

ASYMPTOTICALLY CYLINDRICAL CALABI-YAU MANIFOLDS

MARK HASKINS, HANS-JOACHIM HEIN & JOHANNES NORDSTRÖM

Abstract

Let M be a complete Ricci-flat Kähler manifold with one end and assume that this end converges at an exponential rate to $[0, \infty) \times X$ for some compact connected Ricci-flat manifold X . We begin by proving general structure theorems for M ; in particular we show that there is no loss of generality in assuming that M is simply-connected and irreducible with $\text{Hol}(M) = \text{SU}(n)$, where n is the complex dimension of M . If $n > 2$ we then show that there exists a projective orbifold \bar{M} and a divisor $\bar{D} \in |-K_{\bar{M}}|$ with torsion normal bundle such that M is biholomorphic to $\bar{M} \setminus \bar{D}$, thereby settling a long-standing question of Yau in the asymptotically cylindrical setting. We give examples where \bar{M} is not smooth: the existence of such examples appears not to have been noticed previously. Conversely, for any such pair (\bar{M}, \bar{D}) we give a short and self-contained proof of the existence and uniqueness of exponentially asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau metrics on $\bar{M} \setminus \bar{D}$.

1. Introduction

Background and overview. In one of their foundational papers on complete Ricci-flat Kähler metrics [43, Cor 5.1] Tian and Yau proved the existence of such metrics with linear volume growth on smooth non-compact quasi-projective varieties of the form $M = \bar{M} \setminus \bar{D}$, where \bar{M} is a smooth projective variety that fibres over a Riemann surface with generic fibre \bar{D} a connected smooth and reduced anticanonical divisor. In fact, the estimates of [43] imply that the end of M is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to one half of a metric cylinder $M_\infty = \mathbb{R} \times X$ where $X = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \bar{D}$ and \bar{D} is endowed with a Ricci-flat Kähler metric that exists because $c_1(\bar{D}) = 0$ by adjunction [47].

The current paper has two principal goals:

- (i) To give a short and self-contained proof of a generalised and refined version of the Tian-Yau theorem; as one consequence of this generalisation we obtain asymptotically cylindrical Ricci-flat Kähler metrics whose cross-section X no longer takes the split form $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \bar{D}$;

Received 4/13/2014.

one of our refinements is to establish the exponential convergence of M to $[0, \infty) \times X$.

- (ii) To show that every complete Ricci-flat Kähler manifold of complex dimension $n > 2$ that is exponentially asymptotic to a half-cylinder $[0, \infty) \times X$ arises from our generalisation of the Tian-Yau construction in (i).

The exponential convergence in (i) is important because it is used in an essential way in the so-called *twisted connected sum* construction of compact Riemannian 7-manifolds with holonomy group G_2 [10, 11, 24], first suggested by Donaldson and then pioneered by Kovalev in [24]. At present no complete proof of the existence of exponentially asymptotically cylindrical Ricci-flat Kähler metrics exists in the literature; *cf.* Section 4. Moreover, the original existence proof with bi-Lipschitz control due to Tian and Yau [43] is difficult and very general; we will show that the asymptotically cylindrical case allows for a short and direct treatment, bypassing most of the technicalities of [43].

(ii) fits naturally into the broader framework of complex analytic compactifications of complete Ricci-flat Kähler manifolds—a topic Yau raised in his 1978 ICM Address [48, p. 246, 2nd question]. Indeed, under the assumption of finite topology all currently known constructions of such manifolds yield examples that are complex analytically compactifiable in Yau’s sense. In other settings some compactification results have been proven by studying the section ring of the (anti-)canonical bundle—in [34] for $\text{Ric} < 0$ with finite volume and in [33] for $\text{Ric} > 0$ with Euclidean volume growth—but we are not aware of any such results in the Ricci-flat case even under additional hypotheses.

In this paper we develop a new approach to constructing compactifications by exploiting detailed asymptotics for the metric at infinity. To state the basic idea, let M be a complete Ricci-flat Kähler manifold with one end that converges at an exponential rate to one half of a metric cylinder $M_\infty = \mathbb{R} \times X$. We begin by proving that after passing to a finite cover and splitting off compact factors we can assume that M is simply-connected of holonomy $\text{SU}(n)$ with $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$. If $n > 2$, we will then prove that M_∞ has a finite cover that splits as a Kähler product $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times D$, where D is compact Ricci-flat Kähler. The cylinder M_∞ now admits a natural orbifold compactification, so we can try to use the fact that M is asymptotic to M_∞ to build an orbifold compactification of M . This is indeed possible but requires significant technical work: see Section 3.

Basic terminology. Before proceeding to a more detailed description of the main results and the organisation of the paper, we begin with a few basic definitions and remarks.

Definition 1.1. A complete Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called *asymptotically cylindrical (ACyl)* if there exist a bounded open $U \subset M$,

a closed (not necessarily connected) Riemannian manifold (X, h) , and a diffeomorphism $\Phi : [0, \infty) \times X \rightarrow M \setminus U$ such that $|\nabla^k(\Phi^*g - g_\infty)| = O(e^{-\delta t})$ with respect to the product metric $g_\infty \equiv dt^2 + h$ for some $\delta > 0$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Here t denotes projection onto the $[0, \infty)$ factor; we often extend the function $t \circ \Phi^{-1}$ by zero and refer to this extension as a *cylindrical coordinate function* on M . We call the connected components of $M_\infty \equiv \mathbb{R} \times X$ endowed with the product metric g_∞ the *asymptotic cylinders* (or sometimes the *cylindrical ends*), (X, h) the *cross-section*, and Φ the *ACyl diffeomorphism* or *ACyl map* of the ACyl manifold (M, g) .

We will often suppress the map Φ in our notation, or tacitly replace it by $\Phi \circ [(t, x) \mapsto (t + t_0, x)]$ for some large constant t_0 . Also, it will be irrelevant whether we measure norms of tensors on $M \setminus U$ with respect to g or g_∞ . Finally, we remark that exponential asymptotics are a priori more natural than polynomial or even weaker ones because solutions to linear elliptic equations on cylinders tend to behave exponentially. The Calabi-Yau condition is not linear, but we obtain a consistent theory within the exponential setting; see also the Concluding Remarks at the end of this section.

REMARK 1.2. We will mainly be interested in ACyl manifolds that are *Ricci-flat*. In this case:

- (i) M has only a single end except when it is isometric to a product cylinder. This is an immediate consequence of the Cheeger-Gromoll splitting theorem [5, Thm 2], and holds even if we assume only $\text{Ric} \geq 0$. From now on in this remark, assume M is not a product cylinder.
- (ii) The end M_∞ is a Ricci-flat cylinder, so the cross-section X is compact connected and Ricci-flat. We recall a basic structure result: there exists a finite Riemannian covering $\mathbb{T} \times X' \rightarrow X$ where \mathbb{T} is a flat torus with $\dim \mathbb{T} \geq b^1(X)$ and X' is compact simply-connected and Ricci-flat [12, Thm 4.5]. This is deduced from a more general theorem for $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ [5, Thm 3], but uses the inequality $\text{Ric} \leq 0$ in an essential way to ascertain that all Killing fields are parallel.

We also need to recall some terminology related to holonomy groups. We say that (M, g) is *locally irreducible* if the representation of the restricted holonomy group $\text{Hol}_0(M)$ on the tangent space of any point of M is irreducible; by de Rham's theorem this is equivalent to M being locally irreducible in the sense of isometric product decompositions. We call (M^{2n}, g) *Calabi-Yau* if $\text{Hol}(M) \subseteq \text{SU}(n)$ and *hyper-Kähler* if n is even and $\text{Hol}(M) \subseteq \text{Sp}(\frac{n}{2}) \subset \text{SU}(n)$. The Calabi-Yau condition implies that M is Ricci-flat Kähler. Conversely, if M is Ricci-flat Kähler then $\text{Hol}(M) \subseteq \text{U}(n)$ and $\text{Hol}_0(M) \subseteq \text{SU}(n)$, so if M is simply-connected then it is Calabi-Yau, and if additionally M is irreducible

then—by Berger’s classification—either $\text{Hol}(M) = \text{SU}(n)$, or n is even and $\text{Hol}(M) = \text{Sp}(\frac{n}{2})$.

A final point of notation: \mathbb{S}^k will denote a round k -sphere and \mathbb{T}^k a flat k -torus (not necessarily a product of k circles). Thus $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{T}^1$ is a circle but we do not specify its radius. However, we always identify $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ topologically and denote the resulting angular coordinate on \mathbb{S}^1 by θ .

Killing the fundamental group. Our first main result gives an ACyl analogue of the structure theorem for compact Ricci-flat manifolds of Remark 1.2(ii). This again follows from a structure result for (ACyl) manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature: Theorem 2.14.

Theorem A. *Every Ricci-flat ACyl manifold has a finite normal covering space that splits as the isometric product of a flat torus and a simply-connected Ricci-flat ACyl manifold.*

In particular, if M is ACyl Ricci-flat Kähler, then M has a finite normal covering space \tilde{M} such that $\tilde{M} = M' \times N$, where M' is simply-connected irreducible ACyl, N is compact, and both M' and N are Kähler except in the trivial case where $M' = \mathbb{R}$. Thus, for almost all purposes we can assume without any loss that the full holonomy of M is either $\text{SU}(n)$ or $\text{Sp}(\frac{n}{2})$ (some care must be taken *e.g.* in establishing projectivity of complex analytic compactifications in Theorem C because of the potential presence of non-projective compact factors in the splitting above).

Holonomy and the asymptotic cylinder. We will assume from now on that our Ricci-flat ACyl manifold M is Kähler of complex dimension n . Our next main result—Theorem B, to be proved in Section 2.3—shows that $\mathbb{R} \times X$ being the asymptotic cylinder of a Ricci-flat Kähler manifold imposes strong additional restrictions on X beyond $\mathbb{R} \times X$ being Ricci-flat Kähler; see B(ii). In particular, $b^1(X) = 1$ if $n > 2$. This is consistent with B(i) because $\text{Hol}(M) = \text{Sp}(\frac{n}{2})$ implies that $b^1(X) \geq 3$. However, we will prove Theorem B by treating the two cases $\text{Hol}(M) = \text{Sp}(\frac{n}{2})$ and $\text{Hol}(M) = \text{SU}(n)$ in parallel, using the same type of argument to derive restrictions on X in both cases.

Theorem B. *Let M be simply-connected irreducible ACyl Calabi-Yau with $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M > 2$.*

- (i) *M is not hyper-Kähler, or in other words $\text{Hol}(M) = \text{SU}(n)$.*
- (ii) *There exists a compact Calabi-Yau manifold D with a Kähler isometry ι of finite order m such that the cross-section X of M can be written as $X = (\mathbb{S}^1 \times D)/\langle \iota \rangle$, where ι acts on the product via $\iota(\theta, x) = (\theta + \frac{2\pi}{m}, \iota(x))$. Moreover, ι preserves the holomorphic volume form on D but no other holomorphic forms of positive degree. In particular, $b^1(X) = 1$.*

The case $n = 2$ is exceptional in several respects—the main reason being that $SU(2) = Sp(1)$, so that Calabi-Yau and hyper-Kähler coincide in complex dimension 2—and we will not say very much about it here. ACyl examples do exist but their asymptotic cylinders need not be finite quotients of a product $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times D$; see Remark 1.6 for some more details in this direction.

For another immediate clarification, let us point out that the order m of the Kähler isometry ι of B(ii) really can be greater than 1 even though $\pi_1(M) = 0$; see Examples 1.4 and 1.9, both of which are 3-dimensional. This possibility seems not to have been observed previously. In particular, such examples do not fit within the remit of the known constructions [24, 26] based on [43].

REMARK 1.3. We now take a closer look at the restrictions on M_∞ imposed by B(ii).

- (i) If $n = 3$ then D could be \mathbb{T}^4 or $K3$, but not a finite quotient of either; in Examples 1.4 and 1.9 we show that both occur (with $m > 1$). In both cases there are strong a priori restrictions on the possible values of m : if $D = \mathbb{T}^4$ then $m \in \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$ by [14, Lemma 3.3], while if $D = K3$ then $m \leq 8$ (and the number of fixed points of ι depends only on m) by [35, §0.1] or [37].
- (ii) If $m = 1$, then $h^{p,0}(D) = 1$ for $p \in \{0, n-1\}$ but $h^{p,0}(D) = 0$ otherwise. Thus, if $n = 3$ then $D = K3$. Also if $\pi_1(D) = 0$ then $\text{Hol}(D) = SU(n-1)$; in general D could be locally reducible though: $D = (K3 \times K3)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ is not ruled out if \mathbb{Z}_2 acts anti-symplectically on each factor, *i.e.* as a holomorphic involution of $K3$ that changes the sign of the holomorphic volume form.

Theorem B(ii) is important for the compactification problem in view of the following

Compactification ansatz: A complex cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times D \cong \mathbb{C}^* \times D$ can be compactified as $\mathbb{C} \times D$. If D has a holomorphic volume form Ω_D , then $(dt + id\theta) \wedge \Omega_D$ extends to a meromorphic volume form with a simple pole along $\{0\} \times D$.

Thus B(ii) implies that M_∞ is biholomorphic to the complement of $(0 \times D)/\mathbb{Z}_m$ in $(\mathbb{C} \times D)/\mathbb{Z}_m$. It is therefore natural to allow for *orbifold* compactifications: if n is odd and if D has no holomorphic forms except in degrees 0 and $n-1$, then the holomorphic Lefschetz formula tells us that ι acting on D must have fixed points, so the compactification of M_∞ is definitely not smooth if $m > 1$.

If M is an *arbitrary* ACyl Kähler manifold, then the orbits of the parallel vector field $J\partial_t$ on M_∞ have no reason to split off as isometric \mathbb{S}^1 -factors in any finite cover, so the compactification ansatz above may not apply. This does not mean that M_∞ is not holomorphically

compactifiable, but the construction of a compactification could then be much more complicated; *cf.* Remark 1.6.

A compactification theorem. In Section 3 we will prove that any ACyl Kähler manifold M that satisfies the conclusion of Theorem B(ii) has an orbifold holomorphic compactification \bar{M} modelled on the holomorphic compactification of M_∞ discussed above. Somewhat surprisingly, this is *not* an immediate consequence of the ACyl asymptotics and indeed requires significant technical work; *cf.* the introduction to Section 3.2. Further technical work shows that \bar{M} is Kähler, and if M is Calabi-Yau then \bar{M} is projective. Thus, our results are most comprehensive if M satisfies the assumptions of Theorem B; for simplicity we give the statement only in this case.

Theorem C. *Let M be simply-connected irreducible ACyl Calabi-Yau of complex dimension > 2 . Let $X, D, \iota \in \text{Isom}(D)$, and m be as in Theorem B(ii) and define $\bar{D} = D/\langle \iota \rangle$. Then with respect to either of the two parallel complex structures on M we have:*

- (i) *There exists a projective orbifold \bar{M} with $h^{p,0}(\bar{M}) = 0$ for all $p > 0$ and vanishing plurigenera such that $\bar{D} \in |-K_{\bar{M}}|$ is an orbifold divisor and M is biholomorphic to $\bar{M} \setminus \bar{D}$. The orbifold normal bundle to \bar{D} in \bar{M} is biholomorphic to $(\mathbb{C} \times D)/\langle \iota \rangle$ as an orbifold line bundle. Thus, if $m = 1$ then \bar{M} is smooth and the normal bundle of \bar{D} is holomorphically trivial.*
- (ii) *The ACyl Kähler form is cohomologous to the restriction to M of a Kähler form on \bar{M} .*
- (iii) *If $b^1(D) = 0$ then the linear system $|m\bar{D}|$ is a pencil on \bar{M} , defining a fibration $\bar{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with \bar{D} as an m -fold fibre. In particular this holds for $m = 1$ since $b^1(X) = 1$ by Theorem B(ii).*

Before discussing the statement of Theorem C in more detail, let us indicate the basic strategy of the proof when $m = 1$. Given a smooth divisor \bar{D} in a complex manifold \bar{M} whose normal bundle is trivial as a smooth complex line bundle, there exist exponential maps sending the fibres of the normal bundle to holomorphic disks in \bar{M} . In proving Theorem C, we first construct a “punctured version” of such an exponential map purely within M . By studying $\bar{\partial}$ -equations along the resulting punctured holomorphic disks in M , we will then be able to prove that the complex structure of M is sufficiently regular at infinity to admit a holomorphic compactification \bar{M} .

Example 1.4. To further illustrate the $m > 1$ case of Theorem C, we describe a simply-connected irreducible ACyl Calabi-Yau 3-fold where D is a torus and $m = 2$. This space is closely related to a Kummer construction due to Joyce; see [38, 7.3.3(iv)].

Let E be an elliptic curve and let $\bar{M}_0 = (\mathbb{P}^1 \times E \times E)/\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$, where α and β act on \mathbb{P}^1 as the commuting holomorphic involutions $z \mapsto \frac{1}{z}$ and

$z \mapsto -\frac{1}{z}$, and on $E \times E$ as $(-1, 1)$ and $(1, -1)$. Let \bar{M} be the blow-up of \bar{M}_0 at the fixed sets of α and β (these have complex codimension 2). The fixed points of $\iota = \alpha\beta$ become orbifold singularities in \bar{M} contained in the image $\bar{D} \cong (E \times E)/\{\pm 1\}$ of $\{0, \infty\} \times E \times E$. Since $\{0, \infty\}$ is an anticanonical divisor on \mathbb{P}^1 and the blow-up is crepant, \bar{D} is an anticanonical orbifold divisor on \bar{M} (“two cylindrical ends folded into one”).

We can deduce from Theorem D that $M = \bar{M} \setminus \bar{D}$ admits ACyl Calabi-Yau metrics. However, we can also think of M as a blow-up of the flat orbifold

$$M_0 = (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times E \times E)/\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$$

and obtain ACyl Calabi-Yau metrics by a generalised Kummer construction [38, 7.3.3(iv)]. Because $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ is generated by elements with fixed points, the argument of [22, §12.1.1] can be used to prove that $\pi_1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times E \times E) \rightarrow \pi_1(M_0)$ is surjective, and that M_0 and M are simply-connected. This model for M also makes it easy to see that the cross-section X is the quotient of $\mathbb{S}^1 \times E \times E$ by the fixed-point free involution $(\theta, x, y) \mapsto (\theta + \pi, -x, -y)$; in particular, $b^1(X) = 1$ in accordance with Theorem B(ii) since the only \mathbb{Z}_2 -invariant parallel 1-form upstairs is $d\theta$.

REMARK 1.5. We now make some basic comments about the fibration in Theorem C(iii).

- (i) No compact complex manifold with finite fundamental group can fibre over a Riemann surface with non-zero genus, since then the lift of the fibering map to the universal cover would be a non-constant holomorphic function from a compact complex manifold to \mathbb{C} .
- (ii) We can compare the conclusions of Theorems B(i) and C(iii) with the following observation due to Matsushita [29, Lemma 1(2)]: if M is a compact Kähler manifold of holonomy $\mathrm{Sp}(\frac{n}{2})$, $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$, and if $f : M \rightarrow B$ is a surjective holomorphic map onto a Kähler manifold B of complex dimension $0 < b < n$, then $b = \frac{n}{2}$. (In this situation, a much more difficult result due to Hwang [20] then asserts that B is projective space if both M and B are algebraic; these algebraicity hypotheses have very recently been removed by Greb and Lehn [15].)
- (iii) We do not know whether or not $|m\bar{D}|$ still defines a fibration of \bar{M} over \mathbb{P}^1 if $b^1(D) > 0$ (hence necessarily $m > 1$). In this direction, observe that composing the projection $\mathbb{P}^1 \times E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ in Example 1.4 with a degree 4 map $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ invariant under $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ yields a fibration $\bar{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ corresponding to $|2\bar{D}|$. Now M admits nontrivial ACyl Calabi-Yau deformations with the same cylindrical end as M ; it is not clear to us whether or not these are still fibred by $|2\bar{D}|$.

REMARK 1.6. The compactification question for $n = 2$ is more subtle. To begin with, we have $X = \mathbb{T}^3$ since $\text{Hol}(\mathbb{R} \times X) \not\subseteq \text{SU}(2)$ if X is a proper quotient of \mathbb{T}^3 (but all orientable proper quotients of \mathbb{T}^3 do arise as cross-sections of *locally* hyper-Kähler ACyl 4-manifolds with nontrivial π_1 [3, Thm 0.2]). By [19, Thm 1.10], X need not be an isometric product $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{T}^2$, and by extending the construction of [19] one can show that *every* flat torus \mathbb{T}^3 occurs as a cross-section. Thus, for a generic choice of hyper-Kähler metric or parallel complex structure J , the orbits of $J\partial_t$ do not split off as isometric \mathbb{S}^1 -factors in any finite cover of X , and our compactification ansatz does not apply.

It is nevertheless possible to compactify M_∞ holomorphically, strongly suggesting that M itself can be compactified so that \bar{M} is \mathbb{P}^2 blown up in 9 general points, \bar{D} is the proper transform of the *unique* cubic passing through these points, and $|\bar{D}|$ is trivial. By contrast, the construction in [19] is based on *pencils* of cubics in \mathbb{P}^2 . We plan to discuss the details of this picture elsewhere.

Existence and uniqueness of ACyl Calabi-Yau metrics. Our final main result both extends the Tian-Yau existence theorem for Ricci-flat Kähler metrics of linear volume growth [43, Cor 5.1] to a natural level of generality and establishes exponential asymptotics for these metrics. We also have a basic uniqueness result in this context (Theorem E).

