

Correction to “New Experimental Data and Reference Models for the Viscosity and Density of Squalane”

Kurt A. G. Schmidt^{*1}, Doug Pagnutti², Meghan D. Curran³, Anil Singh⁴, J. P. Martin Trusler⁵,
Geoffrey C. Maitland⁵, Mark McBride-Wright⁵

¹Schlumberger, Abingdon Technology Center, Lambourn Court, Wyndyke Furlong, Abingdon, United Kingdom, OX14 1UJ

² Schlumberger, DBR Technology Center, 9450-17 Ave, Edmonton, AB, Canada, T6N 1M9

³Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering, University of Alberta, 9107 - 116 Street, Edmonton, AB, Canada, T6G 2V4

⁴Schlumberger, Schlumberger Rosharon Campus, 14910 Airline Road, Rosharon, TX, USA, 77583

⁵Department of Chemical Engineering, Imperial College London, South Kensington Campus, London, United Kingdom, SW7 2AZ

*Corresponding Author E-mail: kschmidt@slb.com

J. Chem. Eng. Data **2015**, *60* (1), 137–150. DOI:10.1021/je5008789

In Table 8 of the original paper, the parameters for the density model were labeled incorrectly. The partial table below correctly relates the parameters with their numerical values. This correction will allow readers to use the proposed density model correctly.

It should be mentioned that all the results and findings reported in the original paper are valid. The authors would like to apologize for any inconvenience this may have caused.

Table 8. Results of the regression analysis

Density	
Parameter	Value
$a_0/\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$	9.789×10^{02}
$a_1/\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}$	-5.355×10^{-01}
$a_2/\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{K}^{-2}$	-1.571×10^{-04}
C	2.000×10^{-01}
b_0/MPa	3.822×10^{02}
$b_1/\text{MPa}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}$	-1.162×10^{00}
$b_2/\text{MPa}\cdot\text{K}^{-2}$	9.305×10^{-04}