



Author for correspondence: Benjamin D. Stocker Email: benjamin.stocker@unibe.ch

Received: *3 May 2024* Accepted: *6 September 2024*

Tansley review

Empirical evidence and theoretical understanding of ecosystem carbon and nitrogen cycle interactions

Benjamin D. Stocker^{1,2} , Ning Dong³, Evan A. Perkowski⁴, Pascal D. Schneider^{1,2}, Huiying Xu⁵, Hugo J. de Boer⁶, Karin T. Rebel⁶, Nicholas G. Smith⁴, Kevin Van Sundert^{7,8}, Han Wang⁵, Sarah E. Jones³, I. Colin Prentice^{3,5} and Sandy P. Harrison^{5,9}

¹Institute of Geography, University of Bern, Hallerstrasse 12, CH-3012, Bern, Switzerland; ²Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Bern, Falkenplatz 16, 3012, Bern, Switzerland; ³Department of Life Sciences, Georgina Mace Centre for the Living Planet, Imperial College London, Silwood Park Campus, Buckhurst Road, Ascot, SL5 7PY, UK; ⁴Department of Biological Sciences, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409, USA; ⁵Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Earth System Modeling, Department of Earth System Science, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, China; ⁶Faculty of Geosciences, Copernicus Institute of Sustainable Development, Environmental Sciences, Utrecht University, Vening Meinesz Building, Princetonlaan 8a, Utrecht, 3584 CB, the Netherlands; ⁷Department of Biology, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, 2610, Wilrijk, Belgium; ⁸Department of Bioscience Engineering, University of Antwerp, Groenenborgerlaan 171, 2020, Antwerp, Belgium; ⁹Department of Geography and Environmental Science, University of Reading, Reading, RG6 6AB, UK

Contents

	Summary	1	V.	Discussion	9
I.	Introduction	2	VI.	Conclusions	14
II.	Insights from experimental manipulations	4		Acknowledgements	14
III.	Insights from environmental gradients	8		References	15
IV.	An optimality-guided CN-model	9			

Summary

New Phytologist (2024) **doi**: 10.1111/nph.20178

Key words: acclimation, allocation, carbon cycle, Dynamic Global Vegetation Models, eco-evolutionary optimality, meta-analysis, nitrogen cycle.

Interactions between carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) cycles in terrestrial ecosystems are simulated in advanced vegetation models, yet methodologies vary widely, leading to divergent simulations of past land C balance trends. This underscores the need to reassess our understanding of ecosystem processes, given recent theoretical advancements and empirical data. We review current knowledge, emphasising evidence from experiments and trait data compilations for vegetation responses to CO_2 and N input, alongside theoretical and ecological principles for modelling. N fertilisation increases leaf N content but inconsistently enhances leaf-level photosynthetic capacity. Whole-plant responses include increased leaf area and biomass, with reduced root allocation and increased aboveground biomass. Elevated atmospheric CO_2 also boosts leaf area and biomass but intensifies belowground allocation, depleting soil N and likely reducing N losses. Global leaf traits data confirm these findings, indicating that soil N availability

New Phytologist © 2024 New Phytologist Foundation.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

influences leaf N content more than photosynthetic capacity. A demonstration model based on the functional balance hypothesis accurately predicts responses to N and CO₂ fertilisation on tissue allocation, growth and biomass, offering a path to reduce uncertainty in global C cycle (Friedlingstein et al., 2022). The spread across individual models is much larger for C-N models than for C-only models, both for the mean terrestrial sink between 2011 and 2020 (Fig. 1b) and for the mean trend between 1959 and 2020 (Fig. 1c). Yet, on average across the ensemble of models, the average land C sink (2011-2020) and its multi-decadal trend since 1959 are in closer agreement with the implied residual sink from the Global Carbon Budget for C-N models than for C-only models. This suggests a general improvement of land C balance trend simulations linked to considering C-N interactions. However, the large variability among models is a concern and several individual C-N model simulations of the recent mean land C sink are outside the 95% confidence interval of its temporal trend (Fig. 1c). The terrestrial C sink and its evolution under future climate and CO₂ projections is a central quantity that has motivated the inclusion of explicit N cycling in DGVMs. Yet, the apparent

divergence of simulations for the terrestrial C sink and its trend indicates remaining challenges. The divergence cannot be attributed solely to uncertainty in the process representations relating to the terrestrial N cycle and its interaction with the C cycle in C-N models since there are inherent uncertainties and limitations in multiple aspects of simulations of the land C cycle (O'Sullivan et al., 2022) and impacts by land use change (Dohner et al., 2022). Nevertheless, the large difference in model spread among C-only vs C-N models suggests (1) that C-N coupling and related ecosystem feedbacks are particularly influential for long-term terrestrial C balance trends, (2) that there is a lack of agreed principles governing plant responses to N availability and limitation, and (3) that established observational benchmarks for model development and testing provide insufficient or insufficiently used constraints on decadal-scale C cycle trends.

Current models include representations of multiple processes linking the C and N cycles in ecosystems (Fig. 2). However, the diversity of representations of individual processes among the current generation of C-N models is large (Box 1, Davies-Barnard et al., 2020; Meyerholt et al., 2020) and insights gained from experiments and field observations remain valuable for better informing the next generation of C-N models. A key finding from earlier work has been that models overestimated leaf stoichiometric flexibility and underestimated flexibility in allocation and N uptake under elevated CO₂ (Zaehle et al., 2014; Medlyn et al., 2015). Flexible allocation with implications for N uptake remains unresolved in most of the current generation of C-N models, many of which simulate foliar C : N stoichiometry changes exclusively as a function of soil N availability (Box 1).

Recent research suggests that an influence of the atmospheric environment on leaf C : N stoichiometry and photosynthesis is evident and can be predicted. Large variations in photosynthetic capacity at the standard temperature of 25°C (V_{cmax25}) have been

I. Introduction

An open challenge in understanding and modelling the terrestrial carbon (C) cycle response to climate change is to understand the role of soil nutrients and, specifically, the extent to which nitrogen (N) limits the current and future land C sink. Resolving this question is essential for understanding the climate-C cycle feedback under rising atmospheric CO₂ levels - a major source of uncertainty in Earth system projections (Friedlingstein et al., 2014; Arora et al., 2020; Wei et al., 2022) and a Grand Challenge identified by the World Climate Research Programme (https://www.wcrpclimate.org/gc-carbon-feedbacks). As N is an essential nutrient required for biomass synthesis and used in enzymes responsible for C assimilation, N availability constrains C cycle dynamics (Vitousek & Howarth, 1991; LeBauer & Treseder, 2008).

projections.

The first generation of models simulating the terrestrial C cycle and climate change effects on ecosystems did not resolve mechanisms of C and N cycle interactions explicitly. These C-only Dynamic Global Vegetation Models (DGVMs) predicted substantial, albeit diverging, increases in the terrestrial C stock as a consequence of an enhancement of terrestrial gross and net biomass productivity under elevated CO₂ and a warming climate (Cramer et al., 2001). However, limited flexibility in the C : N stoichiometry of plant tissues implies that additional N has to be made available for plant acquisition and sequestration in rising biomass stocks and other plant-derived organic matter, for example in soils. Hungate et al. (2003) argued that the additional N required for plant acquisition implied by these C-only model projections was unrealistic and, therefore, that the projections of the future land C sink were excessive. Early results from free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) experiments, conducted mostly on temperate grassland and forest ecosystems, also provided evidence for a strong role of N in regulating growth responses to elevated CO₂ (Oren *et al.*, 2001; Schneider et al., 2004; Dukes et al., 2005; Reich et al., 2006). These insights motivated the development of a second generation of DGVMs that would explicitly resolve interactions with the N cycle and thereby consider the constraints imposed by limiting plant N acquisition (Shi et al., 2016). However, incorporation of the N constraint has not reduced the uncertainty in C cycle projections, as shown by diverging projections of the land-atmosphere C flux by the current-generation model ensembles (Arora et al., 2020) and by diverging global simulations of the response of biomass production to elevated CO₂ and N fertilisation (Davies-Barnard et al., 2020).

Comparison of the observed terrestrial C balance trend in recent decades and the trends simulated by a recent generation of DGVMs corroborates this picture (Fig. 1). These models were used for the model intercomparison activity Trends and Drivers of Terrestrial Sources and Sinks of Carbon Dioxide (TRENDY) (Sitch et al., 2024) v.8, and for the quantification of the Global Carbon Budget





Fig. 1 Terrestrial carbon (C) balance and trends. (a) Residual terrestrial land sink (i.e. after removal of the land use fluxes) time series, (b) recent decadal mean sink and sink trend. Shading in (a) indicates the model spread for C-only models (red) and C–N coupled models (blue). Data are from the TRENDY v.8 simulations and the Global Carbon Budget 2021 (Friedlingstein *et al.*, 2022). Observations-based estimates ('Obs.' in a) represent the residual of the global C budget, calculated as the difference between the sums of all emissions (from fossil fuel combustion and land use change) and the sum of the atmospheric growth rate, the ocean sink and the sink of cement carbonation. Boxes in (b) and (c) represent the inter-quartile range (IQR) and whiskers the median plus/minus 1.5 times the IQR for C–N coupled models and for C-only models. The vertical line for observations indicates 1.96 times the SD in (b) and 1.96 times the SE (corresponding to the 95% confidence interval) of the sink trend in (c). See Supporting Information Notes S1 for further information about the methods of this analysis.

documented along climatic gradients (Smith *et al.*, 2019; Peng *et al.*, 2020; Dong *et al.*, 2022; Wang *et al.*, 2023; Xu *et al.*, 2023) and an acclimating response of V_{cmax25} is documented from FACE experiments (Ainsworth & Rogers, 2007). A high V_{cmax25} requires a high amount of the N-rich enzyme Rubisco. Hence, a changing climate and rising CO₂ have direct implications for the *demand* for N and foliar C : N stoichiometry (Dong *et al.*, 2017) – a pathway of C–N coupling that has not been considered in the current generation of C–N models.

