ethidium bromide as a loading control.

3.2.4 Detections of isomiRs by northern blotting in immunoprecipitated Ago1 and Ago2

In order to determine whether isomiRs in general are likely to be functional, we tested whether they associated with Argonaute (Ago) proteins *in vivo* by northern blot analysis of miRNAs that were first immunoprecipitated with antibodies against Ago1 or Ago2 (Figure 3.4). Ago1 and Ago2 antibodies were kindly provided by Gunter Meister from University of Regensburg, Germany. The Ago immunoprecipitation (IP) results of hESC (Figure 3.4A) indicate that miR-302a and miR-367 and their isomiRs were immunoprecipitated with Ago1 and Ago2. Interestingly, the star strand of miR-302a* and its isomiRs were also detected in Ago immunoprecipitations. MiR-9 isomiRs that were associated with Ago were detected in NSCs (Figure 3.4B). As a control we show that miRNAs were not immunoprecipitated with antibodies against a target other than Ago (Figure 3.4C) and we have also shown that the mRNAs that are precipitated under these conditions are very distinctive and not simply reflective of the total mRNA (Chan, unpublished).

Figure 3.4

Detection of isomiRs by northern blotting following immunoprecipitation of Argonaute 1 (Ago1) or Argonaute 2 (Ago2) proteins of human embryonic stem cells (hESC) and neural stem cells (NSC). A-B) Lanes 1 and 3 are northern blots of total RNA for the indicated miRNAs prior to immunoprecipitation (IP) with Ago 1 (lane 2) or Ago2 (lane 4). C) Control showing that miRNAs were not precipitated with antibody against α -tubulin. Ribosomal RNA present in total RNA was stained with ethidium bromide as a loading control.

3.2.5 Changes of miRNA expression during hESC to NSC differentiation

We next wanted to re-establish hESC to NSC differentiation that was previously used to obtain our miRNA sequencing libraries (Chan et al., unpublished). Using a protocol developed in Dr Wei Cui's lab, hESCs were differentiated to NSCs by blocking the bone morphogenetic protein pathway (Gerrard et al., 2005) using noggin. At passage 4 (approximately 4 weeks after differentiation), the cells started to disperse into single cell morphology, as expected (Figure 3.5A, Hook et al., 2011). Cells were collected at 4 different stages of differention, i.e. hESCs (P0), a week after neural induction (P1), 4 weeks after neural induction (P4) and NSC at passage 50 (NS50) and passage 60 (NS60). Total RNAs were extracted for analysis by RT-PCR and northern blotting (Figure 3.5B,C).

The RT-PCR and northern blot analysis presented here validated our previous sequencing and microarray results (Elcie Chan, unpublished, Figure 3.5). As expected, pluripotency markers such as Oct4, Sox2, Nanog and lin28A were present in hESCs at the early stages of differentiation, while Nestin and Pax6 were seen after differentiation and continued to express into NSCs. Notably, CDH1 and DNMT3B were expressed in hESCs but were downregulated upon differentiation (Figure 3.5B). It should be noted that lane P1 of Figure 3.5B is overloaded. MiR-302a and miR-367 were confined to hESCs and disappeared after differentiation, and *vice-versa* for miR-9 (Figure 3.5C). Although isomiRs of these two miRNAs were observed in cells undergoing differentiation, there was no clear change in their ratios during this process.

Figure 3.5 Changes of mRNA and microRNA expression during neural differentiation of human embryonic stem cells. A) Morphological changes during neural differentiation from human embryonic stem cells. B) RT-PCR and microarray analysis of mRNA expression of pluripotent and neural markers at different stages of neural differentiation. C) Northern blots for the indicated miRNAs during neural differentiation of hESCs. Total RNA containing the rRNA was stained with ethidium bromide as loading control.

3.2.6 5' isomiRs have different seed region from the canonical miRNA

The 5' isomiRs that were identified in our miRNA sequencing databases are of particular interest as their seed sequences differ from the canonical or annotated miRNA. Table 3.1 shows some examples from miRNAs in hESCs of how additions or deletions of the 5' end of the microRNA alter its seed sequence. Table S3.2 shows this list in full.

Table 3.1 Seed sequences of canonical miRNAs and isomiRs

Examples of how deletions or additions to the 5' end of miRNAs alter their seed sequence.

These differences in seed sequence should potentially alter their target selection or efficiency of target repression. I therefore investigated whether 5' isomiRs have different predicted targets to their canonical counterpart by using target prediction tools TargetScanHuman and TargetScan custom. I then cross-referenced the targets of canonical miRNA with the targets of 5' isomiR to determine which targets are in common and which are specific. Table S3.3A and B list the predicted targets of mir-9, miR-302a and their most common isomiRs that we sequenced. Bioinformatics analysis of all the miRNAs and isomiRs listed in Table S3.3 predicts that there are many specific targets of isomiRs and that the percentage of common targets is surprisingly low with an average value of about 22% (Table S3.4). This is illustrated in Figure 3.6.

Figure 3.6 Venn diagram: TargetScanHuman and TargetScan custom prediction of canonical microRNAs and their most common isomiRs (A) Human embryonic stem cells (ES), (B) neural progenitor stem cells (NS) and (C) mesenchymal stem cells (MS). These isomiRs have a subset of predicted targets that are not predicted targets of their canonical microRNAs, as well as targets that are similar. For example, miR-101 and isomiR-101 isomer have 109 and 158 specific targets respectively and 414 common targets. Venn diagrams were generated by VENNY (Oliveros, 2007).

3.2.7 Predicting and testing targets of isomiRs

Table 3.2 shows some predicted targets of miR-9, miR-302 and miR-367 and their isomiRs that were chosen on the basis of their possible biological interest. We chose these particular miRNA genes to study because they are amongst the most abundantly expressed in hESCs and NSCs and because they express a sizeable percentage of isomiRs (see Figures 3.7 and 3.8). Table S3.3 lists the full range of predicted targets for these miRNAs. Lefty1, PTEN and BTG2 are predicted targets of both canonical miRs and isomiRs but the remaining mRNAs are specific targets (Table 3.2). The predicted target sites are well conserved between species (see Figure 1.4), which is reflective of the prediction tools that were used (see Table S3.5).

Table 3.2

Summary of luciferase assay tests of mRNAs that are predicted to be targeted by the indicated miRNAs. The shaded boxes highlight experimental results that do not agree with the predictions. $\sqrt{\cdot}$: Inhibition; X: no inhibition; nt: not tested; Luc: Luciferase.

3.2.8 IsomiRs with 5' or 3' end differences are capable of targeting mRNAs *in vitro*

In order to find out whether isomiRs are functional, we constructed reporter vectors for the targets of miR-9, miR-302a, miR-367 and their isomiRs listed in Table 3.2., which also summarises the results presented in Figures 3.7-3.9. We first looked at isomiRs 302a and 367 as these have representative single nucleotide changes at the 5' and 3' ends respectively compared to their canonical miRNAs (Figure 3.7). Using targetscan and targetscan custom prediction databases, left-right determination factor (Lefty1) was predicted as a target for both miR-302a and isomiR-302a (Table 3.2), while phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) is a target for both miR-367 and isomiR-367. Luciferase assays showed that both 5' and 3' isomiRs were able to target Lefty1 and PTEN 3' UTRs and therefore knockdown the expression of a luciferase reporter vector in HEK293 cells (Figure 3.7A and B). As expected, inhibition of luciferase expression was not seen if HEK293 cells were transfected with the control miRNA let-7d or with luciferase vectors with mutant seed target sites (Figure 3.7B).

A) 5' isomiR-302a is able to repress left-right determination factor 1 (**Lefty1)**

B) 3' isomiR-367 is able to repress phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN)

Figure 3.7

Both 5' and 3' isomiRs are functional. The isomiR-302a has a one nucleotide deletion at the 5' end, while isomiR-367 has a 2 nucleotide deletion at the 3' end. A) Both miR-302a and isomiR-302a (5' isomiR) were able to knockdown luciferase activity of Lefty1 reporter (pGL3-Lefty1), which has a 401 bp 3' UTR with a single target site. B) Both miR-367 and isomiR-367 (3' isomiR) were able to knockdown luciferase activity of a PTEN reporter (pGL3-PTEN, 417 bp 3' UTR, single target site) (see Materials and Methods). Error bars represent the standard deviation obtained from three independent experiments (n=3). Renilla luciferase was used as internal control to standardise against all firefly luciferase activities.

3.2.9 IsomiRs target different subsets of mRNA from their canonical/ annotated miRNAs

E-Cadherin (CDH1) and DNA methyltransferase 3 beta (DNMT3B) are predicted targets of miR-9 and isomiR-9 respectively (Table S3.3). Furthermore, these 2 genes are expressed in hESCs and downregulated upon differentiation, which corresponds with the appearance of miR-9 and isomiR-9 (Figure 3.5).

Two reporter vectors, CDH1 and DNMT3B were constructed for miR-9 and isomiR-9, respectively. Luciferase assays confirmed that the 680 bp UTR of CDH1 is a target of miR-9 but isomiR-9 was not able to knockdown CDH1 as efficiently (Figure 3.8A), whereas the 470bp UTR of DNMT3B is a target of isomiR-9 but not miR-9 (Figure 3.8B). Moreover, there was no repression, if these miRNAs were replaced with let-7d. Surprisingly, luciferase activity was increased when transfected with let-7d in CDH1 assay (Figure 3.8A). In order to confirm that the seed sequence is important, 4 out of 6 of the seed sequence were mutated to generate reporter vectors with mutated target sites within the 3' UTRs for CDH1 and DNMT3B. This markedly reduced the ability of miR-9 and isomiR-9 to repress their targets (Figure 3.8).

A) CDH1 is a target of miR-9 but not isomiR-9

B) DNMT3B is a target of isomiR-9 but not miR-9

Figure 3.8

Reporter assay: CDH1 is a target of miR-9 and DNMT3B is a target isomiR-9

E-Cadherin (CDH1) and DNA methyltransferase 3 beta (DNMT3B) are predicted targets of miR-9 and isomiR-9, respectively. Relative activity of the firefly luciferase was plotted against increasing concentrations of miR-9 and isomiR-9 for A) CDH1 and B) DNMT3B reporters. miRNA repression efficiency was attenuated in mutant reporter vectors, and the control miRNA let7d as expected. Error bars represent the standard deviation obtained from three independent experiments (n=3). * and ** represent statistical significance at the levels of $p<0.05$ and $p<0.0001$ respectively (statistical difference is between miR-9 and isomiR-9). Renilla luciferase was used as internal control to standardise against all firefly luciferase activities.

3.2.10 NCAM2 is another target of isomiR-9 but not miR-9

Another predicted target of isomiR-9 but not miR-9, is the mRNA encoded by the gene for neural cell adhesion molecule 2 (NCAM2, Table S3.3, Figure 3.6). In luciferase assays, isomiR-9 was able to repress significantly the 307 bp 3' UTR of NCAM2 at 12 nM concentration only. However, miR-9 showed no repression at any concentration (Figure 3.9).

Figure 3.9

pMIR-NCAM2-3'UTR was co-transfected with either miR-9 or isomiR-9 miRNA mimic into HEK293 cells. Relative activity of the firefly luciferase for NCAM2 reporter was plotted against increasing concentrations of miR-9 and isomiR-9. Error bars represent the standard deviation obtained from three independent experiments $(n=3)$. All results were normalised by renilla luciferase. $*$ represent statistical significance at the level of $p<0.05$ (statistical difference is between miR-9 and isomiR-9).

3.2.11 Confirmation that miR-9 and isomiR-9 miRNA mimics are of different lengths

Total RNA was extracted from HEK293 cells transfected with miR-9 and isomiR-9 miRNA mimics and probed with miR-9 locked nucleic acid (LNA) by northern blotting. Figure 3.10 shows that isomiR-9 was smaller by one nucleotide, as expected. The mimics appeared to run more slowly than miR-9 and isomiR-9 (Figure 3.10, lane 1), for reasons that are not yet clear.

Transfected miR-9 and isomiR-9 mimics were expressed at different length

	No miRNA	Sequence		Length
	$mR-9$		5' UCUUUGGUUAUCUAGCUGUAUGA 3'	23.
\mathcal{D}	isomiR-9		5' CUUUGGUUAUCUAGCUGUAUGA 3'	າາ

Figure 3.10

Testing the miR-9 and isomiR-9 mimics. The expected difference in length of transfected miR-9 (23 nts) and isomiR-9 (22 nts) mimics was confirmed by northern blotting. Total RNA containing the rRNA was stained with ethidium bromide as loading control.

3.2.12 Detection of miR/ isomiR-9 expression in different cell lines and tissues

Because miR-9 and isomiR-9 had generated our most interesting luciferase results, we decided to look in more detail at different cell types in order to characterise miR-9 expression and to see if miR-9 isomers might be differentially expressed. Northern blotting using a miR-9 probe was performed on a range of mouse organs as well as the indicated human cell lines (Figure 3.11A). NSC and mouse kidney were the only 2 cell/ tissue types that expressed miR-9, which largely confirms that miR-9 is a neural specific miRNA. Mouse cerebrum and cerebellum were collected subsequently and both expressed high levels of miR-9 compared to kidney tissue (Figure 3.11B). Interestingly, isomiR-9 expression was different between the mouse brain tissue and NSCs. NSCs showed darkest uppermost band and intensity reduces in the shorter isomiRs. In contrast, mouse cerebrum and cerebellum showed darker band at both the uppermost and lowermost bands than the middle band.

MiR-9/ isomiR-9 is differentially expressed in between NSC and mouse brain tissue

Figure 3.11

MiR-9/ isomiR-9 is differentially expressed in neural related cells and tissues. A) A miR-9 LNA probe performed on mouse tissues (heart, kidney, liver, lung and spleen) and human cell lines (H1 hESCs, H7 hESCs, NSC, MRC5, HeLa, MCF7, LNCaP and DU145). B) MiR-9 LNA probe performed on hNSC and mouse cerebrum, cerebellum and kidney. Total RNA containing the rRNA was stained with ethidium bromide as loading control.

3.2.13 False positive and false negative target predictions

Other predicted targets of miR-9, miR-302a and miR-367 that were tested included BTG1, BTG2, HMGA2 and Rock1 (Figure 3.12, Table 3.2). BTG1 is a predicted target of miR-302a but not isomiR-302a, but both were able to repress BTG1 (false negative target of isomiR-302a). Rock1 is a predicted target of isomiR-302a, however, it was not repressed (false positive target of isomiR-302a). BTG2 is a predicted target of miR-367 and isomiR-367 but neither were able to repress BTG2 (false positive target of miR-367 and isomiR-367). HMGA2 is a predicted target of miR-9 but not isomiR-9. However, both were able to repress it (false negative target of isomiR-9). Table 3.2 summarises the results of the luciferase tests of predicted targets of miR-302a, 367 and 9 and their isomiRs.

Figure 3.12

Other predicted targets that were tested. BTG1 and HMGA2 were false negative predicted targets of isomiR-302a and isomiR-9 respectively, while BTG2 was false positive predicted targets of miR-367 and isomiR-367, and Rock1 of isomiR-302a. Error bars represent the standard deviation obtained from three independent experiments (n=3). All results were normalised by renilla luciferase. * represent statistical significance at the level of $p<0.05$.

3.2.14 Validation of newly established seed target sites by seed mutation study

To validate that the repression of NCAM2, HMGA2 and BTG1 were dependent on the seed target sites in the 3' UTR, mutant reporter vectors were generated with mismatches to the miRNA seed regions. Repression was reduced or totally abolished in all mutant 3' UTRs (Figure 3.13).

Figure 3.13

Novel miRNA target sites. Mutant NCAM2, HMGA2 and BTG1 seed target sites in the 3' UTR were made and tested by luciferase assays. In all experiments, miRNAs was transfected along with either reporter vectors with the original unmodified UTR or with a mutant UTR. Error bars represent the standard deviation obtained from three independent experiments (n=3). All results were normalised by renilla luciferase. * represent statistical significance at the level of p<0.05.

3.3 Discussion

Here we report that over half of the miRNAs from our three stem cell libraries are isomers (isomiRs) that have 5' or 3' differences compared to the dominant canonical sequence (Figure 3.1B). The variation we detected is unlikely to be an artefact as we observed similar variation in all cases that were tested by northern blotting (Figures 3.2 – 3.4). Previous miRNA sequencing projects have also reported the presence of isomiRs and similarly to our experiments demonstrated their association with Ago proteins (Morin et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2010; Cloonan et al., 2011; Figure 3.4).

IsomiRs with 3' deletions or additions occurred with a frequency of over 50% across the ESC, NSC and MSC miRNA sequencing libraries (Figure 3.1B). This finding is consistent with previous studies that 3' isomiR variants are more common than 5' variants in mouse, human and Drosophila samples (Burroughs et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2010; Cloonan et al., 2011; Wyman et al., 2011).