Theorem D. *Let \bar{M} be a compact Kähler orbifold of complex dimension $n \geq 2$. Let $\bar{D} \in |-K_{\bar{M}}|$ be an effective orbifold divisor satisfying the following two conditions:*

- (i) *The complement $M = \bar{M} \setminus \bar{D}$ is a smooth manifold.*
- (ii) *The orbifold normal bundle of \bar{D} is biholomorphic to $(\mathbb{C} \times D)/\langle \iota \rangle$ as an orbifold line bundle, where D is a connected compact complex manifold and ι is a complex automorphism of D of order $m < \infty$ acting on the product via $\iota(w, x) = (\exp(\frac{2\pi i}{m})w, \iota(x))$.*

Let Ω be a meromorphic n -form on \bar{M} with a simple pole along \bar{D} . For every orbifold Kähler class \mathfrak{k} on \bar{M} there exists an ACyl Calabi-Yau metric ω on M such that $\omega \in \mathfrak{k}|_M$ and $\omega^n = i^{n^2} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$.

REMARK 1.7. We can describe the ACyl geometry of (M, ω) more precisely.

- (i) The cross-section of (M, ω) is isometric to $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times D)/\langle \iota \rangle$. Here D is equipped with the unique ι -invariant Ricci-flat Kähler metric representing the pullback of $\mathfrak{k}|_{\bar{D}}$, where we observe that \bar{D} has trivial canonical bundle by adjunction so that the Calabi-Yau theorem [47] applies. The length of the \mathbb{S}^1 -factor is determined by the choice of a meromorphic volume form Ω , which is unique only up to a scalar factor (and is independent of the choice of a Kähler class \mathfrak{k}).

- (ii) The ACyl map $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \times (\mathbb{S}^1 \times D)/\langle \iota \rangle \rightarrow M$ is obtained by composing a suitable exponential map, \exp , on the normal bundle of \bar{D} with the complex exponential function $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. The precise construction of \exp is somewhat involved and relies on Appendix A.

REMARK 1.8. The original Tian-Yau construction [43] concerns the special case of Theorem D where \bar{M} is a projective manifold fibred by the linear system $|\bar{D}|$. This is not general enough to cover all possible pairs (\bar{M}, \bar{D}) arising from Theorem C. If $m = 1$, then \bar{M} is necessarily smooth and fibred by $|\bar{D}|$ by C(iii), but even in this case our proof makes no use of the fibration and our result is more precise: Tian-Yau make no statement about which Kähler classes on M contain complete Ricci-flat metrics, nor do they prove that these metrics converge to cylinders at infinity.

Projective *manifolds* \bar{M} satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem D were first constructed by Kovalev [24] as blow-ups of Fano 3-folds; this construction yields around one hundred families of ACyl Calabi-Yau 3-folds with split cross-section $\mathbb{S}^1 \times D$. In [10] so-called *weak* Fano manifolds are used instead; the weak Fano construction yields hundreds of thousands of families of split ACyl Calabi-Yau 3-folds.

Kovalev-Lee [26] describe a different class of manifolds \bar{M} satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem D based on $K3$ surfaces with anti-symplectic involutions. This leads to around 70 further families of split ACyl Calabi-Yau 3-folds. By modifying the construction of [26], we can find admissible *orbifolds* \bar{M} with $m > 1$, as follows. (The cross-section of the resulting non-split ACyl Calabi-Yau 3-fold will be the mapping torus of a finite order symplectic automorphism of $K3$.)

Example 1.9. Let D be a $K3$ surface with a group $G = \langle \iota, \tau \rangle$ of holomorphic automorphisms where ι is symplectic of order m and τ is an anti-symplectic involution with non-empty fixed set such that $\tau\iota\tau = \iota^{-1}$; in particular, G is isomorphic to the dihedral group with $2m$ elements.

Let ι act on \mathbb{P}^1 by $z \mapsto e^{2\pi i/m}z$, and τ by $z \mapsto \frac{1}{z}$. Let $\bar{M}_0 = (\mathbb{P}^1 \times D)/G$ and let \bar{M} be the blow-up of \bar{M}_0 at the fixed sets of the reflections $\tau\langle \iota \rangle \subset G$ (which are disjoint). \bar{M} has orbifold singularities from the fixed points of the rotations $\langle \iota \rangle$, which all lie in the image $\bar{D} = D/\mathbb{Z}_m$ of $\{0, \infty\} \times D$.

By Theorem D, $M = \bar{M} \setminus \bar{D}$ admits ACyl Calabi-Yau metrics with cross-section $X = (\mathbb{S}^1 \times D)/\mathbb{Z}_m$. Moreover, we can construct a fibration $\bar{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with \bar{D} as an m -fold fibre as in Example 1.4, though in this case the existence of the fibration is also guaranteed by Theorem C(iii) since $b^1(D) = 0$.

Here we choose not to pursue a systematic study of such examples and instead content ourselves with exhibiting a few concrete ones. As

in Remark 1.3(i) we have the a priori bound $m \leq 8$. [23, §3] describes a K3 surface with an automorphism group $A_6 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$ containing G of the required kind for $2 \leq m \leq 6$; see also [13, §7]. For $m = 2, 3, 4$ one can also use Kummer surface constructions.

To round off our discussion we state a uniqueness theorem. Given some facts from ACyl Hodge theory, the proof is fairly straightforward. See also [19, Thm 1.9] and the surrounding discussion.

Theorem E. *Let M be an open complex manifold with only one end and let ω_1, ω_2 be ACyl Kähler metrics on M such that $\omega_1 - \omega_2$ is exponentially decaying with respect to either ω_1 or ω_2 . If ω_1, ω_2 represent the same class in $H^2(M)$ and have the same volume form, then $\omega_1 = \omega_2$.*

Our main reason for including this result is that it allows us to see that Theorems C and D are inverse to each other—at least in the simply-connected $n > 2$ case. Indeed, if we start with an ACyl Calabi-Yau n -fold M with metric ω , apply Theorem C to compactify it to \bar{M} , and apply Theorem D to \bar{M} to construct another ACyl Calabi-Yau metric ω' on M in the same Kähler class as ω , then $\omega - \omega'$ will be exponentially decaying and so Theorem E implies that $\omega = \omega'$.

Concluding remarks. We have now come full circle in our theory if the complex dimension is at least 3: there exists a natural generalisation and refinement of the Tian-Yau construction of Kähler Ricci-flat metrics of linear volume growth, and we have proved that this construction exhausts all possible examples of exponentially asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau manifolds that are simply-connected and irreducible. In this section we wish to point out a few open questions.

At a rather basic level we do not currently know whether ACyl Calabi-Yau n -folds with non-split cross-section $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times D)/\langle \iota \rangle$, $\text{ord}(\iota) = m > 1$, are scarce or plentiful. All the examples we know of are fibred over \mathbb{C} , though we have been unable to prove the existence of such a fibration in general and unlike in [43] our constructions do not rely on it. There exist formal obstructions to fibering over \mathbb{C} (see Remark 3.6), and we suspect that the existence of a fibration is not stable under deformations.

Even in the split case ($m = 1$) it remains to classify the possible projective manifolds \bar{M} satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem D. In three dimensions the vast majority of known examples [10, 24] (but not all [26]) arise by blowing up the base loci of smooth anticanonical pencils in smooth weak Fano 3-folds. The weak Fano construction produces a very large but provably finite number of deformation families of split ACyl Calabi-Yau 3-folds. Is it possible to prove that there exist only finitely many deformation families of split ACyl Calabi-Yau 3-folds?

Another (metric) question that remains is whether there exist asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau manifolds with *slower* than exponential convergence. However, applying the methods of Cheeger-Tian [7] should

rule this out—if the Gromov-Hausdorff distance of a complete Calabi-Yau manifold to a cylinder goes to zero at infinity, then the convergence should automatically be exponential in C^∞ because the cross-section of the cylinder is always integrable as an Einstein manifold.

For a potentially more interesting analytic question, recall that complete Riemannian manifolds of nonnegative Ricci curvature always have at least linear volume growth. The case of *precisely* linear volume growth would therefore seem to be somewhat rigid; but examples due to Sormani show that numerous pathologies can occur [42]. Does the Calabi-Yau condition impose further restrictions? Is a complete Calabi-Yau of linear volume growth necessarily Gromov-Hausdorff asymptotic to $\mathbb{R} \times X$ for some geodesic metric space X ? If so, then could X be non-compact or singular?

Finally, we would like to mention some closely related papers that have appeared since this paper was first posted to the arXiv. Li [27] proved a compactification theorem for asymptotically *conical* complex manifolds similar to Theorem 3.1 and gave some interesting applications. Li’s result was used in [8] to prove an asymptotically conical analogue of Theorem C and a number of uniqueness theorems for asymptotically conical Calabi-Yau manifolds. In a different direction, [9] establishes a complete picture of the *deformation and moduli theory* of ACyl Calabi-Yau manifolds.

Acknowledgments. MH would like to thank the EPSRC for their continuing support of his research under Leadership Fellowship EP/G007241/1, which also provided postdoctoral support for HJH and JN. JN also thanks the ERC for postdoctoral support under Grant 247331. The authors would like to thank Martijn Kool and Richard Thomas for help with the proof of Theorem C(iii), and Tommaso Pacini for useful comments on a previous draft of this paper.

2. Basic properties of ACyl Calabi-Yau manifolds

This section discusses the basic analysis, geometry, and topology of ACyl Calabi-Yau manifolds. In particular, it provides the technical tools necessary for the rest of the paper. The results stated in Theorems A and B will be proved as we go along: see Corollary 2.16 for A and §2.3 for B.

2.1. Linear analysis and Hodge theory on ACyl manifolds. We review some analytic facts for elliptic operators on manifolds with cylindrical ends from Lockhart-McOwen [28], with applications to the scalar and Hodge Laplacians and the Dirac operator on ACyl manifolds.

Suppose that $M = U \cup ([0, \infty) \times X)$ topologically for a bounded domain $U \subset M$ and a compact (but not necessarily connected) manifold X . A differential operator $\mathcal{A} : \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(F)$ on sections of tensor

bundles on M is called *asymptotically translation-invariant* if there is a translation-invariant operator \mathcal{A}_∞ on sections of the corresponding bundles on $\mathbb{R}_t \times X$ such that the difference between the coefficients of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}_∞ goes to zero in C^∞ uniformly as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Now even if \mathcal{A} is elliptic, then since M is noncompact we cannot expect \mathcal{A} to induce a Fredholm operator on ordinary Hölder or Sobolev spaces. To fix this, it is helpful to introduce Hölder norms with exponential weights.

Definition 2.1. Extend t smoothly to the whole of M . For $u \in C_0^\infty(E)$ define

$$(2.2) \quad \|u\|_{C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(E)} \equiv \|e^{\delta t}u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(E)},$$

and let $C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(E)$ denote the associated Banach space completion of $C_0^\infty(E)$. Thus, $C_\delta^{k,\alpha}$ sections are exponentially decaying for $\delta > 0$, and at worst exponentially growing for $\delta < 0$. We will occasionally use the notation $C_\delta^\infty(E) \equiv \bigcap C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(E)$.

We now assume that \mathcal{A} is elliptic, *i.e.* that the principal symbol of \mathcal{A} is an isomorphism in every cotangent direction. Then δ is called a *critical weight* if there exists a non-zero solution of

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{A}_\infty(e^{i\lambda t}u) = 0,$$

where $\text{Im } \lambda = \delta$ and u is a section of $E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times X$ that is polynomial in t . The set of critical weights is a discrete subset of \mathbb{R} . We then have the following basic result [28, Thm 6.2]:

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{A} : \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(F)$ be an asymptotically translation-invariant elliptic operator of order r . If δ is not a critical weight then the induced linear map $\mathcal{A} : C_\delta^{k+r,\alpha}(E) \rightarrow C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(F)$ is Fredholm.*

We mention some ingredients of the proof—partly because the result is stated for Sobolev rather than Hölder spaces in [28], and partly because we will need Remark 2.6 repeatedly in Section 3. The first step is to invert \mathcal{A} along the cylindrical end.

Proposition 2.5. *If δ is not critical then there exists $\mathcal{R} : C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(F) \rightarrow C_\delta^{k+r,\alpha}(E)$ linear and bounded such that $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{R} = \text{id}$ on the complement of a bounded subset of M .*

Proof. Maz'ya-Plamenevskii [30, Theorem 5.1] use Fourier transformation to show that $\mathcal{A}_\infty : C_\delta^{k+r,\alpha}(E) \rightarrow C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(F)$ is an isomorphism. The condition on δ ensures that if $v \in \Gamma(F)$ is translation-invariant and $\text{Im } \lambda = \delta$, then $\mathcal{A}_\infty(e^{i\lambda t}u) = e^{i\lambda t}v$ has a unique translation-invariant solution $u \in \Gamma(E)$.

Let $t_0 \gg 1$ and let $\rho : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a cut-off function that is 0 for $t < t_0 - 1$ and 1 for $t > t_0$. Set $\mathcal{A}' \equiv (1 - \rho)\mathcal{A}_\infty + \rho\mathcal{A}$ on $X \times \mathbb{R}$. Then

\mathcal{A}' is close to \mathcal{A}_∞ in operator norm, so it has an inverse $\mathcal{R}' : C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(E) \rightarrow C_\delta^{k+r,\alpha}(E)$. If we define $\mathcal{R}(u) \equiv \mathcal{R}'(\rho u)$ on M , then $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{R}(u)) = u$ for $t > t_0$. q.e.d.

REMARK 2.6. What is proved here is that \mathcal{A} has a right inverse defined on $C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(F)$ over $[t_0, \infty) \times X$ provided that t_0 is large enough depending on k, α, δ and on the rate of convergence of \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{A}_∞ . Since right inverses are not unique, it is not immediately clear whether or not the one constructed here is independent of k, α , *i.e.* compatible with the obvious inclusions $C_\delta^{\ell,\beta} \subseteq C_\delta^{k,\alpha}$ for $\ell \geq k$ and $\beta \geq \alpha$. But this is clear from the proof, provided that the same cut-off function ρ is used.

Now let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(M)$ be a cut-off function which is equal to 1 for $t < t_0$. Proposition 2.4 can be deduced from Proposition 2.5 together with local Schauder theory and the fact that multiplication by ψ and the commutator $[\mathcal{A}, \psi]$ define compact maps $C_\delta^{k+r,\alpha}(E) \rightarrow C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(E)$; see [28, §2].

In [28, Thm 6.2], Lockhart-McOwen also provide a formula to compute the change in the index of \mathcal{A} as δ passes a critical weight, by counting the number of solutions of (2.3). In [28, Thm 7.4], this is used to compute the indices of formally self-adjoint operators for $|\delta| \ll 1$. One application is

Proposition 2.7. *If X is connected and $\delta > 0$ is smaller than the square root of the first eigenvalue of the scalar Laplacian on X , then the scalar Laplacian on M maps $C_\delta^{k+2,\alpha}(M)$ isomorphically onto the subspace $C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M)_0$ of functions of mean value zero.*

Proof. Integration by parts shows that the kernel of $\Delta : C_\delta^{k+2,\alpha}(M) \rightarrow C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M)$ is trivial, and that functions in the image have mean value zero. But the index of Δ on these spaces is -1 . q.e.d.

The proof of the index formula uses asymptotic expansions for the elements in the kernel of \mathcal{A} . If we assume that \mathcal{A} is asymptotic to \mathcal{A}_∞ at an exponential (rather than just uniform) rate, these can be described more simply. This often makes it possible to imitate Hodge theoretic arguments on compact manifolds that are based on integration by parts and Weitzenböck formulas.

For example, if M is ACyl in the sense of Definition 1.1, then every bounded harmonic form α on M has an asymptotic limit α_∞ , which is itself a harmonic form on M_∞ , such that $\alpha - \alpha_\infty \in C_\delta^{k,\alpha}$ on M_∞ for all k, α and some $\delta > 0$. The bounded harmonic forms with $\alpha_\infty = 0$ are precisely the L^2 -integrable ones. We denote the space of all bounded harmonic k -forms by $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bd}}^k(M)$.

Proposition 2.8. *Let M be an ACyl Riemannian manifold.*

- (i) *The natural map $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bd}}^k(M) \rightarrow H^k(M)$ to the de Rham cohomology of M is surjective.*
- (ii) *If M has a single end then $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bd}}^1(M) \rightarrow H^1(M)$ is an isomorphism.*
- (iii) *If M has nonnegative Ricci curvature then any bounded harmonic 1-form on M is parallel.*
- (iv) *If M has nonpositive Ricci curvature then any Killing vector field on M is parallel.*

Proof. For (i), see Melrose [31, Thm 6.18]. For (ii), see [39, Cor 5.13]. (iii) is proved by the Bochner method. For (iv), first note that every Killing field of M converges exponentially to a Killing field of M_∞ [39, Prop 6.22]. Thus, the Bochner method applies again. q.e.d.

Another application, which will be very significant for us, is to the Dirac operator of an ACyl spin manifold M . Let \mathcal{H}_∞^S be the space of translation-invariant solutions of the Dirac equation $\not{D}s = 0$ on M_∞ , and let $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bd}}^S$ and $\mathcal{H}_{L^2}^S$ denote the bounded and L^2 solutions on M . In analogy with harmonic forms, every element of $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bd}}^S$ is asymptotic at an exponential rate to an element of \mathcal{H}_∞^S .

Proposition 2.9. *Let M be an ACyl spin manifold.*

- (i) $\dim(\mathcal{H}_{\text{bd}}^S/\mathcal{H}_{L^2}^S) = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathcal{H}_\infty^S$.
- (ii) *If M has nonnegative scalar curvature, then every element of $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bd}}^S$ is parallel.*

Proof. (i) is essentially an instance of (3.25) in Atiyah-Patodi-Singer [1]. It can also be deduced from the previously mentioned index formula [28, Thm 7.4]; see [38, §2.3.5] for details. (ii) follows from the Lichnerowicz formula and integration by parts. q.e.d.

REMARK 2.10. Proposition 2.9(i) has a rather simple intuitive meaning. Let \mathcal{A} be an asymptotically translation-invariant elliptic differential operator. Given any subexponentially growing solution to $\mathcal{A}_\infty(u_\infty) = 0$ on $\mathbb{R} \times X$, we can try to find a solution to $\mathcal{A}(u) = 0$ on M with asymptotic limit u_∞ . Obstructions arise by taking the L^2 inner product of the equation $\mathcal{A}(u) = 0$ with subexponentially growing elements of $\ker(\mathcal{A}^*)$ and integrating by parts. Thus, if $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^*$, then we expect that exactly half of all possible solutions u_∞ can be extended in this way. For instance, if \mathcal{A} is the Laplacian on scalars and if X is connected, then clearly the constant functions on $\mathbb{R} \times X$ extend harmonically to M but t does not because otherwise $0 = \int_M \Delta u = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(T, x) dx = \text{Vol}(X)$.

The strength of Proposition 2.9 is well-illustrated by the following “positive mass theorem”, which is an immediate consequence by [46] (but will not be used in the rest of this paper).

Corollary 2.11. *Let M be an ACyl spin manifold of nonnegative scalar curvature. If the end M_∞ is Ricci-flat of special holonomy, then so is M .*

2.2. Structure of Ricci-flat ACyl manifolds. The goal here is to extend the structure theorem for compact Ricci-flat manifolds of Remark 1.2(ii) to the ACyl setting, proving Theorem A. As in the compact case, this will be a relatively easy consequence of a more general result (Theorem 2.14) for manifolds with $\text{Ric} \geq 0$. At the end of this section, we also collect some closely related remarks that will not be used in the rest of this paper, but are useful in [10, §2] and [11, §3]. All coverings in this section will be Riemannian, and all deck transformations are isometries.

The theory in the compact case rests on a subtle observation due to Cheeger-Gromoll in the proof of [5, Thm 3]. The following proposition states a slight extension of their idea that we require for our ACyl structure theorem. We give the proof for convenience.

Proposition 2.12. *A complete Riemannian manifold Z with $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ admits a cocompact isometric group action if and only if Z splits as the isometric product of \mathbb{R}^k and some compact manifold. In this case, every cocompact and discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset \text{Iso}(Z)$ contains a normal subgroup Ψ of finite index such that $[\Psi, \Psi]$ is finite and $\Psi/[\Psi, \Psi]$ is a free abelian group of rank k .*

Proof. By the splitting theorem, $Z = \mathbb{R}^k \times Z'$, where Z' contains no lines, and we must show that Z' is necessarily compact. Notice that $\text{Iso}(Z) = \text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^k) \times \text{Iso}(Z')$ because Z' is line-free. Since $\text{Iso}(Z)$ acts cocompactly on Z , there exists a compact set $F' \subset Z'$ whose translates under $\text{Iso}(Z')$ cover Z' . If Z' itself was noncompact, then there would exist a nontrivial ray $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow Z'$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $g_n \in \text{Iso}(Z')$ with $g_n(\gamma(n)) \in F'$. We can assume that $g_n(\gamma(n))$ has a limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ because F' is compact. But then the shifted rays $\gamma_n : [-n, \infty) \rightarrow Z'$ defined by $\gamma_n(t) = g_n(\gamma(t+n))$ subconverge to a line locally uniformly in t , which contradicts the definition of Z' .