Predictions of leaf-level responses and reliable C cycle simulations and projections to novel environmental conditions rely on efficient theory and first principles that predict generally observed patterns in ecosystems in response to the abiotic environment (Marquet *et al.*, 2014). Eco-evolutionary optimality (EEO) theory has been developed for predicting how V_{cmax25} and leaf N acclimate to the atmospheric environment (Wright *et al.*, 2003; Prentice *et al.*, 2014; Dong *et al.*, 2017; Smith *et al.*, 2019; Peng *et al.*, 2021; Dong *et al.*, 2022) and for modelling flexible allocation and the role

Tansley review

Review

Tansley review

Allocation

N fixation Plant-soil-rock

interactions

N mineralization

4 Review

N deposition



• Atmospheric environment

Ecosystem N balance N losses N₀O emissions of N in constraining ecosystem responses to rising CO₂ and climate change (Bloom et al., 1985; Rastetter et al., 1997; Franklin, 2007; Franklin et al., 2020; Harrison et al., 2021). However, key governing principles for the prediction of acclimation of photosynthetic and other traits, allocation and C-N interactions are often not considered in models that are used for global-scale simulations

Soil N availability

Growth

N uptake

N supply

vs demand)

hotosynthesis

acclimation

Leaf C · N

and as components in coupled Earth System Models. Large compilations of leaf traits and experimental data have become available in recent years (Cleland et al., 2019; Kattge et al., 2020; Liang et al., 2020; Van Sundert et al., 2023). Ecosystem manipulation experiments provide particularly strong empirical constraints on models' responses to environmental change factors and ensuing long-term shifts in ecosystem dynamics (Medlyn et al., 2015; Wieder et al., 2019; Caldararu et al., 2023), while field data compilations provide rich information about global variations of N-related plant traits. Together, these data may provide an opportunity to derive general patterns of ecosystem responses to altered CO2 and soil N availability for re-examining our understanding of the coupling between the C and N cycles and how they respond to a changing environment from a plant-centred point of view. This, in turn, provides a basis for suggesting how these cycles could be represented more realistically in models. In this review, we first summarise the insights gained from meta-analyses of ecosystem manipulation experiments and leaf traits data compilations across large environmental gradients. We then show how the observed patterns can be captured using EEO modelling approaches, implemented in a simple demonstration model of the coupled C-N cycle dynamics in terrestrial ecosystems. Finally, we discuss how the insights from observations and theoretical considerations could be used to improve our ability to simulate the C cycle response to multi-decadal environmental change.

II. Insights from experimental manipulations

Ecosystem manipulation experiments at various scales have been used to study terrestrial C-N cycle coupling. While results from individual experiments can differ, a meta-analysis of the available data can reveal consistent patterns. We performed a meta-analysis

drawing on multiple published data compilations of ecosystem manipulation experiments (Notes S2). All data compilations focus on responses observed under field conditions in natural soils with native vegetation and should thus be indicative of global vegetation responses to environmental change. We use data only from experiments where a single factor was manipulated (CO2 or N input) and exclude interactive responses recorded in multi-factorial experiments. For the CO2 response, we use data from the Manipulation Experiments Synthesis Initiative (MESI) (Van Sundert *et al.*, 2023) – a combined dataset that integrates multiple data compilations used for previous meta-analyses and results from individual experiments (Table S1). For biomass-related responses to N fertilisation, we use data from MESI, combined with data from a network of standardised grassland field experiments (NutNet) (Cleland et al., 2019) (Table S2). To complement our analysis with a focus on leaf-level responses, we use data of N-fertilisation effects on photosynthesis and leaf traits from the published meta-analysis by Liang et al. (2020) (Table S3). We quantified the logarithm of response ratios to compare relative changes in a set of variables relevant for ecosystem C-N interactions.

1. Nitrogen uptake responses to nitrogen availability

Our meta-analysis (Fig. 3) of published data on ecosystem experiments (Cleland et al., 2019; Liang et al., 2020; Van Sundert et al., 2023) shows that N fertilisation increases soil inorganic N (Fig. 3b) and drives an enhancement of N uptake despite generally absent changes in belowground biomass and root biomass production. This indicates that more N is taken up by plants per C spent to build roots. Enhanced N acquisition efficiency in N-fertilisation experiments is also reflected by the general decrease in root : shoot ratios and root mass fractions (RMFs) under N fertilisation (Fig. 3b). This reduction in the relative resource investment for N acquisition, or cost to acquire N (Terrer et al., 2018; Eastman et al., 2021; Perkowski et al., 2021), results in a greater relative resource investment in aboveground tissues (Fig. 4), promoting increased C acquisition and growth.

Box 1 Representations of ecosystem C and N cycle interactions in models used for TRENDY v.8 and in the CN-model (Stocker & Prentice, 2024). References for each model are given in the SI

N uptake: many models consider the effect of abiotic soil properties, including temperature, water content and inorganic soil N content, on N uptake, but the formulations diverge. Some models scale N uptake linearly with inorganic soil N content (CLM4.5 (Oleson *et al.*, 2010), SDGVM (Walker *et al.*, 2017), JULES (Wiltshire *et al.*, 2021)), while others assume a saturating relationship (ISAM (Meiyappan *et al.*, 2015), DLEM (Tian *et al.*, 2015), CABLE (Haverd *et al.*, 2018), O-CN (Zaehle & Friend, 2010), ORCHIDEEv3 (Vuichard *et al.*, 2019), LPJ-GUESS (Smith *et al.*, 2014)) and CN-model (Stocker & Prentice, 2024). Regarding plant properties, some models scale N uptake by the total N demand of the vegetation (JSBACH (Reick *et al.*, 2021)), CABLE, CLM4.5, CLM5 (Lawrence *et al.*, 2019)); some models additionally include a linear increase in N uptake with root mass or surface area (CLM5, ISAM, O-CN, ORCHIDEEv3, LPJ-GUESS and JULES), or with leaf N (ORCHIDEE). An explicit saturating relationship of N uptake and root mass is not considered in TRENDY v.8 models but in CN-model (Stocker & Prentice, 2024). Some models include an explicit increase in N uptake efficiency if the whole-plant (nonwoody) C : N ratio increases (OCN, ORCHIDEEv3, LPJ-GUESS and CML5). CLM5 explicitly calculates a carbon (C) cost for N acquisition from different potential sources, including direct root uptake, uptake via symbiotic mycorrhizas, fixation via symbiotic bacteria and resorption.

Allocation: most models use dimensional relationships that may vary with phenology or environment but keep a constant balance between above- and belowground allocation (root : shoot ratio) (CLM4.5, CLM5, CABLE, JULES, SDGVM, JSBACH, ORCHIDEEv3 and LPJ-GUESS). Some models allow allocation to vary in response to N availability (IBIS (Yuan *et al.*, 2014)) or demand (OCN, ORCHIDEEv3 and LPJ-GUESS). CN-model simulates fully flexible allocation to achieve a match between N uptake and demand, given growth and stoichiometry in different tissues.

Stoichiometry: flexible stoichiometry allows plants to reduce their N demand for growth when N uptake is limited. Some models prescribe a fixed C : N ratio for each plant tissue and PFT (CLM4.5, JULES, SDGVM, JSBACH and IBIS) or for nonleaf tissues (CN-model). Other models include flexible C : N stoichiometry, either on a whole-plant basis with the between-tissue ratios of C : N ratios held constant (JULES and CLM4.5), or with flexible stoichiometry on a tissue basis (OCN, ORCHIDEEv3, LPJ-GUESS, CLM5 and CABLE). Some models additionally use the difference between current and targeted tissue C : N to modulate N uptake (O-CN, ORCHIDEEv3, JULES and CLM5). Flexible stoichiometry is commonly simulated as a function of soil N availability vs demand. In CN-model, it is simulated via internally predicted photosynthetic capacities (V_{cmax} and J_{max}) and their response to the atmospheric environment.

Photosynthesis: net photosynthesis rates are simulated in most terrestrial biosphere models using the Farquhar *et al.* (1980) and Collatz *et al.* (1992) models for C₃ and C₄ species, respectively (except ISBA (Delire *et al.*, 2020) using the model by Goudriaan, 1986, see Delire *et al.*, 2020). The key parameters that drive rate-limiting steps (maximum Rubisco carboxylation rate, V_{cmax} ; and maximum electron transport rate for RuBP regeneration, J_{max}) are commonly predicted through empirical relationships with leaf N content (O-CN, ORCHIDEEv3, LPJ-GUESS, CLM4.5, CLM5, CABLE, DLEM, JULES). These rates are typically scaled through the canopy using light extinction coefficients simulated as a function of leaf N concentration (CLM4.5, CLM5, JULES and ISBA) or specific leaf area (ORCHIDEEv3). Some models (CLM5, CABLE and LPJ-GUESS) include an optimality principle for allocating a fixed total amount of leaf N into investments of carboxylation vs electron transport, depending on environmental conditions (e.g. using the LUNA model, Ali *et al.*, 2016). However, the total leaf N is determined in these models by soil N supply and is not subject to acclimation to the atmospheric environment. In CN-model, V_{cmax} and J_{max} are predicted in response to the atmospheric environment and are independent of soil N, while leaf N is derived from predicted V_{cmax} and J_{max} and is thus also independent of soil N.

Autotrophic respiration: most models treat autotrophic respiration as the sum of growth and maintenance respiration. In some models, autotrophic respiration is a fixed proportion of the maximum Rubisco carboxylation rate (JSBACH, CABLE), or tissue N content (JULES, ORCHIDEEv3 and O-CN). In others, it is additionally modified by temperature (DLEM, CLM4.5 and CLM5). Growth respiration is based on the construction costs of allocating new C to tissues (CLM4.5 and CLM5) or set to a fixed proportion of GPP (DLEM). In CN-model, the leaf respiration base rate scales with V_{cmax} following (Wang *et al.*, 2020).

N limitation: N limitation is an emergent model behaviour, determined by multiple process representations, expressed through the effect by which N limits photosynthesis, productivity, and/or the ecosystem-level C sequestration. N limitation is commonly measured in experiments through the simulated effects of N fertilisation. The large variability in modelling N dynamics allows for categorising N limitation representations in several respects. Focusing on the plant–soil axis, we categorised the effect of N limitation under elevated CO₂ into four partially intersecting groups: (1) Relatively simple models that have no explicit N dynamics and constrain the response of biomass production under elevated CO₂ with empirical functions (YIBs (Yue & Unger, 2015), ISBA); (2) Models that simulate N limitation through downregulation of NPP due to low N availability in the soil, but do not simulate any plant response to this limitation (SDGVM, JSBACH, IBIS and CLM4.5); (3) Models that additionally consider within-plant responses, for example a flexible stoichiometry that allows an increase in N use efficiency and, therefore, the maintenance of biomass production to some degree under N limitation (CABLE and CLM5); and (4) Models that, in addition to flexible stoichiometry, include more complex responses, where plants respond to low N uptake by increasing their N uptake efficiency, increasing their root : shoot ratio, or increasing the C spent to acquire N from different sources (O-CN, ORCHIDEEv3, LPJ-GUESS, CLM5 and CN-model).