The 5' variants we sequenced occurred at a frequency of only 5 to 15% but we show that such variation would be expected to have a major impact upon mRNA targeting (Figure 3.6). We wanted to test these predictions and chose to analyse isomiRs, miR-9, miR-302a and miR-367 because they represent the most abundantly expressed miRNAs in NSCs and hESCs. IsomiR-367 was able to repress PTEN, just like its canonical miRNA, but this was not a surprise given that they only differ by 2 nucleotides at the 3' end (Figure 3.7) and is in agreement with the current opinion that the classical target selection depends on the seed region which is located at the 5' end of the miRNA.

Subsequently, bioinformatics analysis of target prediction was shifted to focus on 5' isomiRs. First, a target (Lefty1) for both miR-302a and isomiR-302a was chosen to investigate whether a 5' isomiR could function as efficiently as its canonical miRNA. Then, a target (DNMT3B) was chosen that is predicted to be targeted by a 5' isomiR but not its canonical miRNA. Indeed, reporter assays indicated that 5' isomiRs are also functional and more importantly that they can have different targets to their canonical miRNA. Seed sequence mutation studies confirmed that the predicted seed target sites were crucial for the recognition of both miRs and isomiRs (Figures 3.8 and 3.13). Two mRNAs (DNMT3B and NCAM2) were identified as targets of isomiR-9 but not the canonical miR-9 (Table 3.2, Figures 3.8 - 3.9) and we also found that isomiR-9 had lost the ability to repress CDH1. Out of 17 new tests that we made of the bioinformatics predictions, only 5 (29.4%) were incorrect (Table 3.2) and in general our results support the bioinformatic prediction that single nucleotide changes at the 5' end of a miRNA are likely to generate new targets. Intriguingly, my experiment showed an upregulation of luciferase activity when let-7d was transfected with some of the reporter vectors (see figure 3.8A) for reasons that are not yet clear. One possibility is let-7d might saturate the RISC complex and interfere with the repression mechanism.

Fukunaga et al., [25] described an *in-vivo* study where Dicer partner proteins may bind to Dicer and generate different isomiRs of a miRNA. Loquacious-PA generates a 21-mer miR-307a and loquacious-PB generates a 23-mer miR-307a. Thus by altering the Dicer partner proteins changes the choice of the cleavage site, producing isomiRs with different target specificities. They went on to show glycerol kinase and taranis were targets of 23-mer miR-307a but not 21-mer miR-307a (Fukunaga et al., 2012).
Humphrey et al., (2012) have also presented preliminary evidence to indicate that miR-133a and an isomiR have different target specificities in murine cardiomyoctyes.

We did not notice any obvious difference in the association of miRNAs with Ago1 and Ago2 (Figure 3.4), although our analysis was not extensive. Dueck et al., (2012) reported that human miRNAs are not differentially associated with Ago proteins, when analysed by northern blotting as opposed to sequencing. However miRNAs that associate with Ago2 peak at 22 nt, whilst peaks of 23 or 24 nucleotides are observed for Ago 1 and 3 (Dueck et al., 2012). This may be the reason why shorter isomiRs have a slight preference for binding to Ago2 (Dueck et al., 2012: Burroughs et al., 2011).

A number of groups have reported that isomiR expression patterns differ between cell lines or tissue types and in some cases the changes are as much as ten-fold (Fernandez-Valverde et al., 2010; Burroughs et al., 2010). These studies were based upon sequencing data, but it seems likely that they are essentially correct because our northern blotting results generally agreed with our sequencing data (Figure 3.2 -3.4). We also observed that the dominant isomiRs vary between cell and tissue types (Figure 3.3 and Table S3.1).

MiR-9 has been shown to be upregulated in breast cancer cells and to repress CDH1, which promotes cancer cells motility and invasiveness. MiR-9 mediated downregulation of CDH1 is also associated with the activation of vascular endothelial growth factor through the upregulation of beta catenin signalling, which increases tumour angiogenesis. Inhibition of miR-9 by miRNA sponge reduces metastasis

109

formation (Ma et al., 2010). Here, CDH1 was again validated as a target of miR-9. DNMT3B was also found to be overexpressed in a subset of hypermethylated breast cancer cells. qPCR analysis showed miRs-29c, 148a, 148b, 26a, 26b, and 203 in hypermethylator cell lines was reduced 60%–85% compared to non-hypermethylator cell lines (Sandhu et al., 2012). Further investigations are required to determine whether a downregulation of miR-9/isomiR-9 is associated with the subset of hypermethylation breast cancer and upregulation with the non-methylated breast cancer.

NCAM2 might be involved in neurological diseases such as Down's syndrome and autism. In humans, NCAM2 is located on chromosome 21. Trisomy 21 is the cause of Down's syndrome and excessive expression of NCAM2 has been suggested as a contributing factor to its development (Paoloni-Giacobino et al., 1997; Winther et al., 2012). The expression pattern of NCAM2 suggests it may have a role in the development of olfactory sensory neurones (Hamlin et al., 2004). MiRNA array revealed extensive regulation of miRNAs during the development of the brain, two of them i.e., miR-9 and miR-131 were also dysregulated in presenilin-1 null mice that exhibited severe brain developmental defects (Krichevsky et al., 2003).

It has been argued that isomiRs provide a new level of mRNA regulation (Neilsen et al., 2012) or that alternatively they are trivial variants produced by sloppy processing (Cummins et al., 2006a, b). One interesting proposal is that isomiR production might reduce the relative off target effect compared to a single miRNA (Cloonan et al., 2011). It seems unlikely that isomiRs are trivial because although an individual isomiR is by definition a minority species, our sequencing numbers for isomiR-9 and

isomir-302a were higher than for many canonical miRNAs (Table S3.6A and S3.6B). The question of whether isomiRs have important biological roles is perhaps not clear as yet. However, two of our observations indicate the possible therapeutic and/ or experimental value of isomiRs. First, we observed that isomiR-9 is an equally effective inhibitor of DNMT3B as miR-9 is of CDH1 *in vitro* (Figure 3.8). Second, some of the predicted mRNA targets of isomiRs are not predicted targets of any other miRNA (Figure S3.1 and Table S3.7).

Chapter 4 Evaluation of miR-9 and isomiR-9 targets by RNA sponges

4.1 Introduction

Most miRNAs are predicted to target hundreds to thousands of mRNAs, however, 30% of these predictions for human mRNAs are estimated to be false positives (Lewis et al., 2003). Experiments are therefore essential both to confirm targets and to explore the biological function of miRNAs and their isomiRs. Loss-of-function strategies are particularly informative and these include antisense oligonucleotide or antagomirs (Meister et al., 2004; Krützfeldt et al., 2005), miRNA sponges (Ebert et al., 2007) and genetic knockout animals (Miska et al., 2007; Park et al., 2010). Sponges are potentially more efficient than antisense oligonucleotide (Ebert et al., 2007) and also seem more likely to be able to inhibit specific isomiRs. Here we describe the use of miRNA sponges as a mean to confirm and extend our isomiR results of Chapter 3.

MicroRNA sponges, natural and synthetic were first described in 2007 (Ebert et al., 2007; Franco-Zorrilla et al., 2007). These sponges express non-coding RNAs that have multiple miRNA target binding sites, and their expression is usually driven by a CMV promoter. They act as decoy mRNAs that compete with endogenous mRNA for base pairing with miRNAs.

Sponges with binding sites containing a central bulge were reported to be more effective than sponges with perfect binding sites (Ebert et al., 2007). This may be due to degradation of the sponge transcripts by endonucleolytic cleavage activity of Ago2 upon perfect binding of the miRNA with sponge. Otaegi et al., (2011) have tested various constructs for their ability to repress their target gene. Sponge constructs with short 6 nts separation between miRNA binding sites worked better than constructs with 29 and 42 nts separations. Constructs that started with a coding gene followed by sponge RNA worked better than constructs without the coding gene. Lastly, constructs with 6 or 12 multiple binding sites were better than constructs with 24 multiple binding sites (Otaegi et al., 2011). Ebert et al., (2007) has also described that sponges with >6 multiple binding sites repeats have only marginal increased efficiency, possibly due to saturation effects. Taking the above results as a guideline, the ingredients for a successful sponge design should be a sponge that has 6 multiple binding sites (MBS), a short separation between the MBS and that begins with a coding gene.

4.2 Results

4.2.1 Using as a different reporter vector (pMIR-Report) to validate the targets of miR-9 and isomiR-9.

We first wanted to confirm our results of Chapter 3 with a different vector. I therefore cloned the 3' UTRs of DNMT3B and CDH1 into the pMIR vector, which expresses luciferase from a CMV promoter. Figure 4.1 shows that isomiR-9 at a transfection concentration of 4 nM was able to repress luciferase activity (DNMT3B) but no inhibition was observed for miR-9. However, both miRNAs were unable to inhibit luciferase expression at 1 nM (Figure 4.1), probably because of the high luciferase expression driven by the CMV promoter.

Figure 4.2 shows that miR-9 was a better inhibitor than isomiR-9 of luciferase joined to the 3' UTR of CDH1 (described in Chapter 3) in the pMIR reporter vector, however this was only clear cut at higher miRNA concentrations. (Figure 4.2 A and B). Overall, these results confirmed that that miR-9 was a more effective inhibitor of CDH1 than isomiR-9 in luciferase reporter vector.

Figure 4.1

Luciferase assay of pMIR-DNMT3B-3'UTR transfected along with either miR-9 or isomiR-9. The luciferase expression in this vector is driven by a CMV promoter. A segment of DNMT3B 3' UTR was inserted between SpeI and SacI sites. pMIR-DNMT3B-3' UTR was co-transfected with either miR-9 or isomiR-9 at 1 nM and 4 nM into HEK293 cells. All results were normalised by Renilla. Error bars represent the standard deviation obtained from three independent experiments (n=3). * indicate p value <0.05 (statistical difference is between miR-9 and isomiR-9).

Figure 4.2

 0.7

 0.6

Luciferase assay of pMIR-CDH1-3'UTR, transfected along with either miR-9 or isomiR-9 at 8 and 16 nM (A). (B) 24, 32 and 40 nM.

pMIR-CDH1 3'UTR luciferase vector was constructed by cloning a segment of CDH1 3'UTR into pMIR-report vector. pMIR-CDH1-3'UTR was co-transfected with either miR-9 or isomiR-9 at 8 nM and 16 nM (A) into HEK293 cells. Then, repeated at 24 nM, 32 nM and 40 nM (B). All results were normalised by Renilla. Error bars represent the standard deviation obtained from three independent experiments (n=3). * indicate p value <0.05 (statistical difference is between miR-9 and isomiR-9).

4.2.2 Design of CDH1/ miR-9 and DNMT3B/ isomiR-9 sponges

After using a different reporter vector to validate the results of Chapter 3, the next question was whether it was possible to use sponges to specifically inhibit miR-9 and its isomiR in order to further strengthen my results. With the help of Leandro Castellano (Imperial College London), two sponges were designed and constructed with the intention to soak up either miR-9 or isomiR-9 separately. The 3' UTRs of CDH1 containing the target site of miR-9, and DNMT3B with the target site of isomiR-9 were used as the templates for the construction of these sponges. The initial sponges that were created contained 6 multiple miRNA binding sites (Figure 4.3). DNA sequences were synthesised by Eurogentec which were blunt end ligated into pUC57 at EcoRV (Position 431) within a multiple cloning site, which were subsequently validated by sequencing.

Selection of templates for the construction of sponges

Figure 4.3

Selection of templates and generation of miRNA sponges. The target sites of miR-9 in the 3' UTR of E-cadherin (CDH1) and target site of isomiR-9 in DNA methyltransferase 3b (DNMT3B) are shown (highlighted as green) and these were selected as templates for sponges. Sponges containing 6 templates or MBS for either miR-9 or isomiR-9 were constructed. The sequences of miR-9 and isomiR-9 are also shown. Green shading highlights the seed sequences of miR-9 and isomiR-9 and their seed targets within the sponges.

4.2.3 pMIR-isomiR-9 sponge with 6 multiple binding sites

In order to confirm that the sponge templates we designed were effective, they were first inserted as 3' UTRs downstream of the luciferase sequence in the pMIR-report vector. Figure 4.4 shows the results of experiments in which pMIR-isomiR-9 sponge with 6 MBS was co-transfected along with either miR-9, isomiR-9 or let-7d at increasing concentration from 4 to 16 nM. As expected the control miRNA let-7d was unable to inhibit luciferase activity but surprisingly both miR-9 and isomiR-9 were able to knockdown luciferase activity (Figure 4.4). The observation that both miR-9 and isomiR-9 could repress luciferase activity might be because the multiple binding sites had somehow enhanced the ability of miR-9 to recognise the binding site for isomiR-9. To test this possibility the multiple binding sites were reduced to 2. This was performed by a simple digestion with SpeI which removed 4 of the 6 multiple binding sites. Using a pMIR-isomiR-9 sponge with 2 MBS, miR-9 and isomiR-9 were still able to knockdown luciferase activity. However, isomiR-9 appeared to be more effective at lower concentrations of 4 and 12 nM (Figure 4.5 A). The experiment was repeated at a lower miRNA concentration $(1 - 4$ nM) and at miRNA concentrations of 1 nM, 2 nM, 4 nM and 12 nM and this confirmed that the differences in repression between miR-9 and isomiR-9 were significant at lower concentrations of miRNAs (Figure 4.5 A and B).

pMIR-isomiR-9 sponge (6MBS)

Figure 4.4

pMIR-isomiR-9 sponge with 6 MBS was co-transfected with either isomiR-9, miR-9 or let-7d. A fixed amount of 200 ng of this vector was transfected along with isomiR-9, miR-9 and let-7d at increasing miRNA concentration (4 nM, 8 nM, 12 nM and 16 nM). All results were normalised by renilla luciferase. Error bars represent the standard deviation obtained from three independent experiments (n=3). * indicate p value <0.05 (statistical difference is between miR-9 and isomiR-9).

isomiR-9

pMIR-isomiR-9 sponge (2MBS)

Figure 4.5

pMIR-isomiR-9 sponge with 2 MBS was co-transfected with either miR-9 or isomiR-9. A fixed amount of 200 ng of vector was transfected along with isomiR-9 and miR-9 at increasing concentrations (A) 4 nM, 12 nM and 20 nM and (B) 1 nM, 2 nM and 4 nM. All results were normalised by renilla luciferase. Error bars represent the standard deviation obtained from three independent experiments $(n=3)$. * indicate p value <0.05 (statistical difference is between miR-9 and isomiR-9).

4.2.4 pMIR-miR-9 sponge with 6 multiple binding sites

Subsequently, pMIR-miR-9 sponge with 6 MBS was co-transfected with either miR-9, isomiR-9 or let-7d at increasing concentration from 4 nM to 20 nM (Figure 4.6). Overall miR-9 was better inhibitor of expression than isomiR-9, however, the results were not as convincing as previous results using vector with a single miR-9 binding site (Figure 3.8A).

pMIR-miR-9 sponge with 6 MBS was co-transfected with either miR-9, isomiR-9 or let-7d. Increasing concentration of miRNA (4, 8, 12, 16 and 20 nM) was transfected along with a fixed concentration of the pMIR-miR-9 sponge reporter vector (200ng). All results were normalised by renilla luciferase. Error bars represent the standard deviation obtained from three independent experiments $(n=3)$. * indicate p value <0.05 (statistical difference is between miR-9 and isomiR-9).

4.2.5 pcDNA3.1(+) -miR-9 and –isomiR-9 sponges selectively absorb miR-9 and isomiR-9 respectively

The multiple binding sites on the sponges described above may have compromised the ability of luciferase vectors to distinguish between miR-9 and isomiR-9 effects. Next, these RNA sponges were used for their intended purpose and were first introduced into expression vectors and then co-transfected along with a reporter vector and miRNA (Figure 4.7).