Let Γ' be the kernel of the projection of Γ to $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^k)$. Then Γ' is a discrete subgroup of $\text{Iso}(Z')$, hence finite. On the other hand, the image Γ'' of the projection of Γ to $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ acts cocompactly on \mathbb{R}^k , and is discrete because $\text{Iso}(Z')$ is compact and Γ is discrete. Thus Γ'' is a Bieberbach group. In other words, we have an exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'' \rightarrow 1$ with Γ' finite, and a split exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow \Gamma'' \rightarrow \Gamma''' \rightarrow 1$ with Γ''' a finite subgroup of $O(k)$ acting on \mathbb{Z}^k in the standard fashion. The preimage Ψ of \mathbb{Z}^k under $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma''$ is then normal of finite index in Γ . Also, we have an exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \Psi' \rightarrow \Psi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow 1$, so that $[\Psi, \Psi] \subset \Psi' \subset \Gamma'$ must be finite. q.e.d.

REMARK 2.13. Given a finitely generated group Γ with a finite index normal subgroup Ψ such that $[\Psi, \Psi]$ is finite, the rank $k < \infty$ of the abelian group $\Psi/[\Psi, \Psi]$ only depends on Γ ; in fact, k is equal to the volume growth exponent of the Cayley graph of Γ .

By applying Proposition 2.12 to various normal covers of the cross-section of an ACyl manifold and bringing in some ACyl Hodge theory from Section 2.1, we will prove the following key

Theorem 2.14. *Let M be ACyl with $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ and a single end. Then either M is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -quotient of a cylinder, or its universal cover is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^k \times M'$, where M' is ACyl with a single end.*

REMARK 2.15. We will see in the proof that $k \geq b^1(M)$, but the inequality can be strict; this already happens in the compact case if M is any compact flat k -manifold other than \mathbb{T}^k . However, k equals b^1 of a certain finite normal cover of M whose fundamental group has finite derived group.

The structure theorem for Ricci-flat ACyl manifolds (Theorem A) follows from this.

Corollary 2.16. *Every Ricci-flat ACyl manifold has a finite normal cover that splits isometrically as the product of a flat torus and a simply-connected Ricci-flat ACyl manifold.*

Proof. If M is a cylinder or a \mathbb{Z}_2 -quotient of one, then the claim follows from Remark 1.2(ii) applied to the cross-section. If not, then Theorem 2.14 shows that the universal cover \tilde{M} of M splits as an isometric product $\mathbb{R}^k \times M'$, where M' is ACyl with a single end. Thus, $\text{Iso}(\tilde{M}) = \text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^k) \times \text{Iso}(M')$. As M' has a single end, the orbits of $\text{Iso}(M')$ are bounded, which implies that $\text{Iso}(M')$ is compact. Therefore the projection of $\pi_1(M)$ to $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ is discrete, hence a Bieberbach group, so its projection to $\text{SO}(k) = \text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^k)/\mathbb{R}^k$ is finite. Since M' is simply-connected Ricci-flat, Proposition 2.8(iv) tells us that $\text{Iso}(M')$ is discrete, hence finite. The kernel Γ of the projection $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow \text{SO}(k) \times \text{Iso}(M')$ is therefore a finite index normal subgroup of $\pi_1(M)$ whose image in $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ acts on \mathbb{R}^k as a full rank lattice of translations. Thus $(\mathbb{R}^k/\Gamma) \times M'$ is a cover of the required form. q.e.d.

Example 2.17. To appreciate the role that the Ricci-flat condition plays in this proof, it is helpful to consider the following (compact) example [6, p. 440]. Let M be the mapping torus of a rotation of \mathbb{S}^2 by an irrational angle. Then M is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, $\text{Ric } M \geq 0$, but no finite cover of M splits isometrically as $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2$. The proof of Corollary 2.16 fails at the point where one uses that the isometry group of M' is finite: the kernel of $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow \text{SO}(k) \times \text{Iso}(M')$ is trivial here.

We preface the proof of Theorem 2.14 with a simple lemma that will be applied twice.

Lemma 2.18. *Let Y be a connected manifold and $i : X \rightarrow Y$ the inclusion of a connected open set. Let G be a subgroup of $\pi_1(Y)$ and $p : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ the covering space with characteristic group G . Then the*

number of connected components of $p^{-1}(X)$ is equal to the index of $\langle G, i_*(\pi_1(X)) \rangle$ in $\pi_1(Y)$, and each such connected component is a covering of X with characteristic group $i_*^{-1}(G) \subset \pi_1(X)$.

The first application deserves separate mention since it will itself be applied repeatedly.

Lemma 2.19. *If M is ACyl with $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ and a single end, then either $\pi_1(M_\infty) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$ is onto and every finite cover of M has a single end, or else the image has index 2 and $M = M_\infty/\mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Proof. If $\pi_1(M_\infty) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$ is not surjective, consider the cover $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ with characteristic group equal to the image. By Lemma 2.18, \tilde{M} has at least two cylindrical ends on which the covering map is a diffeomorphism onto M_∞ . Thus, by the splitting theorem, $\tilde{M} = M_\infty$, and $M = M_\infty/\mathbb{Z}_2$. q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem 2.14. Write $M_\infty = \mathbb{R} \times X$ for the end of M . By Lemma 2.19, we can assume that $\pi_1(M_\infty) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$ is surjective. By Proposition 2.12 applied to the universal cover of X , $\pi_1(M_\infty)$ contains a finite index normal subgroup whose derived group is finite. Since $\pi_1(M_\infty)$ surjects onto $\pi_1(M)$, the image Ψ of this subgroup in $\pi_1(M)$ is still normal of finite index and has finite derived group. Replacing M by its finite normal cover with characteristic group Ψ , which is still ACyl with a single end, we can thus assume without loss that $\pi_1(M)$ itself has finite derived group.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ denote the rank of the abelianisation of $\pi_1(M)$. Then in particular $b^1(M) = k$, and so Proposition 2.8(ii)–(iii) tells us that k is also the number of parallel vector fields on M . Thus, by de Rham's theorem, the universal cover \tilde{M} splits as an isometric product $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{R}^k \times M'$, where M' is complete and simply-connected. A priori M' could split off further line factors, but our goal is to show that this does not happen and moreover that M' is ACyl with a single end.

The parallel vector fields on M form a k -dimensional abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{a} of Killing fields on M . Sending each element of \mathfrak{a} to its asymptotic limit under the inverse ACyl map Φ^{-1} of Definition 1.1, we obtain an isomorphism $\phi : \mathfrak{a}_\infty \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ with an abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{a}_∞ of parallel Killing fields on $M_\infty = \mathbb{R} \times X$. The elements of \mathfrak{a}_∞ have no ∂_t -components (or in other words, are tangent to X) since otherwise $\text{Iso}(M)$ would have unbounded orbits, which is not possible since M has only one end [25, Lemma 3.6]. Notice also that Φ is asymptotically ϕ -equivariant: we have

$$(2.20) \quad \text{dist}_M(\Phi(t, \exp(a)x), \exp(\phi(a))\Phi(t, x)) \leq C|a|e^{-\delta t}$$

for all $a \in \mathfrak{a}_\infty$, simply by how ϕ was defined.

Elements of \mathfrak{a} pull back to parallel Killing fields on \tilde{M} . By construction, the Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$ of all such pullbacks consists of the parallel vector fields tangent to the \mathbb{R}^k factor in $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{R}^k \times M'$. We can assume that the

domain U of Definition 1.1 is \mathfrak{a} -invariant. Put $E \equiv M \setminus U$ and let \tilde{E} be the preimage of E under the covering map $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$. By $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$ -invariance, we have $\tilde{E} = \mathbb{R}^k \times E'$ with $E' \subset M'$.

Lemma 2.18 tells us that \tilde{E} is a connected normal covering space of E with characteristic group $\ker(\pi_1(M_\infty) \rightarrow \pi_1(M))$ and deck group $\pi_1(M)$. There certainly exists a connected normal covering space $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that there exists a diffeomorphism $\tilde{\Phi} : [0, \infty) \times \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{E}$ covering Φ . Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_\infty$ be the pullback of \mathfrak{a}_∞ to \tilde{X} . Then $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_\infty$ is an abelian Lie algebra of parallel Killing fields on \tilde{X} , $\tilde{\phi}$ induces an isomorphism $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_\infty \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$, and (2.20) implies that

$$(2.21) \quad \text{dist}_{\tilde{M}}(\tilde{\Phi}(t, \exp(\tilde{\mathfrak{a}})\tilde{x}), \exp(\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}))\tilde{\Phi}(t, \tilde{x})) \leq C|\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}|e^{-\delta t}$$

for all $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_\infty$; to prove (2.21), fix $N \gg 1$ depending only on $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$ such that, for every $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{X}$, $\exp(\frac{\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}}{N})\tilde{y}$ is closer to \tilde{y} than any deck group translate of \tilde{y} , and then apply (2.20) N times.

We now wish to use these preparations to argue that $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{R}^k \times X'$ with X' compact, and that $\tilde{\Phi}$ induces an ACyl diffeomorphism $\tilde{\Phi}' : [0, \infty) \times X' \rightarrow E'$ in the sense of Definition 1.1. The key point of this argument is the following: $\pi_1(M)$ acts isometrically on \tilde{X} with compact quotient X . Thus, Proposition 2.12 tells us that $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{R}^\ell \times X'$ with X' compact for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and that $\pi_1(M)$ has a finite index normal subgroup with finite derived group whose abelianisation has rank ℓ . But recall that we arranged for $\pi_1(M)$ itself to have finite derived group; thus, $\ell = k$ by Remark 2.13.

Now the basic idea for splitting off $\tilde{\Phi}'$ from $\tilde{\Phi}$ is as follows. Since $\tilde{\Phi}$ is an almost isometry, it sends lines to almost lines. But the lines in \tilde{M} are $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$ -orbits and $\tilde{\Phi}$ is almost equivariant, so the lines in \tilde{X} are $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_\infty$ -orbits (approximately—hence precisely) even though a priori we only knew that $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_\infty$ consisted of parallel vector fields and X' might have parallel vector fields too. Using the approximate isometry and equivariance properties of $\tilde{\Phi}$ again, it quickly follows that $\tilde{\Phi}$ acts as an almost isometry on the \mathbb{R}^k factor and as an ACyl diffeomorphism on the $[0, \infty) \times X'$ factor.

In fact we will argue slightly differently. If $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_\infty$ had a nontrivial X' -component, then the curves $\gamma_t(s) \equiv (t, \exp(s\tilde{\mathfrak{a}})\tilde{x})$ would not be lines, *i.e.* there exist $s_0 > 0$ and $\theta < 1$ independent of t such that the distance between $\gamma_t(0)$ and $\gamma_t(s_0)$ is θs_0 . But $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$ is tangent to the \mathbb{R}^k factor in \tilde{E} , so (2.21) shows that $\tilde{\Phi} \circ \gamma_t : [0, s_0] \rightarrow \tilde{E}$ remains $O(s_0 e^{-\delta t})$ close to a line segment of length s_0 . This means that if σ is any other curve in \tilde{X} connecting $\gamma_t(0)$ and $\gamma_t(s_0)$, then $\tilde{\Phi} \circ \sigma$ has length at least $s_0 - O(s_0 e^{-\delta t})$. Now $\tilde{\Phi}^* g_{\tilde{M}} = dt^2 + g_{\tilde{X}} + O(e^{-\delta t})$, so the length of σ itself is at least $s_0 - O(s_0 e^{-\delta t})$. Taking σ to be distance minimising and t sufficiently large relative to θ and s_0 , we get a contradiction.

Now we know that the $\tilde{\alpha}_\infty$ -orbits are the lines in $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{R}^k \times X'$. Fixing linear coordinates y on \mathbb{R}^k and writing x for points in X' for simplicity, (2.21) then implies that

$$(2.22) \quad \tilde{\Phi}(t, y, x) = (\tilde{\Phi}(t, 0, x)_{\mathbb{R}^k} + \tilde{\phi}(y), \tilde{\Phi}(t, 0, x)_{M'}) + O(|y|e^{-\delta t}).$$

Here we have decomposed the target $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{R}^k \times M'$. Notice that (2.21) provides $O(|y|e^{-\delta t})$ control on the errors only in a distance sense; we will take it for granted that if $|y| \ll 1$ and $t \gg 1$ then this can be upgraded to C^∞ control in local charts (alternatively we could arrange for $\tilde{\Phi}$ to be *precisely* equivariant but this requires similar technical work to make precise). It then follows from (2.22) and the almost isometry property $\tilde{\Phi}^*[dy^2 + g_{M'}] = [dt^2 + dy^2 + g_{X'}] + O(e^{-\delta t})$ that

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi}(t, 0, x)_{\mathbb{R}^k} &= \text{const} + O(e^{-\delta t}), \\ (\tilde{\Phi}')^*[g_{M'}] &= [dt^2 + g_{X'}] + O(e^{-\delta t}), \end{aligned}$$

where we have defined $\tilde{\Phi}'(t, x) \equiv \tilde{\Phi}(t, 0, x)_{M'}$.

To conclude that M' is an ACyl manifold in the sense of Definition 1.1, it remains to prove that $M' \setminus E'$ is bounded. If not, then M' would be a cylinder by the splitting theorem, *i.e.* there exists a function $t' : M' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\nabla^2 t' = 0$ which is exponentially asymptotic to $t : E' \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ on E' . Notice that the trivial extension of t' to $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{R}^k \times M'$ is deck group invariant because \tilde{E} and t are. But then t' pushes down to an unbounded Lipschitz function on the bounded region $U \subset M$. (This whole argument crucially exploits that \tilde{E} is connected by our initial reductions.) q.e.d.

With the proof of the main theorem of this section out of the way, we now explain some related but more elementary observations that are needed in [10, §2] and [11, §3].

Proposition 2.24. *Let M be ACyl Calabi-Yau and let $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$.*

- (i) *If $\pi_1(M)$ is finite then M has a single end and $\pi_1(M_\infty) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$ is surjective.*
- (ii) *If $\pi_1(M)$ is finite and $n = 3$ then M has holonomy $\text{SU}(3)$.*
- (iii) *If $M_\infty = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times D$ with $\pi_1(D)$ finite then either $\pi_1(M)$ is finite or $M = M_\infty/\mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Proof. (i) This follows from Lemma 2.19 if we can show that every cover $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ has a single end. But otherwise \tilde{M} would be a Calabi-Yau cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times \tilde{X}$ by the splitting theorem, and $b^1(\tilde{X}) = 0$ since $\pi_1(\tilde{M})$ is finite, whereas $\int \tilde{J}dt$ is a nontrivial harmonic 1-form on \tilde{X} .

(ii) Let \tilde{M} be the universal cover of M . By (i), this is ACyl with a single end. If $\text{Hol}(\tilde{M})$ were a proper subgroup of $\text{SU}(3)$ then by the de Rham theorem \tilde{M} would be a product of simply-connected lower-dimensional submanifolds with even smaller holonomies, so one of these

factors would be \mathbb{C} , contradicting that \tilde{M} is ACyl. Now $\text{Hol}(\tilde{M}) = \text{SU}(3)$ implies $\text{Hol}(M) = \text{SU}(3)$ by [38, 4.1.10].

(iii) If $\pi_1(M)$ is infinite then Corollary 2.16 shows that M has a finite cover $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{T}^k \times M'$ with $k \geq 1$ and M' simply-connected ACyl Calabi-Yau. Let X' denote the cross-section of M' ; this may not be connected. Then $\mathbb{T}^k \times X'$ covers $\mathbb{S}^1 \times D$, so $\pi_1(D)$ finite implies $k = 1$. Since \tilde{M} is Kähler, the space of parallel 1-forms on \tilde{M} inherits a complex structure and therefore has even dimension. Hence M' has a parallel 1-form. Since $b^1(M') = 0$, M' must have more than one end by Proposition 2.8(ii); hence it splits as a cylinder, and so Lemma 2.19 tells us that $M = M_\infty/\mathbb{Z}_2$. q.e.d.

The simplest example of an ACyl Calabi-Yau manifold $M = M_\infty/\mathbb{Z}_2$ as in Proposition 2.24(iii) is $M = (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times D)/(-1, -1, \tau)$ with D a $K3$ surface and τ a free anti-symplectic involution of D ; see Remark 1.3. There is exactly one deformation family of such pairs (D, τ) (“Enriques surfaces”), so this is essentially the unique M of this kind with $n \leq 3$.

2.3. Holonomy considerations. The main content of this section is the proof of Theorem B, but first we need to recall some background material.

The first ingredient is the well-known relation between special holonomy and parallel spinors [46]. If Z is a Riemannian spin manifold, then we write $s(Z)$ for the number of parallel spinors on Z . A Kähler manifold Z with trivial canonical bundle is spin and its spinor bundle is naturally identified with the total bundle of $(0, p)$ -forms [2, 1.156], so that parallel spinors correspond to parallel $(0, p)$ -forms and we always have $s(Z) \geq 1$ from $p = 0$. Let $d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Z$. If $\text{Hol}(Z) \subseteq \text{SU}(d)$ then $s(Z) \geq 2$ from the conjugate holomorphic volume form except if Z is a point. If Z is even hyper-Kähler, *i.e.* $\text{Hol}(Z) \subseteq \text{Sp}(\frac{d}{2})$, then $s(Z) \geq \frac{d}{2} + 1$ from the powers of the conjugate holomorphic symplectic form. If $\text{Hol}(Z)$ is equal to $\text{SU}(d)$ or $\text{Sp}(\frac{d}{2})$, then $s(Z) = 2$ if $d > 0$ and $s(Z) = \frac{d}{2} + 1$, respectively [46]; this is a purely representation-theoretic fact. (The converse is false—in Remark 1.3(ii) we mentioned a Kähler 4-fold with holonomy $(\text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2)) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $s = 2$.) Finally, it is helpful to keep in mind that *all* holomorphic forms on a compact Kähler manifold with $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ are parallel by the Bochner method; this still holds for all *bounded* holomorphic forms in the ACyl case.

The second ingredient is the following structure theorem for compact Ricci-flat manifolds.

Proposition 2.25 (Calabi, Fischer-Wolf). *Let X be compact connected Ricci-flat and set $k = b^1(X)$. There exists a flat torus \mathbb{T}^k and a finite normal Riemannian covering $\mathbb{T}^k \times X' \rightarrow X$ such that:*

- (i) *The deck group can be written as $\{(h(\psi), \psi) : \psi \in \Psi\}$, where Ψ is a finite group of isometries of X' and h is an injective homomorphism of Ψ into the translation group of \mathbb{T}^k .*
- (ii) *X' is compact connected Ricci-flat and carries no Ψ -invariant parallel vector fields.*

This could be deduced from Remark 1.2(ii) (*i.e.* [12, Thm 4.5]) but is also proved directly in [12, Thm 4.1] without relying on the splitting theorem of [5]. The proposition generalises an earlier result for compact flat manifolds due to Calabi; according to [12], Calabi was independently aware of this extension to the compact Ricci-flat case, but had only published the result for X Kähler.

Proof of Theorem B. Since M is simply-connected irreducible, either $\text{Hol}(M) = \text{SU}(n)$, or n is even and $\text{Hol}(M) = \text{Sp}(\frac{n}{2})$. The proof proceeds by analysing these two cases separately but in parallel, based on the facts reviewed above and on the following consequence of Proposition 2.9:

$$(2.26) \quad s(M) = \frac{1}{2}s(M_\infty).$$

The main aim is to rule out the $\text{Sp}(\frac{n}{2})$ case and show that in the $\text{SU}(n)$ case, $b^1(X)$ (which is always at least 1 because of the parallel 1-form Jdt) has to be exactly 1. The latter then already implies a large part of the statement of Theorem B(ii) by applying Proposition 2.25 for $k = 1$.

The analysis in fact relies on the conclusion of Proposition 2.25, *i.e.* that we have a finite normal Riemannian covering $\mathbb{T}^k \times X' \rightarrow X$ whose deck group Ψ is a finite group of isometries of X' acting effectively on \mathbb{T}^k by translations, and that Ψ does not preserve any parallel vector fields on X' . We will use this to construct parallel spinors on M_∞ —almost always more than (2.26) allows.

Case 1: Holonomy $\text{SU}(n)$. Then M has exactly two parallel holomorphic forms, so (2.26) tells us that $s(M_\infty) = 4$. Now since M_∞ is Kähler with respect to J_∞ , the parallel vector fields on M_∞ are closed under J_∞ , and so both $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^k$ and X' are Ψ -invariantly Kähler. Thus, $k = 2\ell + 1$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^k$ is Ψ -invariantly Calabi-Yau. But this implies that X' is not just Ricci-flat and Ψ -invariantly Kähler, but Ψ -invariantly Calabi-Yau—by contracting the holomorphic n -form pulled back from M_∞ with the holomorphic $(\ell + 1)$ -form on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^k$. We see that $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^k$ has $2^{\ell+1}$ parallel holomorphic Ψ -invariant forms, and X' has at least 2 unless X' is a point, when there is only one. Thus, $s(M_\infty) \geq 2^{\ell+2}$ if X' is not a point, and $s(M_\infty) \geq 2^{\ell+1}$ if X' is a point. But $s(M_\infty) = 4$, and hence $\ell = 0$, $k = 1$, unless $\ell = 1$, $k = 3$, $n = 2$; we explicitly excluded the latter case.

If $k = 1$, then Ψ is a finite subgroup of $\text{U}(1)$, so $\Psi = \langle \iota \rangle$ for some finite order isometry ι of X' . Moreover, we already know that ι preserves

the complex structure and holomorphic volume form. Now X' can have more parallel $(p, 0)$ -forms with $p > 0$ (e.g. parallel vector fields), but if any of those were Ψ -invariant, this would immediately contradict the above counting inequalities.