The increase in N uptake efficiency under N fertilisation is likely the consequence of increased mass flow of N uptake per root surface area. The mechanics of root N uptake and its dependency on root surface area and inorganic N concentrations in the soil solution can be described in terms of the first principles of solute movement and enzymatic uptake rates (McMurtrie & Näsholm, 2018). In addition, the reliance on other forms of N uptake (e.g. N fixation from symbiotic relationships with soil microbial communities) is also affected by N fertilisation. For example, an increase in plant-available soil inorganic N reduces the reliance on N uptake pathways that rely on microbial symbionts, as a result of increased mass flow uptake (Bloom *et al.*, 1985; Rastetter *et al.*, 2001; Perkowski *et al.*, 2021). Organic N forms (amino acids) can constitute an important source of N to plants (Näsholm *et al.*, 2009), drive a similar response in aboveground plant biomass (Shi *et al.*, 2024), and affect soil N cycling and plant supply differently from inorganic N in fertilisation experiments (Lim *et al.*, 2022). However, even in arctic environments where (a)

(b)

(c)

Α

N_{arer}

LAI

ANPP

AGB

BGB

RMF

A

GPP

V_{cmax}

*J*___

N

N_{mas}

LAI

ANPP

AGB

BGB

-1

Root NPP

N uptake

Inorganic N

Root : shoot

Leaf C : N

N uptake

Inorganic N

Root NPP

Root : shoot

-1

-1

Leaf biomass

n

ò

•____

1

1

1

Log response ratio

Log response ratio





mineralisation is often suppressed by freezing temperatures and organic N supply has been argued to be dominant, inorganic N cycling rates are of similar magnitude as in temperate and tropical soils (Ramm et al., 2022).

Ó

Our meta-analysis (Fig. 3b) further shows that inorganic N availability responses to N fertilisation varied substantially, both in sign and magnitude, across studies. This may reflect variability in the amount of N added across studies (we excluded experiments with N application rates of > 30 gN m⁻² yr⁻¹). However, it could also imply that the distinct contexts of the studies altered the fertilisation impact on N availability and could be indicative of high spatiotemporal heterogeneity of soil inorganic N availability (Van Sundert et al., 2020; Akana et al., 2023; Ochoa-Hueso et al., 2023) and soil microbiomes (Jansson & Hofmockel, 2020) in terrestrial ecosystems.

2. Carbon allocation responses to nitrogen availability

Our meta-analysis shows a general relative C allocation shift to aboveground tissues under increased N availability (Figs 3, 4). Aboveground NPP and biomass increased despite no consistent change in root NPP or belowground biomass across experiments. This allocation response is reflected by the clear declines in the root : shoot ratio and the RMF. The shift towards aboveground tissues under N fertilisation likely reflects the aforementioned increase in N uptake efficiency (McMurtrie & Näsholm, 2018; Eastman et al., 2021; Perkowski et al., 2021) and implies a positive feedback whereby additional N leads to increases in leaf area and subsequently GPP, resulting in plants that are larger and have more aboveground biomass than their unfertilised counterparts. Although the general pattern indicates relatively more investment



Tansley review

Review 7



Fig. 4 Simultaneous response ratios of above and belowground biomass for (a) CO_2 experiments and (b) nitrogen (N)-fertilisation experiments. Each point represents the average log-transformed ratio of means recorded across all years for one experiment. The size of points represents the inverse of the SE of the log response ratio. The log response ratio is computed for N-fertilisation experiments with varying manipulations, but filtered to manipulations below $< 30 \text{ gN m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ to facilitate comparability. The log response ratio is computed for CO_2 experiments by normalising with the log-transformed ratio of control and elevated CO_2 levels. See Supporting Information Notes S2 for further information about methods of this analysis.

of C for biomass production above- than belowground, in some experiments, the response is opposite (Fig. 4). Such responses have previously been documented for severely nutrient-limited ecosystems (Van Wijk *et al.*, 2003).

3. Leaf-level responses to nitrogen availability

Leaf N, both on a mass and area basis, increases consistently with soil N fertilisation (Fig. 3a). Even though leaf N and photosynthetic capacity are positively correlated across species and sites (Evans, 1989; Walker et al., 2014), there is no response of leaf carboxylation capacity (V_{cmax}) to N fertilisation in the data we analysed (Fig. 3a). Although we analysed the same data as (Liang et al., 2020), this result differs from their finding of a positive, yet nonsignificant, response because we excluded two experiments where > 30 gN m⁻² yr⁻¹ were applied. Our result indicates a decoupling of the leaf N-photosynthetic capacity relationship under N fertilisation (Luo et al., 2021; Waring et al., 2023). While the absent $V_{\rm cmax}$ response indicates little effect of N fertilisation on leaf-level photosynthesis (and dark respiration), the strong positive effect on LAI and leaf biomass suggests that increasing GPP in response to increasing N availability is primarily the result of increased leaf production, rather than an increase in the photosynthetic capacity of individual leaves.

Liang *et al.* (2020) provided a larger dataset on the response of the assimilation rate to N fertilisation than available for photosynthetic capacities. These data show a significant increase in assimilation rate (which we assume is assimilation under

light-saturated conditions, i.e. A_{sat}) in response to N fertilisation. However, there appear to be two separate populations of experiments (fig. 4a in Liang *et al.*, 2020). Some of the experiments show an increase in assimilation rate that is proportional to the increase in biomass, while a second group show a varying response of biomass but no increase in assimilation rate (Table S2). There is insufficient information given about the prior N availability in the soil (or through N deposition) to determine whether these differences in response reflect differences in the background state, differences in the N-fertilisation treatments or differences in responses across species. There is also no obvious pattern in terms of plant groups, phenology or mycorrhizal type (Table S1). Thus, it is currently impossible to resolve the cause of these different responses. However, the mean change in assimilation rate is only about a quarter of the magnitude of the change in leaf biomass.

4. The role of atmospheric CO₂ availability in driving nitrogen-related processes and responses

Elevated CO_2 tends to increase LAI, biomass production and biomass stocks, similar to the effects of N fertilisation. However, opposite to the effects of N fertilisation, the increase in belowground production under elevated CO_2 is stronger than the increase in aboveground production, inducing a net shift towards greater belowground growth and biomass allocation. This shift towards belowground allocation in turn increases N uptake, depleting available mineral N in the soil (Fig. 3c). This negative feedback loop between plant N uptake and plant-available N may Tansley review

8 Review



Fig. 5 Effects of different environmental factors on leaf traits, including the maximum rate of Rubisco carboxylation (V_{cmax25}), nitrogen (N) content per unit area (Narea) and mass (Nmass) and leaf mass per unit area (LMA). Effects are determined as coefficients of multivariate linear regression models of data with normalised values of the predictors growth temperature (T_g) , photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD), logtransformed vapour pressure deficit (log VPD), log-transformed N deposition rate (N_{dep}) and soil C: N ratio (soil C: N). Error bars indicate the 95%-confidence interval. This is based on a global dataset of leaf trait measurements along large environmental gradients (Dong et al., 2022). See Supporting Information Notes S3 for further information about methods of this analysis.

constrain the positive effects of CO₂ fertilisation on plant growth and biomass production, especially in soils with low N availability (Luo et al., 2004). This N constraint on CO₂ fertilisation has been substantiated by past studies showing greater positive biomass responses when N availability is high in combination with elevated CO₂ as compared to elevated CO₂ responses when N availability is low (Terrer et al., 2019). However, N uptake consistently increases under elevated CO₂ and it should be noted that the data analysed here are from experiments where no N fertilisation was applied in parallel to the elevated CO₂ application. This suggests that a progressive release from N limitation (Walker et al., 2015) may be enabled by the increased capacity of plants to acquire (increasingly scarce) soil N thanks to increased root biomass and surface area under elevated CO₂. At the leaf level, elevated CO₂ reduces leaf N by decreasing the demand for photosynthetic enzymes and leaf-tissue N (Smith & Keenan, 2020; Dong et al., 2022). This implies an additional mechanism by which N limitation on biomass production under elevated CO₂ may be partly alleviated.

III. Insights from environmental gradients

C cycle simulations of DGVMs are particularly sensitive to the representations of leaf traits due to the role of traits in photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation and their influence on N cycling. The amount of N in leaves is linked to photosynthetic capacity through the N-rich enzyme Rubisco that is involved in photosynthesis. The acclimation of photosynthesis to the atmospheric environment should thus have implications for the leaf C : N stoichiometry and plant N demand (Dong *et al.*, 2022; Xu *et al.*, 2023). However, not all leaf N is in photosynthetic proteins. Across ecosystems globally, LMA is a more important determinant of leaf N than V_{cmax25} (Dong *et al.*, 2022). In this section, we consider what can be learned from large-scale geographic patterns of V_{cmax25} , LMA and leaf N and their relationships to environmental variables, including soil C : N ratio (as an inverse index of

soil N availability) and N deposition, accounting for simultaneous variations in temperature, light and atmospheric dryness.

1. Leaf-level responses to environment

The atmospheric environment influences photosynthetic capacity in ways that can be understood via EEO principles (Reich et al., 2007; Ali et al., 2016; Smith et al., 2019; Dong et al., 2020). Fig. 5, based on a simplified analysis of the global leaf-trait dataset presented in Dong et al. (2022) (see Notes \$3), illustrates the widely observed reduction in V_{cmax25} with growth temperature and increase with light and vapour pressure deficit (VPD). These dependencies are well understood in EEO terms. V_{cmax25} increases with light availability (enabling the leaf to use all of the light it absorbs), declines with growth temperature because less Rubisco is required for photosynthesis at higher temperatures, and increases with VPD because more Rubisco is required to compensate for reduced stomatal conductance - which represents the least-cost response of air-to-leaf CO2 drawdown to VPD (Prentice et al., 2014; Smith et al., 2019; Dong et al., 2020, 2022; Peng *et al.*, 2021).

Fig. 5 also shows that V_{cmax25} decreases with an increasing soil C : N ratio – which may reflect the higher costs of N acquisition on less fertile soils (Paillassa *et al.*, 2020). However, N deposition does not increase V_{cmax25} . These responses of V_{cmax25} do not mimic those of leaf N. In particular, soil C : N ratio has a stronger effect on leaf N (both N_{mass} and N_{area}) than on V_{cmax25} , while N deposition increases N_{mass} but reduces LMA so strongly that N_{area} is paradoxically reduced. The predictors considered in the models here were almost all highly significant (Notes S3: Table 1), while model fits achieved relatively modest adjusted R^2 values of between 0.1 for N_{mass} and 0.2 for LMA. This reflects the influence of differences in leaf traits between species and the limited capacity of linear models to fit often interactive and nonlinear effects of abiotic factors (Tian *et al.*, 2024).