MiR-9 sponge and isomiR-9 sponge regions (Figure 4.3) were excised from pUC57 and ligated into pcDNA3.1(+) at EcoRI (Position 952)/ApaI (Position 1001) and HindIII (Position 911)/XbaI (Position 991) respectively. These pcDNA-miR-9 and pcDNA-isomiR-9 sponges expression vectors produce RNA sponges that have 6 multiple binding sites and their expression are driven by a CMV promoter (Figure 4.7A). Fixed amounts of pGL3-DNMT3B-3'UTR (400ng) and isomiR-9 (12 nM) were transfected together with either pcDNA-miR-9 sponge or pcDNA-isomiR-9 sponge at different concentrations into HEK293 cells (Figure 4.7B). The experiment was repeated using pGL3-CDH1-3'UTR and miR-9 (Figure 4.7C). The control columns report pGL3 transfections only. The 0 ng columns show the results for the inhibition of the pGL3 vector by miR-9 (Figure 4.7B) or by isomiR-9 (Figure 4.7C). Figure 4.7B shows that the repression caused by miR-9 was alleviated by the introduction of 100 ng of miR-9 sponge but not by the isomiR-9 sponge. By contrast, isomiR-9 sponge partially alleviated the repression of isomiR-9 on DNMT3B-3'UTR but sponge miR-9 did not (Figure 4.7C). NCAM2 was also tested by these sponges (Figure 4.7D). Similarly, isomiR-9 sponge partially rescued the repression by isomiR-9 on NCAM2-3'UTR whereas miR-9 sponge did not.

pcDNA-miR-9 sponge expression vector

C) pGL3-DNMT3B-3'UTR (400ng) and isomiR-9 (12 nM)

D) pMIR-NCAM2-3'UTR (200ng) and isomiR-9 (12 nM)

Figure 4.7

Sponge inhibitors of miR-9 and isomiR-9. A) Structure of sponge constructs pcDNA-miR-9 sponge and pcDNA-isomiR-9 sponge. HEK293 cells were transfected with the indicated concentrations of sponge vectors and either B) pGL3-CDH1-3'UTR (400ng) and miR-9, C) pGL3-DNMT3B-3'UTR (400ng) and isomiR-9 or D) pMIR-NCAM2-3'UTR (200ng) and isomiR-9. Control: Reporter vectors only. 0 ng: Indicates reporter vector and miR-9 or isomiR-9 (12 nM). 25 ng, 100 ng, 150 ng and 200 ng are the amount of sponge DNA that was introduced. D) All results were normalised by renilla luciferase. Error bars represent the standard deviation obtained from three independent experiments $(n=3)$. * indicate p value <0.05 (statistical difference is between miR-9 sponge and isomiR-9 sponge).

4.2.6 In search of a cell line that expresses DNMT3B or NCAM2

To further test whether isomiR-9 could repress DNMT3B in an endogenous system, a cell line that expressed DNMT3B is required. Western blotting was performed to look for cell lines that express DNMT3B. Based on the limited number of cell lines that were tested, hESC was the only cell line that expresses DNMT3B protein (Figure 4.8). As hESC is a hard to transfect cells, I screened for the presence of an alternate target of isomiR-9 NCAM2 and recently found LNCaP cell line (a human prostate adenocarcinoma derived from metastatic supraclavicular lymph node)(a gift from Alwyn Dart, member of Charlotte Bevan group) expresses NCAM2 protein (Figure 4.8).

Figure 4.8

DNMT3B and NCAM2 protein expressions.

A) Western blotting results of DNMT3B antibody (Santa Cruz, 97kDa) on the indicated cell lines. Ponceau staining of the western blot membrane was used as loading control. Orange band of the ColorPlus prestained protein ladder (NEB UK) represents 80kDa. B) NCAM2 western blotting on different cell lines. α-tubulin was used as loading control.

4.2.7 Infection is the preferred method of introducing miRNA into hESCs

This experiment was designed to compare the efficiency of transfection and infection of hESCs. A red fluorescent tag miRNA mimic (Dharmacon) was used in the transfection and lentiviral infection was performed using PLVTHM, a lentiviral vector that expresses GFP. As expected, only an average of 5% of hESCs was transfected compared to 60% in HEK293 cells. In contrast, an average of 50% of hESCs was infected (Figure 4.9A) compared to 70% in HEK293 cells. There was therefore a 10-fold difference in efficiency between transfection and transduction of hESCs (Figure 4.9B).

To be certain that hESCs were the actual cells that were infected, rather than just stromal or differentiated cells, pRRL-cPPT-PGK-dsred lentiviral vector that expresses red fluorescent protein was used to infect T5-Oct4 GFP transgenic hESCs. The rationale was that if the green cells also express red fluorescent protein then infection has occurred in the hESCs. In this experiment, 42% of the cells were infected were both red and green fluorescent, indicating that 42% of the infected cells were hESCs. This constitutes about 2/3 of the stem cell population (Figure 4.9C). This was confirmed by direct visualisation of cells expressing green and red fluorescent proteins with a fluorescent microscope (Figure 4.9E). This result shows miRNA sponges can be effectively introduced into hESCs by lentiviruses.

It is important to note that lentivirus might not be a good way of introducing isomiR-9 ectopic expression as they will be expressed in their primary or precursor form and therefore be subjected to the usual processing that will generate all other isomiRs including the canonical miRNA.

127

Figure 4.9

Transfection and viral infection efficiency in HEK293 and hESCs. A) PLVTHMinfected hESCs express GFP. B) Transfection was performed using red fluorescent tag miRNA mimic and infection using lentiviral vector that expresses GFP. Bar graph showed the percentage of cells that were transfected or infected. C) T5 Oct4-GFP transgenic hESCs were infected by PGK-RFP lentivirus. Bar graph showed the percentage of infected and non-infected hESCs and stromal cells. D) FACS analysis shows red and green compensation was performed before the evaluation of percentage of cells that have red and green colours. E) Red & green cells represent infected hESCs, red only cells represent infected stromal/ differentiated cells, green only cells represent uninfected hESCs and non-green/non-red cells represent uninfected stromal/ differentiated cells. Error bars represent the standard deviation obtained from two independent experiments (n=2).

4.2.8 Construction of a DNMT3B expressing vector

Returning to the DNMT3B study, as hESCs are hard to transfect, in order to evaluate whether isomiR-9 can knockdown DNMT3B, I constructed a vector that expresses DNMT3B along with its 3' UTR. A plasmid containing the full length coding region of DNMT3B (2562bp) was obtained from addgene (Plasmid 35522: pcDNA3/Myc-DNMT3B1) (Chen et al., 2005). The 3' UTR of DNMT3B was amplified from human genomic DNA (1560bp) by PCR and a full length DNMT3B with its 3' UTR was then constructed (see Materials and methods). This gene was first ligated into pGEM-T easy vector and sequenced. Then DNMT3B 3' UTR was cloned into $pcDNA3.1(+)$ between BamHI and XbaI sites. DNMT3B expression was confirmed by transfection of pcDNA-DNMT3B into HEK293 cells using HiPerfect (Figure 4.10).

Figure 4.10

Ectopic expression of DNMT3B in HEK293 cells. 400 ng of pcDNA-DNMT3B was transfected into HEK293 cells (lane 3) and protein was extracted using RIPA buffer after 48 hours. hESCs control and HEK cells control were in lane 1 and 2 respectively. α-tubulin was used as loading control.

4.3 Discussion

Here we show that it is possible to make sponge vectors that can distinguish between miR-9 and isomiR-9 (Figure 4.7), which adds further assurance that isomiRs can recognise different targets to canonical/ annotated miRNAs. In principle, this advance will allow us to test the biological significance of isomiR production by selective sequestration of isomiRs in appropriate model systems.

As expected, miR-9 and isomiR-9 showed different targeting of the UTRs of CDH1 and DNMT3B when these were cloned into a different luciferase vector (Figures 4.1 and 4.2). However, it was more difficult to show selective targeting by the sponge regions with 6 target sites for CDH1 or DNMT3B (Figure 4.4 and 4.6), when these were cloned into a luciferase vector (Figures 4.4 to 4.6). Our analysis indicates that specificity can be lost by increases in the number of MBS and by the use of high concentrations of miRNAs (Figure 4.5).

The sponge regions worked better when they were used as decoy mRNAs that sequestered miRNAs from the co-transfected luciferase vectors (Figure 4.7). Nevertheless, the results of Figures 4.4 to 4.6 indicate that the effectiveness of sponges in general is likely to be dependent upon the relative concentration of endogenous miRNA and sponge expression level (Figure 4.11). For sponges that are trying to distinguish between very similar miRNAs and isomiRs, it would seem particularly important not to express an excessive amount of decoy mRNA relative to miRNA levels.

Figure 4.11

Sponges compete with target mRNA for binding with miRNA and the various outcomes as a result of the concentration differences between the miRNA and sponge. Long blue bar – target mRNA; short black bar – miRNA binding site; orange oval – protein; green pentAgon – endogenous miRNA; red cross – protein not produced; arrow – protein translation. In an environment where there is high level of miRNA concentration but low sponge expression, the most likely outcome is protein will not be produced. Conversely, if there is high sponge expression coupled with a low miRNA concentration, most invariably protein will be produced. The situation becomes unpredictable when there is either high level of both miRNA and sponge or low level of both miRNA and sponge.

A miR-9 sponge can repress genes such as CDH1 in breast cancer (Ma et al., 2010) and FoxP1 in chick spinal cord (Otaegi et al., 2011). Sponges therefore might have therapeutic potential for cancer treatment. MiR-21 and miR-221, which target PTEN, are also overexpressed in a variety of tumours (Garofalo et al., 2011).

Our results show that an "isomiR-9 sponge" could specifically sequester isomiR-9 at a better efficiency than the canonical miR-9 with one base difference at the 5' end, and *vice-versa*. This shows that miRNA sponge could potentially be used to knockdown specific isomiRs. It would be interesting to investigate whether isomiR-9 or its sponge could be of therapeutic important in breast cancer cells that overexpress DNMT3B (Sandhu et al., 2012).

In future, my plan is to investigate the biological effect of miR-9/isomiR-9 knockdown in neural stem cells. This could be achieved by either introducing miR/isomiR-9 sponges directly into NSC or by first establishing stable transgene expression of a sponge in hESCs by lentiviral infection (Figure 4.9) and then proceeding to neural differentiation. Our results show that hESCs are hard to transfect, indicating that a lentiviral system will be the best way for sponge delivery. A previous study also showed that a lentiviral system gave better gene delivery, in comparison with transfection. Nucleofection is another alternative but it was associated with low survival rate (Cao et al. 2010).

Chapter 5 MicroRNA 302 cluster and somatic cell reprogramming

5.1 Introduction

The polycistronic miR-302 cluster encodes five miRNA genes that have an important role in the regulation of embryonic stem function. These five miRNA genes include miR-302a, b, c and d, and miR-367. The cluster is located on chromosome 4 (Figure 5.1) within an intron of and in the opposite orientation to the gene LARP7, which unlike the miR-302 cluster is ubiquitously expressed. Most functional studies have been of miR-302a as this is generally consider as the functional guide strand and has a common seed region with other members of this cluster, namely miR-302b, c and d (Rosa et al., 2009; Rosa et al., 2011; Barroso-delJesus et al., 2011). Inhibition of miR-302 in stem cells resulted in the downregulation of pluripotency markers and *vice versa* (Rosa et al., 2009). Furthermore, global loss of miRNA in DGCR8 deficient stem cells resulted in defects in proliferation and differentiation (Gangaraju et al., 2009).

Figure 5.1

The polycistronic miR-302 cluster is conserved. Image was taken from UCSC Genome Browser website (http://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgTracks? hgsid=280340015).

Table 5.1 lists a collection of sequencing data of members of the miR-302 cluster from 5 different sources, including ours (Suh et al., 2004; Bar et al., 2008; Morin et al., 2008; Lipchina et al., 2011; Chan, unpublished). The differences in expression levels of miRNAs such as miR-302a to d and miR-367 that are transcribed from the same promoter is an interesting feature of many miRNA clusters (Table 5.1).

Table 5.1

Comparison of the fraction between members of miR-302 cluster (302a, 302a*, 302b, 302b*, 302c, 302c*, 302d, 302d*, 367 and 367*) in human embryonic stem cells of selected publications. Numbers that are highlighted in grey denote the most highly sequenced miRNA in the cluster. The numbers are expressed as fractions of the total sequencing reads. 454, Illumina and Solexa represent the sequencing platforms that were used in the deep sequencing.

MiRNA* is derived from the opposite arm to the guide strand in the precursor miRNA, and is usually detected at lower frequency than the guide stand miRNAs (Bartel, 2004; Lagos-Quintana et al., 2002; Aravin et al., 2003; Lim et al., 2003). Interestingly, our sequencing results revealed that miR-302a* was the most highly sequenced miRNA in human embryonic stem cells from the miR-302 cluster, some 20

fold more than the guide strand of miR-302, Lipchina et al., (2011) also reported that miR-302a* was the most highly sequence miRNA (Table 5.1).

Overall, we found that miRNA* strands were, as expected, expressed at much lower frequencies in all 3 stem cell lines (Figure 5.2). Across the three cell types, on average 5.8% of miRNA genes expressed only the star strand and 16.6% expressed both miRNA/miRNA* (Figure 5.2 and Table 5.2). Intriguingly, there were cell lines that expressed only the opposite strands, for example hESCs expressed miR-30e* only, while NSCs expressed miR-30e only (Table S5.1).

Figure 5.2 and Table 5.2

Figure and table illustrate the percentage of miRNA genes that encode only guide, star or both miRNA strands in the deep sequencing results of combined human embryonic stem cells, neural stem cells and mesenchymal stem cells. The deep sequencing experiment was performed by Elcie Chan (unpublished). hESC – Human embryonic stem cells; NSC – Neural stem cells; MSC – Mesenchymal stem cells.

Recently, miRNA* was reported to be associated with Ago protein (Okamura et al., 2008), which is consistent with my northern blotting results where miR-302a* was detected in Ago 1 and 2 immunoprecipitations (Figure 3.4). Similarly to our deep sequencing data, Jagadeeswaran et al., (2010) observed that some miRNA* were expressed at a higher level than the corresponding guide strands (Table S5.1). Consequently, miRBase has replaced the star sign with either miR-5p or -3p. As expected, target prediction studies showed that the mRNA targets of opposite arms differ significantly (Griffiths-Jones et al., 2011). The star sequence of miR-367 has only been detected at a low level in all sequencing studies (Table 5.1), indicating that it is far less likely to have a biological function compared to miR-302a* or miR-302d*.

Forced expression of the miR-302 cluster can reprogram somatic cells to pluripotent stem cells or can enhance the production of stem cells by OSKM factors. Table 5.3 lists the various strategies that have been used to generate iPSCs through the use of miRNAs, and also shows the estimated efficiencies, where available. Some of the efficiency levels that are reported are orders of magnitude greater than the the OSKM method (Anokye-Danso et al., 2011). Induced pluripotent stem cells (IPSCs) could potentially be used as: disease models, for example, spinal muscular atrophy (Ebert et al., 2009) and LEOPARD syndrome (Carvajal-Vergara et al., 2010); drug testing and regenerative medicine (Wu et al., 2011). The mechanism by which stem cells can be reprogrammed from somatic cells is an area of great interest. For the miR-302 cluster it would appear that the expression of miR-302a and miR-367 is important (Anokye-Danso et al., 2011) but the potential contribution of the miR-302a* has not been addressed.

Recently, in addition to IPSCs, there were reports of miRNA-mediated conversion of fibroblasts to neurones (miR-9/9*, miR-124 and NeuroD2; Yoo et al., 2011) and cardiomyocytes (miR-1, miR-133, miR-208 and miR-499; Jayawardena et al., 2012). Here, a miR-302 cluster lentivirus was constructed in order to test the reproducibility of somatic cell reprogramming and then to use this technology to investigate the mechanism of reprogramming by the miR-302 cluster. We also wanted to find out whether the star/ passenger strand of miR-302a is important for somatic cell reprogramming

Table 5.3

List of publications in somatic cell reprogramming using miRNAs (Taken from Tan et al., 2012)

5.2 Results

5.2.1 Characteristics of miR-302 cluster

Table 5.4 shows that miR-302a to d have a common seed region, which is different to the conserved seed regions of the star miRNAs. MiR-367 has a distinctive seed region that is conserved with other species (Figure 5.1).

Table 5.4

Table lists the members of miR-302 cluster with emphasis on the seed sequence difference between the guide and star strands. Highlighted sequences denote seed region. Yellow represents the common seeds for miR-302a/b/c/d. Light blue represents the common seed for miR-302a*/b*/c*/d* and miR367*. Green represents the seed region of miR-367. Grey areas represent variation of sequences between miRNAs.

Table 5.5 lists the numbers of predicted targets of each member of the miR-302 cluster and Figure 5.3 shows which of these targets are in common. The SP3 transcriptional factor is a predicted target of five miRNAs including miR-302a* and was selected for further investigation, largely because studies suggest that SP3 binds to the promoter region of Oct4 and Nanog genes and might regulate their expression (Pesce et al., 1999; Wu et al., 2006). ZNF148 gene was of interest as there are miRNA binding sites in its UTR for miR-302a/b/c/d, miR-302a* and miR-367 (Figure 5.3 and Table S5.2). In figure 5.3, the Venn diagram shows target predictions of miR-302a/b/c/d (similar seed region – see table 5.4), miR-302a*, miR-302b*/d* and miR-367. MiR-302c* and miR-367 were not analysed because the deep sequencing reads for these two miRNAs were zero (see table 5.1). These miRNAs represent 3 of the most abundantly express miRNAs in the cluster. In addition, 3 different databases independently predicted that SP3 is a target of miR-302a* (Figure S5.1).