Case 2: Holonomy $\mathrm{Sp}(\frac{n}{2})$. In this case, $s(M_\infty) = n + 2$. Since M_∞ is hyper-Kähler, the parallel vector fields on M_∞ are closed under I_∞, J_∞ , and K_∞ , so $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^k$ and X' are themselves Ψ -invariantly hyper-Kähler. In particular, $k = 4\ell + 3$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and there are now even more Ψ -invariant parallel holomorphic forms than before (but also more on M_∞ to begin with): $2^{2\ell+2}$ on the $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^k$ factor and at least $\frac{n}{2} - \ell$ on the X' factor (which equals 1 if X' is a point). As before we deduce that $n + 2 \geq 2^{2\ell+2}(\frac{n}{2} - \ell)$. We now argue that this leaves no possibility except for $\ell = 0, k = 3, n = 2$; but this is the excluded case. If the inequality fails for some ℓ and n then it also fails for the same ℓ and all larger n . But $n \geq 2\ell + 2$, and the inequality does fail for $n = 2\ell + 2$ unless $\ell = 0$. If $\ell = 0$ then $k = 3$, and the inequality clearly holds for $n = 2$ but fails for all larger n . q.e.d.

REMARK 2.27. A similar argument of counting parallel spinors was used in [38, Thm 4.1.19] to give a criterion for an ACyl 8-manifold to have holonomy $\mathrm{Spin}(7)$.

3. Complex analytic compactifications

3.1. Proof of Theorem C modulo technical results. Let M be simply-connected irreducible ACyl Calabi-Yau of complex dimension $n > 2$. By Theorem B(i), M has holonomy $\mathrm{SU}(n)$; hence there exists precisely one parallel complex structure J on M up to sign. Theorem B(ii) tells us that the cylindrical end M_∞ has a finite cover \tilde{M}_∞ bi-holomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^* \times D$ for some compact Ricci-flat Kähler manifold D . Thus, \tilde{M}_∞ can be compactified as $\mathbb{C} \times D$. One would then expect that M itself has a holomorphic compactification \bar{M} . This is true, but not obvious; it is also not obvious that \bar{M} is Kähler. However, once we know this, Theorem C follows reasonably quickly.

We begin by stating the technical compactification results. This requires some terminology. Let Δ denote the unit disc in \mathbb{C} and put $\Delta^* = \Delta \setminus \{0\}$. Let D be a compact complex manifold and g_D an arbitrary Hermitian metric on D . Let $M_\infty^+ = \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times D$ with product complex structure J_∞ and Hermitian metric $g_\infty = dt^2 + d\theta^2 + g_D$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ and $J_\infty(\partial_t) = \partial_\theta$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let J be an integrable complex structure on M_∞^+ such that $J - J_\infty = O(e^{-\delta t})$ with respect to g_∞ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, including all covariant derivatives, for some $\delta > 0$. Then there exists a diffeomorphism $\Psi : M_\infty^+ \rightarrow \Delta^* \times D$ such that $\Psi_* J$ extends as an integrable complex structure on $\Delta \times D$. Moreover, the submanifold $\{0\} \times D$ is complex and*

biholomorphic to D with respect to this extension, and its normal bundle is trivial as a holomorphic line bundle on D .

Theorem 3.2. *In the setting of Theorem 3.1, assume in addition that there exists a J -Kähler form ω on M_∞^+ such that $\omega - \omega_\infty = O(e^{-\delta t})$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Then $\Delta \times D$ admits a Ψ_*J -Kähler form which coincides with $\Psi_*\omega$ on $\{\frac{1}{2} < |w| < 1\} \times D$, where w denotes the usual complex coordinate on Δ .*

Let us first see how the full statement of Theorem C now follows.

Proof of Theorem C. We are given an m -sheeted covering \tilde{M}_∞ of M_∞ such that $\tilde{M}_\infty = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times D$ for some compact Ricci-flat Kähler manifold D . We can assume that the circle factor has length 2π . Pulling back J from M to M_∞^+ by the ACyl diffeomorphism and further pulling back by the covering map $\tilde{M}_\infty^+ \rightarrow M_\infty^+$, we obtain a complex structure \tilde{J} on \tilde{M}_∞^+ . Theorem 3.1 applies and produces a \tilde{J} -holomorphic compactification $\tilde{\Psi} : \tilde{M}_\infty^+ \hookrightarrow \Delta \times D$. The action of the deck group of the covering map $\tilde{M}_\infty \rightarrow M_\infty$ extends and preserves the divisor D at infinity, so that M itself can be compactified as an orbifold \bar{M} by adding a suborbifold $\bar{D} = D/\langle \iota \rangle$. Averaging the Kähler form on $\Delta \times D$ provided by Theorem 3.2 under the given holomorphic \mathbb{Z}_m -action, passing to the quotient, and joining it to the ACyl Kähler form on M , we obtain an orbifold Kähler form on \bar{M} .

Following [26, Prop 2.2], we can now easily see that \bar{M} must even be projective. As in the smooth case, it suffices to prove that \bar{M} does not admit any holomorphic $(2, 0)$ -forms. But any holomorphic $(p, 0)$ -form on \bar{M} restricts to an asymptotically translation-invariant holomorphic $(p, 0)$ -form on M , and since $\text{Hol}(M) = \text{SU}(n)$ by Theorem B(i), a standard Bochner argument then shows that there are no such forms if $0 < p < n$ (up to a complex multiple, the only nonzero bounded holomorphic form on M is the parallel holomorphic volume form, which has a first order pole along \bar{D}).

The fact that the plurigenera $h^0(\bar{M}, \ell K_{\bar{M}})$ vanish for all $\ell > 0$ is even easier. Indeed, $-K_{\bar{M}}$ is an effective line bundle, so that $-\ell K_{\bar{M}}$ has a nonzero holomorphic section for all $\ell > 0$. Thus, if $\ell K_{\bar{M}}$ had a nonzero holomorphic section as well, then pairing these two sections would yield a nonzero holomorphic function on \bar{M} , proving that $-\ell K_{\bar{M}}$ is trivial, which is clearly not the case. See Yau [48, p. 247] for a more abstract argument that works in much greater generality.

As for the fibration of \bar{M} by $|m\bar{D}|$, observe that we have a short exact sequence

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}(m\bar{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{m\bar{D}}(m\bar{D}) \rightarrow 0.$$

The cokernel sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{m\bar{D}}(m\bar{D})$ is the sheaf of sections of the restriction of the line bundle $m\bar{D}$ to the scheme $m\bar{D}$, *i.e.* an infinitesimal “thickening”

of \bar{D} . This yields a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}(m\bar{D})) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{m\bar{D}}(m\bar{D})) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}).$$

Notice that $H^{0,1}(\bar{M}) = 0$. Thus, if we knew that $\mathcal{O}_{m\bar{D}}(m\bar{D})$ had a section, then we would find that $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}(m\bar{D})) = 2$, so $|m\bar{D}|$ is a pencil. Now the line bundle $\ell\bar{D}$ is trivial on \bar{D} for all $\ell \in m\mathbb{Z}$, but this does not imply that it is trivial on $m\bar{D}$ except if $m = 1$ (on the other hand, if $m = 1$, it is then also clear that $|\bar{D}|$ has no base locus). However, we have a general “lifting” sequence

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{k\bar{D}}(\ell\bar{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(k+1)\bar{D}}((\ell+1)\bar{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{D}}((\ell+1)\bar{D}) \rightarrow 0$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Setting $k = \ell = m - 1$ and taking cohomology yields

$$(3.5) \quad H^0(\mathcal{O}_{m\bar{D}}(m\bar{D})) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{D}}(m\bar{D})) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_{(m-1)\bar{D}}((m-1)\bar{D})).$$

Thus, if the H^1 term vanishes (*e.g.* if $m = 1$), then our trivialising section extends from \bar{D} to $m\bar{D}$. We can get a handle on this H^1 by taking cohomology in the *upstairs counterpart* to (3.4):

$$H^1(\mathcal{O}_{kD}(\ell D)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_{(k+1)D}((\ell+1)D)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_D((\ell+1)D)).$$

Now suppose that $b^1(D) = 0$ (which in fact follows from $m = 1$ in our setting). Since ℓD is trivial on D for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, the third term vanishes, and so induction on $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ yields $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{kD}(\ell D)) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, setting $k = \ell = m - 1$ and taking \mathbb{Z}_m -invariants, we find that the obstruction space in (3.5) vanishes and the trivialising section of $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{D}}(m\bar{D})$ does extend. q.e.d.

REMARK 3.6. In Example 1.4, we have $m = 2$, so the formal obstruction space in (3.5) coincides with the \mathbb{Z}_2 -invariants in $H^1(\mathcal{O}_D(D))$. To compute these, it is helpful to identify this H^1 with the space of constant $(0, 1)$ -forms on D taking values in the normal bundle. The two standard generators are then $d\bar{x} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial w}$ and $d\bar{y} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial w}$, with $w = re^{-i\theta}$, as in Example 1.4. But these are obviously \mathbb{Z}_2 -invariant and so the formal obstruction space to fibering \bar{M} by $|2\bar{D}|$ is 2-dimensional.

It remains to prove Theorems 3.1–3.2. This will be done in the following two subsections.

3.2. Holomorphic compactification. We begin with a discussion of the main difficulties and an outline of the argument. For $(t, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1$ let $w = e^{-t-i\theta}$. Then the diffeomorphism

$$(3.7) \quad \Psi_\infty : M_\infty^+ \rightarrow \Delta^* \times D, \quad (t, \theta, x) \mapsto (w, x),$$

pushes J_∞ forward to the product complex structure J_0 on $\Delta^* \times D$, which is clearly compactifiable. However, $(\Psi_\infty)_* J$ may not even be *uniformly bounded* with respect to $g_0 = |dw|^2 + g_D$ as $w \rightarrow 0$. Specifically,

for any section s of $(T^*\Delta)^a \otimes (T^*D)^b \otimes (T\Delta)^c \otimes (TD)^d$ over $\Delta^* \times D$ we have that

$$(3.8) \quad |\Psi_\infty^* s|_{g_\infty} = O(e^{-\delta t}) \iff |s|_{g_0} = O(|w|^{\delta+c-a}).$$

Thus, in terms of the decomposition $T\Delta \oplus TD$, the off-diagonal $T^*\Delta \otimes TD$ components of $(\Psi_\infty)_* J$ can be expected to blow up like $|w|^{-1+\delta}$ as $|w| \rightarrow 0$; all the remaining components of $(\Psi_\infty)_* J$ are at least $C^{0,\delta}$ Hölder continuous along $\{0\} \times D$, but not—a priori—smooth.

The key point in resolving this problem is that the integrability of J is equivalent to a nonlinear first-order differential equation: the vanishing of the Nijenhuis torsion. This equation is not elliptic, but the lack of ellipticity can be traced back to diffeomorphism invariance. In other words, there is hope that a suitable improvement of Ψ_∞ will map J to a smooth complex structure on $\Delta \times D$.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 now follows in three steps. Step 1 shows how to construct a gauge in which J coincides with J_∞ in directions tangent to $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{x\}$ ($x \in D$). This already fixes the discontinuity of $(\Psi_\infty)_* J$ at infinity. Based on this, Step 2 then uses an elliptic regularity argument along these cylinders to show that the pushforward of J is actually smooth at infinity; this involves the $C^{1,\alpha}$ Newlander-Nirenberg theorem of [36]. Step 3 deals with the normal bundle.

Step 1: Gauge fixing. The pushforward $(\Psi_\infty)_* J$ fails to be continuous at $\{0\} \times D$ if and only if the J_∞ -holomorphic cylinders $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{x\}$ are not J -holomorphic. This suggests replacing Ψ_∞ by $\Psi_\infty \circ F^{-1}$, where $F \in \text{Diff}(M_\infty^+)$ maps each $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{x\}$ onto a J -holomorphic curve exponentially asymptotic to it. For this, it suffices to find (J_∞, J) -holomorphic maps $F_x : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{x\} \rightarrow M_\infty^+$ that are exponentially asymptotic to the identity and depend smoothly on $x \in D$.

To solve this problem, it is helpful to invoke some of the usual formalism for the construction of holomorphic curves. Given $x \in D$ and the tautological map $f_{0,x} : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{x\} \subset M_\infty^+$, let \mathcal{E}_x denote the space of all smooth embeddings $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow M_\infty^+$ exponentially asymptotic to $f_{0,x}$, and let $\mathcal{V}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_x$ denote the natural vector bundle whose fibre at $f \in \mathcal{E}_x$ is the vector space of all exponentially decaying vector fields along f . With a very slight abuse of notation, we then have a section $\bar{\partial} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_x, \mathcal{V}_x)$ whose value at f is given by $\bar{\partial} f \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + J \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}$. Restricting to the region $t \gg 1$, we can assume that $\|\bar{\partial} f_{0,x}\| \ll 1$ uniformly in x , and our goal is to construct a genuine zero $f_x \in \mathcal{E}_x$ of the section $\bar{\partial}$ which, as an embedding of $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1$ into M_∞^+ , depends smoothly on x .

We begin by choosing a chart for \mathcal{E}_x near $f_{0,x}$ (modelled on a definite neighbourhood of the origin in $T_{f_{0,x}} \mathcal{E}_x$), as well as a trivialisation for \mathcal{V}_x over it. There are no canonical choices for either, but a natural and useful way is to apply the exponential map and parallel transport with respect to g_∞ . This now allows us to view $\bar{\partial} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_x, \mathcal{V}_x)$ as a nonlinear first-order

differential operator $\bar{\partial}_x$ acting on some definite open neighbourhood of the origin in $C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1, f_{0,x}^* TM_\infty^+)$. We have $\|\bar{\partial}_x(0)\| \ll 1$, and the linearisation \mathcal{L}_x of $\bar{\partial}_x$ at 0 satisfies $\mathcal{L}_x = \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{U}_x$, where

$$\mathcal{L}V \equiv \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + J_\infty \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta} \right), \quad \|\mathcal{U}_x\|_{\text{op}} \ll 1.$$

Also, \mathcal{U}_x varies smoothly with x if we use parallel transport with respect to the Chern connection of (M_∞^+, g_∞) to identify $C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1, f_{0,x}^* TM_\infty^+)$ with $C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1, f_{0,y}^* TM_\infty^+)$ for different nearby points $x, y \in D$. Notice that these identifications do not affect the operator $\mathcal{L} \equiv \bar{\partial}_{J_\infty}$.

Since the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation in one complex variable with values in a complex vector space is elliptic, we can apply Remark 2.6 to construct some bounded right inverse \mathcal{R}_x to \mathcal{L} at any given point $x \in D$. Since \mathcal{R}_x is not unique, some care is needed to ensure that \mathcal{R}_x depends smoothly on x . For this we choose a finite cover of D by open sets U_1, \dots, U_N with basepoints $x_i \in U_i$ such that $f_{0,x_i}(t, \theta)$ can be joined to $f_{0,x}(t, \theta)$ by a unique Chern geodesic with respect to g_∞ for all $x \in U_i$ and for all $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^1$ and $t \gg 1$. Moreover, let χ_1, \dots, χ_N be a partition of unity subordinate to this cover. Choosing \mathcal{R}_{x_i} as above for all $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, we can then put $\mathcal{R}_x \equiv \sum \chi_i(x) (\mathcal{P}_{x_i x} \circ \mathcal{R}_{x_i} \circ \mathcal{P}_{x x_i})$ for all $x \in D$, with $\mathcal{P}_{xy} : C_\delta^{k-1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1, f_{0,x}^* TM_\infty^+) \rightarrow C_\delta^{k-1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1, f_{0,y}^* TM_\infty^+)$ denoting Chern parallel transport.

The desired holomorphic maps f_x are then obtained by an elementary fixed point argument—iterating the contraction mappings $\mathcal{R}_x \circ (\mathcal{L} - \bar{\partial}_x)$ on some neighbourhood of the origin.

Step 2: Elliptic regularity. If we define $\Psi \equiv \Psi_\infty \circ F^{-1}$ with $F \in \text{Diff}(M_\infty^+)$ as in Step 1, then we know that $\Psi_* J$ is equal to the standard complex structure J_0 on the horizontal subbundle $T\Delta$ of $T(\Delta \times D)$. In particular, by (3.8), $\Psi_* J$ extends $C^{0,\delta}$ across $\{0\} \times D$. We will now first explain how the vanishing of the Nijenhuis torsion of J implies that $\Psi_* J$ automatically extends $C^{1,\alpha}$.

Since $\tilde{J} \equiv F^* J$ satisfies $\tilde{J}\partial_t = \partial_\theta$, the vanishing of the torsion of J (or \tilde{J}) implies that

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{J}}{\partial t} + \tilde{J} \circ \frac{\partial \tilde{J}}{\partial \theta} = 0.$$

Thus, the endomorphism field $K \equiv \tilde{J} - J_\infty$, which is exponentially decaying, satisfies the following quadratic perturbation of the \mathcal{L} - or $\bar{\partial}_{J_\infty}$ -equation:

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{L}K + K \circ \frac{\partial K}{\partial \theta} = 0.$$

Using the right inverses \mathcal{R}_x to \mathcal{L} constructed above, we can therefore write

$$(3.11) \quad K = \tilde{K} - \mathcal{R}_x \left(K \circ \frac{\partial K}{\partial \theta} \right), \quad \tilde{K} \in \ker(\mathcal{L}).$$

Observe that $\ker(\mathcal{L})$ consists of Laurent series in w whose coefficients are constant sections of the vector bundle $\text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{0,x}^*TM_{\infty}^+)$ over $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1$. It is clear from (3.11) that \tilde{K} depends smoothly on x . Thus, by the Cauchy integral formula, each of its Laurent coefficients depends smoothly on x .

Since K already decays exponentially and \mathcal{R} preserves the decay rate, (3.11) yields that

$$(3.12) \quad K = \tilde{J} - J_{\infty} = w\tilde{K}_1 + O(|w|^{1+\alpha})$$

for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, by iteration. Here $\tilde{K}_1 = \tilde{K}_1(x)$ denotes a constant section of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{0,x}^*TM_{\infty}^+)$ that depends smoothly on x , and the product with w is again understood in the sense that $iA \equiv J_{\infty} \circ A$ for any endomorphism A . Moreover, denoting $L \equiv K - w\tilde{K}_1$, we have that $\partial_w^a \partial_x^b L = O(|w|^{1+\alpha-a})$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We now claim that (3.12) implies that Ψ_*J extends $C^{1,\alpha}$ to $\Delta \times D$ as desired. Indeed, since $(\Psi_{\infty})_*K$ vanishes on the horizontal subbundle $T\Delta$, the same is true for the slice-wise constant section $(\Psi_{\infty})_*\tilde{K}_1$, which therefore extends C^{∞} to $\Delta \times D$. Thus, it remains to consider $(\Psi_{\infty})_*L$; but, using (3.8) and the above derivative properties of L , it is clear that $|\nabla_{g_0}(\Psi_{\infty})_*L|_{g_0} = O(|w|^{\alpha})$.

The version of the Newlander-Nirenberg theorem of [36, Thm II] now tells us that there exists a complex analytic atlas on $\Delta \times D$ whose coordinate functions are Ψ_*J -holomorphic and $C^{1,\frac{\alpha}{n}}$ with respect to g_0 . (Thus, in our main application—Theorem C—we would now already know that M is holomorphically compactifiable by adding a divisor.) However, we are claiming more: Ψ_*J in fact extends smoothly *as a tensor field*, not just modulo $C^{1,\frac{\alpha}{n}}$ local diffeomorphisms.

To prove this, note that [36, Thm II] in particular tells us that there exist sufficiently many local Ψ_*J -holomorphic functions so that Ψ_*J can be recovered from their differentials as a tensor field. It therefore suffices to check that Ψ_*J -holomorphic functions are smooth. Let z be Ψ_*J -holomorphic on a neighbourhood of a point in $\{0\} \times D$. Since Ψ_*J coincides with J_0 on $T\Delta$, we immediately find that z is J_0 -holomorphic on each horizontal slice. In other words, we have

$$(3.13) \quad z = z_0 + wz_1 + w^2z_2 + \cdots,$$

and the Cauchy integral formula expresses the coefficients $z_i = z_i(x)$ in terms of $z(w, x)$ with $w \neq 0$. But we already know that z is smooth for $w \neq 0$ because Ψ_*J is.

REMARK 3.14. It is conceivable that a similar (but more difficult) argument could work for the tensor K itself, by refining the partial expansion (3.12) to a complete one based on (3.10).

Step 3: Normal bundle to the compactifying divisor. We identify J and Ψ_*J for convenience. It is clear that $\{0\} \times D$ is a J -complex submanifold of $\Delta \times D$, biholomorphic to D . It remains only to prove that the normal bundle N_D is holomorphically trivial with respect to J .

Since every slice $\Delta \times \{x\}$ is a J -complex submanifold by construction, the complex tangent vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial w}$ is of type $(1, 0)$ with respect to J . We show that the section of N_D that it induces is J -holomorphic; recall here that elements of N_D are by definition cosets modulo the complex tangent space of D .