2. Patterns in leaf traits data are consistent with experimental evidence, but not with common assumptions

A widespread modelling paradigm emphasises stoichiometric flexibility (Zaehle *et al.*, 2014; Medlyn *et al.*, 2015; Hauser *et al.*, 2023) and the control of photosynthesis and plant growth by soil N supply (Box 1). It is commonly assumed that increased soil N availability enhances N concentrations in leaves, enabling increased photosynthetic capacity. Our analysis of large-scale field data, however, is consistent with the experimental evidence (Fig. 3) that photosynthetic capacity is not directly controlled by leaf N content, and that increased N availability can increase leaf N without consistently increasing photosynthetic capacity.

IV. An optimality-guided CN-model

As a relatively simple and transparent demonstration model, we use the CN-model (Stocker & Prentice, 2024), which draws on EEO concepts to model the two pathways through which C and N cycle interactions and ecosystem feedbacks arise - through allocation and acclimation of photosynthesis. The model dynamically simulates responses to experimental treatments and is comparable in model scope (resolved processes, pools and fluxes) to terrestrial biosphere models described in Box 1 and used for simulations of land C balance trends for the Global Carbon Budget (Fig. 1), but is run here for point-scale simulations. Allocation to roots and shoots is predicted following a C-N functional balance approach (Bloom et al., 1985) through which the root : shoot ratio is dynamically simulated such that the ratio of C assimilation and N uptake matches the demand by respiration and the C : N ratio of new biomass production. Acclimation of photosynthesis is modelled through the trade-off between optimising C assimilation relative to water loss (Prentice et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2017) and predicts $V_{\rm cmax}$ and $J_{\rm max}$, and – by implication – the total amount of leaf metabolic N. Soil N is assumed to have no effect on the acclimation of photosynthesis. Leaf structural N is modelled based on a linear function of leaf metabolic N. N uptake is simulated based on a simplified representation of N transport in the soil solution and root uptake following McMurtrie & Näsholm (2018), and saturates with increasing root mass and increasing soil inorganic N. Organic N uptake is not considered. The model is forced to simulate a representative N-fertilisation (12 g m⁻² yr⁻¹) and CO₂ experiment (doubling of ambient levels) in a representative grassland. More detailed information about model simulations is provided in Notes S4. A comprehensive description of the model and its underlying hypotheses is given in Stocker & Prentice (2024).

The model predicts the correct response direction in all observed variables from N-fertilisation and CO₂ experiments simultaneously (Fig. 6), from photosynthesis (GPP, V_{cmax} and J_{max}), growth (ANPP and root NPP) and biomass (AGB, BGB, root : shoot and RMF) to N cycling (N uptake, inorganic N), except for N_{mass} and N_{area} , where the model predicts no response. Also, no responses are (incorrectly) simulated for A_{sat} in N-fertilisation experiments and for leaf C : N in CO₂ experiments. The simulated absence of an effect of N fertilisation on V_{cmax25} is consistent with

the experimental meta-analysis. Patterns in the root : shoot ratio, the RMF, above and belowground biomass and biomass production under elevated CO₂ and N fertilisation are correctly predicted. Consistent with experimental evidence, the model also predicts enhanced N uptake under elevated CO₂ despite a (slight) depletion of inorganic soil N and conditions suggestive of N limitation. The system modelled here appears N-limited, considering the simulated positive response in biomass and biomass production under N fertilisation. The enhanced N uptake is enabled by a more efficient recycling of N along the N uptake-turnover-mineralisation-loss cycle. Overall, the simulated response ratios are within the 95% confidence interval of the meta-analytic mean for 12 among the 23 observed response ratios in N-fertilisation and CO₂ experiments and within the range of individual experiments for 22 among the 23 observed response ratios. Deviations of the simulated vs metaanalytic mean response for aboveground biomass and productivity under eCO₂ and for inorganic N under N fertilisation may be related to the focus on a representative grassland for simulations (no wood allocation), an incomplete representation of the controls on inorganic soil N dynamics and other aspects of the model that will have to be investigated in further work.

V. Discussion

The representation of C-N interactions in models of terrestrial ecosystems is particularly influential for their simulations of longterm land C balance changes (Fig. 1) (Thornton et al., 2007; Zaehle et al., 2010a,2010b; Thomas et al., 2013, 2015; Wieder et al., 2015b; Meyerholt et al., 2020) and not sufficiently constrained by commonly used benchmarks (Meyerholt et al., 2020). Yet, representations of related processes in the current generation of C-N models are very diverse, if not conflicting, for key processes (Box 1). This context provided the motivation for our review of collective empirical constraints from ecosystem experiments and leaf traits data compilations. We took a plant-centric perspective to identify patterns in allocation and photosynthesis acclimation under N fertilisation, elevated CO₂ and along climatic gradients and showed that several related responses can be predicted from EEO principles. Confronting CN-model with the results of the meta-analysis of ecosystem experiments suggests that the EEO principle of the functional balance in allocation is a powerful approach to simulating observed responses. The strong influence of climate on V_{cmax} indicates that reliable predictions of leaf stoichiometry and photosynthesis responses to global change may benefit from an existing EEO model of the acclimation of photosynthesis (Prentice et al., 2014). The strong influence of N deposition on LMA indicates an open challenge for modelling. Taken together, considering EEO principles and a wide array of empirical constraints are useful for informing the next generation of C-N models.

1. Allocation

N fertilisation and increasing CO_2 elicit opposite responses in C allocation, as indicated by the general patterns in Fig. 4. N fertilisation leads to increased N uptake efficiency and reduced

10 Review



New Phytologist

Fig. 6 Modelled (green dots) and observed responses (grey bars) to N fertilisation and increased CO₂. The response to nitrogen (N) fertilisation shown (a) by data from (Liang et al., 2020) and (b) by MESI (Van Sundert et al., 2023) and NutNet (Cleland et al., 2019) data. The response to elevated CO_2 (c) is based on MESI data. The boxes represent the 95%confidence intervals of the meta-analytic mean and the vertical line in each box' centre its mean (same as blue and red boxes in Fig. 3). The whiskers represent the full range of response ratios across experiments. See Supporting Information Notes S4 for further information about methods of this analysis.

4698137, 0, Downloaded from https://nph.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/nph.20178 by Imperial College London, Wiley Online Library on [14/11/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/nph.20178 by Imperial College London, Wiley Online Library on [14/11/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/nph.20178 by Imperial College London, Wiley Online Library on [14/11/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/nph.20178 by Imperial College London, Wiley Online Library on [14/11/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/nph.20178 by Imperial College London, Wiley Online Library for the applicable Creative Commons Licenses.

allocation to roots. Exposure to elevated CO2 leads to increased production with a stronger increase in belowground allocation, which tends to deplete soil N. Similar responses have been documented in the literature for CO₂ experiments (Rogers et al., 1995; Schneider et al., 2004; Ainsworth & Long, 2005; Leakey et al., 2009; De Kauwe et al., 2014; Song et al., 2019; Jiang et al., 2020) and N-fertilisation experiments (Poorter et al., 2012; Cleland et al., 2019; Song et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020, 2024; Eastman et al., 2021; Keller et al., 2023). Yet, there remains a relatively high residual variability in response ratios across experiments (Figs 3, 4). The general pattern of allocation shifts in response to N fertilisation and CO₂ is predicted by EEO-based models (Thornley, 1995; Rastetter et al., 1997; Mäkelä et al., 2008; Franklin et al., 2009). Related patterns of the effect of soil fertility on allocation have also been documented based on field data. Ecosystems on fertile soils have been found to produce biomass

more efficiently, suggesting that additional belowground C allocation on infertile soils may, at least partly, fuel the rhizosphere through exudation (Vicca *et al.*, 2012).

According to experimental findings and model predictions, elevated CO_2 should drive a rise in belowground C allocation. Decadal-scale temporal variations in root : shoot ratios under field conditions have, to our knowledge, not yet been documented. However, such a shift would have important consequences for the C balance of terrestrial ecosystems across the globe, but is likely missed since belowground biomass is commonly estimated via nondestructive methods based on constant relationships with aboveground biomass and allometric relations with tree diameter and tree ring growth data.

Additional processes of plant–soil interaction are fuelled by amplified belowground allocation under rising CO₂. The decomposition of soil organic matter and the N supply rate are governed

by the depolymerisation by microbes (Schimel & Bennett, 2004) and can be enhanced by additional C exudates and rhizosphere priming - the increased soil organic matter mineralisation due to enhanced microbial activity (Phillips et al., 2011; Zhu & Cheng, 2011; Kuzyakov et al., 2019), for example via symbiotic associations with mycorrhizas (Phillips et al., 2013; Frey, 2019). Ectomycorrhizal (ECM) fungi are particularly effective in mobilising soil N for plant uptake (Sulman et al., 2017; Bonsall et al., 2020) and sustaining a positive aboveground biomass response under elevated CO₂ (Terrer et al., 2016), potentially by oxidising soil organic matter (Shah et al., 2016), triggering a simultaneous acceleration of SOM and litter decomposition (Zak et al., 2011; van Groenigen et al., 2014) and reducing soil organic matter stocks (Shah et al., 2016; Terrer et al., 2021). However, not all ECM fungi appear to possess saprotrophic capabilities (Lindahl & Tunlid, 2015; Pellitier & Zak, 2018) and the occurrence of saprotrophic ECM may vary across ecosystems (Pellitier & Zak, 2018). A mechanistic understanding of the effects of exudation on N availability for plant uptake, losses and fixation, considering feedbacks through organic C decomposition (Keuper et al., 2020) and inorganic N immobilisation by the activated rhizosphere, is only beginning to emerge (Coskun et al., 2017; Kuzyakov et al., 2019) and remains an open challenge for consideration in DGVMs.

The observed allocation shift also suggests a certain degree of plant control on N availability for uptake and therefore an interaction between C and N availability. For example, more C could be invested under elevated CO₂ for plant N uptake, as seen in many (but not all) Free-Air CO₂ Experiments (Terrer et al., 2018). Such an interactive effect of C and N is predicted by EEO models (Rastetter et al., 1997; Franklin, 2007; Wang et al., 2007) and questions the argument of an immutable sink limitation (a limitation on producing biomass) under rising CO₂ by limiting N availability (Fatichi et al., 2019). It also appears incompatible with a conceptualisation of N limitation based on Liebig's law of the minimum - a common approach for implementations of N cycle effects on the C cycle in Earth System Models (Thomas et al., 2015). The approach to modelling allocation and nutrient uptake based on the C economy of plants has been extended for modelling the uptake of phosphorus (Braghiere et al., 2022; Reichert et al., 2023) on the basis of the observed link between plant C investments into rhizodeposits and other functions and the availability of P for plant uptake (Jin et al., 2015). One such model that connects global N and P cycles through the C economy of plants predicts widespread co-limitation of P and N (Braghiere et al., 2022) - as is widely observed for effects on biomass productivity in manipulative experiments (Fang et al., 2024).