Table 5.5

Table shows the total number of predicted targets of members of the miR-302 cluster. Target prediction was performed using Targetscan Custom Human 4.1.

Figure 5.3

Venn diagram shows the number of predicted targets that are either shared by or unique to the members of the miR-302 cluster. SP3 is a target common to miR-302a/b/c/d and miR-302a*. ZNF148 is a target common to miR-302a/b/c/d, miR-302a* and miR-367. BCL11B is a target that is common to all 4 groups of miR-302 cluster. Targets that are in common are listed in Table S5.2.

5.2.2 Target evaluation of SP3 and ZNF148 reporters by luciferase assays

To test whether miR-302a* can repress its predicted targets, SP3 and ZNF148 luciferase reporters were constructed. A segment of SP3 and ZNF148 3'UTRs were amplified and ligated to pGEM-T easy vector and sequence verified. Finally, SP3- 3'UTR (795bp) was cloned into Xba I and Fse I sites at positions 1934 and 1953 of pGL3 control vector (Figure 5.4A and Figure S2.2). While ZNF148-3'UTR (429bp) was cloned into Spe I and Sac I sites at positions 525 and 519 of pMIR report vector (Figure 5.5A and Figure S5.2).

MiR-302a* was unable to consistently repress SP3, even at different miRNA concentrations (Figure 5.4B). Again, as seen earlier in figure 3.8A, the luciferase activity increased after transfection with let-7d (Figure 5.4). In ZNF148, at 4 nM the repression was slight >10% but the difference from let-7d was only marginally significant (p value = 0.049). There was no statistical difference between miR-302a^{*} and let-7d at 12 nM and 20 nM (Figure 5.5B).

A

pGL3-SP3-3UTR reporter

A) In pGL3 vector, the luciferase expression is driven by SV40 promoter. SP3 3' UTR was inserted downstream to the luciferase sequence. B) pGL3-SP3-3'UTR was cotransfected with miR-302a* or let-7d into HEK293 cells. Relative activity of the firefly luciferase for SP3 reporter was plotted against increasing concentrations of miR-302a*. Control denotes transfection of reporter vector only. Error bars represent the standard deviation obtained from six independent experiments (n=6) for SP3 and three independent experiments (n=3) for let-7d. All results were normalised by renilla luciferase.

Figure 5.5 ZNF148 3'UTR reporter assay

A) In pMIR vector, the luciferase expression is driven by CMV promoter. ZNF148 3' UTR was inserted downstream to the luciferase sequence. B) pMIR-ZNF148-3'UTR was co-transfected with either miR-302a* and let-7d into HEK293 cells. Relative activity of the firefly luciferase for ZNF148 reporter was plotted against increasing concentration of miRNAs. Control denotes transfection of reporter vector only. Error bars represent the standard deviation obtained from three independent experiments $(n=3)$. All results were normalised by renilla luciferase. $*$ indicate p value is <0.05 (statistical difference is between miR-302a* and let-7d).

5.2.3 Construction of a lentiviral vector that expresses miR-302 cluster

MiR-302 cluster comprising of miR-302b, miR-302c, miR-302a, miR-302d and miR-367, accompanied by 120bp upstream and 150bp downstream of the cluster (975bp) was amplified by PCR from human genomic DNA (Figure S5.3). The amplified fragment was ligated into pGEM-T easy vector and verified by sequencing. Finally, it was cloned into XhoI and MluI sites at position 3806 and 4064 of pTRIPz inducible lentiviral vector (Figure 5.6 and Figure S5.4).

As this vector has a red fluorescent protein (RFP) marker, the pTRIPz-302 cluster lentivirus was first tested by infecting HEK293 (human embryonic kidney) and MRC5 (human lung fibroblasts) cells to observe for RFP. Doxycycline induced, lentiviral infected HEK293 and MRC5 cells expressed RFP (Figure 5.7A). Subsequently, northern blots of total RNAs collected from the infected HEK293 and MRC5 cells showed miRNA expressions from members of the miR-302 cluster, i.e., miR-302a, miR-302a* and miR-367 (Figure 5.7B).

Figure 5.6

MiR-302 cluster in the pTRIPz-miR-302 cluster lentiviral vector is driven by minimal CMV with tetracycline response element. Its expression can be monitored by turbo red fluorescent protein expression. 120 and 150 represent the length of nts extended upstream and downstream from the miR-302 cluster gene. MinCMV – Minimal cytomegalovirus promoter; tRFP – turbo red fluorescent protein; miR-302cl – miR-302 cluster; UBC – Ubiquitin promoter; rtTA3 – Reverse transactivator; IRES – Internal ribosome entry site; PURO – Puromycin.

Figure 5.7

MiR-302 cluster expression in HEK and MRC5 cells

A) HEK and MRC5-infected cells expressed RFP after induction with doxycycline. B) Northern blots of infected HEK and MRC5 cells were probed for miR-302a, miR-367 and miR-302a*. rRNA stained with ethidium bromide was used as loading control. hESCs- human embryonic stem cells; NSCs- neural stem cells; HEK- human embryonic kidney cells; 302cl- miR-302 cluster; Ctr-Control.
5.2.4 Evaluation of miR-302 cluster in the reprogramming of human lung fibroblasts

To test the potential of miR-302 cluster in somatic cell reprogramming, MRC5 cells were infected with the pTRIPz-302 cluster lentivirus and cultured in hESC conditions (matrigel coated plate and MEF-conditioned media). Cell colonies started to appear 6 to 8 weeks after infection (Figure 5.8A). This was seen in 2 out of 5 attempts.

These cells expressed RFP (Figure 5.8B), formed colonies (Figure 5.8C) and were faintly positive toward alkaline phosphatase (Figure 5.8D). In addition, RT-PCR showed these cells expressed low level of DNMT3B and Nanog, equivocal Oct4 and Lin28, but they did not express Sox2 (Figure 5.9), suggesting that they were not fully reprogrammed. This might be due to the low level of miR-302 cluster expression by pTRIPz-302 cluster in MRC5 cells.

Figure 5.8

pTRIPz-302 custer lentivirus infection in MRC5 cells

MRC5 cells were infected with pTRIPz-302 cluster lentivirus, cultured in hESCs condition. Cell colonies appeared approximately 6-8 weeks after infection. A) Cell colonies at day 1, day 3 and day 5 from the day of appearance. Black arrows show cells forming colony. B) These cells expressed red fluorescent protein indicates that they were infected. C) Comparison of the morphology between the colony and hESCs colony. D) Alkaline phosphatase staining of the cell colonies and hESCs.

Figure 5.9 Comparison of pluripotency gene expressions between hESCs and infected MRC5

Total RNAs were extracted from wild type/ control and pTRIPz-302 cluster virus infected MRC5 cells with and without reverse transcription. The pluripotency genes were compared between the MRC5 cells and hESCs. Ctr- Control; 302cl- 302 cluster; RT- reverse transcription.

5.3 Discussion

For some miRNA genes, strand switching occurs during development so that the star strand becomes the dominant miRNA (Griffith-Jones et al., 2011), which is presumably reflective of our observation that about 6% of the miRNAs that we sequenced expressed the star strand rather than the guide strand listed in miRBase (Figure 5.2). We only sequenced miRNA from three cell types, which raises the possibility that the star strand of other miRNA genes might be more expressed in a different cell background. Our sequencing data also shows that some 15% of miRNA genes that are expressed in our libraries make substantial amounts of both the guide and star strand. Overall we suspect that star strand production is currently underestimated.

Several star strands have been established to be functional (Okamura et al., 2008; Qu et al, 2012), however, as yet there have been no publications as to the function of miR-302a*. The high level of expression of miR-302a* (Table 5.1) suggests that it is likely to have an important function in stem cells and it may also be important for the induction of stem from somatic cells, as our results indicate that miR-302a* is likely to have been produced by vectors previously used in iPSC studies (Figure 5.7B and Table 5.3).

I found that miR-302a* was able to repress ZNF148 at 4 nM, although the repression was not that convincing because the repression was inconsistent at higher miRNA concentrations (Figure 5.5). For this reason we did not undertake a mutational analysis in order to validate target specificity. It might be of interest to test whether the guide strands of miR-302a can repress the expression of ZNF148, alone or in combination with miR-302a*, as there are target sites for miR-302a and 302a*. The mRNA encoded by SP3 was also not clearly inhibited by miR-302a*, despite predictions to the contrary (Figure 5.4).

To date, three groups have successfully reprogrammed somatic cells to a pluripotent state by using miRNA alone (Lin et al., 2008; Anokye-Danso et al., 2011; Miyoshi et al., 2012). Other groups have shown that miRNAs can enhance reprogramming by OSKM factors (Oct4, Sox2, Klf4 and Myc) (Liao et al., 2011; Subramanyam et al., 2011). Anokye-Danso et al., (2011) reported that they have achieved a reprogramming efficiency with miR-302 cluster alone that was 2 orders of magnitude higher than conventional reprogramming using OSKM factors. In addition, somatic cell reprogramming was successfully performed using mature miRNA by simple transfection (Myoshi et al., 2011).

Our data shows that pTRIPz-302 cluster did not fully reprogram the human lung fibroblasts to a pluripotent state, probably due to low miRNA expression. In the Lin et al., (2011) paper, the authors show that reprogramming of human hair follicle cells would only take place above a certain level of miRNA expression, approximately 1.5 fold of the miRNA expression in hESCs. Although we achieved a high level of expression of the miR-302 cluster in HEK293 cells, expression in MRC5 cells was relatively low (Figure 5.7). A high expression constitutive vector is perhaps a better choice to use in this study.

Relatively little is known about the regulation of pluripotency and differentiation of stem cells by the mir-302a cluster. Rosa et al., (2011) reported that NR2F2, an inhibitor of Oct4 was a target of miR-302a. They showed that both Oct4 and miR-

149

302a directly repress NR2F2, and regulate the maintenance of pluripotency and differentiation of ES cells. Notably, NR2F2 was a predicted target of miR-302a as well as miR-302a* by TargetScan Human (Table S5.2). SP3 is a possible inhibitor of Oct-4 and Nanog transcription factors (Pesce et al., 1999; Wu et al., 2006). Although SP3 is a predicted target of miR-302a* we were unable to confirm this.

Lin et al., (2011) attributed reprogramming by the miR-302a cluster to was global demethylation due to the repression of epigenetic regulators such as AOF1, AOF2, MECP1-p66 and MECP2. They found that expression of the miR-302 cluster repressed the above mentioned proteins, accompanied by the appearance of pluripotency associated proteins and global demethylation. Other targets of the miR-302 cluster that might be involved in the maintenance of pluripotency and differentiation include lefty1 and lefty2 (Rosa et al., 2009), CDKN1A; p21, Cyclin D1, BTG1, BTG2 and BTG3 (Wang et al., 2008; Card et al., 2008), RHOC and TGFb (Subramanyam et al., 2011), and PTEN (Sun et al., 1999; Lipchina et al., 2011).

Chapter 6 General Discussion

6.1 Star strands and isomiRs

The advent of deep sequencing has resulted in the discovery of large numbers of new miRNAs (Suh et al., 2004; Morin et al., 2008; Lipchina et al., 2011) with over 1600 entries for human miRNA genes in the most recent version of miRBase (Griffith-Jones., 2004). In parallel, the development of bioinformatics programs (Table 1.2) allows genome wide prediction of the mRNA targets of miRNAs (Lewis et al., 2005). Although the prediction programs are not entirely accurate (Ritchie et al., 2009), it is becoming increasingly feasible to test such predictions experimentally through the use of new technologies such as PAR-CLIP (Lipchina et al., 2011). These developments have opened up the ability to undertake genome scale investigation of miRNA regulation.

Star strands and isomiRs are emerging features of miRNAs that may in future become incorporated into the predictive and functional studies described above. Until recently the star strand has been considered to be a by-product that is degraded (Schwarz et al., 2003). However, it is now clear that miRNA* sequences are often highly expressed and associated with RISC proteins (Okamura et al., 2008). Indeed we found that miR-302a* and miR-9* were amongst the most highly expressed miRNAs in our database. Several papers have reported functional roles for miRNA star strands (Okamura et al., 2008; Yoo et al., 2011). The overall importance of star strands is strongly supported by the observation of arm-switching between cell types, where particular tissues switch to making more of the star strand than the guide miRNA (Ro et al., 2007; Ruby et al., 2007; de Wit et al., 2009; Chiang et al., 2009; Griffiths-Jones et al., 2011). Arm-switching also occurs during evolution, where certain species switch to making the star strand of a miRNA (Griffith-Jones et al., 2011). These observations strongly indicate that the expression of some star strands have been selected during evolution, strongly indicating that they are functionally important.

I observed that about 5.8% of the miRNAs we sequenced were star strands rather than guide strands, which is comparable with other studies (Lagos-Quintana et al., 2002; Aravin et al., 2003; Lim et al., 2003; Bartel, 2004). However this is probably an underestimate of star strand importance because it does not take into account possible star strand production by different tissues or the evolutionary possibility that some guide strands were originally star strands.

6.2 IsomiRs and evolution

IsomiRs can also be highly expressed and are associated with the RISC complex (Cloonan et al., 2011; see Chapter 3). The publication by Fukunaga et al., (2012) is perhaps the only publication to experimentally establish the functional importance of two isomiRs of Drosphila, which show tissue specific expression. However, the identification of arm switching is an alternative approach that has contributed strongly to the evidence of the functional importance of star strands (see above). I suggest that the same approach can be adapted for isomiRs, essentially by looking for changes in isomiR production between closely related miRNA genes, tissue types or species. For example, Figure 6.1 illustrates that the dominant miRNA for hsa-miR-500a is AUGCACCUGGGCAAGGAUUCUG, whereas the dominant miRNA for hsa-miR-502 looks like a 5'/3' isomiR with the sequence AAUGCACCUGGGCAAGGAUUCA. These are the sequences for the two miRNA

genes that are listed in miRBase. Figure 6.1 illustrates that hsa-miR-500a and 502 are within the same cluster and encode very similar miRNAs, indicating that they most probably arose by duplication during evolution. It can be seen that both genes make many similar isomiRs but express different isomiRs at the highest level. The most straightforward explanation of Figure 6.1 is that all of the isomiRs are functional and that the two genes have evolved in order to express two isomiRs in particular, suggesting that both isomiRs are selectively advantageous and therefore biologically important. The example in Figure 6.1 was identified by using miRBase to look at the sequencing details of a list of 31 miRNA clusters (Yu et al., 2006). In addition I found two other clusters from the total of 31 that were screened that showed evidence of isomiR switching (Figure S6.1 and S6.2).

Similarly, I noted that the dominant isomiR of 9-1 that is expressed by megakaryoblasts has the sequence UCUUUGGUUAUCUAGCUGUAUGA, whereas the dominant isomiR expressed by a brain sample has the sequence UUGGUUAUCUAGCUGUAUGA (miRGator database, samples 1 and 5; Cho et al., 2012). It should be noted that I have not confirmed this sequencing data by an independent experimental approach. Nevertheless, the bioinformatics analyses I have done so far illustrate that this is a promising approach towards testing whether isomiRs are of biological and evolutionary importance.

hsa-miR-500a

Figure 6.1

miR-500a and miR-502 are located in the same cluster and have mature sequence that are almost similar to each other with one or two bases different in the 5' and 3' ends. Deep sequencing results were taken from miRBase (August 2012, Griffith-Jones et al., 2004) and human genome map was taken from UCSC genome browser.

6.3 IsomiR expression

My northern blots findings were similar to the deep sequencing results of others in that the most dominant isomiRs varies between tissue types (Figure 3.3) (Fernandez-Valverde et al., 2010) and were able to associate with Argonaute proteins (Figure 3.4) (Burrough et al., 2011). My results that cross-referenced the predicted targets of isomiRs and canonical/ annotated miRNAs show only a small fraction of them have common targets (22%, see Figure 3.6 and Table S3.4). This reveals that large number of miRNA targets might have been missed as isomiRs were not included in the prediction of a miRNA, because only the annotated mature sequence is used in the prediction (TargetScan Human, Lewis et al., 2005).

My experimental studies did not establish whether the inhibition of DMNT3B or NCAM2 by isomiR-9 is of biological significance. They do however support the prediction that minor changes at the 5' end of miRNAs can have a major impact upon mRNA targeting. It remains to be seen whether natural selection is more likely to select conservative 5' isomiRs that target a similar set of mRNAs to the canonical miRNA, as suggested by Cloonan et al., 2011. Alternatively, tissue specific expression of isomiRs might allow a very different set of mRNAs to be targeted (Fukunaga et al., 2012).