For every $x \in D$ there is a J -holomorphic function z on a neighbourhood U of $(0, x)$ in $\Delta \times D$ which vanishes to order 1 along D . Let $U' \equiv U \cap (\{0\} \times D)$. Then dz is a trivialising holomorphic section of N_D^* over U' , so $\frac{\partial}{\partial w}$ will map to a holomorphic section of N_D if and only if $dz(\frac{\partial}{\partial w}) = \frac{\partial z}{\partial w}$ is a holomorphic function on U' . Now if we expand z as a power series in w as in (3.13),

$$(3.15) \quad z = wz_1 + w^2z_2 + \cdots,$$

then $\frac{\partial z}{\partial w} = z_1$ on U' . On the other hand, applying the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator of J to (3.15) yields

$$(3.16) \quad 0 = \bar{\partial}_J z = w\bar{\partial}_J z_1 + (z_1 + 2wz_2)\bar{\partial}_J w + O(|w|^2).$$

In order to conclude from this that $\bar{\partial}_J z_1 = 0$ along U' , we need to know that $\bar{\partial}_J w = o(|w|)$ in terms of g_0 . But w is J_0 -holomorphic, so $\bar{\partial}_J w = \frac{i}{2}dw \circ (J - J_0)$. Now the only components of $J - J_0$ not annihilated by dw are the $T^*D \otimes T\Delta$ ones, whose g_0 -length is $|w|$ times their g_∞ -length, and the g_∞ -length of $J - J_0$ certainly goes to zero; in fact, by (3.12), it is even $O(|w|)$. q.e.d.

3.3. Kähler compactification. We have found two different proofs of Theorem 3.2, both of which will be explained in this section. We will assume the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 but usually ignore the diffeomorphism Ψ . Both proofs begin by writing the ACyl Kähler form on M_∞^+ as

$$(3.17) \quad \omega = i\partial\bar{\partial}t^2 + \omega_D + O(e^{-\delta t}).$$

Here $i\partial\bar{\partial}$ is with respect to J , and ω_D is pulled back from the D factor in $M_\infty^+ = \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times D$; in particular, ω_D is closed, but not necessarily $(1, 1)$ with respect to J . The most intuitive approach to “compactifying” ω may be to replace t^2 by the Kähler potential of a half-cylinder with a spherical cap attached, but there are two (related) problems with this: (1) The $O(e^{-\delta t})$ terms have no reason to extend smoothly to the complex compactification. (2) The capped-off potential will be $O(e^{-2t})$, so the $O(e^{-\delta t})$ errors may dominate and the modified form may not be positive.

Our first proof uses ideas from Section 3.2 to fix (1) and, by consequence, (2). Specifically, recall that the cylinders $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{x\}$ are J -holomorphic by the construction of Ψ . Solving $\bar{\partial}$ -equations along these cylinders, we will be able to construct $u = O(e^{-\delta t})$ supported far out in M_∞^+ such that the exponential errors of the *corrected* Kähler form

$\omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}u$ do extend smoothly. It then follows immediately from this that we can cap off the $i\partial\bar{\partial}t^2$ part without losing positivity.

The second proof will emphasise positivity over smoothness. We back up one step and cap off the infinite end of the cylinder metric on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1$ by a *cone* of angle $2\pi\varepsilon$ ($\varepsilon \ll \delta$) rather than a disk or hemisphere. This amounts to replacing t^2 in (3.17) by $e^{-2\varepsilon t}$ rather than e^{-2t} at infinity. Then (2) is not a problem to begin with, but (1) now looks worse. However, geometrically, we have created an *edge singular* Kähler metric on the compactified space, and we will see that this “edge metric” has continuous local Kähler potentials. It can therefore be regularised using the method of [45].

First proof of Theorem 3.2. By translating t , we can assume without loss that (3.17) holds on all of $M_\infty^+ = \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times D$ and that the exponential errors are bounded by $\varepsilon e^{-\delta t}$, where ε is as small as we like. The moral point of the proof is to correct ω by $i\partial\bar{\partial}u$, with u exponentially decaying and small (obtained by solving $\bar{\partial}$ -equations on each horizontal slice), in order to arrange that the exponential errors of $\omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}u$ have a power series expansion in w , or are at least smooth at infinity.

Let ψ denote the $O(e^{-\delta t})$ error terms in (3.17). We begin by noting that $\psi = d(\eta + \bar{\eta})$ for some $(0, 1)$ -form $\eta = O(e^{-\delta t})$. Indeed, we can write $\psi = dt \wedge \psi_1 + \psi_2$, where $\psi_i = O(e^{-\delta t})$ is a 1-parameter family of i -forms on X ; the closedness of ψ implies that $\xi(t, x) \equiv -\int_t^\infty \psi_1(s, x) ds$ is a primitive for ψ and we let η be the $(0, 1)$ -part of ξ . Next, we solve $\bar{\partial}f_x = \eta|_{C_x}$ along $C_x = \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{x\} \subset M_\infty^+$ for each $x \in D$ in such a way that the f_x depend smoothly on x with $|f_x| \leq C\varepsilon e^{-\delta t}$. In particular, we obtain a smooth complex-valued function f on M_∞^+ , and we now put $u \equiv -2\text{Im}f$.

It is immediate that

$$(3.18) \quad \omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}u = i\partial\bar{\partial}t^2 + \omega_D + d(\kappa + \bar{\kappa}) > 0, \quad \kappa \equiv \eta - \bar{\partial}f = O(e^{-\delta t}),$$

and the restriction of κ to each of the usual J -holomorphic cylinders C_x vanishes by construction. Thus, for all (t, θ, x) , we can view $\kappa|_{(t, \theta, x)}$ as an element of $V_x \equiv T_x^*D \otimes \mathbb{C}$, which we in turn view as a real vector space (with an obvious complex structure, but this will not be relevant). Now V_x has a natural family of complex structures $\mathcal{J}_x(t, \theta)$ defined by the pullback action of $-J$, which leaves $T^*D \subset T^*M_\infty^+$ invariant because the action of J on vectors preserves $T\Delta \subset TM_\infty^+$. Given any fixed x , we then view κ as a function on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1$ taking values in V_x , and we claim that

$$(3.19) \quad \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial t} + \mathcal{J}_x \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial \theta} = 0.$$

To see this, first note that $\partial_t \kappa + \mathcal{J}_x \partial_\theta \kappa = (\partial_t + i\partial_\theta)_\perp \bar{\partial} \kappa$, where $\bar{\partial} \kappa$ means the $\bar{\partial}$ -derivative of κ as a $(0, 1)$ -form on M_∞^+ ; this is proved using that

$\bar{\partial}\kappa = \frac{1}{2}(d\kappa - J^*d\kappa)$, that κ is vertical, and that $T\Delta$ is J -invariant. On the other hand, $\bar{\partial}\kappa$ is equal to the $(0, 2)$ -part of $-\omega_D$ by (3.18), and

$$\omega_D^{0,2}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{4}(\omega_D(X, Y) - \omega_D(JX, JY) + i(\omega_D(JX, Y) + \omega_D(X, JY))),$$

so if X is horizontal then this vanishes for every Y since JX is horizontal as well.

We now exploit the $\bar{\partial}$ -type equation (3.19), together with the smoothness at infinity of \mathcal{J}_x from Section 3.2, to deduce that κ is itself smooth at infinity. For this we pass to the disk picture, writing $w = u + iv \in \Delta$ with $u = e^{-t} \cos \theta$ and $v = -e^{-t} \sin \theta$. Then (3.19) yields $\partial_u \kappa + \mathcal{J}_x \partial_v \kappa = 0$ on Δ^* , where the function $\kappa : \Delta \rightarrow V_x$ is $C^{0,\delta}$ Hölder continuous, smooth away from the origin, and zero at the origin itself, and the function $\mathcal{J}_x : \Delta \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(V_x)$ is smooth with $\mathcal{J}_x^2 = -\text{id}_{V_x}$. Smoothness of κ at $w = 0$ now follows from elementary elliptic regularity; for example, by applying $\partial_u - \mathcal{J}_x \partial_v$ we can deduce that $\Delta \kappa + \mathcal{K}_x(\partial_v \kappa) = 0$, where $\mathcal{K}_x \equiv \partial_u \mathcal{J}_x - \mathcal{J}_x \partial_v \mathcal{J}_x$ is smooth, and using $\kappa = O(|w|^\delta)$ and $d\kappa = O(|w|^{\delta-1})$ one checks that $\kappa \in W^{1,2}$ solves this equation in the weak sense at $w = 0$. Smooth dependence of $\kappa = \kappa_x(u, v)$ on the parameter x is then standard.

To conclude the proof, we will now verify that the closed $(1, 1)$ -form

$$(3.20) \quad \omega_D + d(\kappa + \bar{\kappa}) + i\partial\bar{\partial}((1 - \chi)t^2 + \chi\phi)$$

on M_∞^+ is positive and extends to a smooth Kähler form on $\Delta \times D$, where $\chi(t)$ is a cut-off function with $\chi \equiv 0$ on $\{t < 1\}$ and $\chi \equiv 1$ on $\{t > 2\}$, and $\phi(t)$ is a convex function with

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} t^2 + C_1 t + C_2 & \text{for } t \in (0, 3), \\ C_3 e^{-2t} & \text{for } t \in (5, \infty), \end{cases}$$

the absolute constants C_1, C_2, C_3 being chosen so that the two branches of the definition match up at $t = 4$ including first and second derivatives. This is understood in the sense that we have already shifted t so that $|J - J_\infty| + |\kappa| \leq \varepsilon e^{-\delta t}$ on the whole of M_∞^+ , with ε as small as necessary.

Since we already know that J, κ extend smoothly, and since $e^{-2t} = |w|^2$ is smooth on $\Delta \times D$, it is clear that the form in (3.20) extends smoothly. Positivity for $t \in (0, 3)$ is also clear, given that we can assume that $|i\partial\bar{\partial}t| \leq \varepsilon$. For $t \in (3, \infty)$, we would be stuck if all we knew was that $\kappa = O(e^{-\delta t})$ for some $\delta > 0$ (even $\delta = 1$) because such terms can easily swamp $i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi$. But $d(\kappa + \bar{\kappa}) + \omega_D^{2,0} + \omega_D^{0,2}$ extends smoothly and vanishes along D , while $i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi + \omega_D^{1,1}$ is smooth and positive near D . q.e.d.

REMARK 3.21. Unlike κ of (3.18), the $(0, 1)$ -form η describing the exponential errors in (3.17) has no reason to be smooth at infinity even though $(\partial_t + i\partial_\theta)_\perp \bar{\partial}\eta = 0$. Of course we expect that κ really is more regular than η , but there is a subtle point here: formally, (3.19), which

gives regularity for κ , is derived from $(\partial_t + i\partial_{\theta}) \lrcorner \bar{\partial}\kappa = 0$, which also holds for η , using *only* that κ is vertical.

REMARK 3.22. We also mention an alternative approach to regularity for κ . In the disk picture, pick a \mathbb{C} -basis $\{\kappa_i\}$ for $(V_x, \mathcal{J}_x(0))$, so that $\{\kappa_i\}$ still is a \mathbb{C} -basis for $(V_x, \mathcal{J}_x(w))$ if $|w|$ is small. Each κ_i trivially solves (3.19), and using (3.9) one can compute that $\mathcal{J}_x\kappa_i$ solves (3.19) too. We now expand $\kappa = \sum f_i\kappa_i$ with $f_i : \Delta^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, again in the sense that $i \in \mathbb{C}$ acts on V_x by \mathcal{J}_x . Then κ solves (3.19) if and only if all the f_i are holomorphic, so we can apply the removable singularities theorem.

We can interpret this argument as follows. By (3.9), the $(0, 1)$ -part of the trivial connection ∇ on the complex vector bundle (V_x, \mathcal{J}_x) is a $(0, 1)$ -connection, *i.e.* $\nabla^{0,1}(f\kappa) = \bar{\partial}f \otimes \kappa + f\nabla^{0,1}\kappa$. We could have worked in any local frame $\{\kappa_i\}$ with $\nabla^{0,1}\kappa_i = 0$. Such frames exist for every $(0, 1)$ -connection over the disk (*i.e.* the $(0, 1)$ -connection is integrable, defining a holomorphic structure).

Second proof of Theorem 3.2. We again assume that all $O(e^{-\delta t})$ error terms are uniformly as small as necessary on the whole cylinder M_{∞}^+ , and we write our ACyl Kähler form as $\omega = i\partial\bar{\partial}t^2 + \omega_D + \psi$ with $\psi = O(e^{-\delta t})$. We then construct the following closed $(1, 1)$ modification $\tilde{\omega}$ of ω :

$$(3.23) \quad \tilde{\omega} = i\partial\bar{\partial}((1 - \chi)t^2 + \chi\phi) + \omega_D + \psi,$$

where $\chi(t)$ is a cut-off with $\chi \equiv 0$ on $\{t < 1\}$ and $\chi \equiv 1$ on $\{t > 2\}$, and $\phi(t)$ is convex with

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} t^2 + C_1t + C_2 & \text{for } t \in (0, 3), \\ C_3e^{-2\epsilon t} & \text{for } t \in (5, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Here $\epsilon > 0$ is fixed but *strictly smaller* than $\frac{\delta}{2}$, and C_1, C_2, C_3 are determined by ϵ so that the two branches match up at $t = 4$ including first and second derivatives. This construction is similar to (3.20), except that now the reason why (3.23) defines a *positive* form on M_{∞}^+ is that the good term $i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi + \omega_D^{1,1} > 0$ swallows the error $\psi + \omega_D^{2,0} + \omega_D^{0,2}$ by Cauchy-Schwarz because ϵ is small.

Now $\tilde{\omega}$ does not extend smoothly, but the Riemannian metric associated with $\tilde{\omega}$ only has a fairly mild (conical with cone angle $2\pi\epsilon$) singularity along the compactifying divisor $\{0\} \times D$. We pursue this idea by proving that $\tilde{\omega}$ has local potentials that remain continuous at the divisor.

For this, we first cover a neighbourhood of $\{0\} \times D$ by holomorphic charts isomorphic to $\Delta \times \mathbb{B}$, where \mathbb{B} denotes the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} , such that $(\{0\} \times D) \cap (\Delta \times \mathbb{B}) = \{0\} \times \mathbb{B}$. It is then clear that Proposition 3.24 applies to $\eta \equiv \tilde{\omega} - p^*\omega_D$, where p denotes projection onto the \mathbb{B} factor. This produces a smooth potential ϕ for $\tilde{\omega}$ on $\Delta^* \times \mathbb{B}$ such that ϕ

extends as a $C^{0,2\varepsilon}$ Hölder function to the full domain $\Delta \times \mathbb{B}$ and satisfies $d\phi = O(|z_1|^{2\varepsilon-1})$.

We now apply the (elementary but clever) Varouchas method [45] for smoothing singular Kähler forms with continuous local potentials; the presentation in Perutz [40] is particularly convenient. In order to do so, we first need to check that ϕ is strictly plurisubharmonic in the sense of currents on the whole of $\Delta \times \mathbb{B}$. By definition, we must prove that $\phi' \equiv \phi - \lambda|z|^2$ is *weakly* plurisubharmonic in the sense of currents for some $\lambda > 0$. Now if λ is small enough, then surely $\tilde{\omega}' \equiv \tilde{\omega} - i\partial\bar{\partial}(\lambda|z|^2) \geq 0$ on $\Delta^* \times \mathbb{B}$. We then pick any test form $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(\wedge^{n-1, n-1}(\Delta \times \mathbb{B}))$ with $\zeta \geq 0$ and compute

$$\int_{|z_1|>\delta} \phi' dd^c \zeta = \int_{|z_1|>\delta} \tilde{\omega}' \wedge \zeta + \int_{|z_1|=\delta} (\phi' d^c \zeta - d^c \phi' \wedge \zeta);$$

the first term is nonnegative, and the second term goes to zero as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ because $d\phi' = O(|z_1|^{2\varepsilon-1})$. We are now in a position to apply [40, Lemma 7.5] to the Kähler cocycle $(U_i, \phi_i)_{i \in I}$ thus obtained, where $X = \Delta \times D$, $X_1 = \Delta^* \times D$, and X_2 is the union of all our $\Delta \times \mathbb{B}$ coordinate charts. q.e.d.

It remains to prove the $i\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma (with estimates) that was crucially used in the above. The result is perhaps most conveniently stated by identifying $\Delta^* \times \mathbb{B}$ with the cylinder $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{B}$ and using weighted Hölder spaces $C_\varepsilon^{k,\alpha}$ on this cylinder. We will write z_1, \dots, z_n for the standard holomorphic coordinates on $\Delta \times \mathbb{B}$, and we will use indices with respect to those.

Proposition 3.24. *Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. Let $\eta \in C_\varepsilon^\infty$ be a closed real $(1, 1)$ -form on $\Delta^* \times \mathbb{B}$. Then $\eta = i\partial\bar{\partial}\xi$ for some real-valued function $\xi \in C_\varepsilon^\infty$. In particular, $\xi = O(|z_1|^\varepsilon)$ extends as a $C^{0,\varepsilon}$ Hölder function to the full domain $\Delta \times \mathbb{B}$ and $d\xi = O(|z_1|^{\varepsilon-1})$.*

Proof. The proof consists of a reduction to known analytic results on the two factors. We make no pretense of optimality in the analysis. Let us begin by stating the results that we need.

- (i) The operators $\partial, \partial\bar{\partial}$ acting on weighted Hölder spaces $C_\varepsilon^{k,\alpha}$ on $\Delta^* = \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1$ admit bounded right inverses $\mathcal{R}_\partial^h, \mathcal{R}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^h$ (here the h means “horizontal”) that are compatible with the obvious inclusions of Hölder spaces. See Remark 2.6 for this.
- (ii) The operators $\bar{\partial}, \partial\bar{\partial}$ acting on smooth functions on \mathbb{B} have right inverses $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\partial}}^v, \mathcal{R}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^v$ defined on the spaces of smooth $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(0, 1)$ -forms and smooth d -closed $(1, 1)$ -forms, respectively, that extend to bounded operators $C^k \rightarrow C^k$. For $\bar{\partial}$ this is proved in [41]. For $\partial\bar{\partial}$ let \mathcal{P} denote the usual Poincaré operator on a star-shaped domain [21, §11.5], so that $d\mathcal{P}\eta = \eta$ for all closed forms η . Then $\mathcal{R}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^v \eta \equiv 2i\text{Im} \mathcal{R}_{\bar{\partial}}^v((\mathcal{P}\eta)^{0,1})$ works because \mathcal{P} is clearly bounded $C^k \rightarrow C^k$.

(iii) Since these right inverses \mathcal{R} are all linear and bounded with respect to C^k type norms, they commute with partial differentiation of C^∞ forms with respect to C^∞ parameters.

We now define $\xi \equiv \text{Re}(\xi^{(1)} + \xi^{(2)} + \xi^{(3)})$, where the $\xi^{(i)}$ are constructed as follows. First,

$$\xi^{(1)} \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^h(\eta_{1\bar{1}})$$

on each horizontal slice. Next, we construct a vertical $(0, 1)$ -form ζ by setting

$$\zeta_{\bar{k}} \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\partial}^h(\eta_{1\bar{k}} - \xi_{,1\bar{k}}^{(1)}) \quad (k > 1).$$

Then (iii) above and the closedness of η imply that ζ is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed on each vertical fibre; hence we can set $\xi^{(2)} \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\bar{\partial}}^v(\zeta)$ fibrewise. Again using (iii) and the closedness of η , one checks that

$$\xi_{,1\bar{1}}^{(2)} = 0, \quad \xi_{,1\bar{k}}^{(2)} = \eta_{1\bar{k}} - \xi_{,1\bar{k}}^{(1)} \quad (k > 1).$$

With $\xi^{(3)} \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\partial\bar{\partial}}^v(\eta_{j\bar{k}} - \xi_{,j\bar{k}}^{(1)} - \xi_{,j\bar{k}}^{(2)})$, where again $j, k > 1$, a similar computation shows that $\xi_{,1\bar{1}}^{(3)} = 0$. The proposition now follows easily from the stated identities. q.e.d.

4. Existence and uniqueness

4.1. Discussion and overview. The main purpose of this section is to prove Theorem D, which generalises and refines the Tian-Yau existence result for complete Ricci-flat Kähler metrics of linear volume growth [43, Cor 5.1]. At the end we quickly explain the proof of Theorem E.

We will deduce Theorem D from the following analytic existence theorem.

Theorem 4.1 (ACyl version of the Calabi conjecture). *Let (M, g, J) be an ACyl Kähler manifold of complex dimension n with Kähler form ω . If $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ and if $f \in C_\varepsilon^\infty(M)$ satisfies*

$$(4.2) \quad \int_M (e^f - 1)\omega^n = 0,$$

then there exists a unique $u \in C_\varepsilon^\infty(M)$ such that $\omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}u > 0$ and $(\omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^n = e^f\omega^n$.

REMARK 4.3. Integration by parts shows that (4.2) is indeed necessary in order for u to exist. This is a nonlinear version of the mean-value-zero assumption of Proposition 2.7. As in the linear case, if $f \in C_\varepsilon^\infty(M)$ but (4.2) is *not* satisfied, then there may still exist solutions that grow at infinity since the Green's function on M is asymptotically pluriharmonic (in fact, asymptotically linear).

Theorem 4.1 could be proved (although this proof is not written down anywhere) by combining the proof of [43, Thm 1.1] with a new idea concerning asymptotics of solutions to complex Monge-Ampère equations from [19]. However, the ingredients from [43] that would be required for such an approach are in fact very general and technically quite formidable. Here we will instead give an easy direct proof specifically tailored to the ACyl case. We achieve this by using weighted function spaces and by retooling the decay argument from [19, Prop 2.9(i)] as an a priori estimate.

Joyce already employed weighted spaces to treat certain examples of *maximal* volume growth—ALE and QALE Kähler manifolds; see [22, §8.5, §9.6]—but his weighted nonlinear estimates break down in our *minimal* volume growth situation. This issue is related to an error in the construction of ACyl Calabi-Yau manifolds with exponential asymptotics in [24], where the analysis is based [24, p. 132] on an estimate for the maximal volume growth case [44, p. 52]. This is incorrect because the estimate from [44] crucially relies on a Euclidean type Sobolev inequality that definitely *fails* for any volume growth rate less than the maximal one. See Proposition 4.21 below for comparison.