2. Acclimation of photosynthesis

We found that the acclimation of photosynthetic traits is most strongly influenced by the atmospheric environment, but less so by soil N, despite soil N effects on foliar N content (by mass and by area). EEO principles, formulated as a function of the atmospheric environment, have been shown to be useful for predicting the acclimation of photosynthesis parameters (Smith ed from https://nph.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/nph.20178 by Imperial College London, Wiley Online Library on [14/11/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions

(https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms

-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons Licens

et al., 2019; Dong *et al.*, 2022). Consistent with an EEO principle (Prentice *et al.*, 2014; Dong *et al.*, 2022), N_{area} declines with growth temperature and increases with VPD (Fig. 5). Embodied in our demonstration CN-model, these principles also correctly predict the average decline of V_{cmax} recorded elevated CO₂. The associated decline in the demand for N – arising through the link between V_{cmax} , Rubisco and N – is thus predictable and should be considered in the next generation of C–N models.

By contrast, leaf N, measured on a leaf area or leaf mass basis, appears more strongly influenced by soil N than photosynthetic traits that reflect enzyme activity (e.g. V_{cmax} and I_{max}). This suggests that the soil N effect on foliar C-N stoichiometry is only weakly transmitted to photosynthesis. This is consistent with findings of a decline in photosynthetic N use efficiency (PNUE, leaf photosynthesis per unit leaf N) under N fertilisation (Waring et al., 2023), with an observed increase in PNUE under elevated CO₂, and absent effects of soil N on leaf photosynthesis acclimation to elevated CO₂ (Lee et al., 2011; Pastore et al., 2019). This suggests an open question for process understanding (What, if not photosynthesis, is the additional leaf N used for?) and EEO-informed modelling (What are the competitive gains of higher foliar N contents?). The observation of a weak transmittance of soil N to V_{cmax} and J_{max} also suggests a weak or absent effect on leaf dark respiration (which scales with the leaf Rubisco concentration and thus with V_{cmax}). Leaf respiration is modelled in several models to increase with leaf N content (Box 1), inducing a feedback between N limitation and plant respiration. However, such approaches are not supported in view of the observed large variations in PNUE and the decoupling of total leaf N and photosynthesis.

A focus on LMA for understanding the controls on foliar N may be informative in this context. LMA appears highly responsive across spatial gradients in N deposition (Fig. 5), suggesting an Nrelated control. LMA is positively associated with leaf longevity (Onoda *et al.*, 2017; Wang *et al.*, 2023) and should thereby have implications for foliar N turnover and ecosystem N losses along the litterfall-mineralisation-plant uptake pathway. Indeed, a decline in LMA along a soil N availability gradient is predictable from EEO principles (Weng *et al.*, 2017, p. 20).

A further challenge is that, although the meta-analytic mean response in $V_{\rm cmax}$ to CO₂ is predictable from EEO principles, there remains substantial unexplained variability in the response ratio across experiments. Analyses of the Liang et al. (2020) dataset provide no indication that the differences in response are consistently related to plant type, phenology or mycorrhizal association (Table S2). However, results from some experiments have shown that the impact of N fertilisation on photosynthetic capacity is most pronounced in experiments where N availability was low before fertilisation, suggesting that there may be a strong response at low N that saturates as N availability increases. This saturating effect has indeed been shown in N-fertilisation experiments under different levels of CO2 (Perkowski et al., 2023). Although not emerging from the meta-analyses, symbiosis with mycorrhizal fungi or soil N-fixing bacteria could also have an influence on the acclimation of photosynthetic traits to N fertilisation (Hoeksema et al., 2010).

In addition to biotic factors influencing the response of photosynthetic capacities to N fertilisation, abiotic factors may also be important to consider. Evidence from experiments and leaf traits data along environmental gradients indicate that the response to N fertilisation is strongest in low temperature (Smith & Keenan, 2020; Dong *et al.*, 2022), dry (Wright *et al.*, 2003; Querejeta *et al.*, 2022) and high light (Niinemets *et al.*, 2015; Poorter *et al.*, 2019; Waring *et al.*, 2023) environments – conditions that are typically associated with a high demand for N in the photosynthetic machinery (Wright *et al.*, 2003; Prentice *et al.*, 2014; Dong *et al.*, 2022).

The environmental effect on photosynthetic parameters is commonly encoded in Earth system models by treating separate plant functional types (PFTs) growing along climatic gradients. As a consequence, changes in community-mean $V_{\rm cmax}$ only come about in these models through a shifting occurrence of PFTs. However, the $V_{\rm cmax}$ and N demand decline under elevated CO₂ arises within individual plants subjected to experimental manipulations (Fig. 3) and therefore evolves much more rapidly than through species replacements. The comparatively immediate shift in leaf N demand and implications for ecosystem N cycling in response to decadal climatic trends and CO₂ can thus not be captured by traditional models.

Apart from the photosynthetic traits, other traits related to C-N interactions also exhibit acclimation and within-PFT variations that are related to the environment. In particular, root traits (architectural, morphological and physiological properties) are linked to root biomass N content, N uptake, C respiration and root biomass turnover, and are highly plastic in response to environmental change (Hodge, 2004; Nie et al., 2013; Bardgett et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2021). However, empirical support for a theory explaining variations of multiple root traits along a resource conservation-exploitation spectrum and for systematic relationships between soil properties related to N and P and root traits is mixed (Roumet et al., 2006; Orwin et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2013; Bardgett et al., 2014; Kramer-Walter et al., 2016; Weemstra et al., 2016; Kong et al., 2017). This indicates a remaining challenge for optimality-based predictions of multiple root traits and their relations to mycorrhizal and microbial associations and exudation (Gao et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2021), and suggests a potential for modelling total belowground C allocation and N uptake at a high level of abstraction.

3. Ecosystem N cycling

We have taken a plant-centric view. It is predominantly through plants and their associations with microbes that atmospheric CO_2 and N_2 are converted to C and N that cycle in ecosystems. Governing EEO principles that inform the modelling of plant functioning thus have implications for ecosystem-level C and N cycling. Although we have not addressed this aspect in our data analysis, insights gained from EEO predictions and their evaluation against experiments and field data are informative for understanding and modelling ecosystem N losses, N cycle openness and N fixation in response to environmental change factors.

Progressive N limitation (PNL) has been hypothesised to govern the dynamics of ecosystem C-N cycling in response to rising CO₂ (Vitousek & Howarth, 1991; Comins & McMurtrie, 1993; Luo et al., 2004). Thereby, the stimulated tree growth and biomass stocks deplete soil N, aggravating N limitation and triggering an increase in the C: N ratio of new biomass and a resulting deterioration of decomposability of that biomass. This induces a decline in N mineralisation, thereby further aggravating the initial N limitation. However, the attenuated transmittance of the leaf N signal on photosynthetic N and photosynthetic capacity at least partly mutes the feedback of PNL. The widely observed allocation shift and its consequence for plant N uptake further alleviate the PNL feedback loop. The continued accumulation of ecosystem N as a consequence of increased belowground allocation and transiently reduced losses (Liang et al., 2016) suggest instead a progressive release from N limitation (Walker et al., 2015). Such a release is also predicted by relatively simple models based on EEO principles but is sensitive to assumptions regarding dependencies of N losses (Comins & McMurtrie, 1993; Wang et al., 2007). Empirical evidence for the PNL is mixed (Liang et al., 2016). It was found in some CO₂ experiments (Reich et al., 2006; Newton et al., 2010; Norby et al., 2010), but not in others (McCarthy et al., 2010; Zak et al., 2011).

The predicted and observed allocation shift elicits a change in ecosystem N losses. This flux is perhaps the most difficult-tomeasure component of the terrestrial N cycle. Gaseous losses are mostly in the form of an N₂ flux (Galloway *et al.*, 2004) which, thus far, cannot reliably be measured against the background of its high atmospheric concentrations. N losses also occur through leaching. Measured at the catchment-scale, large-scale N-fertilisation experiments have documented enhanced leaching in response to N fertilisation (Eastman *et al.*, 2021). The allocation shift causes a change in the relative magnitudes of N losses and internal N cycling. This quantity has been referred to as the N cycle openness (Cleveland *et al.*, 2013). As predicted by the CN- model here, elevated CO₂ drives a reduction, while N fertilisation increases the N cycle openness.

N losses from the terrestrial biosphere arise not only through gaseous and leaching pathways, but also by fire, causing NO_x emissions (Pellegrini *et al.*, 2018), and biomass removals through wood harvesting (Hume *et al.*, 2018). Despite the severe disruption of N (and P) stocks, such disturbances are commonly followed by regrowth and a re-accumulation of N in biomass within decades (Batterman *et al.*, 2013; Turner *et al.*, 2019), albeit under low availability of soil nutrients or repeated disturbances, this re-accumulation may be reduced or delayed (Rastetter *et al.*, 2013; Pellegrini *et al.*, 2018). It will be useful to quantify the rates of postdisturbance N accumulation across biomes and to put numbers into context with N deposition and fixation estimates to provide an additional constraint for models on the rate of ecosystem N accumulation through N fixation vs external inputs, including atmospheric deposition.