In future, it might be interesting to observe the effect of isomiR-9 knockdown. As neural stem cells express high level of miR-9 and isomiR-9 (Table S3.1, Figure 3.5C), it is a good candidate to investigate the biological effect of isomiR-9 knockdown. This could be achieved by loss-of-function study using RNA sponge that we have developed (Figure 4.3). A lentiviral isomiR-9 sponge expression vector could be used to introduce a sponge vector into NSCs or I could first establish stable transgene expression of a sponge in hESCs prior to neural differentiation. In addition, the effect of isomiR-9 knockdown could also be tested in a transgenic animal. Further studies are needed to test the function of miR-302a* in hESCs, where this miRNA is very abundant (Table S3.1).

6.4 NCAM2 and Prostate Cancer

NCAM2 is highly expressed in the brain and at low levels in various adult tissues including prostate, ovary, liver, kidney, pancreas and spleen (Paoloni-Giacobino et al., 1997). My finding of NCAM2 expression in androgen-dependent LNCaP but not in androgen-independent PC3 and DU145 prostate cancer cell lines (Figure 4.8) are consistent with a previous study (Takahashi et al., 2011). In future, it would be interesting to further investigate the interaction between isomiR-9 and NCAM2.

6.5 Conclusion

Overall my results support the bioinformatics prediction that single nucleotide changes at the 5' end of a miRNA are likely to generate functionally significant new targets, which was again supported by the RNA sponge experiment. Out of 17 miRNA target tests that I made based upon the bioinformatics predictions, 5 were incorrect, giving a 29.4% false positive or negative predictions, suggesting the target prediction is fairly reliable. This project supports the observation that isomiRs are functionally significant and more importantly may have very different targets to the canonical/ annotated miRNA. This suggests that the regulation of cellular processes by miRNA is far more complex than previously thought. This project also provides a good platform for future studies into the biological significant of isomiRs.

References

Agarwal S, Loh YH, McLoughlin EM, Huang J, Park IH, Miller JD, Huo H, Okuka M, Dos Reis RM, Loewer S, Ng HH, Keefe DL, Goldman FD, Klingelhutz AJ, Liu L, Daley GQ. Telomere elongation in induced pluripotent stem cells from dyskeratosis congenita patients. Nature. 2010 Mar 11;464(7286):292-6.

Alvarez-Buylla A, Seri B, Doetsch F. Identification of neural stem cells in the adult vertebrate brain. Brain Res Bull. 2002 Apr;57(6):751-8.

Anokye-Danso F, Trivedi CM, Juhr D, Gupta M, Cui Z, Tian Y, Zhang Y, Yang W, Gruber PJ, Epstein JA, Morrisey EE. Highly efficient miRNA-mediated reprogramming of mouse and human somatic cells to pluripotency. Cell Stem Cell. 2011 Apr 8;8(4):376-88.

Aravin AA, Lagos-Quintana M, Yalcin A, Zavolan M, Marks D, Snyder B, Gaasterland T, Meyer J, Tuschl T. The small RNA profile during Drosophila melanogaster development. Dev Cell. 2003 Aug;5(2):337-50.

Azuma-Mukai A, Oguri H, Mituyama T, Qian ZR, Asai K, Siomi H, Siomi MC. Characterization of endogenous human Argonautes and their miRNA partners in RNA silencing. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008 Jun 10;105(23):7964-9.

Baek D, Villén J, Shin C, Camargo FD, Gygi SP, Bartel DP. The impact of microRNAs on protein output. Nature. 2008 Sep 4;455(7209):64-71.

Bail S, Swerdel M, Liu H, Jiao X, Goff LA, Hart RP, Kiledjian M. Differential regulation of microRNA stability. RNA. 2010 May;16(5):1032-9.

Bar M, Wyman SK, Fritz BR, Qi J, Garg KS, Parkin RK, Kroh EM, Bendoraite A, Mitchell PS, Nelson AM, Ruzzo WL, Ware C, Radich JP, Gentleman R, Ruohola-Baker H, Tewari M. MicroRNA discovery and profiling in human embryonic stem cells by deep sequencing of small RNA libraries. Stem Cells. 2008 Oct;26(10):2496- 505.

Barroso-delJesus A, Lucena-Aguilar G, Sanchez L, Ligero G, Gutierrez-Aranda I, Menendez P. The Nodal inhibitor Lefty is negatively modulated by the microRNA miR-302 in human embryonic stem cells. FASEB J. 2011 May;25(5):1497-508.

Barroso-delJesus A, Romero-López C, Lucena-Aguilar G, Melen GJ, Sanchez L, Ligero G, Berzal-Herranz A, Menendez P. Embryonic stem cell-specific miR302-367 cluster: human gene structure and functional characterization of its core promoter. Mol Cell Biol. 2008 Nov;28(21):6609-19.

Bartel DP. MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. Cell. 2004 Jan 23;116(2):281-97.

Bartel DP. MicroRNAs: target recognition and regulatory functions. Cell. 2009 Jan 23;136(2):215-33.

Basyuk E, Suavet F, Doglio A, Bordonné R, Bertrand E. Human let-7 stem-loop precursors harbor features of RNase III cleavage products. Nucleic Acids Res. 2003 Nov 15;31(22):6593-7.

Beitzinger M, Peters L, Zhu JY, Kremmer E, Meister G. Identification of human microRNA targets from isolated Argonaute protein complexes. RNA Biol. 2007 Jun;4(2):76-84.

Bellin M, Marchetto MC, Gage FH, Mummery CL. Induced pluripotent stem cells: the new patient? Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2012 Nov;13(11):713-26.

Berezikov E, Chung WJ, Willis J, Cuppen E, Lai EC. Mammalian mirtron genes. Mol Cell. 2007 Oct 26;28(2):328-36.

Bieback K, Kern S, Klüter H, Eichler H. Critical parameters for the isolation of mesenchymal stem cells from umbilical cord blood. Stem Cells.2004;22(4):625-34.

Bonev B, Pisco A, Papalopulu N. MicroRNA-9 reveals regional diversity of neural progenitors along the anterior-posterior axis. Dev Cell. 2011 Jan 18;20(1):19-32.

Bonnamain V, Neveu I, Naveilhan P. Neural stem/progenitor cells as a promising candidate for regenerative therapy of the central nervous system. Front Cell Neurosci. 2012;6:17.

Borchert GM, Lanier W, Davidson BL. RNA polymerase III transcribes human microRNAs. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2006 Dec;13(12):1097-101.

Brennecke J, Stark A, Russell RB, Cohen SM. Principles of microRNA-target recognition. PLoS Biol. 2005 Mar;3(3):e85.

Breving K, Esquela-Kerscher A. The complexities of microRNA regulation: mirandering around the rules. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2010 Aug;42(8):1316-29.

Burroughs AM, Ando Y, de Hoon MJ, Tomaru Y, Nishibu T, Ukekawa R, Funakoshi T, Kurokawa T, Suzuki H, Hayashizaki Y, Daub CO. A comprehensive survey of 3' animal miRNA modification events and a possible role for 3' adenylation in modulating miRNA targeting effectiveness. Genome Res. 2010 Oct;20(10):1398-410.

Burroughs AM, Ando Y, de Hoon MJ, Tomaru Y, Suzuki H, Hayashizaki Y, Daub CO. Deep-sequencing of human Argonaute-associated small RNAs provides insight into miRNA sorting and reveals Argonaute association with RNA fragments of diverse origin. RNA Biol. 2011 Jan-Feb;8(1):158-77.

Cai X, Hagedorn CH, Cullen BR. Human microRNAs are processed from capped, polyadenylated transcripts that can also function as mRNAs. RNA. 2004 Dec;10(12):1957-66.

Camnasio S, Delli Carri A, Lombardo A, Grad I, Mariotti C, Castucci A, Rozell B, Riso PL, Castiglioni V, Zuccato C, Rochon C, Takashima Y, Diaferia G, Biunno I, Gellera C, Jaconi M, Smith A, Hovatta O, Naldini L, Di Donato S, Feki A, Cattaneo E. The first reported generation of several induced pluripotent stem cell lines from homozygous and heterozygous Huntington's disease patients demonstrates mutation related enhanced lysosomal activity. Neurobiol Dis. 2012 Apr;46(1):41-51.

Cao F, Xie X, Gollan T, Zhao L, Narsinh K, Lee RJ, Wu JC. Comparison of genetransfer efficiency in human embryonic stem cells. Mol Imaging Biol. 2010 Jan-Feb;12(1):15-24.

Card DA, Hebbar PB, Li L, Trotter KW, Komatsu Y, Mishina Y, Archer TK. Oct4/Sox2 regulated miR-302 targets cyclin D1 in human embryonic stem cells. Mol Cell Biol. 2008 Oct;28(20):6426-38.

Carvajal-Vergara X, Sevilla A, D'Souza SL, Ang YS, Schaniel C, Lee DF, Yang L, Kaplan AD, Adler ED, Rozov R, Ge Y, Cohen N, Edelmann LJ, Chang B, Waghray A, Su J, Pardo S, Lichtenbelt KD, Tartaglia M, Gelb BD, Lemischka IR. Patientspecific induced pluripotent stem-cell-derived models of LEOPARD syndrome. Nature. 2010 Jun 10;465(7299):808-12.

Cenik ES, Zamore PD. Argonaute proteins. Curr Biol. 2011 Jun 21;21(12):R446-9.

Cesana M, Cacchiarelli D, Legnini I, Santini T, Sthandier O, Chinappi M, Tramontano A, Bozzoni I. A long noncoding RNA controls muscle differentiation by functioning as a competing endogenous RNA. Cell. 2011 Oct 14;147(2):358-69.

Chan SP, Slack FJ. And now introducing mammalian mirtrons. Dev Cell. 2007 Nov;13(5):605-7.

Chang HT, Li SC, Ho MR, Pan HW, Ger LP, Hu LY, Yu SY, Li WH, Tsai KW. Comprehensive analysis of microRNAs in breast cancer. BMC Genomics. 2012;13 Suppl 7:S18.

Chen JF, Mandel EM, Thomson JM, Wu Q, Callis TE, Hammond SM, Conlon FL, Wang DZ. The role of microRNA-1 and microRNA-133 in skeletal muscle proliferation and differentiation. Nat Genet. 2006 Feb;38(2):228-33.

Chen ZX, Mann JR, Hsieh CL, Riggs AD, Chédin F. Physical and functional interactions between the human DNMT3L protein and members of the de novo methyltransferase family. J Cell Biochem. 2005 Aug 1;95(5):902-17.

Cheng WC, Chung IF, Huang TS, Chang ST, Sun HJ, Tsai CF, Liang ML, Wong TT, Wang HW. YM500: a small RNA sequencing (smRNA-seq) database for microRNA research. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013 Jan;41(Database issue):D285-94.

Chiang HR, Schoenfeld LW, Ruby JG, Auyeung VC, Spies N, Baek D, Johnston WK, Russ C, Luo S, Babiarz JE, Blelloch R, Schroth GP, Nusbaum C, Bartel DP. Mammalian microRNAs: experimental evaluation of novel and previously annotated genes. Genes Dev. 2010 May 15;24(10):992-1009.

Cho S, Jang I, Jun Y, Yoon S, Ko M, Kwon Y, Choi I, Chang H, Ryu D, Lee B, Kim VN, Kim W, Lee S. MiRGator v3.0: a microRNA portal for deep sequencing,

expression profiling and mRNA targeting. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013 Jan;41(Database issue):D252-7.

Cloonan N, Wani S, Xu Q, Gu J, Lea K, Heater S, Barbacioru C, Steptoe AL, Martin HC, Nourbakhsh E, Krishnan K, Gardiner B, Wang X, Nones K, Steen JA, Matigian NA, Wood DL, Kassahn KS, Waddell N, Shepherd J, Lee C, Ichikawa J, McKernan K, Bramlett K, Kuersten S, Grimmond SM. MicroRNAs and their isomiRs function cooperatively to target common biological pathways. Genome Biol. 2011 Dec 30;12(12):R126.

Cummins JM, He Y, Leary RJ, Pagliarini R, Diaz LA Jr, Sjoblom T, Barad O, Bentwich Z, Szafranska AE, Labourier E, Raymond CK, Roberts BS, Juhl H, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, Velculescu VE. The colorectal microRNAome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006a Mar 7;103(10):3687-92.

Cummins JM, Velculescu VE. Implications of micro-RNA profiling for cancer diagnosis. Oncogene. 2006b Oct 9;25(46):6220-7.

de Wit E, Linsen SE, Cuppen E, Berezikov E. Repertoire and evolution of miRNA genes in four divergent nematode species. Genome Res. 2009 Nov;19(11):2064-74.

Doench JG, Petersen CP, Sharp PA. siRNAs can function as miRNAs. Genes Dev. 2003 Feb 15;17(4):438-42.

Dueck A, Ziegler C, Eichner A, Berezikov E, Meister G. microRNAs associated with the different human Argonaute proteins. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012 Oct;40(19):9850-62.

Easow G, Teleman AA, Cohen SM. Isolation of microRNA targets by miRNP immunopurification. RNA. 2007 Aug;13(8):1198-204.

Ebert AD, Yu J, Rose FF Jr, Mattis VB, Lorson CL, Thomson JA, Svendsen CN. Induced pluripotent stem cells from a spinal muscular atrophy patient. Nature. 2009 Jan 15;457(7227):277-80.

Ebert MS, Neilson JR, Sharp PA. MicroRNA sponges: competitive inhibitors of small RNAs in mammalian cells. Nat Methods. 2007 Sep;4(9):721-6.

Ebert MS, Sharp PA. Emerging roles for natural microRNA sponges. Curr Biol. 2010a Oct 12;20(19):R858-61.

Ebert MS, Sharp PA. MicroRNA sponges: progress and possibilities. RNA. 2010b Nov;16(11):2043-50.

Elkayam E, Kuhn CD, Tocilj A, Haase AD, Greene EM, Hannon GJ, Joshua-Tor L. The structure of human Argonaute-2 in complex with miR-20a. Cell. 2012 Jul 6;150(1):100-10.

Enright AJ, John B, Gaul U, Tuschl T, Sander C, Marks DS. MicroRNA targets in Drosophila. Genome Biol. 2003;5(1):R1.

Esquela-Kerscher A, Slack FJ. Oncomirs - microRNAs with a role in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2006 Apr;6(4):259-69.

Farh KK, Grimson A, Jan C, Lewis BP, Johnston WK, Lim LP, Burge CB, Bartel DP. The widespread impact of mammalian MicroRNAs on mRNA repression and evolution. Science. 2005 Dec 16;310(5755):1817-21.

Fernandez-Valverde SL, Taft RJ, Mattick JS. Dynamic isomiR regulation in Drosophila development. RNA. 2010 Oct;16(10):1881-8.

Fire AZ. Gene silencing by double-stranded RNA (Nobel Lecture). Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2007;46(37):6966-84.

Fire A, Xu S, Montgomery MK, Kostas SA, Driver SE, Mello CC. Potent and specific genetic interference by double-stranded RNA in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature. 1998 Feb 19;391(6669):806-11.

Franco-Zorrilla JM, Valli A, Todesco M, Mateos I, Puga MI, Rubio-Somoza I, Leyva A, Weigel D, García JA, Paz-Ares J. Target mimicry provides a new mechanism for regulation of microRNA activity. Nat Genet. 2007 Aug;39(8):1033-7.

Frank F, Sonenberg N, Nagar B. Structural basis for 5'-nucleotide base-specific recognition of guide RNA by human Ago2. Nature. 2010 Jun 10;465(7299):818-22.

Friedenstein AJ, Chailakhjan RK, Lalykina KS. The development of fibroblast colonies in monolayer cultures of guinea-pig bone marrow and spleen cells. Cell Tissue Kinet. 1970 Oct;3(4):393-403.

Fukunaga R, Han BW, Hung JH, Xu J, Weng Z, Zamore PD. Dicer partner proteins tune the length of mature miRNAs in flies and mammals. Cell. 2012 Oct 26;151(3):533-46.

Gaidatzis D, van Nimwegen E, Hausser J, Zavolan M. Inference of miRNA targets using evolutionary conservation and pathway analysis. BMC Bioinformatics. 2007 Mar 1;8:69.

Gangaraju VK, Lin H. MicroRNAs: key regulators of stem cells. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2009 Feb;10(2):116-25.

Garofalo M, Croce CM. microRNAs: Master regulators as potential therapeutics in cancer. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 2011 Feb 10;51:25-43.