We will prove Theorem 4.1 in Section 4.3, after having deduced Theorem D from it in Section 4.2. The proof of Theorem E is essentially independent of this and will be given in Section 4.4. It may be worth advertising that our proof of Theorem 4.1 will be *self-contained* with only two exceptions: (1) We use Proposition 2.7 without proof, but no other facts from linear analysis on ACyl manifolds. (2) We assume that the reader is familiar with Yau’s proof [47] of the Calabi conjecture on compact Kähler manifolds; see Błocki [4] for a detailed and readable exposition.

4.2. The analytic existence theorem implies the geometric one.

In order to prove Theorem D we need to construct an ACyl Kähler metric $\tilde{\omega}$ on $M = \bar{M} \setminus \bar{D}$ such that Theorem 4.1 applies to the pair $(M, \tilde{\omega})$ and the smooth function f defined by

$$(4.4) \quad e^f \tilde{\omega}^n = i^{n^2} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}.$$

Applying Theorem 4.1, the desired Calabi-Yau metric ω is then given by $\omega = \tilde{\omega} + i\partial\bar{\partial}u$.

We will explain the construction of $\tilde{\omega}$ in two stages. In Part 1, we assume that \bar{M} is smooth and fibred by the linear system $|\bar{D}|$. This is the setting originally considered by Tian-Yau in [43] though our presentation will be closer in spirit to [19, §3.4]. We discuss this special case separately because it allows for a particularly transparent construction. In Part 2, we then explain the modifications needed to treat the general case. The orbifold singularities of \bar{M} pose no particular difficulty but the absence of a fibration introduces many unpleasant error terms.

Remark about notation and constants. $A \lesssim B$ means $A \leq CB$ for some large generic constant C (so that $A \sim B$ if and only if $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$), and $A \ll B$ means $CA \leq B$. We will eventually encounter parameters r, s, \dots to be fixed only at the very end such that—for instance— $s \ll r \ll 1$; it is important to make sure that no generic constant C depends on these parameters.

Part 1: Construction of $\tilde{\omega}$ if \bar{M} is smooth and fibred by $|\bar{D}|$. Fix any Kähler form ω_0 in the chosen Kähler class \mathfrak{k} on \bar{M} . The first step is to find a Kähler form $\tilde{\omega}_0$ on \bar{M} that is cohomologous to ω_0 when restricted to M and Ricci-flat when restricted to \bar{D} .

For this, we first of all observe that $K_{\bar{D}}$ is trivial by adjunction. Thus, by the Calabi-Yau theorem, there exists $u_0 \in C^\infty(\bar{D})$ such that $\omega_0|_{\bar{D}} + i\partial\bar{\partial}u_0$ is Ricci-flat. Fix a C^∞ trivialisation of the given fibration $|\bar{D}|$ near \bar{D} , thus identifying a tubular neighbourhood of \bar{D} with $\Delta \times \bar{D}$, where Δ denotes the unit disk $\{|w| < 1\}$. Extend u_0 to be constant along the Δ factor and multiply this extension by a cut-off function pulled back from Δ to further extend u_0 to the whole of \bar{M} . If the initial tubular neighbourhood was small enough, then the restriction of $\omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}u_0$ to any fibre will be positive. All negative components of $\omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}u_0$ on the total space \bar{M} can be compensated by adding the pullback of a sufficiently positive “bump 2-form” on Δ supported in an annulus containing the cut-off region; such a pullback is automatically closed $(1, 1)$ on \bar{M} and exact on M . This creates $\tilde{\omega}_0$.

We now modify $\tilde{\omega}_0$ to become asymptotically cylindrical with the correct volume form at infinity. Notation: Define $\Delta(r) = \{|w| < r\}$, fix parameters $s \ll r \ll 1$ to be chosen later, and pick a cut-off function $\chi : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\chi = 1$ on $\Delta(r - s)$, $\chi = 0$ away from $\Delta(r + s)$, and $s|\chi_w| + s^2|\chi_{w\bar{w}}| \leq C$. Fix a bump 2-form $\beta \geq 0$ on Δ with support contained in $\Delta(r + 2s) \setminus \Delta(r - 2s)$ such that $\beta = \frac{i}{2}dw \wedge d\bar{w}$ on $\Delta(r + s) \setminus \Delta(r - s)$, and identify β with its pullback to \bar{M} under the given fibration.

The Kähler potentials of the cylinder metric $\frac{i}{2}|w|^{-2}dw \wedge d\bar{w}$ are given by $u(w) = (\log |w|)^2 + h(w)$ with h any harmonic function. We use these potentials to define closed $(1, 1)$ -forms on M :

$$\tilde{\omega}_t \equiv \tilde{\omega}_0 + \lambda i\partial\bar{\partial}(\chi u) + t\beta.$$

Being compactly supported, the $t\beta$ term does not change the asymptotics of the metric at infinity, but the extra degree of freedom $t > 0$ is needed to deal with the integral condition (4.2). Also, $\lambda > 0$ is a fixed real number determined by the condition that

$$(4.5) \quad (\tilde{\omega}_0|_{\bar{D}})^{n-1} = \frac{2}{n\lambda} i^{(n-1)^2} R \wedge \bar{R},$$

where $R = \text{Res}_{\bar{D}}\Omega$ is the holomorphic volume form on \bar{D} specified by $\Omega = \frac{dw}{w} \wedge R + O(1)$ as $w \rightarrow 0$. The forms $\tilde{\omega}_t$ are then positive definite

except possibly over $\Delta(r+s) \setminus \Delta(r-s)$. Moreover, if $\tilde{\omega}_t$ is in fact positive definite globally, then the associated Riemannian metric on M is ACyl and the volume form $\tilde{\omega}_t^n$ is exponentially asymptotic to $i^{n^2} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$. (To show that M is ACyl, fix a local trivialisation $\Psi : \Delta \times \bar{D} \hookrightarrow \bar{M}$ of the fibration such that $\Psi(0, x) = x$ for all $x \in \bar{D}$ and $d\Psi$ is \mathbb{C} -linear along \bar{D} ; cf. A.1. Then we obtain an ACyl map Φ by substituting $w = e^{-t-i\theta}$ in Ψ as usual.)

To complete the construction we set $h(w) = (\log r)^2 - (2 \log r) \log |w|$. This implies that

$$(4.6) \quad |u| + s|u_w| \leq C \frac{|\log r|}{r^2} s^2$$

in the gluing region $\Delta(r+s) \setminus \Delta(r-s)$, by Taylor expansion around $|w| = r$.

Claim. Given any fixed choice of $r \ll 1$ and $s \ll r$, there exists a unique value of $t > 0$ such that $\tilde{\omega}_t > 0$ globally and $\int_M (\tilde{\omega}_t^n - i^{n^2} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}) = 0$.

Thus for any choice of $s \ll r \ll 1$ we obtain an ACyl Kähler metric $\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\omega}_t$ such that the function $f \in C_\varepsilon^\infty(M)$ associated with $\tilde{\omega}$ by (4.4) satisfies (4.2) with respect to $(M, \tilde{\omega})$. Then Theorem 4.1 can be applied. (The resulting Calabi-Yau metric ω is independent of r and s , by Theorem E.)

Proof of the claim. Using (4.6), positivity quickly reduces to $t \gg \frac{1}{r^2} |\log r|$. The integral condition is equivalent to the following linear equation for t :

$$(4.7) \quad \int_M (\tilde{\omega}_0^n + n\lambda i\partial\bar{\partial}(\chi u) \wedge \tilde{\omega}_0^{n-1} - i^{n^2} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}) + nt \int_M \beta \wedge \tilde{\omega}_0^{n-1} = 0.$$

The t -coefficient is positive and $\sim rs$. The constant term can be split as a sum of three contributions: $O(r)$ from $\Delta(r-s)$ since the integrand is $O(|w|^{-1} \tilde{\omega}_0^n)$ there due to our choice of λ ; $O(|\log r| \frac{s}{r})$ from the gluing region, using (4.6) again; and a negative part $\sim \log r$ from the rest of M . We see that the solution $t \sim \frac{1}{rs} |\log r|$ if $s \ll r \ll 1$, which is well within the positivity constraint. q.e.d.

Part 2: Modifications needed to construct $\tilde{\omega}$ in general. The key simplification in Part 1 was the existence of a holomorphic fibration. This was used in three related ways:

- (1) We can write down our ACyl Kähler form $\tilde{\omega}_t$ without first specifying an ACyl map Φ .
- (2) The pullback of a 2-form on Δ is $(1, 1)$ upstairs. (This was used twice: in the initial process of cutting off u_0 , and then later when working with the bump 2-form β .)
- (3) The volume form of $\tilde{\omega}_t$ depends linearly on t because the square of a 2-form on Δ is zero.

Absent a holomorphic fibration we will need to make the following changes; since we will frequently refer to results from Appendix A, the reader may find it helpful to review this appendix first.

- (1') We begin by constructing Φ as in A.3. In particular this provides a global defining function w for the divisor such that $\bar{\partial}w = O(|w|^2)$. One consequence of this property is that the $\wedge^2 T^*D$ -components of $i\partial\bar{\partial}(\log|w|)^2$ are indeed negligible at infinity; *cf.* the end of Appendix A.
- (2') We only use bump 2-forms β on Δ that are radially symmetric. Then $\beta = i\partial\bar{\partial}B$ for a unique function B that vanishes identically near $\partial\Delta$; in return, B blows up like $\log|w|$ at the origin. Instead of pulling back β under w , we pull back B and compute $i\partial\bar{\partial}$ upstairs.
- (3') Since the fibres of w are no longer complex, checking positivity and the integral condition now involves many new terms. These all turn out to be of lower order because $\bar{\partial}w = O(|w|^2)$.

We will now explain the construction of $\tilde{\omega}$ in more detail, following the basic outline of Part 1 but taking into account these changes as well as the (rather harmless) orbifold singularities of \bar{M} .

Step 1'. By assumption, the holomorphic normal bundle to \bar{D} is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C} \times D)/\langle \iota \rangle$, where D is smooth and $\iota \in \text{Aut}(D)$ acts on the product via $\iota(w, x) = (\exp(\frac{2\pi i}{m})w, \iota(x))$ with $m = \text{ord}(\iota)$.

Even if $N_{\bar{D}}$ was isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C} \times D)/\langle \iota \rangle$ only as a *smooth complex* orbifold line bundle, there would already exist a smooth orbifold embedding $\Psi : (\Delta \times D)/\langle \iota \rangle \hookrightarrow \bar{M}$ such that $\Psi(0, x) = x$ for all $x \in \bar{D} = D/\langle \iota \rangle$ and $d\Psi$ is \mathbb{C} -linear along \bar{D} ; compare A.1. In particular, if J denotes the complex structure on \bar{M} pulled back to $\Delta \times D$, then $J - J_0 = O(|w|)$ and $\bar{\partial}w = O(|w|)$ with respect to J . As in A.2 we can assume that the disks $\Delta \times \{x\}$ are J -holomorphic. Now since $N_{\bar{D}}$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C} \times D)/\langle \iota \rangle$ even as a *holomorphic* orbifold line bundle, A.3 implies that $\bar{\partial}w = O(|w|^2)$ on $\Delta \times D$. We then define our ACyl diffeomorphism Φ by substituting $w = e^{-t-i\theta}$ in Ψ as usual.

Let us repeat very explicitly that the $T^*\Delta \otimes (T\Delta \oplus TD)$ component of the endomorphism $J - J_0$ vanishes identically, and its $T^*D \otimes T\Delta$ component, K , vanishes to second order at the divisor.

Step 2'. In analogy with Part 1 we now construct the following closed $(1, 1)$ -forms on \bar{M} :

$$(4.8) \quad \tilde{\omega}_0 = \omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}(\chi_0 u_0) + t_0 i\partial\bar{\partial}B_0,$$

$$(4.9) \quad \tilde{\omega}_t = \tilde{\omega}_0 + \lambda i\partial\bar{\partial}(\chi u) + t i\partial\bar{\partial}B.$$

Here ω_0 is an orbifold Kähler form on \bar{M} representing the given Kähler class \mathfrak{k} , $\omega_0|_{\bar{D}} + i\partial\bar{\partial}u_0$ is the unique Ricci-flat orbifold Kähler form representing $\mathfrak{k}|_{\bar{D}}$, λ is as in (4.5), u is a cylinder potential on Δ^* normalised

as in (4.6), and t_0, t will be chosen later. To explain the remaining pieces we pass to the smooth $\Delta \times D$ cover and work ι -invariantly, as follows.

First we extend u_0 to be constant along the Δ -factor. Then we choose radial cut-off functions χ_0 and χ on Δ with $\nabla\chi_0$ and $\nabla\chi$ supported in $\Delta(2r_0) \setminus \Delta(r_0)$ and $\Delta(r+s) \setminus \Delta(r-s)$, respectively, where $s \ll r \ll r_0 \ll 1$. Finally, we choose radial bump forms β_0, β supported in $\Delta(3r_0)$ and $\Delta(r+2s) \setminus \Delta(r-2s)$ such that $\beta_0 = \frac{i}{2}dw \wedge d\bar{w}$ on $\Delta(2r_0)$ and $\beta = \frac{i}{2}dw \wedge d\bar{w}$ on $\Delta(r+s) \setminus \Delta(r-s)$, and we use the following lemma to construct suitable functions B_0, B on Δ^* such that $i\partial\bar{\partial}B_0 = \beta_0$ and $i\partial\bar{\partial}B = \beta$ on Δ^* .

Lemma 4.10. *Let γ be a radial 2-form with compact support on Δ .*

- (i) *There exists a unique radial function G on Δ^* such that $G \equiv 0$ near $\partial\Delta$ and $i\partial\bar{\partial}G = \gamma$. Also, if $\text{supp}(\gamma) \subset \Delta(\rho)$ for some $\rho < 1$ then $\text{supp}(G) \subset \Delta(\rho)$ as well.*
- (ii) *We have $G(w) = -\frac{1}{\pi}(\int \gamma) \log |w| + \widehat{G}(w)$, where \widehat{G} is radial and smooth at $w = 0$.*
- (iii) *We have derivative estimates $|\nabla\widehat{G}(w)| \leq \psi(|w|)\frac{1}{|w|}(|w|^2 - \rho_0^2)$ and $|\nabla^2\widehat{G}| \leq \sqrt{10}\psi(|w|)$, where $\psi(\rho) \equiv \max_{|v| \leq \rho} |\gamma(v)|$ and $\rho_0 \equiv \max\{0, \max\{\rho \geq 0 : \psi(\rho) = 0\}\}$.*

Before proving this lemma, let us record its main consequences for Step 3'. Recall that K denotes the $T^*D \otimes T\Delta$ component of $J - J_0$, introduced at the end of Step 1' and discussed in Appendix A, and that we have $K = O(|w|^2)$ because the normal bundle of D is holomorphically trivial.

Corollary 4.11. *Let $p : \Delta^* \times D \rightarrow \Delta^*$ denote projection onto the first factor. Keeping the notation of Lemma 4.10, the form $i\partial\bar{\partial}(G \circ p)$ upstairs has support contained in $\Delta(\rho) \times D$ if γ has support contained in $\Delta(\rho)$. Moreover, it can be decomposed as $i\partial\bar{\partial}(G \circ p) = p^*\gamma - \frac{1}{\pi}(\int \gamma)\eta + \widehat{\gamma}$, where*

(4.12)

$$\eta = i\partial\bar{\partial} \log |w| = -\frac{1}{2}d(\text{Re}(d \log w) \circ K) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{horizontally,} \\ O(1) & \text{mixed directions,} \\ O(|w|) & \text{vertically,} \end{cases}$$

(4.13)

$$\widehat{\gamma} = -\frac{1}{2}d(d\widehat{G} \circ K) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{horizontally,} \\ O(\psi(|w|)|w|^2) & \text{mixed directions,} \\ O(\psi(|w|)(|w|^2 - \rho_0^2)|w|) & \text{vertically.} \end{cases}$$

The implied constants here are independent of γ and in fact only depend on K .

The stated decomposition of $i\partial\bar{\partial}(G \circ p)$ follows quickly by observing that $p^*\gamma = i\partial_0\bar{\partial}_0(\widehat{G} \circ p)$, and $i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi = -\frac{1}{2}d(d\phi \circ J) = i\partial_0\bar{\partial}_0\phi - \frac{1}{2}d(d\phi \circ K)$ whenever ϕ is pulled back from the base disk, Δ . Similar estimates are discussed informally at the end of Appendix A.

Proof of Lemma 4.10. We write $\gamma = g\frac{i}{2}dw \wedge d\bar{w}$, so that $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2}G = g$. Since the radial component of $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ is given by $\frac{1}{\rho}\partial_\rho(\rho\partial_\rho)$, we obtain the following representation for G , proving (i):

$$(4.14) \quad G(w) = \int_1^{|w|} \frac{2}{\rho} \int_1^\rho g(\sigma)\sigma \, d\sigma \, d\rho.$$

Then we decompose the $d\sigma$ integral in (4.14) as $\int_1^\rho = \int_1^0 + \int_0^\rho$, which proves (ii) with

$$(4.15) \quad \widehat{G}(w) = \int_1^{|w|} \frac{2}{\rho} \int_0^\rho g(\sigma)\sigma \, d\sigma \, d\rho.$$

For (iii) we first observe that $|\nabla\widehat{G}| = |\widehat{G}_\rho|$ and $|\nabla^2\widehat{G}|^2 = \widehat{G}_{\rho\rho}^2 + \frac{1}{\rho^2}\widehat{G}_\rho^2$. Now (4.15) yields

$$(4.16) \quad \widehat{G}_\rho(w) = \frac{2}{|w|} \int_0^{|w|} g(\sigma)\sigma \, d\sigma, \quad \widehat{G}_{\rho\rho}(w) = -\frac{1}{|w|}\widehat{G}_\rho(w) + 2g(w),$$

and hence the claim by applying the triangle inequality. q.e.d.

Step 3'. If $\tilde{\omega}_t$ is positive definite, then the associated Riemannian metric will indeed be ACyl with respect to the diffeomorphism Φ from Step 1' since $\bar{\partial}w = O(|w|^2)$; see again the end of Appendix A. Hence all that remains to be done is to prove the counterpart of the Claim in Part 1.

First we show that $\tilde{\omega}_0$ of (4.8) is positive for $r_0 \ll 1$ and $t_0 \sim r_0^{-2}$. The first issue is that the good term $i\partial\bar{\partial}B_0$ no longer has only horizontal components. However, Corollary 4.11 with $\gamma = \beta_0$ shows that the mixed and vertical components of $i\partial\bar{\partial}B_0$ are controlled by $(\int \beta_0)\eta$ and $\widehat{\beta}_0$; more precisely, the mixed parts are $O(r_0^2)$ and the vertical parts are $O(r_0^2|w|)$. Thus, $\omega_0 + t_0i\partial\bar{\partial}B_0$ is bounded below by a smooth Kähler form on \bar{M} if $r_0 \ll 1$ and $t_0 = o(r_0^{-3})$, and has a positive horizontal component $\sim t_0$ on $\Delta(2r_0) \times D$ if $t_0 \gg 1$. We must now prove that choosing $t_0 \sim r_0^{-2}$ compensates all negative components of $i\partial\bar{\partial}(\chi_0 u_0)$ over the annulus $(\Delta(2r_0) \setminus \Delta(r_0)) \times D$. This is clear horizontally, and the mixed or vertical components are negligible. *E.g.* the worst term, $u_0i\partial\bar{\partial}\chi_0$, contributes $u_0d(d\chi_0 \circ K)$ to these errors; the mixed components of this are $O(1)$ and the vertical ones are $O(r_0)$.

Positivity of $\tilde{\omega}_t$ in (4.9) is similar. First, Corollary 4.11 applied with $\gamma = \beta$ tells us that $i\partial\bar{\partial}B$ has $O(rs + \chi_{\text{ann}}r^2)$ mixed and $O(rs|w|)$ vertical components; here χ_{ann} is the smooth function defined by $\beta = \chi_{\text{ann}}\frac{i}{2}dw \wedge d\bar{w}$, which is essentially equal to the indicator function of the gluing annulus. On the other hand, the horizontal component of $i\partial\bar{\partial}B$ is always

nonnegative and ~ 1 over the annulus. Thus, $\tilde{\omega}_0 + \lambda\chi i\partial\bar{\partial}u + ti\partial\bar{\partial}B$ is again bounded below by some smooth Kähler form on \bar{M} as long as $t = o(\frac{1}{r^2s})$, and has a horizontal component $\sim t$ in the gluing region if $t \gg 1$. Now we need to add on the error terms involving derivatives of χ , and we claim that—exactly as in the fibred case—taking $t \gg \frac{1}{r^2}|\log r|$ restores positivity. This is obvious horizontally, and the mixed or vertical components are again negligible. *E.g.* the worst term $ui\partial\bar{\partial}\chi$ contributes $ud(d\chi \circ K)$, which has $O(|\log r|)$ mixed and $O(s|\log r|)$ vertical pieces; Cauchy-Schwarz allows us to bound the mixed ones from below by a horizontal term which is $O(\frac{1}{r}|\log r|) = o(t)$ and a vertical term which is $O(r|\log r|)$.