The common view is that N inputs into ecosystems are under plant control only where N-fixing trees are present. While trees that symbiotically interact with N-fixing bacteria are relatively widespread in the tropics (Menge *et al.*, 2019; Tamme

et al., 2021), 'N-fixing trees' are much rarer in temperate and boreal systems and are largely confined to early successional stages (Chapin et al., 1994; Menge et al., 2019). Their exclusion in late successional stages and the widespread N limitation of temperate forests pose a research challenge (Menge et al., 2009). The discovery and quantification of new processes and organisms with the capability of N fixation has contributed to a more complete picture of N fixation in terrestrial ecosystems (Vitousek et al., 2013; Cleveland et al., 2022). Free-living microbes (Reed et al., 2011), epiphytes and endophytes (Fürnkranz et al., 2008), and moss, lichens and biocrusts (Elbert et al., 2012; Larmola et al., 2014) have been described as substantial N sources through N₂ fixation (Cleveland et al., 2022). An incomplete understanding and consideration of the full diversity of N-fixing organisms and processes may imply a systematic underestimation of the global biological N-fixation flux based on up-scaled measurements of currently known sources (Cleveland et al., 1999; Galloway et al., 2004; Davies-Barnard & Friedlingstein, 2020). However, isotopic constraints suggest the global flux of biological N fixation to be lower than published up-scaled values (Vitousek et al., 2013). Modelling diverse N fixation fluxes and their response to environmental change remains an outstanding challenge (Davies-Barnard & Friedlingstein, 2020) and is not addressed by our data analysis and modelling. Differences in assumptions about dominant controls on N fixation are partly (but not dominantly (Davies-Barnard et al., 2022)) responsible for the divergence of simulated land C balance projections in a future climate (Wieder et al., 2015a; Meyerholt et al., 2016, 2020). Yet, an empirical basis exists for conceiving symbiotic N fixation as being controlled by the balance of soil N supply and demand by autotrophs, including plants (Rastetter et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2017, p. 20; Perkowski et al., 2021). EEO principles, used for predicting patterns of biological N fixation in relatively simple models (Rastetter et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2007), may guide a next generation of global vegetation models' representation of N fixation. For example, the energy required for N fixation may be considered for simulating its rate and may be linked to C cycling. Such a representation forms the basis of the Fixation and Uptake of Nitrogen (FUN) model's representation of symbiotic N fixation (Fisher et al., 2010). The FUN model is implemented in the Community Land Model v.5.0 (Lawrence et al., 2019) and its approach may be applied generically for total ecosystem N fixation, thereby accounting for documented abiotic controls on BNF (Houlton et al., 2008; Cleveland et al., 2022). N inputs to the plant-soil system arise not only from N fixation but also from weathering parent material (Houlton et al., 2018). This process is partly driven by chemical processes and fuelled by plant-derived acids - containing C. Hence, this flux may also be, at least partly, under plant control.

Large-scale vegetation changes under current environmental change may provide additional constraints for models and their representation of C–N interactions. For example, rapid Arctic greening (Keenan & Riley, 2018) and widespread forest growth increases (McMahon *et al.*, 2010; Hubau *et al.*, 2020) with an accompanying C sink in global forests (Pan *et al.*, 2011) can only be predicted in agreement with observations if vegetation is simulated

to access additional N during the biomass accrual stage. An immutable cap on N and not considering a certain degree of plant control on N loss and input fluxes may lead to an overestimation of N-limitation effects in models. This could be tested by confronting models' simulations of large-scale vegetation changes with observations and a focus on the role of N in shaping responses and driving potential model bias.

4. Modelling C-N interactions

Balancing trade-offs in the light of EEO is a useful governing principle for modelling C-N interactions. A rich body of literature from at least four decades reflects the potential of EEO in the context of modelling processes and traits that are directly relevant for ecosystem C and N cycling. EEO principles and the consideration of trade-offs in resource allocation, consumption and acquisition have been used, for example for predicting canopy (Dewar, 1996; Franklin, 2007) and foliar N (Dong et al., 2022), LMA (Weng et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2023; Xu et al., 2023), N allocation to enzymes sustaining V_{cmax} vs J_{max} (Ali et al., 2016; Thum et al., 2019), allocation to growth in different plant organs (Thornley, 1995; Rastetter et al., 1997; Van Wijk et al., 2003; Franklin, 2007; Mäkelä et al., 2008; Franklin et al., 2012; Weng et al., 2019), balancing leaf area, N requirements and transpiration losses (McMurtrie et al., 2008) or different N uptake pathways (Fisher et al., 2010), including biological N fixation (Rastetter et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2007; Menge et al., 2009) and mycorrhizal associations (Franklin et al., 2014; Lu & Hedin, 2019).

Most (but not all) of these studies relied on models that are strongly simplified representations of processes in terrestrial ecosystems and lack the level of realism (and complexity) of the C-N model types used for global biogeochemical cycle and landsurface modelling studies, including the models used for the analysis presented in Fig. 1. Some of the above-mentioned theoretical work also relied on the premise of a fixed net mineralisation rate (Franklin et al., 2014), or a fixed amount of N in the system (Weng et al., 2019), or a fixed amount of N in the biomass of an individual leaf (Ali et al., 2016; Thum et al., 2019) or the canopy (Dewar, 1996). To model the C and N dynamics of the terrestrial biosphere, the system boundaries may be drawn more widely for a complete accounting of C and N mass balances and ecosystem feedbacks. Hence, the demonstrated success of EEO principles for modelling individual processes or traits indicates a potential for their application to improving C-N modelling. However, the gap between the theoretical work implemented as relatively simple EEO models and the demands and constraints for implementing them into DGVMs and land-surface components in ESMs indicates a remaining challenge. As discussed more extensively in Harrison et al. (2021), challenges are related, for example, to linking individual leaf-level trait responses with the coordination of multiple traits of multiple plant organs and plant architecture, or to the treatment of temporal dynamics for representing traits acclimating at multiple time scales and emergent trait distributions at the community level.

The representation of C and N cycle interactions in the CNmodel (Stocker & Prentice, 2024; Fig. 5) is comparable in scope and structure to DGVMs. However, the CN-model resolves processes with a focus on C–N interactions, while it does not resolve energy and water exchanges and how they affect vegetation functioning, nor does it resolve tree allometric relations or competition between PFTs or plant age cohorts. Its aim is to provide an architecture that is generally comparable to DGVMs and to serve as a demonstration for how EEO principles for allocation and photosynthesis acclimation could be embedded in state-of-the-art global modelling frameworks.

The modelling performed here relied on EEO principles for dynamically modelling instantaneous responses in allocation and photosynthesis acclimation to a changing environment without the explicit consideration of dynamic vegetation and competitively optimal strategies (Franklin et al., 2020). This enabled the reliable prediction of the widely observed and relatively strong response in allocation to altered CO2 and soil N availability. With its assumption that V_{cmax} is modelled through an optimal acclimation to the atmospheric (but not soil) environment, the CN-model's respective predictions were consistent with observations. However, other plant traits are less dynamic or cannot be altered within an individual or a given species, but have direct consequences for the N economy of plants. For example, a high LMA is typically associated with a high C : N ratio and poor leaf decomposability and is considered to be less plastic than leaf $N_{\rm area}$. Mycorrhizal associations are, for most species, exclusive to either ectomycorrhizae or arbuscular mycorrhizas. Associations with the former appear to be advantageous in an N-scarce environment and to facilitate the stimulation of plant biomass under elevated CO2 (Terrer et al., 2016, 2018). Thereby, environmental changes will likely trigger changes in species composition through altering their competitiveness in an altered C-N environment and syndromes of slow vs fast-N-cycling systems emerge (Phillips et al., 2013). Modelling such dynamics will have to rely on a resolution of dynamic vegetation and forest demographic processes to simulate species invasion and exclusion over time, niche differentiation, community succession and evolutionarily stable (competitively optimal) strategies. Demography-resolving DGVMs (Falster et al., 2017; Fisher et al., 2018) offer potential for predicting such patterns, as has been shown, for example for predicting LMA and deciduousness shifts along a soil N gradient (Weng et al., 2017). In the context of root : shoot ratio changes under N fertilisation, it has been shown that opposite patterns as predicted from the functional balance hypothesis are observed in a severely nutrientlimited ecosystem and can be simulated considering optimality under competition (Van Wijk et al., 2003). It will be informative to investigate how and whether the adoption of different EEO principles and dynamic vegetation demography translate into improved performance of DGVMs, evaluated against a comprehensive set of observational targets and considering data from a large number of experiments and diverse environmental conditions.

VI. Conclusions

Changes in C and N availability, caused by trends in rising CO₂ and atmospheric N deposition, trigger dynamic responses in plants

with consequences for ecosystem C and N cycling. Plant responses to N fertilisation and changing CO₂ can be broadly captured by a simple model based on EEO. Such concepts have been used to predict various aspects of plant behaviour at the leaf and wholeplant levels (Franklin et al., 2020; Harrison et al., 2021), providing simple but powerful models with relatively few free parameters. There is now a strong theoretical basis for modelling C-N cycle interactions and the role of N in constraining ecosystem responses to rising CO₂ and climate change (Bloom et al., 1985; Rastetter et al., 1997; Wright et al., 2003; Franklin, 2007; Franklin et al., 2012, 2020; Prentice et al., 2014; Harrison et al., 2021; Dong et al., 2022). These approaches for the prediction of C-N interactions could be used in vegetation and land-surface components of coupled Earth System Models, holding the promise of reducing the large uncertainty in the current projections of the global C cycle. It will, however, be important to extend the suite of benchmarks used to evaluate such models (Kou-Giesbrecht et al., 2023) since the current emphasis on evaluating C fluxes and pools is insufficient to discriminate between alternative process representations that underlie errors in simulated land C sink trends.

Acknowledgements

BDS was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation grant PCEFP2_181115. ICP and ND acknowledge support from the ERC-funded project REALM (Re-inventing Ecosystem And Landsurface Models, grant no.: 787203). HW acknowledges support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no.: 31971495) and the High End Foreign Expert awards at Tsinghua University to SPH and ICP (GDW2023102014). NGS acknowledges funding from Texas Tech University and the US National Science Foundation (DEB-2045968, DEB-2217354). KVS acknowledges support from the Research Foundation-Flanders (FWO award no.: 1222323N). This work is a contribution to the LEMONTREE (Land Ecosystem Models based On New Theory, obseRvations and ExperimEnts) project, funded through the generosity of Eric and Wendy Schmidt by recommendation of the Schmidt Futures programme. We thank contributors to and coordinators of TRENDY v.8: Stephen Sitch, Pierre Friedlingstein, Vanessa Haverd, Vivek Arora, Danica Lombardozzi, Hanqin Tian, Atul Jain, Julia Nabel, Andy Wiltshire, Benjamin Poulter, Peter Anthoni, Sebastian Lienert, Sönke Zaehle, Vladislav Bastrikov, Daniel Goll, Patrick McGuire, Emilie Joetzjer and Etsushi Kato.

Competing interests

None declared.

Author contributions

This paper is an outcome of the Nitrogen Working Group of the LEMONTREE (Land Ecosystem Models based On New Theory, obseRvations and ExperimEnts) project, convened by BDS. BDS, ICP, ND, EAP, PDS and SEJ contributed display items and analysis parts. BDS, SPH and ICP wrote the first draft of the paper with contributions from HB, NGS, ND, WH, HX, and KR.