Gerrard L, Rodgers L, Cui W. Differentiation of human embryonic stem cells to neural lineages in adherent culture by blocking bone morphogenetic protein signaling. Stem Cells. 2005 Oct;23(9):1234-41.

Ghildiyal M, Xu J, Seitz H, Weng Z, Zamore PD. Sorting of Drosophila small silencing RNAs partitions microRNA* strands into the RNA interference pathway. RNA. 2010 Jan;16(1):43-56.

Griffiths-Jones S, Hui JH, Marco A, Ronshaugen M. MicroRNA evolution by arm switching. EMBO Rep. 2011 Feb;12(2):172-7.

Griffiths-Jones S, Saini HK, van Dongen S, Enright AJ. miRBase: tools for microRNA genomics. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008 Jan;36(Database issue):D154-8.

Griffiths-Jones S. The microRNA Registry. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004 Jan 1;32(Database issue):D109-11.

Grimson A, Farh KK, Johnston WK, Garrett-Engele P, Lim LP, Bartel DP. MicroRNA targeting specificity in mammals: determinants beyond seed pairing. Mol Cell. 2007 Jul 6;27(1):91-105.

Grishok A, Pasquinelli AE, Conte D, Li N, Parrish S, Ha I, Baillie DL, Fire A, Ruvkun G, Mello CC. Genes and mechanisms related to RNA interference regulate expression of the small temporal RNAs that control C. elegans developmental timing. Cell. 2001 Jul 13;106(1):23-34.

Guillot PV, Gotherstrom C, Chan J, Kurata H, Fisk NM. Human first-trimester fetal MSC express pluripotency markers and grow faster and have longer telomeres than adult MSC. Stem Cells. 2007 Mar;25(3):646-54.

Hamilton AJ, Baulcombe DC. A species of small antisense RNA in posttranscriptional gene silencing in plants. Science. 1999 Oct 29;286(5441):950-2.

Hamlin JA, Fang H, Schwob JE. Differential expression of the mammalian homologue of fasciclin II during olfactory development in vivo and in vitro. J Comp Neurol. 2004 Jun 28;474(3):438-52.

Hammell CM, Lubin I, Boag PR, Blackwell TK, Ambros V. nhl-2 Modulates microRNA activity in Caenorhabditis elegans. Cell. 2009 Mar 6;136(5):926-38.

Hammond SM, Bernstein E, Beach D, Hannon GJ. An RNA-directed nuclease mediates post transcriptional gene silencing in Drosophila cells. Nature. 2000 Mar 16;404(6775):293-6.

Han BW, Hung JH, Weng Z, Zamore PD, Ameres SL. The 3'-to-5' exoribonuclease Nibbler shapes the 3' ends of microRNAs bound to Drosophila Argonaute1. Curr Biol. 2011 Nov 22;21(22):1878-87.

Han J, Lee Y, Yeom KH, Nam JW, Heo I, Rhee JK, Sohn SY, Cho Y, Zhang BT, Kim VN. Molecular basis for the recognition of primary microRNAs by the Drosha-DGCR8 complex. Cell. 2006 Jun 2;125(5):887-901.

Han J, Pedersen JS, Kwon SC, Belair CD, Kim YK, Yeom KH, Yang WY, Haussler D, Blelloch R, Kim VN. Posttranscriptional crossregulation between Drosha and DGCR8. Cell. 2009 Jan 9;136(1):75-84.

Haraguchi T, Ozaki Y, Iba H. Vectors expressing efficient RNA decoys achieve the long term suppression of specific microRNA activity in mammalian cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009 Apr;37(6):e43.

Heinrich EM, Dimmeler S. MicroRNAs and stem cells: control of pluripotency, reprogramming, and lineage commitment. Circ Res. 2012 Mar 30;110(7):1014-22.

Heo I, Ha M, Lim J, Yoon MJ, Park JE, Kwon SC, Chang H, Kim VN. Monouridylation of pre-microRNA as a key step in the biogenesis of group II let-7 microRNAs. Cell. 2012 Oct 26;151(3):521-32.

Höck J, Meister G. The Argonaute protein family. Genome Biol. 2008;9(2):210.

Hook L, Vives J, Fulton N, Leveridge M, Lingard S, Bootman MD, Falk A, Pollard SM, Allsopp TE, Dalma-Weiszhausz D, Tsukamoto A, Uchida N, Gorba T. Nonimmortalized human neural stem (NS) cells as a scalable platform for cellular assays. Neurochem Int. 2011 Sep;59(3):432-44.

Hu S, Wilson KD, Ghosh Z, Han L, Wang Y, Lan F, Ransohoff KJ, Burridge P, Wu JC. MicroRNA-302 Increases Reprogramming Efficiency via Repression of NR2F2. Stem Cells. 2013 Feb;31(2):259-68.

Huang HP, Chen PH, Hwu WL, Chuang CY, Chien YH, Stone L, Chien CL, Li LT, Chiang SC, Chen HF, Ho HN, Chen CH, Kuo HC. Human Pompe disease-induced pluripotent stem cells for pathogenesis modeling, drug testing and disease marker identification. Hum Mol Genet. 2011 Dec 15;20(24):4851-64.

Humphreys DT, Hynes CJ, Patel HR, Wei GH, Cannon L, Fatkin D, Suter CM, Clancy JL, Preiss T. Complexity of murine cardiomyocyte miRNA biogenesis, sequence variant expression and function. PLoS One. 2012;7(2):e30933.

Hutvagner G. Small RNA asymmetry in RNAi: function in RISC assembly and gene regulation. FEBS Lett. 2005 Oct 31;579(26):5850-7.

In 't Anker PS, Noort WA, Scherjon SA, Kleijburg-van der Keur C, Kruisselbrink AB, van Bezooijen RL, Beekhuizen W, Willemze R, Kanhai HH, Fibbe WE. Mesenchymal stem cells in human second-trimester bone marrow, liver, lung, and spleen exhibit a similar immunophenotype but a heterogeneous multilineage differentiation potential. Haematologica. 2003a Aug;88(8):845-52.

In 't Anker PS, Scherjon SA, Kleijburg-van der Keur C, Noort WA, Claas FH, Willemze R, Fibbe WE, Kanhai HH. Amniotic fluid as a novel source of mesenchymal stem cells for therapeutic transplantation. Blood. 2003b Aug 15;102(4):1548-9.

Israel MA, Yuan SH, Bardy C, Reyna SM, Mu Y, Herrera C, Hefferan MP, Van Gorp S, Nazor KL, Boscolo FS, Carson CT, Laurent LC, Marsala M, Gage FH, Remes AM, Koo EH, Goldstein LS. Probing sporadic and familial Alzheimer's disease using induced pluripotent stem cells. Nature. 2012 Jan 25;482(7384):216-20.

Itzhaki I, Maizels L, Huber I, Zwi-Dantsis L, Caspi O, Winterstern A, Feldman O, Gepstein A, Arbel G, Hammerman H, Boulos M, Gepstein L. Modelling the long QT syndrome with induced pluripotent stem cells. Nature. 2011 Mar 10;471(7337):225-9.

Jagadeeswaran G, Zheng Y, Sumathipala N, Jiang HB, Arrese EL, et al. Deep sequencing of small RNA libraries reveals dynamic regulation of conserved and novel microRNAs and microRNA-stars during silkworm development. BMC Genomics 2010;11: 52.

Jayawardena TM, Egemnazarov B, Finch EA, Zhang L, Payne JA, Pandya K, Zhang Z, Rosenberg P, Mirotsou M, Dzau VJ. MicroRNA-mediated in vitro and in vivo direct reprogramming of cardiac fibroblasts to cardiomyocytes. Circ Res. 2012 May 25;110(11):1465-73.

Jeyaseelan K, Lim KY, Armugam A. MicroRNA expression in the blood and brain of rats subjected to transient focal ischemia by middle cerebral artery occlusion. Stroke 2008; 39:959-66

John B, Enright AJ, Aravin A, Tuschl T, Sander C, Marks DS. Human MicroRNA targets. PLoS Biol. 2004 Nov;2(11):e363.

Judson RL, Babiarz JE, Venere M, Blelloch R. Embryonic stem cell-specific microRNAs promote induced pluripotency. Nat Biotechnol 2009;27(5):459-61.

Kapsimali M, Kloosterman WP, de Bruijn E, Rosa F, Plasterk RH, Wilson SW. MicroRNAs show a wide diversity of expression profiles in the developing and mature central nervous system. Genome Biol. 2007;8(8):R173.

Karreth FA, Tay Y, Perna D, Ala U, Tan SM, Rust AG, DeNicola G, Webster KA, Weiss D, Perez-Mancera PA, Krauthammer M, Halaban R, Provero P, Adams DJ, Tuveson DA, Pandolfi PP. In vivo identification of tumor- suppressive PTEN ceRNAs in an oncogenic BRAF-induced mouse model of melanoma. Cell. 2011 Oct 14;147(2):382-95.

Kawahara Y, Zinshteyn B, Chendrimada TP, Shiekhattar R, Nishikura K. RNA editing of the microRNA-151 precursor blocks cleavage by the Dicer-TRBP complex. EMBO Rep. 2007 Aug;8(8):763-9.

Kedde M, Strasser MJ, Boldajipour B, Oude Vrielink JA, Slanchev K, le Sage C, Nagel R, Voorhoeve PM, van Duijse J, Ørom UA, Lund AH, Perrakis A, Raz E, Agami R. RNA-binding protein Dnd1 inhibits microRNA access to target mRNA. Cell. 2007 Dec 28;131(7):1273-86.

Kertesz M, Iovino N, Unnerstall U, Gaul U, Segal E. The role of site accessibility in microRNA target recognition. Nat Genet. 2007 Oct;39(10):1278-84.

Ketting RF, Fischer SE, Bernstein E, Sijen T, Hannon GJ, Plasterk RH. Dicer functions in RNA interference and in synthesis of small RNA involved in developmental timing in C. elegans. Genes Dev. 2001 Oct 15;15(20):2654-9.

Kim VN, Han J, Siomi MC. Biogenesis of small RNAs in animals. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2009 Feb;10(2):126-39.

Kloosterman WP, Plasterk RH. The diverse functions of microRNAs in animal development and disease. Dev Cell. 2006a Oct;11(4):441-50.

Kloosterman WP, Steiner FA, Berezikov E, de Bruijn E, van de Belt J, Verheul M, Cuppen E, Plasterk RH. Cloning and expression of new microRNAs from zebrafish. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006b May 12;34(9):2558-69.

Kloosterman WP, Wienholds E, Ketting RF, Plasterk RH. Substrate requirements for let-7 function in the developing zebrafish embryo. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004 Dec 7;32(21):6284-91.

Kozomara A, Griffiths-Jones S. miRBase: integrating microRNA annotation and deep-sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011 Jan;39(Database issue):D152-7.

Krek A, Grün D, Poy MN, Wolf R, Rosenberg L, Epstein EJ, MacMenamin P, da Piedade I, Gunsalus KC, Stoffel M, Rajewsky N. Combinatorial microRNA target predictions. Nat Genet. 2005 May;37(5):495-500.

Krichevsky AM, King KS, Donahue CP, Khrapko K, Kosik KS. A microRNA array reveals extensive regulation of microRNAs during brain development. RNA. 2003 Oct;9(10):1274-81.

Krützfeldt J, Rajewsky N, Braich R, Rajeev KG, Tuschl T, Manoharan M, Stoffel M. Silencing of microRNAs in vivo with 'antAgomirs'. Nature. 2005 Dec 1;438(7068):685-9.

Kuchenbauer F, Morin RD, Argiropoulos B, Petriv OI, Griffith M, Heuser M, Yung E, Piper J, Delaney A, Prabhu AL, Zhao Y, McDonald H, Zeng T, Hirst M, Hansen CL, Marra MA, Humphries RK. In-depth characterization of the microRNA transcriptome in a leukemia progression model. Genome Res. 2008 Nov;18(11):1787-97.

Lagos-Quintana M, Rauhut R, Lendeckel W, Tuschl T. Identification of novel genes coding for small expressed RNAs. Science. 2001 Oct 26;294(5543):853-8.

Lagos-Quintana M, Rauhut R, Yalcin A, Meyer J, Lendeckel W, Tuschl T. Identification of tissue-specific microRNAs from mouse. Curr Biol. 2002 Apr 30;12(9):735-9.

Lau NC, Lim LP, Weinstein EG, Bartel DP. An abundant class of tiny RNAs with probable regulatory roles in Caenorhabditis elegans. Science. 2001 Oct 26;294(5543):858-62.

Le MT, Xie H, Zhou B, Chia PH, Rizk P, Um M, Udolph G, Yang H, Lim B, Lodish HF. MicroRNA-125b promotes neuronal differentiation in human cells by repressing multiple targets. Mol Cell Biol. 2009 Oct;29(19):5290-305.

Lee G, Papapetrou EP, Kim H, Chambers SM, Tomishima MJ, Fasano CA, Ganat YM, Menon J, Shimizu F, Viale A, Tabar V, Sadelain M, Studer L. Modelling pathogenesis and treatment of familial dysautonomia using patient-specific iPSCs. Nature. 2009 Sep 17;461(7262):402-6.

Lee LW, Zhang S, Etheridge A, Ma L, Martin D, Galas D, Wang K. Complexity of the microRNA repertoire revealed by next-generation sequencing. RNA. 2010 Nov;16(11):2170-80.

Lee RC, Ambros V. An extensive class of small RNAs in Caenorhabditis elegans. Science. 2001 Oct 26;294(5543):862-4.

Lee RC, Feinbaum RL, Ambros V. The *C. elegans* heterochronic gene lin-4 encodes small RNAs with antisense complementarity to lin-14. Cell. 1993 Dec 3;75(5):843-54.

Lee Y, Ahn C, Han J, Choi H, Kim J, Yim J, Lee J, Provost P, Radmark O, Kim S, Kim VN. The nuclear RNase III Drosha initiates microRNA processing. Nature. 2003 Sep 25;425(6956):415-9.

Lee Y, Kim M, Han J, Yeom KH, Lee S, Baek SH, Kim VN. MicroRNA genes are transcribed by RNA polymerase II. EMBO J. 2004 Oct 13;23(20):4051-60.

Lehrbach NJ, Miska EA. Regulation of pre-miRNA processing. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2010;700:67-75.

Lewis BP, Burge CB, Bartel DP. Conserved seed pairing, often flanked by adenosines, indicates that thousands of human genes are microRNA targets. Cell. 2005 Jan 14;120(1):15-20.

Lewis BP, Shih IH, Jones-Rhoades MW, Bartel DP, Burge CB. Prediction of mammalian microRNA targets. Cell. 2003 Dec 26;115(7):787-98.

Li SC, Liao YL, Ho MR, Tsai KW, Lai CH, Lin WC. miRNA arm selection and isomiR distribution in gastric cancer. BMC Genomics. 2012;13 Suppl 1:S13.

Liao B, Bao X, Liu L, Feng S, Zovoilis A, Liu W, Xue Y, Cai J, Guo X, Qin B, Zhang R, Wu J, Lai L, Teng M, Niu L, Zhang B, Esteban MA, Pei D. MicroRNA cluster 302-367 enhances somatic cell reprogramming by accelerating a mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition. J Biol Chem. 2011 May 13;286(19):17359-64.

Lim LP, Lau NC, Garrett-Engele P, Grimson A, Schelter JM, Castle J, Bartel DP, Linsley PS, Johnson JM. Microarray analysis shows that some microRNAs downregulate large numbers of target mRNAs. Nature. 2005 Feb 17;433(7027):769- 73.

Lim LP, Lau NC, Weinstein EG, Abdelhakim A, Yekta S, Rhoades MW, Burge CB, Bartel DP. The microRNAs of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genes Dev. 2003 Apr 15;17(8):991-1008.

Lin SL, Chang DC, Chang-Lin S, Lin CH, Wu DT, Chen DT, Ying SY. Mir-302 reprograms human skin cancer cells into a pluripotent ES-cell-like state. RNA 2008;14(10):2115-24.

Lin SL, Chang DC, Lin CH, Ying SY, Leu D, Wu DT. Regulation of somatic cell reprogramming through inducible mir-302 expression. Nucleic Acids Res 2011;39(3):1054-65.

Lipchina I, Elkabetz Y, Hafner M, Sheridan R, Mihailovic A, Tuschl T, Sander C, Studer L, Betel D. Genome-wide identification of microRNA targets in human ES cells reveals a role for miR-302 in modulating BMP response. Genes Dev. 2011 Oct 15;25(20):2173-86.

Liu J, Carmell MA, Rivas FV, Marsden CG, Thomson JM, Song JJ, Hammond SM, Joshua Tor L, Hannon GJ. Argonaute2 is the catalytic engine of mammalian RNAi. Science 2004;305, 1437-1441.