It remains to see that the integral condition is still satisfied for some $t \sim \frac{1}{rs}|\log r|$. This condition is now a degree n equation in t whose constant and linear coefficients are small perturbations of the ones in (4.7), and whose t^2, \dots, t^n coefficients are small. More precisely, we want to solve

$$(4.17) \quad (c_0 + \sum_{p=2}^n \varepsilon_{0,p}) + (c_1 + \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{1,p})t + \sum_{\ell=2}^n (\sum_{p=0}^{n-\ell} \varepsilon_{\ell,p})t^\ell = 0,$$

where c_0 and c_1 are defined exactly like the constant and linear terms in (4.7), and

$$(4.18) \quad \varepsilon_{\ell,p} \sim \int_M (i\partial\bar{\partial}B)^\ell \wedge (i\partial\bar{\partial}(\chi u))^p \wedge \tilde{\omega}_0^{n-\ell-p} \text{ for } \ell + p \in \{2, \dots, n\}.$$

These integrals are small because they involve wedge products of almost horizontal 2-forms.

The main tool needed to carry out the actual estimates is the following table:

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} i\partial\bar{\partial}B &= \begin{cases} \chi_{\text{ann}} & \text{horizontally,} \\ O(rs + \chi_{\text{ann}}r^2) & \text{mixed directions,} \\ O(rs|w|) & \text{vertically,} \end{cases} \\ i\partial\bar{\partial}(\chi u) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|w|^2} + O(\chi_{\text{ann}}\frac{|\log r|}{r^2}) & \text{horizontally,} \\ O(|\log |w||) & \text{mixed directions,} \\ O(|w||\log |w||) & \text{vertically,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

on $\Delta(r+2s) \times D$. Here χ_{ann} is again defined by $\beta = \chi_{\text{ann}}\frac{i}{2}dw \wedge d\bar{w}$ and the bounds for $i\partial\bar{\partial}B$ follow from Corollary 4.11, whereas the ones for $i\partial\bar{\partial}(\chi u)$ follow from a direct computation (compare again the end of Appendix A). Given this information and the fact that $(\text{horizontal})^a \wedge (\text{mixed})^{ab} = 0$ if $a \geq 2$ or $a = 1, b \geq 1$ or $b \geq 3$, a lengthy computation

(see Appendix B) yields that

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} c_0 + \sum_{p=2}^n \varepsilon_{0,p} &\sim -|\log r|, & c_1 + \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{1,p} &\sim rs, \\ \sum_{p=0}^{n-\ell} \varepsilon_{\ell,p} &= O((r^2s)^{\ell-1}(rs + r^3)) \text{ for } \ell \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Estimating the $\varepsilon_{\ell,p}$ with $\ell \geq 2$ is the most difficult step; the main contribution arises by integrating terms of type $(vertical)^{\ell-1}(horizontal)$ and $(vertical)^{\ell-2}(mixed)^2$ over the annulus for $p = 0$.

We now concentrate on the interval $t \sim \frac{1}{rs}|\log r|$, which contains the unique zero of the linear part of (4.17). At the two boundary points, the linear part of (4.17) is comparable to $\pm|\log r|$, while the nonlinear terms of (4.17) are at worst $O(r|\log r|^2(1 + \frac{1}{s}r^2))$ on the whole interval. Thus it suffices to choose $1 \gg r_0 \gg r \gg s \gtrsim r^2$ (unlike in Part 1, we are not free to make s arbitrarily small).

4.3. Proof of the analytic existence theorem. The proof of Theorem 4.1 requires a nontrivial technical preliminary: the proof of a global Sobolev inequality on M . Such inequalities are sensitive to the volume growth at infinity, and need to take rather different shapes depending on whether the growth rate is slower or faster than quadratic. Our proof follows the strategy expounded in [16]; see also [18, 32] for closely related results and applications.

Proposition 4.21. *Let (M^n, g) be an ACyl manifold as in Definition 1.1. Then for all $\mu > 0$ there exists a piecewise constant positive function $\psi_\mu = O(e^{-2\mu t})$ with $\int_M \psi_\mu \, d\text{vol} = 1$ such that*

$$(4.22) \quad \|e^{-\mu t}(u - \bar{u}_\mu)\|_{2\sigma} \leq C_{M,\mu,\sigma} \|\nabla u\|_2$$

holds for all $\sigma \in [1, \frac{n}{n-2}]$ and all $u \in C_0^\infty(M)$, where $\bar{u}_\mu \equiv \int_M u \psi_\mu \, d\text{vol}$.

The subtraction of an average on the left-hand side of (4.22) is inevitable because M has less than quadratic volume growth. In [43], the relation (4.2) is directly applied to compensate this.

Proof of Proposition 4.21. We have $M = \bigcup \text{clos}(A_i)$, where $A_0 = U$ and $A_i = (i-1, i) \times X$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and we begin by discretising the left-hand side of (4.22) accordingly:

$$(4.23) \quad \|e^{-\mu t}(u - \bar{u}_\mu)\|_{2\sigma}^2 \leq C \sum \|\chi_i(u - \bar{u}_i)\|_{2\sigma}^2 + C \sum e^{-2\mu i} |\bar{u}_i - \bar{u}_\mu|^2,$$

where χ_i is the characteristic function of A_i and \bar{u}_i is the average of u over A_i . Since the A_i have uniformly bounded geometry, the usual Sobolev inequality implies that $\|\chi_i(u - \bar{u}_i)\|_{2\sigma} \leq C\|\chi_i \nabla u\|_2$. Thus, it suffices to estimate the second sum in (4.23). This involves defining the weight function ψ_μ . In order for our argument to go through, we require

that $\sum e^{-2\mu i}(\bar{u}_i - \bar{u}_\mu) = 0$ for all test functions u , and so we define $\psi_\mu \equiv \phi_\mu / \int_M \phi_\mu d\text{vol}$, where ϕ_μ is constant equal to $e^{-2\mu i}/|A_i|$ on A_i . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum e^{-2\mu i} |\bar{u}_i - \bar{u}_\mu|^2 &\leq C \sum_{i < j} e^{-2\mu(i+j)} |\bar{u}_i - \bar{u}_j|^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{i < j} e^{-2\mu(i+j)} |i - j| \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} |\bar{u}_k - \bar{u}_{k+1}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we define $B_k \equiv \text{int}(\text{clos}(A_k \cup A_{k+1}))$ and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{u}_k - \bar{u}_{k+1}|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{|A_k||A_{k+1}|} \int_{A_k \times A_{k+1}} |u(x) - u(y)|^2 dx dy \\ &\leq \frac{2|B_k|}{|A_k||A_{k+1}|} \int_{B_k} |u - \bar{u}_{B_k}|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where \bar{u}_{B_k} denotes the average of u over B_k . Since B_k is connected, we can now apply the standard Poincaré inequality on B_k , which completes the proof. q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. The uniqueness claim is proved independently in Section 4.4 and really only requires that $u \in C_\varepsilon^2(M)$. Thus, it suffices to prove the existence of a solution $u \in C_\varepsilon^{k+2, \alpha}(M)$ for any given $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. For this we take $\varepsilon \in (0, \delta]$ to be smaller than the square root of the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on the cross-section X , and set up a continuity method. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X} &= \{u \in C_\varepsilon^{k+2, \alpha}(M) : \omega_u = \omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}u > 0\}, \\ \mathcal{Y} &= \{f \in C_\varepsilon^{k, \alpha}(M) : \int_M (e^f - 1)\omega^n = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then \mathcal{X} is an open set, \mathcal{Y} is a hypersurface, and the complex Monge-Ampère operator \mathcal{F} given by $(\omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^n = e^{\mathcal{F}(u)}\omega^n$ induces a map $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. For $u \in \mathcal{X}$, the metric g_u associated with ω_u is again asymptotically cylindrical (though only of regularity $C_\varepsilon^{k, \alpha}$) with respect to Φ and X .

Given f as in the statement of the theorem, we wish to solve the family of equations $\mathcal{F}(u_\tau) = f_\tau$ for $u_\tau \in \mathcal{X}$, with $f_\tau \equiv \log(1 + \tau(e^f - 1)) \in \mathcal{Y}$ for $\tau \in [0, 1]$. We have a trivial solution $u_0 = 0$. Next, we need to show that the set of all τ for which a solution $u_\tau \in \mathcal{X}$ exists is open. For $u \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$T_u \mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{g_u} : T_u \mathcal{X} = C_\varepsilon^{k+2, \alpha}(M) \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{F}(u)} \mathcal{Y} = C_\varepsilon^{k, \alpha}(M)_{0, g_u},$$

the subscripts $0, g_u$ indicating mean value zero with respect to g_u , and we must show that this is an isomorphism if $u = u_\tau$. But if $u = u_\tau$,

then $\mathcal{F}(u_\tau) = f_\tau$, which implies $u_\tau \in C_\varepsilon^\infty(M)$ by a standard bootstrapping argument, and so g_u is smooth enough to apply Proposition 2.7 as written.

It remains to prove a *quantitative* a priori bound on the $C_\varepsilon^{k+2,\alpha}$ -norm of u_τ , using the *qualitative* information that $u_\tau \in C_\varepsilon^\infty(M)$. We proceed in a sequence of four partial a priori estimates. We will abbreviate $u = u_\tau$ and $f = f_\tau$, but all constants are understood to be independent of τ .

Step 1: C^0 from Moser iteration. We apply Moser iteration as in [18, §3.1] or [43, Lemma 3.5] to derive an a priori bound on the sup norm of u . First let us recall the basic underlying computation. To this end, fix $T > 0$ and define an auxiliary form $\eta \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega^k \wedge \omega_u^{n-1-k}$. Then we have

$$(4.24) \quad \int_{t < T} |\nabla |u|^{\frac{p}{2}}|^2 \omega^n \leq -\frac{np^2}{2(p-1)} \left[\int_{t < T} u|u|^{p-2}(e^f - 1)\omega^n - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t=T} u|u|^{p-2} d^c u \wedge \eta \right]$$

for all $p > 1$. See [4, p. 212] for this, although in [4] there are of course no boundary terms. Notice that (4.24) still holds with u replaced by $u - \lambda$ for any constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and also that the boundary term goes to zero as $T \rightarrow \infty$ (no matter what λ we subtract) because $d^c(u - \lambda) = O(e^{-\varepsilon t})$.

We begin the iteration process by setting $p = 2$ and $\lambda = \bar{u}_\mu$ (as in Proposition 4.21), with μ to be determined as we go along. If $\mu < \varepsilon$, then (4.22) and (4.24) imply that

$$\|e^{-\mu t}(u - \bar{u}_\mu)\|_{2\sigma}^2 \leq C \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \leq C \|e^{-\varepsilon t}(u - \bar{u}_\mu)\|_1 \leq C \|e^{-\mu t}(u - \bar{u}_\mu)\|_{2\sigma}.$$

To continue the iteration, we will prove that, for all $\sigma \in (1, 2)$ with $2\mu\sigma < \varepsilon$ and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$(4.25) \quad \left\| e^{-\mu t} |u - \bar{u}_\mu|^{\sigma^{k+1}} \right\|_{2\sigma}^2 \leq C \sigma^k \max \left\{ 1, \left\| e^{-\mu t} |u - \bar{u}_\mu|^{\sigma^k} \right\|_{2\sigma}^{2\sigma} \right\}.$$

Given this, a standard argument [4, p. 212] then shows that the $L^{2\sigma^k}$ -norm of $u - \bar{u}_\mu$ with respect to the measure $e^{-2\mu\sigma t} d\text{vol}$ is bounded uniformly in k , so that $\|u - \bar{u}_\mu\|_\infty \leq C$. Since $u = O(e^{-\varepsilon t})$, we deduce that $|\bar{u}_\mu| \leq C$; hence $\|u\|_\infty \leq C$ as desired.

In order to prove (4.25), we first apply (4.24) with $p = 2\sigma^{k+1}$ and with u replaced by $u - \bar{u}_\mu$, and then (4.22). Abbreviating $u_k \equiv |u - \bar{u}_\mu|^{\sigma^k}$, this yields the following inequalities:

$$\|e^{-\mu t}(u_{k+1} - \overline{u_{k+1,\mu}})\|_{2\sigma}^2 \leq C \|\nabla u_{k+1}\|_2^2 \leq C \sigma^k \|e^{-\varepsilon t} |u - \bar{u}_\mu|^{2\sigma^k - 1}\|_1.$$

Proceeding on the right-hand side, Hölder's inequality tells us that

$$\|e^{-\varepsilon t} |u - \bar{u}_\mu|^{2\sigma^k - 1}\|_1 \leq C \|e^{(2\mu\sigma - \varepsilon)t}\|_{2\sigma^{k+1}} \max\{1, \|e^{-\mu t} u_k\|_{2\sigma}^{2\sigma}\},$$

and if $2\mu\sigma < \varepsilon$ then the prefactor converges to 1 as $k \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand,

$$\|e^{-\mu t} \overline{u_{k+1, \mu}}\|_{2\sigma}^2 = \|e^{-\mu t}\|_{2\sigma}^2 \|\psi_\mu u_{k+1}\|_1^2 \leq C \|e^{(\sigma-2)\mu t}\|_2^2 \|e^{-\mu t} u_k\|_{2\sigma}^{2\sigma},$$

which is finite if $\sigma < 2$, and of the required form. All in all, this proves (4.25).

Step 2: C^0 implies C^∞ . We do not need to say very much here. Given that functions in the space \mathcal{X} attain their extrema on M and that M has uniformly bounded geometry at infinity, the classical arguments proving Step 2 in the compact case [4, §5.5, §5.6] go through verbatim.

Step 3: C^∞ implies $C_{\varepsilon'}^\infty$ for some uniform $\varepsilon' \in (0, \varepsilon]$. This is a special case of an energy decay argument from [19, Prop 2.9(i)], which we use as an a priori estimate here. We begin by writing out the counterpart of the $p = 2$ case of (4.24) for the outer domain $\{t > T\}$:

$$(4.26) \quad \int_{t>T} |\nabla u|^2 \omega^n \leq -2n \left[\int_{t>T} u(e^f - 1) \omega^n + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t=T} u d^c u \wedge \eta \right].$$

This is proved by repeating the standard computation on $\{T < t < T'\}$ and sending $T' \rightarrow \infty$. Also, (4.26) again holds with u replaced by $u - \lambda$ for any constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$; we take λ to be the average of u over $\{t = T\}$. Defining Q_T to be the quantity on the left-hand side of (4.26), this yields

$$\begin{aligned} Q_T &\leq C e^{-\varepsilon T} + C \int_{t=T} |u - \lambda| |\nabla u| \\ &\leq C e^{-\varepsilon T} + C \int_{t=T} |\nabla u|^2 \leq C e^{-\varepsilon T} - C \frac{dQ_T}{dT}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used our C^2 a priori estimate from Steps 1 and 2, Cauchy-Schwarz, and the Poincaré inequality. It is elementary to deduce from this that $Q_T \leq C e^{-\varepsilon' T}$ for some uniform $\varepsilon' \in (0, \varepsilon]$.

Now define $A_T \equiv \{T < t < T + 1\}$ and let u_T denote the average of u on A_T . Then our estimate for Q_T and the Poincaré inequality imply that $\|u - u_T\|_{L^2(A_T)} \leq C e^{-\varepsilon' T}$. On the other hand, simply by rewriting the Monge-Ampère equation, we have

$$\mathcal{L}(u - u_T) = e^f - 1 = O(e^{-\varepsilon T}) \text{ on } A_T,$$

where the linear operator \mathcal{L} is defined by

$$(4.27) \quad (\mathcal{L}v)\omega^n = i\partial\bar{\partial}v \wedge (\omega^{n-1} + \omega^{n-2} \wedge \omega_u + \cdots + \omega_u^{n-1})$$

as in [24, p. 137]. Since \mathcal{L} is uniformly elliptic with respect to g by Step 2, Moser iteration now tells us that $|u - u_T| \leq C e^{-\varepsilon' T}$ on a slightly smaller domain; see [17, Thm 4.1] for this type of estimate. Then Schauder theory gives $|\nabla^k u| \leq C_k e^{-\varepsilon' t}$ for all $k > 0$. Thus, eventually, $|u| \leq C e^{-\varepsilon' t}$ for some uniform constant C , by integrating the exponentially decaying bound on ∇u along rays.

Step 4: $C_{\varepsilon'}^\infty$ implies C_ε^∞ . We are assuming that $u \in C_\varepsilon^\infty(M)$ with ineffective bounds, and Step 3 yields $u \in C_{\varepsilon'}^\infty(M)$ with effective bounds for some uniform $\varepsilon' \in (0, \varepsilon]$. To upgrade from ε' to ε in the effective bounds, we first rewrite the complex Monge-Ampère equation as

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\Delta_g u &= (e^f - 1) - \mathcal{Q}(u), \\ \mathcal{Q}(u)\omega^n &= \binom{n}{2}(i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^2 \wedge \omega^{n-2} + \cdots + (i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^n. \end{aligned}$$

If $u \in C_\delta^\infty(M)$ with $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon]$, then the right-hand side of the PDE in (4.28) lies in $C_{\delta'}^\infty(M)_{0,g}$, $\delta' = \min\{2\delta, \varepsilon\}$, so that Proposition 2.7 yields $u \in C_{\delta'}^\infty(M)$, effective estimates understood throughout. We then put $\delta = \varepsilon'$ and iterate a bounded number of times to obtain the desired conclusion. q.e.d.

REMARK 4.29. Let us quickly review how we used the hypothesis that $\int_M (e^f - 1)\omega^n = 0$. Unlike in [43, Lemma 3.4], this played no direct role in the nonlinear estimates. However, we needed to drop boundary terms at infinity in (4.24) and (4.26). This was possible because we were working in a space of functions with exponential decay, which the linear analysis allowed us to do because $\int_M (e^f - 1)\omega^n = 0$.

4.4. Uniqueness. Finally, let us explain why the Ricci-flat ACyl metric produced by Theorem D is unique among metrics that are ACyl with respect to the same diffeomorphism Φ . This follows from Hodge theory arguments as in Section 2.1.

Proof of Theorem E. First we deduce an ACyl $i\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma, showing that the exact decaying $(1, 1)$ -form $\omega = \omega_2 - \omega_1$ can be written as $i\partial\bar{\partial}u$ for some function u of linear growth.

Since ω is exact and decaying, it can according to [38, Thm 2.3.27] be written as $\omega = d\alpha$, where α is asymptotic to a translation-invariant harmonic 1-form on M_∞ . In particular, $\bar{\partial}^*\alpha^{0,1}$ is a decaying function and can therefore be written as $\bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial}\gamma$ for a function γ of linear growth. The form $\bar{\partial}\gamma - \alpha^{0,1}$ is bounded harmonic, hence closed. Thus, if we set $u = 2\operatorname{Im}\gamma$, then $i\partial\bar{\partial}u = \partial\alpha^{0,1} + \bar{\partial}\alpha^{1,0} = \omega$.

Now $\omega_1^n = \omega_2^n$ implies that $\mathcal{L}u = 0$, where $\mathcal{L}v = i\partial\bar{\partial}v \wedge \eta$ with

$$\eta = \omega_1^{n-1} + \omega_1^{n-2} \wedge \omega_2 + \cdots + \omega_2^{n-1}$$

as in (4.27). The $(n-1, n-1)$ -form η is positive in the sense that $\eta \wedge i\alpha \wedge \bar{\alpha} > 0$ for every nonzero $(1, 0)$ -form α . It follows that there is a Hermitian metric ω such that $\omega^{n-1} = \eta$. This is not typically Kähler, but the “balanced” condition that $d\omega^{n-1} = 0$ implies that \mathcal{L} is exactly the Laplacian with respect to the Riemannian metric associated with ω . Since any subexponentially growing harmonic function h defines a direction in the cokernel of the Laplacian on exponentially decaying

functions (because $\int(\Delta v)h = 0$ if v is decaying), and since this cokernel is 1-dimensional by Proposition 2.7, the only subexponential harmonic functions are the constants. Hence u is a constant. q.e.d.

Appendix A. Divisors with trivial normal bundle

Let D be a smooth compact divisor in some complex manifold and U a tubular neighbourhood of D that we are free to shrink as needed. We wish to discuss various “product-like” conditions for U . Let N denote the normal bundle to D in U , Δ the unit disk in \mathbb{C} with standard coordinate w , J the complex structure on U , and J_0 the product complex structure on $\Delta \times D$.

Observation A.1. *N is trivial as a complex line bundle if and only if there exists a diffeomorphism $\Psi : \Delta \times D \rightarrow U$ with $\Psi(0, x) = x$ for all $x \in D$ such that $\Psi^*J - J_0 = 0$ along $\{0\} \times D$. In particular, viewing w as a defining function for D in U , we have that $\bar{\partial}w = O(|w|)$.*

Indeed, given Ψ , the restriction of $\Psi_*\partial_w$ to D defines a section of $T^{1,0}U|_D$ complementing $T^{1,0}D$, and hence a trivialisation of N as a smooth complex line bundle. There is significant freedom in choosing such diffeomorphisms Ψ , and the next observation provides a very useful normalisation.

Observation A.2. *In A.1 we can arrange that $\Psi^*J - J_0 = 0$ on the horizontal subbundle $T\Delta$ of the tangent bundle $T(\Delta \times D)$ without changing the vector field $\Psi_*\partial_w|_D$.*

In particular, the disks $\Psi(\Delta \times \{x\})$ will be holomorphic. This is proved as in Section 3.2, Step 1. With a more careful choice of a right inverse to the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator, one could in fact not only prescribe the tangent vectors of these holomorphic disks at $w = 0$ but their full Taylor expansions.

We require the following application of A.2 in Section 4.2, Part 2.