ORCID

Hugo J. de Boer https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6933-344X Ning Dong https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0793-8854 Sandy P. Harrison https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5687-1903 Evan A. Perkowski https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9523-8892 I. Colin Prentice https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1296-6764 Karin T. Rebel https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1722-3935 Pascal D. Schneider https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1358-5549 Nicholas G. Smith https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7048-4387 Benjamin D. Stocker https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2697-9096 Kevin Van Sundert https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6180-3075 Han Wang https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2482-1818 Huiying Xu https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3902-9620

Data availability

The code for all analyses is available on GitHub at https://github. com/stineb/lt_cn_review/releases/tag/v2.0 and is published on Zenodo at doi: 10.5281/zenodo.13736727. Model code of the CN-model is available on GitHub at https://github. com/stineb/rsofun/releases/tag/v1.0_cnmodel.

References

- Ainsworth EA, Long SP. 2005. What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO₂. *New Phytologist* 165: 351–372.
- Ainsworth EA, Rogers A. 2007. The response of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to rising [CO₂]: mechanisms and environmental interactions. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **30**: 258–270.
- Akana PR, Mifsud IEJ, Menge DNL. 2023. Soil nitrogen availability in a temperate forest exhibits large variability at sub-tree spatial scales. *Biogeochemistry* 164: 537– 553.
- Ali AA, Xu C, Rogers A, Fisher RA, Wullschleger SD, Massoud EC, Vrugt JA, Muss JD, McDowell NG, Fisher JB et al. 2016. A global scale mechanistic model of photosynthetic capacity (LUNA V1.0). Geoscientific Model Development 9: 587–606.
- Arora VK, Katavouta A, Williams RG, Jones CD, Brovkin V, Friedlingstein P, Schwinger J, Bopp L, Boucher O, Cadule P et al. 2020. Carbon–concentration and carbon–climate feedbacks in CMIP6 models and their comparison to CMIP5 models. Biogeosciences 17: 4173–4222.
- Bardgett RD, Mommer L, De Vries FT. 2014. Going underground: root traits as drivers of ecosystem processes. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 29: 692–699.
- Batterman SA, Hedin LO, van Breugel M, Ransijn J, Craven DJ, Hall JS. 2013. Key role of symbiotic dinitrogen fixation in tropical forest secondary succession. *Nature* **502**: 224–227.
- Bloom AJ, Chapin FS, Mooney HA. 1985. Resource limitation in plants an economic analogy. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 16: 363–392.
- Bonsall MB, Froyd CA, Jeffers ES. 2020. Resilience: nitrogen limitation, mycorrhiza and long-term palaeoecological plant–nutrient dynamics. *Biology Letters* 16: 20190441.
- Braghiere RK, Fisher JB, Allen K, Brzostek E, Shi M, Yang X, Ricciuto DM, Fisher RA, Zhu Q, Phillips RP. 2022. Modeling global carbon costs of plant nitrogen and phosphorus acquisition. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems* 14: e2022MS003204.

- Caldararu S, Rolo V, Stocker BD, Gimeno TE, Nair R. 2023. Ideas and perspectives: beyond model evaluation combining experiments and models to advance terrestrial ecosystem science. *Biogeosciences* 20: 3637–3649.
- Chapin FS, Walker LR, Fastie CL, Sharman LC. 1994. Mechanisms of primary succession following deglaciation at Glacier Bay, Alaska. *Ecological Monographs* 64: 149–175.
- Chen W, Zeng H, Eissenstat DM, Guo D. 2013. Variation of first-order root traits across climatic gradients and evolutionary trends in geological time. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 22: 846–856.
- Cleland EE, Lind EM, DeCrappeo NM, DeLorenze E, Wilkins RA, Adler PB, Bakker JD, Brown CS, Davies KF, Esch E *et al.* 2019. Belowground biomass response to nutrient enrichment depends on light limitation across globally distributed grasslands. *Ecosystems* 22: 1466–1477.
- Cleveland CC, Houlton BZ, Smith WK, Marklein AR, Reed SC, Parton W, Del Grosso SJ, Running SW. 2013. Patterns of new versus recycled primary production in the terrestrial biosphere. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 110: 12733–12737.
- Cleveland CC, Reis CRG, Perakis SS, Dynarski KA, Batterman SA, Crews TE, Gei M, Gundale MJ, Menge DNL, Peoples MB *et al.* 2022. Exploring the role of cryptic nitrogen fixers in terrestrial ecosystems: a frontier in nitrogen cycling research. *Ecosystems* 25: 1653–1669.
- Cleveland CC, Townsend AR, Schimel DS, Fisher H, Howarth RW, Hedin LO, Perakis SS, Latty EF, von Fischer JC, Elseroad A *et al.* 1999. Global patterns of terrestrial biological nitrogen (N₂) fixation in natural systems. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 13: 623–645.
- Collatz G, Ribas-Carbo M, Berry J. 1992. Coupled photosynthesis-stomatal conductance model for leaves of C₄ plants. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 19: 519.
- Comins HN, McMurtrie RE. 1993. Long-term response of nutrient-limited forests to CO"2 enrichment; equilibrium behavior of plant–soil models. *Ecological Applications* 3: 666–681.
- Coskun D, Britto DT, Shi W, Kronzucker HJ. 2017. How plant root exudates shape the nitrogen cycle. *Trends in Plant Science* 22: 661–673.
- Cramer W, Bondeau A, Woodward FI, Prentice IC, Betts RA, Brovkin V, Cox PM, Fisher V, Foley JA, Friend AD *et al.* 2001. Global response of terrestrial ecosystem structure and function to CO₂ and climate change: results from six dynamic global vegetation models. *Global Change Biology* 7: 357–373.
- Davies-Barnard T, Friedlingstein P. 2020. The global distribution of biological nitrogen fixation in terrestrial natural ecosystems. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 34: e2019GB006387.
- Davies-Barnard T, Meyerholt J, Zaehle S, Friedlingstein P, Brovkin V, Fan Y, Fisher RA, Jones CD, Lee H, Peano D *et al.* 2020. Nitrogen cycling in CMIP6 land surface models: progress and limitations. *Biogeosciences* 17: 5129–5148.
- Davies-Barnard T, Zaehle S, Friedlingstein P. 2022. Assessment of the impacts of biological nitrogen fixation structural uncertainty in CMIP6 earth system models. *Biogeosciences* 19: 3491–3503.
- De Kauwe MG, Medlyn BE, Zaehle S, Walker AP, Dietze MC, Wang Y, Luo Y, Jain AK, El-Masri B, Hickler T *et al.* 2014. Where does the carbon go? A model–data intercomparison of vegetation carbon allocation and turnover processes at two temperate forest free-air CO ₂ enrichment sites. *New Phytologist* 203: 883–899.
- Delire C, Séférian R, Decharme B, Alkama R, Calvet J-C, Carrer D, Gibelin A-L, Joetzjer E, Morel X, Rocher M *et al.* 2020. The global land carbon cycle simulated with ISBA-CTRIP: improvements over the last decade. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems* 12: e2019MS001886.
- Dewar R. 1996. The correlation between plant growth and intercepted radiation: an interpretation in terms of optimal plant nitrogen content. *Annals of Botany* 78: 125–136.
- Dohner JL, Birner B, Schwartzman A, Pongratz J, Keeling RF. 2022. Using the atmospheric CO₂ growth rate to constrain the CO₂ flux from land use and land cover change since 1900. *Global Change Biology* **28**: 7327–7339.
- Dong N, Prentice IC, Evans BJ, Caddy-Retalic S, Lowe AJ, Wright IJ. 2017. Leaf nitrogen from first principles: field evidence for adaptive variation with climate. *Biogeosciences* 14: 481–495.
- Dong N, Prentice IC, Wright IJ, Evans BJ, Togashi HF, Caddy-Retalic S, McInerney FA, Sparrow B, Leitch E, Lowe AJ. 2020. Components of leaf-trait variation along environmental gradients. *New Phytologist* 228: 82–94.

Dong N, Prentice IC, Wright IJ, Wang H, Atkin OK, Bloomfield KJ, Domingues TF, Gleason SM, Maire V, Onoda Y et al. 2022. Leaf nitrogen from the perspective of optimal plant function. Journal of Ecology 110: 2585-2602.

Dukes JS, Chiariello NR, Cleland EE, Moore LA, Shaw MR, Thayer S, Tobeck T, Mooney HA, Field CB. 2005. Responses of grassland production to single and multiple global environmental changes. PLoS Biology 3: e319.

Eastman BA, Adams MB, Brzostek ER, Burnham MB, Carrara JE, Kelly C, McNeil BE, Walter CA, Peterjohn WT. 2021. Altered plant carbon partitioning enhanced forest ecosystem carbon storage after 25 years of nitrogen additions. New Phytologist 230: 1435-1448.

Elbert W, Weber B, Burrows S, Steinkamp J, Büdel B, Andreae MO, Pöschl U. 2012. Contribution of cryptogamic covers to the global cycles of carbon and nitrogen. Nature Geoscience 5: 459-462.

Evans JR. 1989. Photosynthesis and nitrogen relationships in leaves of C3 plants. Oecologia 78: 9-19.

Falster DS, Brännström Å, Westoby M, Dieckmann U. 2017. Multitrait successional forest dynamics enable diverse competitive coexistence. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 114: E2719-E2728.

Fang J, Chen B, Wang F, Li W, Zhang H, Fang J, Liu S, Zheng Z, Guo M, Niu S. 2024. Nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium co-limitation in terrestrial ecosystems: a global meta-analysis. Plants, People, Planet. doi: 10.1002/ppp3. 10524

Farquhar GD, von Caemmerer S, Berry JA. 1980. A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO2 assimilation in leaves of C3 species. Planta 149: 78-90.

Fatichi S, Pappas C, Zscheischler J, Leuzinger S. 2019. Modelling carbon sources and sinks in terrestrial vegetation. New Phytologist 221: 652-668.

Fisher JB, Sitch S, Malhi Y, Fisher RA, Huntingford C, Tan S-Y. 2010. Carbon cost of plant nitrogen acquisition: a mechanistic, globally applicable model of plant nitrogen uptake, retranslocation, and fixation. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 24: 2009GB003621.

Fisher RA, Koven CD, Anderegg WRL, Christoffersen BO, Dietze MC, Farrior CE, Holm JA, Hurtt GC, Knox RG, Lawrence PJ et al. 2018. Vegetation demographics in Earth System Models: A review of progress and priorities. Global Change Biology 24: 35-54.