Liu N, Abe M, Sabin LR, Hendriks GJ, Naqvi AS, Yu Z, Cherry S, Bonini NM. The exoribonuclease Nibbler controls 3' end processing of microRNAs in Drosophila. Curr Biol. 2011 Nov 22;21(22):1888-93.

Llorens F, Bañez-Coronel M, Pantano L, Del Río JA, Ferrer I, Estivill X, Martí E. A highly expressed miR-101 isomiR is a functional silencing small RNA. BMC Genomics. 2013 Feb 15;14(1):104.

Lukiw WJ. Micro-RNA speciation in fetal, adult and Alzheimer's disease hippocampus. Neuroreport 2007; 18:297-300.

Lytle JR, Yario TA, Steitz JA. Target mRNAs are repressed as efficiently by microRNA-binding sites in the 5' UTR as in the 3' UTR. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007 Jun 5;104(23):9667-72.

Ma L, Young J, Prabhala H, Pan E, Mestdagh P, Muth D, Teruya-Feldstein J, Reinhardt F, Onder TT, Valastyan S, Westermann F, Speleman F, Vandesompele J, Weinberg RA. miR-9, a MYC/MYCN-activated microRNA, regulates E-cadherin and cancer metastasis. Nat Cell Biol. 2010 Mar;12(3):247-56.

Majoros WH, Ohler U. Spatial preferences of microRNA targets in 3' untranslated regions. BMC Genomics. 2007 Jun 7;8:152.

Maragkakis M, Reczko M, Simossis VA, Alexiou P, Papadopoulos GL, Dalamagas T, Giannopoulos G, Goumas G, Koukis E, Kourtis K, Vergoulis T, Koziris N, Sellis T, Tsanakas P, Hatzigeorgiou AG. DIANA-microT web server: elucidating microRNA functions through target prediction. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009 Jul;37(Web Server issue):W273-6.

Marson A, Levine SS, Cole MF, Frampton GM, Brambrink T, Johnstone S, Guenther MG, Johnston WK, Wernig M, Newman J, Calabrese JM, Dennis LM, Volkert TL, Gupta S, Love J, Hannett N, Sharp PA, Bartel DP, Jaenisch R, Young RA.

Connecting microRNA genes to the core transcriptional regulatory circuitry of embryonic stem cells. Cell. 2008 Aug 8;134(3):521-33.

Martin EC, Elliott S, Rhodes LV, Antoon JW, Fewell C, Zhu Y, Driver JL, Jodari-Karimi M, Taylor CW, Flemington EK, Beckman BS, Collins-Burow BM, Burow ME. Preferential star strand biogenesis of pre-miR-24-2 targets PKC-alpha and suppresses cell survival in MCF-7 breast cancer cells. Mol Carcinog. 2012 Aug 21.doi: 10.1002/mc.21946. [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 22911661.

Martin GR. Isolation of a pluripotent cell line from early mouse embryos cultured in medium conditioned by teratocarcinoma stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1981 Dec;78(12):7634-8.

Martinez NJ, Gregory RI. MicroRNA gene regulatory pathways in the establishment and maintenance of ESC identity. Cell Stem Cell. 2010 Jul 2;7(1):31-5.

Martinez NJ, Ow MC, Barrasa MI, Hammell M, Sequerra R, Doucette-Stamm L, Roth FP, Ambros VR, Walhout AJ. A C. elegans genome-scale microRNA network contains composite feedback motifs with high flux capacity. Genes Dev. 2008 Sep 15;22(18):2535-49.

Meister G, Landthaler M, Dorsett Y, Tuschl T. Sequence-specific inhibition of microRNA- and siRNA-induced RNA silencing. RNA 2004;10: 544–550.

Melton C, Judson RL, Blelloch R. Opposing microRNA families regulate selfrenewal in mouse embryonic stem cells. Nature. 2010 Feb 4;463(7281):621-6.

Miska EA, Alvarez-Saavedra E, Abbott AL, Lau NC, Hellman AB, McGonagle SM, Bartel DP, Ambros VR, Horvitz HR. Most Caenorhabditis elegans microRNAs are individually not essential for development or viability. PLoS Genet. 2007 Dec; 3(12): e215.

Miyoshi N, Ishii H, Nagano H, et al. Reprogramming of mouse and human cells to pluripotency using mature microRNAs. Cell Stem Cell 2011;8(6):633-8.

Moreno-Manzano V, Rodríguez-Jiménez FJ, García-Roselló M, Laínez S, Erceg S, Calvo MT, Ronaghi M, Lloret M, Planells-Cases R, Sánchez-Puelles JM, Stojkovic M. Activated spinal cord ependymal stem cells rescue neurological function. Stem Cells. 2009 Mar;27(3):733-43.

Moretti A, Bellin M, Welling A, Jung CB, Lam JT, Bott-Flügel L, Dorn T, Goedel A, Höhnke C, Hofmann F, Seyfarth M, Sinnecker D, Schömig A, Laugwitz KL. Patientspecific induced pluripotent stem-cell models for long-QT syndrome. N Engl J Med. 2010 Oct 7;363(15):1397-409.

Morin RD, O'Connor MD, Griffith M, Kuchenbauer F, Delaney A, Prabhu AL, Zhao Y, McDonald H, Zeng T, Hirst M, Eaves CJ, Marra MA. Application of massively parallel sequencing to microRNA profiling and discovery in human embryonic stem cells. Genome Res. 2008 Apr;18(4):610-21.

Murchison EP, Hannon GJ. miRNAs on the move: miRNA biogenesis and the RNAi machinery. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2004 Jun; 16(3): 223-9.

Nam Y, Chen C, Gregory RI, Chou JJ, Sliz P. Molecular basis for interaction of let-7 microRNAs with Lin28. Cell. 2011 Nov 23;147(5):1080-91.

Neilsen CT, Goodall GJ, Bracken CP. IsomiRs--the overlooked repertoire in the dynamic microRNAome. Trends Genet. 2012 Nov;28(11):544-9.

Neumüller RA, Betschinger J, Fischer A, Bushati N, Poernbacher I, Mechtler K, Cohen SM, Knoblich JA. Mei-P26 regulates microRNAs and cell growth in the Drosophila ovarian stem cell lineage. Nature. 2008 Jul 10;454(7201):241-5.

Newman MA, Mani V, Hammond SM. Deep sequencing of microRNA precursors reveals extensive 3' end modification. RNA. 2011 Oct;17(10):1795-803.

Odorico JS, Kaufman DS, Thomson JA. Multilineage differentiation from human embryonic stem cell lines. Stem Cells. 2001;19(3):193-204.

Okamura K, Phillips MD, Tyler DM, Duan H, Chou YT, Lai EC. The regulatory activity of microRNA* species has substantial influence on microRNA and 3' UTR evolution. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2008 Apr;15(4):354-63.

Oliveros JC. VENNY. An interactive tool for comparing lists with Venn Diagrams. 2007.

Otaegi G, Pollock A, Sun T. An Optimized Sponge for microRNA miR-9 Affects Spinal Motor Neuron Development in vivo. Front Neurosci. 2011;5:146.

Pantano L, Estivill X, Martí E. SeqBuster, a bioinformatic tool for the processing and analysis of small RNAs datasets, reveals ubiquitous miRNA modifications in human embryonic cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010 Mar;38(5):e34.

Paoloni-Giacobino A, Chen H, Antonarakis SE. Cloning of a novel human neural cell adhesion molecule gene (NCAM2) that maps to chromosome region 21q21 and is potentially involved in Down syndrome. Genomics. 1997 Jul 1;43(1):43-51.

Park CY, Choi YS, McManus MT. Analysis of microRNA knockouts in mice. Hum Mol Genet. 2010 Oct 15;19(R2):R169-75.

Parolini O, Alviano F, Bagnara GP, Bilic G, Bühring HJ, Evangelista M, Hennerbichler S, Liu B, Magatti M, Mao N, Miki T, Marongiu F, Nakajima H, Nikaido T, Portmann-Lanz CB, Sankar V, Soncini M, Stadler G, Surbek D, Takahashi TA, Redl H, Sakuragawa N, Wolbank S, Zeisberger S, Zisch A, Strom SC. Concise review: isolation and characterization of cells from human term placenta: outcome of the first international Workshop on Placenta Derived Stem Cells. Stem Cells. 2008 Feb;26(2):300-11.

Pasquinelli AE, Reinhart BJ, Slack F, Martindale MQ, Kuroda MI, Maller B, Hayward DC, Ball EE, Degnan B, Müller P, Spring J, Srinivasan A, Fishman M, Finnerty J, Corbo J, Levine M, Leahy P, Davidson E, Ruvkun G. Conservation of the sequence and temporal expression of let-7 heterochronic regulatory RNA. Nature. 2000 Nov 2;408(6808):86-9.

Pasquinelli AE. MicroRNAs and their targets: recognition, regulation and an emerging reciprocal relationship. Nat Rev Genet. 2012 Mar 13;13(4):271-82.

Pesce M, Marin Gomez M, Philipsen S, Schöler HR. Binding of Sp1 and Sp3 transcription factors to the Oct-4 gene promoter. Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-le-grand). 1999 Jul;45(5):709-16.

Pietrzykowski AZ, Friesen RM, Martin GE, Puig SI, Nowak CL, Wynne PM, Siegelmann HT, Treistman SN. Posttranscriptional regulation of BK channel splice variant stability by miR-9 underlies neuroadaptation to alcohol. Neuron. 2008 Jul 31;59(2):274-87.

Poliseno L, Salmena L, Zhang J, Carver B, Haveman WJ, Pandolfi PP. A codingindependent function of gene and pseudogene mRNAs regulates tumour biology. Nature. 2010 Jun 24;465(7301):1033-8.

Qu B, Han X, Tang Y, Shen N. A novel vector-based method for exclusive overexpression of star-form microRNAs. PLoS One. 2012;7(7):e41504.

Rajewsky N, Socci ND. Computational identification of microRNA targets. Dev Biol. 2004 Mar 15;267(2):529-35.

Rajewsky N. microRNA target predictions in animals. Nat Genet. 2006 Jun;38 Suppl:S8-13.

Rao PK, Kumar RM, Farkhondeh M, Baskerville S, Lodish HF. Myogenic factors that regulate expression of muscle-specific microRNAs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006 Jun 6;103(23):8721-6.

Rehmsmeier M, Steffen P, Höchsmann M, Giegerich R. Fast and effective prediction of microRNA/target duplexes. RNA, 10:1507-1517, 2004.

Reinhart BJ, Slack FJ, Basson M, Pasquinelli AE, Bettinger JC, Rougvie AE, Horvitz HR, Ruvkun G. The 21-nucleotide let-7 RNA regulates developmental timing in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature. 2000 Feb 24;403(6772):901-6.

Reynolds BA, Tetzlaff W, Weiss S. A multipotent EGF-responsive striatal embryonic progenitor cell produces neurons and astrocytes. J Neurosci. 1992 Nov;12(11):4565- 74.

Ritchie W, Flamant S, Rasko JE. Predicting microRNA targets and functions: traps for the unwary. Nat Methods. 2009 Jun;6(6):397-8.

Ro S, Park C, Young D, Sanders KM, Yan W. Tissue-dependent paired expression of miRNAs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007;35(17):5944-53.

Robinton DA, Daley GQ. The promise of induced pluripotent stem cells in research and therapy. Nature. 2012 Jan 18;481(7381):295-305.

Ronaghi M, Erceg S, Moreno-Manzano V, Stojkovic M. Challenges of stem cell therapy for spinal cord injury: human embryonic stem cells, endogenous neural stem cells, or induced pluripotent stem cells? Stem Cells. 2010 Jan;28(1):93-9.

Rosa A, Brivanlou AH. A regulatory circuitry comprised of miR-302 and the transcription factors OCT4 and NR2F2 regulates human embryonic stem cell differentiation. EMBO J. 2011 Jan 19;30(2):237-48.

Rosa A, Spagnoli FM, Brivanlou AH. The miR-430/427/302 family controls mesendodermal fate specification via species-specific target selection. Dev Cell. 2009 Apr;16(4):517-27.

Ruby JG, Stark A, Johnston WK, Kellis M, Bartel DP, Lai EC. Evolution, biogenesis, expression, and target predictions of a substantially expanded set of Drosophila microRNAs. Genome Res. 2007 Dec;17(12):1850-64.

Saetrom P, Heale BS, Snøve O Jr, Aagaard L, Alluin J, Rossi JJ. Distance constraints between microRNA target sites dictate efficacy and cooperativity. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007;35(7):2333-42.

Sandhu R, Rivenbark AG, Coleman WB. Loss of post-transcriptional regulation of DNMT3b by microRNAs: a possible molecular mechanism for the hypermethylation defect observed in a subset of breast cancer cell lines. Int J Oncol. 2012 Aug;41(2):721-32.

Schirle NT, MacRae IJ. The crystal structure of human Argonaute2. Science. 2012 May 25;336(6084):1037-40.

Schwarz DS, Hutvágner G, Du T, Xu Z, Aronin N, Zamore PD. Asymmetry in the assembly of the RNAi enzyme complex. Cell. 2003 Oct 17;115(2):199-208.

Shi XB, Tepper CG, deVere White RW. Cancerous miRNAs and their regulation. Cell Cycle. 2008 Jun 1;7(11):1529-38.

Shin C, Nam JW, Farh KK, Chiang HR, Shkumatava A, Bartel DP. Expanding the microRNA targeting code: functional sites with centered pairing. Mol Cell. 2010 Jun 25;38(6):789-802.

Soldner F, Hockemeyer D, Beard C, Gao Q, Bell GW, Cook EG, Hargus G, Blak A, Cooper O, Mitalipova M, Isacson O, Jaenisch R. Parkinson's disease patient-derived induced pluripotent stem cells free of viral reprogramming factors. Cell. 2009 Mar 6;136(5):964-77.

Stark A, Brennecke J, Russell RB, Cohen SM. Identification of Drosophila MicroRNA targets. PLoS Biol. 2003 Dec;1(3):E60.

Stark A, Kheradpour P, Parts L, Brennecke J, Hodges E, Hannon GJ, Kellis M. Systematic discovery and characterization of fly microRNAs using 12 Drosophila genomes. Genome Res. 2007 Dec;17(12):1865-79.

Subramanyam D, Lamouille S, Judson RL, Liu JY, Bucay N, Derynck R, Blelloch R. Multiple targets of miR-302 and miR-372 promote reprogramming of human fibroblasts to induced pluripotent stem cells. Nat Biotechnol 2011;29(5):443-8.

Suh MR, Lee Y, Kim JY, Kim SK, Moon SH, Lee JY, Cha KY, Chung HM, Yoon HS, Moon SY, Kim VN, Kim KS. Human embryonic stem cells express a unique set of microRNAs. Dev Biol. 2004 Jun 15;270(2):488-98.

Sumazin P, Yang X, Chiu HS, Chung WJ, Iyer A, Llobet-Navas D, Rajbhandari P, Bansal M, Guarnieri P, Silva J, Califano A. An extensive microRNA-mediated network of RNA-RNA interactions regulates established oncogenic pathways in glioblastoma. Cell. 2011 Oct 14;147(2):370-81.

Sun H, Lesche R, Li DM, Liliental J, Zhang H, Gao J, Gavrilova N, Mueller B, Liu X, Wu H. PTEN modulates cell cycle progression and cell survival by regulating phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5,-trisphosphate and Akt/protein kinase B signaling pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1999 May 25;96(11):6199-204.

Takahashi K, Yamanaka S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. Cell 2006;126(4):663-76.

Takahashi S, Kato K, Nakamura K, Nakano R, Kubota K, Hamada H. Neural cell adhesion molecule 2 as a target molecule for prostate and breast cancer gene therapy. Cancer Sci. 2011 Apr;102(4):808-14.

Tan GC, Dibb NJ. MicroRNA-Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells. Malaysian J Pathol 2012;34(2):167-8.

Thadani R, Tammi MT. MicroTar: predicting microRNA targets from RNA duplexes. BMC Bioinformatics. 2006 Dec 18;7 Suppl 5:S20.

Thomson JA, Itskovitz-Eldor J, Shapiro SS, Waknitz MA, Swiergiel JJ, Marshall VS, Jones JM. Embryonic stem cell lines derived from human blastocysts. Science. 1998 Nov 6;282(5391):1145-7.

Tong L, Lin L, Wu S, Guo Z, Wang T, Qin Y, Wang R, Zhong X, Wu X, Wang Y, Luan T, Wang Q, Li Y, Chen X, Zhang F, Zhao W, Zhong Z. MiR-10a* up-regulates coxsackievirus B3 biosynthesis by targeting the 3D-coding sequence. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013 Feb 6. [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 23389951.