Observation A.3. *N is trivial as a holomorphic line bundle if and only if there exists Ψ as in A.2 such that the $T^*D \otimes T\Delta$ component of $\Psi^*J - J_0$ is $O(|w|^2)$. In particular, denoting this component by K , we have that $\bar{\partial}w = \frac{i}{2}dw \circ \Psi_*K = O(|w|^2)$.*

Proof. As in Section 3.2, Step 3, it suffices to show that if we have Ψ as in A.2, then $\Psi_*\partial_w|_D$ induces a *holomorphic* trivialising section of N if and only if $\bar{\partial}w = O(|w|^2)$. Now the former is equivalent to $\frac{\partial z}{\partial w}$ being holomorphic on D for every local holomorphic defining function z of D . Restricting z to the holomorphic disks $\Psi(\Delta \times \{x\})$ we obtain a power series expansion $z = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} z_j w^j$, where the z_j are smooth locally defined functions on D and z_1 never vanishes. Applying $\bar{\partial}$ to this identity quickly shows that $\bar{\partial}w = O(|w|^2)$ if and only if z_1 is holomorphic on D , as desired. q.e.d.

Let \mathcal{I}_D denote the ideal sheaf of D in \mathcal{O}_U . Given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the $(m-1)$ st infinitesimal neighbourhood mD of D in U is defined as the analytic space $(D, \mathcal{O}_U/\mathcal{I}_D^m)$. The following partial extension of A.3 to higher orders may be useful to keep in mind in Section 3.1.

Observation A.4. *If $\mathcal{O}_{mD}(D)$ is trivial as a holomorphic line bundle, then there exists a smooth defining function $w : U \rightarrow \Delta$ for D such that $\bar{\partial}w = O(|w|^{m+1})$.*

Proof. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_D^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{mD}(D) \rightarrow 0$ tells us that $\mathcal{O}_{mD}(D)$ is trivial if and only if there exists a finite cover of U by open sets U_j together with meromorphic functions z_j such that $\text{div}(z_j) = -(D \cap U_j)$ and $z_j - z_k \in \mathcal{I}_D^{m-1}(U_j \cap U_k)$ for all j, k . Fix a partition of unity χ_j subordinate to this open cover and define $w \equiv \sum \chi_j w_j$, where each $w_j \equiv \frac{1}{z_j}$ is a local holomorphic defining function for D in U_j . We need to check that w does not vanish in U except on D , and that $\bar{\partial}w = O(|w|^{m+1})$; both properties follow easily from the fact that $w_j - w_k \in \mathcal{I}_D^{m+1}(U_j \cap U_k)$. q.e.d.

The limiting case of A.4 as $m \rightarrow \infty$ amounts to

Observation A.5. *$\mathcal{O}_U(D)$ is holomorphically trivial if and only if there is a holomorphic defining function $w : U \rightarrow \Delta$ for D . This is the case if and only if U is fibred by the linear system $|D|$.*

REMARK A.6. By standard results in deformation theory, the linear system $|D|$ will certainly define a fibration of U whenever $N = \mathcal{O}_D(D)$ is holomorphically trivial and $h^{0,1}(D) = 0$.

REMARK A.7. One sometimes encounters a slightly weaker flatness condition than A.5: that the real hypersurface ∂U is *Levi-flat*, i.e. foliated by complex hypersurfaces of the ambient space.

To conclude this appendix, we wish to explain on an intuitive level why the existence of an ACyl Hermitian metric on $U \setminus D$ is equivalent to $N = \mathcal{O}_D(D)$ being trivial as a holomorphic line bundle. More precise results along these lines are proved in Sections 3.2 and 4.2.

• Suppose we are given an ACyl Hermitian metric on $U \setminus D$. We assume that the cylindrical end is $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times D$ with an ACyl diffeomorphism of the form $(t, \theta, x) \mapsto \Psi(e^{-t-i\theta}, x)$ with Ψ as in A.2. Using the ACyl metric, we can see that the purely vertical ($\wedge^2 T^*D$) components of $i\partial\bar{\partial} \log |w|$ must vanish as $w \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, writing K as in A.3, we have $i\partial\bar{\partial} \log |w| = -\frac{1}{2}d(\text{Re} \frac{dw}{w} \circ \Psi_* K)$; since K is a smooth section of $T^*D \otimes T\Delta$, this equation tells us that $i\partial\bar{\partial} \log |w|$ has zero horizontal, $O(|w|^{-2}|K| + |w|^{-1}|\partial_h K|)$ mixed, and $O(|w|^{-1}|\partial_v K|)$ vertical components, where ∂_h and ∂_v denote horizontal and vertical partials. It is therefore essentially forced on us that $K = O(|w|^2)$.

• Conversely, given $D \subset U$ and a defining function w , it is natural to try and construct an ACyl Hermitian metric on $U \setminus D$ by making an ansatz of the form $i\partial\bar{\partial}(\log|w|)^2 + \omega_0$ for some Hermitian metric ω_0 on U . With a diffeomorphism Ψ as in A.2, computations as above show that $K = O(|w|^2)$ then suffices in order for this ansatz to be ACyl with ACyl diffeomorphism $(t, \theta, x) \mapsto \Psi(e^{-t-i\theta}, x)$; for instance, the purely vertical components of $i\partial\bar{\partial}(\log|w|)^2$ are $O(|w|^{-1}|\log|w||\partial_v K|)$.

Appendix B. Error estimates for the nonfibred case of Theorem D

In this section we prove the estimates (4.20) for the integrals defined in (4.18), using the auxiliary estimates (4.19). We write the domain of integration as a union of two regions that will be treated separately: the *annulus* $(\Delta(r+2s) \setminus \Delta(r-2s)) \times D$ and the *tube* $\Delta(r-2s) \times D$. In each case, the integrand is a wedge product of 2-forms with n factors. We decompose each of these 2-form factors into its horizontal ($\wedge^2 T^* \Delta$), mixed ($T^* \Delta \otimes T^* D$), and vertical ($\wedge^2 T^* D$) components, estimates for which can be found in (4.19). In addition to the absolute value bounds of (4.19), we will also make use of the fact that $(horizontal)^{\wedge a} \wedge (mixed)^{\wedge b} = 0$ if $a \geq 2$ or $a = 1, b \geq 1$ or $b \geq 3$.

Before estimating the errors $\varepsilon_{\ell,p}$, let us quickly note the following bounds for the constants c_0, c_1 of (4.17) and (4.20), whose proofs are similar but much less complicated (see also (4.7)):

$$(B.1) \quad c_0 = \int_M (\tilde{\omega}_0^n + n\lambda i\partial\bar{\partial}(\chi u) \wedge \tilde{\omega}_0^{n-1} - i^{n^2} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}) \sim -|\log r|,$$

$$(B.2) \quad c_1 = \int_M ni\partial\bar{\partial}B \wedge \tilde{\omega}_0^{n-1} \sim rs.$$

We subdivide the remaining estimates into three cases. We abbreviate horizontal/mixed/vertical 2-forms by $h/m/v$ respectively, and v^p refers to a wedge product of p vertical 2-forms etc.

B.1. Estimating $\varepsilon_{0,p}$ for $p \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. This is the easiest case because there are no $i\partial\bar{\partial}B$ factors. We have the following contributions to $\varepsilon_{0,p}$, the crosses indicating the dominant ones.

annulus	v^p	$rs(r \log r)^p$	
	$v^{p-1}m$	$rs(r \log r)^{p-1} \log r $	
	$v^{p-1}h$	$rs(r \log r)^{p-1} \frac{ \log r }{r^2}$	×
	$v^{p-2}m^2$	$rs(r \log r)^{p-2} \log r ^2$	
tube	v^p	$\int_0^r \rho(\rho \log \rho)^p d\rho$	
	$v^{p-1}m$	$\int_0^r \rho(\rho \log \rho)^{p-1} \log \rho d\rho$	
	$v^{p-1}h$	$\int_0^r \rho(\rho \log \rho)^{p-1} \frac{1}{\rho^2} d\rho$	×
	$v^{p-2}m^2$	$\int_0^r \rho(\rho \log \rho)^{p-2} \log \rho ^2 d\rho$	

It follows immediately that

$$(B.3) \quad \sum_{p=2}^n \varepsilon_{0,p} = O((r + s|\log r|)|\log r|).$$

B.2. Estimating $\varepsilon_{1,p}$ for $p \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. The only nonzero contributions to the integrand arise by multiplying a component from the left half of the following table with a component from the right half labelled with the same Greek letter.

$i\partial\bar{\partial}B$		$(i\partial\bar{\partial}(\chi u))^p$	
v	α	v^p	$\alpha \beta \gamma$
m	β	$v^{p-1}m$	$\alpha \beta$
h	γ	$v^{p-1}h$	α
		$v^{p-2}m^2$ (if $p \geq 2$)	α

Then $\varepsilon_{1,p}$ consists of the following contributions, the cross again indicating the largest one.

annulus	α	$rs(r^2s)(r \log r)^p$ $rs(r^2s)(r \log r)^{p-1} \log r $ $rs(r^2s)(r \log r)^{p-1}\frac{ \log r }{r^2}$ $rs(r^2s)(r \log r)^{p-2} \log r ^2$ (if $p \geq 2$)	
	β	$rs(r^2)(r \log r)^p$ $rs(r^2)(r \log r)^{p-1} \log r $	
	γ	$rs(r \log r)^p$	×
tube	α	$\int_0^r \rho(rs\rho)(\rho \log \rho)^p d\rho$ $\int_0^r \rho(rs\rho)(\rho \log \rho)^{p-1} \log \rho d\rho$ $\int_0^r \rho(rs\rho)(\rho \log \rho)^{p-1}\frac{1}{\rho^2} d\rho$ $\int_0^r \rho(rs\rho)(\rho \log \rho)^{p-2} \log \rho ^2 d\rho$ (if $p \geq 2$)	
	β	$\int_0^r \rho(rs)(\rho \log \rho)^p d\rho$ $\int_0^r \rho(rs)(\rho \log \rho)^{p-1} \log \rho d\rho$	
	γ	0	

As an immediate consequence,

$$(B.4) \quad \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_{1,p} = O(r|\log r|rs).$$

B.3. Estimating $\varepsilon_{\ell,p}$ for $\ell \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ and $p \in \{0, \dots, n-\ell\}$. This step is entirely similar to the previous one, if slightly more complicated, so we only give the tables and the final result.

$(i\partial\bar{\partial}B)^\ell$		$(i\partial\bar{\partial}(\chi u))^p$	
v^ℓ	α	v^p	$\alpha \beta \gamma \delta$
$v^{\ell-1}m$	β	$v^{p-1}m$ (if $p \geq 1$)	$\alpha \beta$
$v^{\ell-1}h$	γ	$v^{p-1}h$ (if $p \geq 1$)	α
$v^{\ell-2}m^2$	δ	$v^{p-2}m^2$ (if $p \geq 2$)	α

annulus	α	$rs(r^2s)^\ell(r \log r)^p$ $rs(r^2s)^\ell(r \log r)^{p-1} \log r $ (if $p \geq 1$) $rs(r^2s)^\ell(r \log r)^{p-1}\frac{ \log r }{r^2}$ (if $p \geq 1$) $rs(r^2s)^\ell(r \log r)^{p-2} \log r ^2$ (if $p \geq 2$)	
	β	$rs(r^2s)^{\ell-1}r^2(r \log r)^p$ $rs(r^2s)^{\ell-1}r^2(r \log r)^{p-1} \log r $ (if $p \geq 1$)	
	γ	$rs(r^2s)^{\ell-1}(r \log r)^p$	\times
	δ	$rs(r^2s)^{\ell-2}r^4(r \log r)^p$	\times
tube	α	$\int_0^r \rho(rs\rho)^\ell(\rho \log \rho)^p d\rho$ $\int_0^r \rho(rs\rho)^\ell(\rho \log \rho)^{p-1} \log \rho d\rho$ (if $p \geq 1$) $\int_0^r \rho(rs\rho)^\ell(\rho \log \rho)^{p-1}\frac{1}{\rho^2} d\rho$ (if $p \geq 1$) $\int_0^r \rho(rs\rho)^\ell(\rho \log \rho)^{p-2} \log \rho ^2 d\rho$ (if $p \geq 2$)	
	β	$\int_0^r \rho(rs\rho)^{\ell-1}rs(\rho \log \rho)^p d\rho$ $\int_0^r \rho(rs\rho)^{\ell-1}rs(\rho \log \rho)^{p-1} \log \rho d\rho$ (if $p \geq 1$)	
	γ	0	
	δ	$\int_0^r \rho(rs\rho)^{\ell-2}(rs)^2(\rho \log \rho)^p d\rho$	

$$(B.5) \quad \sum_{p=0}^{n-\ell} \varepsilon_{\ell,p} = O((r^2s)^{\ell-1}(rs+r^3))$$

References

- [1] M.F. Atiyah, V.K. Patodi & I.M. Singer, *Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry, I*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **77** (1975), 97–118, MR 0397797, Zbl 0297.58008.
- [2] A.L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987, MR 2371700, Zbl 0613.53001.
- [3] O. Biquard & V. Minerbe, *A Kummer construction for gravitational instantons*, Comm. Math. Phys. **308** (2011), 773–794, MR 2855540, Zbl 1234.53020.
- [4] Z. Błocki, *The Calabi-Yau theorem*, Complex Monge-Ampère equations and geodesics in the space of Kähler metrics, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 2038, Springer, 2012, pp. 201–227, MR 2932444, Zbl 1231.32017.
- [5] J. Cheeger & D. Gromoll, *The splitting theorem for manifolds of non-negative Ricci curvature*, J. Diff. Geom. **6** (1971), 119–128, MR 0303460, Zbl 0223.53033.
- [6] ———, *On the structure of complete manifolds of nonnegative curvature*, Ann. of Math. (2) **96** (1972), 413–443, MR 0309010, Zbl 0246.53049.

- [7] J. Cheeger & G. Tian, *On the cone structure at infinity of Ricci-flat manifolds with Euclidean volume growth and quadratic curvature decay*, Invent. Math. **118** (1994), 493–571, MR 1296356, Zbl 0814.53034.
- [8] R.J. Conlon & H.-J. Hein, *Asymptotically conical Calabi-Yau manifolds, III*, arXiv:1405.7140.
- [9] R.J. Conlon, R. Mazzeo & F. Rochon, *The moduli space of asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau manifolds*, arXiv:1408.6562.
- [10] A. Corti, M. Haskins, J. Nordström & T. Pacini, *Asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau 3-folds from weak Fano 3-folds*, Geom. Topol. **17** (2013), 1955–2059, MR 3109862, Zbl 1273.14081.
- [11] ———, *G_2 -manifolds and associative submanifolds via semi-Fano 3-folds*, arXiv:1207.4470, to appear in Duke Math. J.
- [12] A.E. Fischer & J.A. Wolf, *The structure of compact Ricci-flat Riemannian manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **10** (1975), 277–288, MR 0377759, Zbl 0313.53020.
- [13] K. Frantzen, *$K3$ surfaces with special symmetry*, Ph.D. thesis, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, 2008.
- [14] A. Fujiki, *Finite automorphism groups of complex tori of dimension two*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **24** (1988), 1–97, MR 0944867, Zbl 0654.32015.
- [15] D. Greb & C. Lehn, *Base manifolds for Lagrangian fibrations on hyperkähler manifolds*, IMRN (2014), 5483–5487, Zbl 06369079.
- [16] A. Grigoryan & L. Saloff-Coste, *Stability results for Harnack inequalities*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **55** (2005), 825–890, MR 2149405, Zbl 1115.58024.
- [17] Q. Han & F. Lin, *Elliptic partial differential equations*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1, New York University Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York, 1997, MR 1669352, Zbl 1051.35031.
- [18] H.-J. Hein, *Weighted Sobolev inequalities under lower Ricci curvature bounds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (2011), 2943–2955, MR 2801635, Zbl 1220.53055.
- [19] ———, *Gravitational instantons from rational elliptic surfaces*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (2012), 355–393, MR 2869021, Zbl 1239.53066.
- [20] J.-M. Hwang, *Base manifolds for fibrations of projective irreducible symplectic manifolds*, Invent. Math. **174** (2008), 625–644, MR 2453602, Zbl 1161.14029.
- [21] K. Jänich, *Vector analysis*, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001, MR 1811820, Zbl 0964.58001.
- [22] D.D. Joyce, *Compact manifolds with special holonomy*, OUP Mathematical Monographs series, Oxford University Press, 2000, MR 1787733, Zbl 1027.53052.
- [23] J. Keum, K. Oguiso & D.-Q. Zhang, *The alternating group of degree 6 in the geometry of the Leech lattice and $K3$ surfaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **90** (2005), no. 3, 371–394, MR 2142132, Zbl 1071.11042.
- [24] A.G. Kovalev, *Twisted connected sums and special Riemannian holonomy*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **565** (2003), 125–160, MR 2024648, Zbl 1043.53041.
- [25] ———, *Ricci-flat deformations of asymptotically cylindrical Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2005, International Press, 2006, pp. 140–156, MR 2282013, Zbl 1102.53049.
- [26] A.G. Kovalev & N.-H. Lee, *$K3$ surfaces with non-symplectic involution and compact irreducible G_2 -manifolds*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **151** (2011), 193–218, MR 2823130, Zbl 1228.53064.

- [27] C. Li, *Deformations of complex cones and neighborhoods of ample divisors*, arXiv:1405.2433.
- [28] R.B. Lockhart & R.C. McOwen, *Elliptic differential operators on noncompact manifolds*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. **12** (1985), 409–447, MR 0837256, Zbl 0615.58048.
- [29] D. Matsushita, *On fibre space structures of a projective irreducible symplectic manifold*, Topology **38** (1999), 79–83, MR 1644091, Zbl 0932.32027.
- [30] V.G. Maz'ya & B.A. Plamenevskii, *Estimates in L_p and Hölder classes and the Miranda-Agmon maximum principle for solutions of elliptic boundary value problems in domains with singular points on the boundary*, Math. Nachr. **81** (1978), 25–82, English translation: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 123:1–56, 1984, MR 0492821, Zbl 0554.35035.
- [31] R. Melrose, *The Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem*, AK Peters, Wellesley, MA, 1994, MR 1348401, Zbl 0796.58050.
- [32] V. Minerbe, *Weighted Sobolev inequalities and Ricci-flat manifolds*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **18** (2009), 1696–1749, MR 2481740, Zbl 1166.53028.
- [33] N. Mok, *An embedding theorem of complete Kähler manifolds of positive Ricci curvature onto quasi-projective varieties*, Math. Ann. **286** (1990), 373–408, MR 1032939, Zbl 0711.53057.
- [34] N. Mok & J.Q. Zhong, *Compactifying complete Kähler-Einstein manifolds of finite topological type and bounded curvature*, Ann. of Math. (2) **129** (1989), 427–470, MR 0997309, Zbl 0682.53065.
- [35] S. Mukai, *Finite groups of automorphisms of K3 surfaces and the Mathieu group*, Invent. Math. **94** (1988), 183–221, MR 0958597, Zbl 0705.14045.
- [36] A. Nijenhuis & W. Woolf, *Some integration problems in almost-complex and complex manifolds*, Ann. of Math. **77** (1963), 424–489, MR 0149505, Zbl 0115.16103.
- [37] V.V. Nikulin, *Finite groups of automorphisms of Kählerian surfaces of type K3 (English translation)*, Moscow Math. Soc. **38** (1980), 71–137, MR 0544937, Zbl 0454.14017.
- [38] J. Nordström, *Deformations and gluing of asymptotically cylindrical manifolds with exceptional holonomy*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Cambridge, 2008.
- [39] ———, *Deformations of asymptotically cylindrical G_2 -manifolds*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **145** (2008), 311–348, MR 2442130, Zbl 1152.53020.
- [40] T. Perutz, *Hamiltonian handleslides for Heegaard Floer homology*, Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2007, Gökova, 2008, pp. 15–35, MR 2509747, Zbl 1193.30059.
- [41] Y.-T. Siu, *The $\bar{\partial}$ -problem with uniform bounds on derivatives*, Math. Ann. **207** (1974), 163–176, MR 0330515, Zbl 0256.35061.
- [42] C. Sormani, *Busemann functions on manifolds with lower bounds on Ricci curvature and minimal volume growth*, J. Diff. Geom. **48** (1998), 557–585, MR 1638053, Zbl 0901.53029.
- [43] G. Tian & S.-T. Yau, *Complete Kähler manifolds with zero Ricci curvature, I*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), 579–610, MR 1040196, Zbl 0719.53041.
- [44] ———, *Complete Kähler manifolds with zero Ricci curvature, II*, Invent. Math. **106** (1991), 27–60, MR 1123371, Zbl 0766.53053.

- [45] J. Varouchas, *Stabilité de la classe des variétés kählériennes par certains morphismes propres*, Invent. Math. **77** (1984), 117–127, MR 0751134, Zbl 0529.53049.
- [46] M.Y. Wang, *Parallel spinors and parallel forms*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **7** (1989), 59–68, MR 1029845, Zbl 0688.53007.
- [47] S.-T. Yau, *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation, I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math **31** (1978), 339–411, MR 0480350, Zbl 0369.53059.
- [48] ———, *The role of partial differential equations in differential geometry*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Helsinki, 1978), Acad. Sci. Fennica, 1980, pp. 237–250, MR 0562611, Zbl 0439.58001.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
IMPERIAL COLLEGE LONDON
LONDON SW7 2AZ, UNITED KINGDOM
E-mail address: m.haskins@imperial.ac.uk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND
COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742–4015
E-mail address: hein@umd.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
UNIVERSITY OF BATH
BATH BA2 7AY, UNITED KINGDOM
E-mail address: j.nordstrom@bath.ac.uk