Tuschl T, Zamore PD, Lehmann R, Bartel DP, Sharp PA. Targeted mRNA degradation by double-stranded RNA in vitro. Genes Dev. 1999 Dec 15;13(24):3191- 7.

Uccelli A, Moretta L, Pistoia V. Mesenchymal stem cells in health and disease. Nat Rev Immunol. 2008 Sep;8(9):726-36.

van Rooij E, Sutherland LB, Qi X, Richardson JA, Hill J, Olson EN. Control of stress dependent cardiac growth and gene expression by a microRNA. Science. 2007 Apr 27;316(5824):575-9.

Vella MC, Choi EY, Lin SY, Reinert K, Slack FJ. The C. elegans microRNA let-7 binds to imperfect let-7 complementary sites from the lin-41 3'UTR. Genes Dev. 2004 Jan 15;18(2):132-7.

Vescovi AL, Reynolds BA, Fraser DD, Weiss S. bFGF regulates the proliferative fate of unipotent (neuronal) and bipotent (neuronal/astroglial) EGF-generated CNS progenitor cells. Neuron. 1993 Nov;11(5):951-66.

Voellenkle C, Rooij Jv, Guffanti A, Brini E, Fasanaro P, Isaia E, Croft L, David M, Capogrossi MC, Moles A, Felsani A, Martelli F. Deep-sequencing of endothelial cells exposed to hypoxia reveals the complexity of known and novel microRNAs. RNA. 2012 Mar;18(3):472-84.

Wang Y, Baskerville S, Shenoy A, Babiarz JE, Baehner L, Blelloch R. Embryonic stem cell-specific microRNAs regulate the G1-S transition and promote rapid proliferation. Nat Genet. 2008 Dec;40(12):1478-83.

Watahiki A, Wang Y, Morris J, Dennis K, O'Dwyer HM, Gleave M, Gout PW, Wang Y. MicroRNAs associated with metastatic prostate cancer. PLoS One. 2011;6(9):e24950.

Wightman B, Ha I, Ruvkun G. Posttranscriptional regulation of the heterochronic gene lin-14 by lin-4 mediates temporal pattern formation in *C. elegans*. Cell. 1993 Dec 3:75(5):855-62.

Winther M, Berezin V, Walmod PS. NCAM2/OCAM/RNCAM: cell adhesion molecule with a role in neuronal compartmentalization. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2012 Mar; 44(3): 441-6.

Wu DY, Yao Z. Functional analysis of two Sp1/Sp3 binding sites in murine Nanog gene promoter. Cell Res. 2006 Mar;16(3):319-22.

Wu H, Ye C, Ramirez D, Manjunath N. Alternative processing of primary microRNA transcripts by Drosha generates 5' end variation of mature microRNA. Plos One 2009;4:e7566

Wu SM, Hochedlinger K. Harnessing the potential of induced pluripotent stem cells for regenerative medicine. Nat Cell Biol. 2011 May;13(5):497-505.

Wyman SK, Knouf EC, Parkin RK, Fritz BR, Lin DW, Dennis LM, Krouse MA, Webster PJ, Tewari M. Post-transcriptional generation of miRNA variants by multiple nucleotidyl transferases contributes to miRNA transcriptome complexity. Genome Res. 2011 Sep;21(9):1450-61.

Yang JS, Phillips MD, Betel D, Mu P, Ventura A, Siepel AC, Chen KC, Lai EC. Widespread regulatory activity of vertebrate microRNA* species. RNA. 2011 Feb;17(2):312-26.

Yang W, Chendrimada TP, Wang Q, Higuchi M, Seeburg PH, Shiekhattar R, Nishikura K. Modulation of microRNA processing and expression through RNA editing by ADAR deaminases. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2006 Jan;13(1):13-21.

Yazawa M, Hsueh B, Jia X, Pasca AM, Bernstein JA, Hallmayer J, Dolmetsch RE. Using induced pluripotent stem cells to investigate cardiac phenotypes in Timothy syndrome. Nature. 2011 Mar 10;471(7337):230-4.

Yeom KH, Lee Y, Han J, Suh MR, Kim VN. Characterization of DGCR8/Pasha, the essential cofactor for Drosha in primary miRNA processing. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006;34(16):4622-9.

Yi R, Qin Y, Macara IG, Cullen BR. Exportin-5 mediates the nuclear export of premicroRNAs and short hairpin RNAs. Genes Dev. 2003 Dec 15;17(24):3011-6.

Yoo AS, Sun AX, Li L, Shcheglovitov A, Portmann T, Li Y, Lee-Messer C, Dolmetsch RE, Tsien RW, Crabtree GR. MicroRNA-mediated conversion of human fibroblasts to neurons. Nature. 2011 Jul 13;476(7359):228-31.

Yu J, Wang F, Yang GH, Wang FL, Ma YN, Du ZW, Zhang JW. Human microRNA clusters: genomic organization and expression profile in leukemia cell lines. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2006 Oct 13;349(1):59-68.

Yuva-Aydemir Y, Simkin A, Gascon E, Gao FB. MicroRNA-9: functional evolution of a conserved small regulatory RNA. RNA Biol. 2011 Jul-Aug;8(4):557-64.

Appendix

Sequencing number of canonical microRNAs and their isomiRs in human embryonic stem cells (hESC) and neuronal stem cells (NSC) and mesenchymal stem cells (MSC). Canonical/ annotated (highlighted in yellow) and isomiRs were detected by Solexa (hESC and NSC) and 454 (MSC) sequencing. Sequencing frequency of microRNA was listed. SN - sequencing number. Cloning and sequencing was performed by Elcie Chan.

The commonest isomiRs that show 5' differences. Analysis was based on the deep sequencing results, generated from hESC, NSC and MSC (see Table S3.1). The listed canonical and isomiR seed regions were used to generate target predictions (See Figure 3.6)

Table S3.3A

Predicted targets of miR-9 and isomiR-9. Tested targets were highlighted in yellow. Target prediction was performed using TargetScan Human and TargetScan Custom.

Table S3.3B

Predicted targets of miR-302a and isomiR-302a. Tested targets were highlighted in yellow. Target prediction was performed using TargetScan Human and TargetScan Custom.

Table shows the percentage of predicted targets that is common to both canonical miRNA and isomiRs as well as the percentage of the predicted target that is confined to only the isomiRs. Analysis was performed on the miRNAs and their isomiRs collected from the deep sequencing results of 3 different stem cell lines i.e., human embryonic stem cells (hESCs), neural stem cells (NSCs) and mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs).

The predicted target sites of miRNA in the 3' UTRs of the listed mRNAs are reasonably conserved. The seed target site sequences in the second column are A1 plus 2 to 7 or 2 to 8 nucleotides. IsomiR-9 and isomiR-302a are 5' isomiRs and isomiR-367 is a 3' isomiR. CDH1 and HMGA2 are predicted targets of miR-9 but not isomiR-9. DNMT3B and NCAM2 are predicted targets of isomiR-9 but not miR-9. Lefty1 is a predicted target of both miR-302a and isomiR-302a. PTEN is a predicted target of both miR-367 and isomiR-367. BTG1 is a predicted target of miR-302a but not isomiR-302a. √ represents conserved, x represents not conserved and - is not available. UCSC Genome Browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu/) was used to analyse the seed target site conservation and generate the gene map.

Neural Progenitor Stem Cells

Table S3.6A

Table lists the miRNAs of neural stem cells based on their sequencing number from highest to lowest. IsomiR-9 (22 nts) ranked number 42 and was sequenced higher than
some of the canonical miRNAs. Yellow highlighted miRNAs denote canonical miRNAs.

Human Embryonic Stem Cells

Table S3.6B

Table lists the miRNAs of embryonic stem cells based on their sequencing number from highest to lowest. IsomiR-302a (22 nts) ranked number 118 and was sequenced higher than some of the canonical miRNAs. Yellow highlighted miRNAs denote canonical miRNAs.

39	UBE _{2J2}	Widespread
40	UBQLN3	Brain/testis/germ cell tumour
41	VCP	Ubiquitous
42	XLKD1	Widespread
43	ZCCHC ₈	Widespread
44	ZNF364	Widespread

Table S3.7 Table below lists all the unique targets of isomiR-9.

Table S5.1

Table lists the total number of sequencing results in hESCs, NSCs and MSCs. Deep sequencing was performed by Elcie Chan.

1. Common elements in "302a/b/c/d", "302a*", "302b*/d*" and "367": BCL11A ZFHX4

2. Common elements in "302a/b/c/d", "302a*" and "367": FNDC3B PPP1R9A ZNF148

Table S5.2

List of predicted targets of miR-302 cluster that are common between members.

digested by NheI and FseI 100 $C1$ $C2 \t C3 \t C4$

pGEM-T-Leftv1 3'UTR digested by NheI and FseI

pGEM-T-PTEN 3'UTR digested by NheI and FseI

 $C1$ $C2$

1kb 100

pGEM-T-DNMT3B mutant UTR PG-DNMT3B Mutant 3'UTR Clone 2 00000505 agtctgcacgggacctattag 00000525
>>>>>>>>> |||||||||||||||||||||
30859964 agtctgcacgggacctattag 30859984

PG-leftv1-3UTR C1

PG-PTEN-3UTR Clone 2

00000478 ttgtta 00000483
>>>>>>>> |||||| >>>>>>>>>
89718431 ttgtta 89718436

pGEM-T-BTG1-3'UTR digested by NheI and FseI 1kb 100bp C1 C2 $C₃$ $C4$

pGEM-T-PTEN Mutant 3'UTR digested by Nhel and Fsel $1kb$ 100 $C1$ $C2$

pGEM-T-CDH1-3'UTR digested by NheI and FseI $1kb$ $100bp$ $C1$ $C₂$

PG-BTG1-3UTR Clone1

PG-PTEN Mutant 3'UTR Clone 1

00000467
<<<<<<<
89718087 00000518 ccctac 00000523
<<<<<<<< |||||| <<<<<<<
89718036 ccctac 89718031

PG-CDH1 3'UTR Clone 1

pGEM-T-CDH1 Mutant-UTR digested by NheI/Fse I $1kb$ 100

PG-CDH1 Mutant 3'UTR Clone 3

pGEM-T DNMT3B-UTR digested by NheI/FseI $1kb$ 100

pGEM-T Rock1-UTR digested by NheI/FseI $1kb$ 100

PG-DNMT3B 3UTR Clone 4

.
20000103 gcagagccacctgactcttgcaggggtagcctgaggtgccgcctccttgt 00000152
30859514 gcagagccacctgactcttgcaggggtagcctgaggtgccgcctcttgt 30000522
30859514 gcagagccacctgactcttgcaggggtagcctgaggtgccgcctcttgt 30859563 .
20000153 gcacaaatcagacetggetgettggageageetaacaeggtgeteatttt 00000202
30859564 gcacaaatcagacetggetgettggageageetaacaeggtgeteatttt 300090612
30859564 gcacaaatcagacetggetgettggageageetaacaeggtgeteatttt 30859611 00000553 agtctgcacgggacctattag 00000573
>>>>>>>> |||||||||||||||||||||
30859964 agtctgcacgggacctattag 30859984

PG-Rock1 3UTR Clone 3

pGEM-T BTG2-UTR digested by NheI/FseI $1k_b$ 100 $C1$ $C₂$

PG-BTG2-UTR Clone 1

000000315 aacagtgeecaaggtttggettttatttagtgteggeectaeaagaatae 000000364
{{{{{{{{{}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}
2022/2023 aacadtoecaaaguttggettttatttagtgteggeetacaagaatae 202{{{{{}}}} 000000615 ggggagggttagaggagagttgtgcttttgaaaagaaggcagtaataaag 000000664
<<<<<<<<< ({\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text}}}}}
200277558 ggggagggttagaggttagagt

pGEM-T SP3-UTR digested by NheL/FseI 100 C1 C2 $1kb$

.
1925: topauctetagggatagteacettttaautectgttganaagecatgttt (19800020)
1985: topauctetagggatagteacettttaautectgttganaagecatgttt (1747/48) ,
Chinamataka eta bizi arrazportua (h. 1981).
Chinamataka eta bizi basarrek basarteka .
1986 - San Altygytyyteesegaaligittelegigaaltaisseltitteliga:
1986 - Sanadi Lautoolaansaalaittelen kaaltaisseltitteliga:
1986 - Sanadi Lautoolaansaalaittelen kaaltaisseltitteliga: ODDERWART ARCEAREN ERSTER CARANGEMENTEREN EIN EIN EINER BEREICHSTE DER ERSTERN EIN EIN EIN EIN EIN EIN EIN EIN $\begin{minipage}{0.99\textwidth} \begin{tabular}{l|c|c|c|c} \hline \textbf{0.99\textwidth} & \textbf{$ ser
000 – Cantage System (Cantas Languet at Lagical Lagical Lagical Lagical Lagical Lagical Lagical Lagical Lagic
225 – Cantage System (Cantas Lagical w gietgenettaantatenatenttiteettittäjettetteeettaan
see himmillinninninninninninninninnin .
1986 – Ettetetytelorapteretttavillagenegrafelgillitttet "eig <mark>abboronso.</mark>
1985 – Ettetetytelorapteretttavillagenegrafelgillittistista (1967/1953)
1985 – Ettetetytelorapteretttavillagenegrafelgillitttettaja (1967/1953)

PG-SP3-3UTR Clone 2

Figure S2.1

Gel images of digested pGEM-T with 3' UTR and their sequencing results. Segment of the 3' UTR were amplified by PCR and ligated into pGEM-T easy vector and sequenced. Sequencing results were analysed using human blat search (USCS genome bioinformatics).

pGL3-Rock1-3UTR digested by BsrGI and FseI

pGL3-DNMT3B Mutant UTR digested by BsrGI and FseI 100 Control C1 $C₂$ $C₃$

pGL3-SP3-3UTR Digested by BsrGI and FseI $C3$ $C4$ $1kb$ 100

Figure S2.2 Gel images of digested pGL3 reporter vectors.

pGL3 miRNA reporter vector constructs with inserts were validated by BsrGI and FseI digestion. Vector maps digested by the enzymes were generated by NEB cutter.

Figure S2.3

presence of inserts.

Figure S2.4

pMIR-Report-miR9 and -isomiR9 sponges were digested by ClaI and HindIII to validate the presence of inserts.

Figure S2.5

Gel image to validate the successful removal of 4 of the 6 MBS in sponge constructs.

Figure S2.6

Gel image to look for the presence of inserts in pcDNA expression vectors.

pcDNA-DNMT3B with 3'UTR 1kb (BamHI and XbaI) Clone 1 to 6

Figure S2.8

pcDNA-DNMT3B clone 1 to 6 were digested by BamHI and XbaI to look for clones that have the insert. Inserts were present in clone 1, 3 and 6.

PCR product of miR-302 cluster (975bp) $\frac{\text{pGEMT-302 cluster}}{\text{digested by Xhol and MluI}}$

1kb C1 C2 C3 C4 C5

pGEM-T-miR-302 cluster

Figure S2.9

Gel image of PCR product of miR-302 cluster and validation of ligation into pGEM-T easy vector and its sequencing result.

Figure S3.1

Figure illustrates the number of miRNA binding sites (miBS) in the genes that were predicted targets of isomiR-9. There are 44 predicted target genes that are solely target by isomiR-9, and were not predicted target of any canonical miRNAs.

Figure S5.1

MiRNA target prediction of Sp3 transcription factor by 3 independent prediction databases, namely MicroCosm, Pictar and Targetscan.

Figure S5.2 Gel image of digested pMIR-ZNF148-3' UTR.

pMIR-ZNF148-UTR reporter was validated by XhoI and SacI digestions which released a 500bp DNA fragment of ZNF148 3'UTR. Clones that were successfully ligated with ZNF148 -3'UTR include 1, 3, 5, 6, 9 and 10.

Figure S5.3

MiR-302 cluster human genome DNA sequence located in chromosome 4. Red texts represent sequence of the members of miR-302 cluster gene, namely miR-302b, miR-302c, miR-302c, miR-302d and miR-367.

pTRIP-302 cluster 1kb digested by XhoI/MluI

Figure S5.4 Gel image of digested pTRIPz-302 cluster.

pTRIPz-302 cluster was digested by XhoI and MluI that released the miR-302 cluster consisting of a 975bp DNA fragment. This image validated the successful ligation of miR-302 cluster in pTRIPZ lentiviral vector.

hsa-miR-302b-5p and hsa-miR-302c-5p

Figure S6.1 The dominant mature form of hsa-miR-302b-5p and hsa-miR-302c-5p represent the isomiRs of each others.

Figure S6.2 hsa-miR-518a-3p, hsa-miR-518f-3p and hsa-miR-518e-3p Other examples where the dominant mature form represent the isomiRs of each others.