Franklin O, Harrison SP, Dewar R, Farrior CE, Brännström Å, Dieckmann U, Pietsch S, Falster D, Cramer W, Loreau M et al. 2020. Organizing principles for vegetation dynamics. Nature Plants 6: 444-453.

Franklin O, Johansson J, Dewar RC, Dieckmann U, McMurtrie RE, Brannstrom A, Dybzinski R. 2012. Modeling carbon allocation in trees: a search for principles. Tree Physiology 32: 648-666.

Franklin O, McMURTRIE RE, Iversen CM, Crous KY, Finzi AC, Tissue DT, Ellsworth DS, Oren R, Norby RJ. 2009. Forest fine-root production and nitrogen use under elevated CO2: contrasting responses in evergreen and deciduous trees explained by a common principle. Global Change Biology 15: 132-144.

Franklin O, Näsholm T, Högberg P, Högberg MN. 2014. Forests trapped in nitrogen limitation - an ecological market perspective on ectomycorrhizal symbiosis. New Phytologist 203: 657-666.

Franklin O. 2007. Optimal nitrogen allocation controls tree responses to elevated CO2. New Phytologist 174: 811-822.

Frey SD. 2019. Mycorrhizal fungi as mediators of soil organic matter dynamics. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 50: 237–259.

Friedlingstein P, Jones MW, O'Sullivan M, Andrew RM, Bakker DCE, Hauck J, Le Quéré C, Peters GP, Peters W, Pongratz J et al. 2022. Global carbon budget 2021. Earth System Science Data 14: 1917-2005.

Friedlingstein P, Meinshausen M, Arora VK, Jones CD, Anav A, Liddicoat SK, Knutti R. 2014. Uncertainties in CMIP5 climate projections due to carbon cycle feedbacks. Journal of Climate 27: 511-526.

Fürnkranz M, Wanek W, Richter A, Abell G, Rasche F, Sessitsch A. 2008. Nitrogen fixation by phyllosphere bacteria associated with higher plants and their colonizing epiphytes of a tropical lowland rainforest of Costa Rica. The ISME Journal 2: 561-570.

Galloway JN, Dentener FJ, Capone DG, Boyer EW, Howarth RW, Seitzinger SP, Asner GP, Cleveland CC, Green PA, Holland EA et al. 2004. Nitrogen cycles: past, present, and future. Biogeochemistry 70: 153-226.

Gao J, Zhou M, Shao J, Zhou G, Liu R, Zhou L, Liu H, He Y, Chen Y, Zhou X. 2021. Fine root trait-function relationships affected by mycorrhizal type and climate. Geoderma 394: 115011.

- Goudriaan J. 1986. A simple and fast numerical method for the computation of daily totals of crop photosynthesis. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 38: 249-254
- Harrison SP, Cramer W, Franklin O, Prentice IC, Wang H, Brännström Å, De Boer H, Dieckmann U, Joshi J, Keenan TF et al. 2021. Eco-evolutionary optimality as a means to improve vegetation and land-surface models. New Phytologist 231: 2125-2141.

Hauser E, Wieder WR, Bonan GB, Cleveland CC. 2023. Flexible Foliar stoichiometry reduces the magnitude of the global land carbon sink. Geophysical Research Letters 50: e2023GL105493.

Haverd V, Smith B, Nieradzik L, Briggs PR, Woodgate W, Trudinger CM, Canadell JG, Cuntz M. 2018. A new version of the CABLE land surface model (subversion revision r4601) incorporating land use and land cover change, woody vegetation demography, and a novel optimisation-based approach to plant coordination of photosynthesis. Geoscientific Model Development 11: 2995-3026.

Hodge A. 2004. The plastic plant : root responses to heterogeneous supplies of nutrients. New Phytologist 162: 9-24.

- Hoeksema JD, Chaudhary VB, Gehring CA, Johnson NC, Karst J, Koide RT, Pringle A, Zabinski C, Bever JD, Moore JC et al. 2010. A meta-analysis of context-dependency in plant response to inoculation with mycorrhizal fungi. Ecology Letters 13: 394-407.
- Houlton BZ, Morford SL, Dahlgren RA. 2018. Convergent evidence for widespread rock nitrogen sources in Earth's surface environment. Science 360: 58-62.
- Houlton BZ, Wang Y-P, Vitousek PM, Field CB. 2008. A unifying framework for dinitrogen fixation in the terrestrial biosphere. Nature 454: 327-330.
- Hubau W, Lewis SL, Phillips OL, Affum-Baffoe K, Beeckman H, Cuní-Sanchez A, Daniels AK, Ewango CEN, Fauset S, Mukinzi JM et al. 2020. Asynchronous carbon sink saturation in African and Amazonian tropical forests. Nature 579: 80-87.

Hume AM, Chen HYH, Taylor AR. 2018. Intensive forest harvesting increases susceptibility of northern forest soils to carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus loss. Journal of Applied Ecology 55: 246-255.

- Hungate BA, Dukes JS, Shaw MR, Luo Y, Field CB. 2003. Nitrogen and climate change. Science 302: 1512-1513.
- Jansson JK, Hofmockel KS. 2020. Soil microbiomes and climate change. Nature Reviews Microbiology 18: 35-46.
- Jiang M, Medlyn BE, Drake JE, Duursma RA, Anderson IC, Barton CVM, Boer MM, Carrillo Y, Castañeda-Gómez L, Collins L et al. 2020. The fate of carbon in a mature forest under carbon dioxide enrichment. Nature 580: 227-231.

Jin J, Tang C, Sale P. 2015. The impact of elevated carbon dioxide on the phosphorus nutrition of plants: a review. Annals of Botany 116: 987-999.

- Kattge J, Bönisch G, Díaz S, Lavorel S, Prentice IC, Leadley P, Tautenhahn S, Werner GDA, Aakala T, Abedi M et al. 2020. TRY plant trait database enhanced coverage and open access. Global Change Biology 26: 119-188.
- Keenan TF, Riley WJ. 2018. Greening of the land surface in the world's cold regions consistent with recent warming. Nature Climate Change 8: 825-828.
- Keller AB, Walter CA, Blumenthal DM, Borer ET, Collins SL, DeLancey LC, Fay PA, Hofmockel KS, Knops JMH, Leakey ADB et al. 2023. Stronger fertilization effects on aboveground versus belowground plant properties across nine U.S. grasslands. Ecology 104: e3891.
- Keuper F, Wild B, Kummu M, Beer C, Blume-Werry G, Fontaine S, Gavazov K, Gentsch N, Guggenberger G, Hugelius G et al. 2020. Carbon loss from northern circumpolar permafrost soils amplified by rhizosphere priming. Nature Geoscience 13: 560-565.
- Kong D, Wang J, Zeng H, Liu M, Miao Y, Wu H, Kardol P. 2017. The nutrient absorption-transportation hypothesis: optimizing structural traits in absorptive roots. New Phytologist 213: 1569-1572.

Kou-Giesbrecht S, Arora VK, Seiler C, Arneth A, Falk S, Jain AK, Joos F, Kennedy D, Knauer J, Sitch S et al. 2023. Evaluating nitrogen cycling in terrestrial biosphere models: a disconnect between the carbon and nitrogen cycles. Earth System Dynamics 14: 767-795.

Kramer-Walter KR, Bellingham PJ, Millar TR, Smissen RD, Richardson SJ, Laughlin DC. 2016. Root traits are multidimensional: specific root length is independent from root tissue density and the plant economic spectrum. Journal of Ecology 104: 1299-1310.

Kuzyakov Y, Horwath WR, Dorodnikov M, Blagodatskaya E. 2019. Review and synthesis of the effects of elevated atmospheric CO2 on soil processes: no changes

New

4698137, 0, Downloaded from https://nph.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.11111/nph.20178 by Imperial College London, Wiley Online Library on [14/11/2024]. See the Terms

and Condition

(https://onli

nelibrary.wiley.com/terms

-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

in pools, but increased fluxes and accelerated cycles. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **128**: 66–78.

Larmola T, Leppänen SM, Tuittila E-S, Aarva M, Merilä P, Fritze H, Tiirola M. 2014. Methanotrophy induces nitrogen fixation during peatland development. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 111: 734–739.

Lawrence DM, Fisher RA, Koven CD, Oleson KW, Swenson SC, Bonan G, Collier N, Ghimire B, van Kampenhout L, Kennedy D *et al.* 2019. The Community Land Model v.5: description of new features, benchmarking, and impact of forcing uncertainty. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems* 11: 4245–4287.

Leakey ADB, Ainsworth EA, Bernacchi CJ, Rogers A, Long SP, Ort DR. 2009. Elevated CO₂ effects on plant carbon, nitrogen, and water relations: six important lessons from FACE. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **60**: 2859–2876.

LeBauer DS, Treseder KK. 2008. Nitrogen limitation of net primary productivity in terrestrial ecosystems is globally distributed. *Ecology* 89: 371–379.

Lee TD, Barrott SH, Reich PB. 2011. Photosynthetic responses of 13 grassland species across 11 years of free-air CO₂ enrichment is modest, consistent and independent of N supply. *Global Change Biology* 17: 2893–2904.

Li F, Qing T, Wu F, Yue K, Zhu J, Ni X. 2024. Trade-off in the partitioning of recent photosynthate carbon under global change. *Global Change Biology* 30: e17110.

Li W, Zhang H, Huang G, Liu R, Wu H, Zhao C, McDowell NG. 2020. Effects of nitrogen enrichment on tree carbon allocation: a global synthesis. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 29: 573–589.

Liang J, Qi X, Souza L, Luo Y. 2016. Processes regulating progressive nitrogen limitation under elevated carbon dioxide: a meta-analysis. *Biogeosciences* 13: 2689–2699.

Liang X, Zhang T, Lu X, Ellsworth DS, BassiriRad H, You C, Wang D, He P, Deng Q, Liu H *et al.* 2020. Global response patterns of plant photosynthesis to nitrogen addition: A meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology* 26: 3585–3600.

Lim H, Jämtgård S, Oren R, Gruffman L, Kunz S, Näsholm T. 2022. Organic nitrogen enhances nitrogen nutrition and early growth of *Pinus sylvestris* seedlings. *Tree Physiology* 42: 513–522.

Lindahl BD, Tunlid A. 2015. Ectomycorrhizal fungi – potential organic matter decomposers, yet not saprotrophs. *New Phytologist* 205: 1443–1447.

Lu M, Hedin LO. 2019. Global plant–symbiont organization and emergence of biogeochemical cycles resolved by evolution-based trait modelling. *Nature Ecology* & *Evolution* 3: 239–250.

Luo X, Keenan TF, Chen JM, Croft H, Colin Prentice I, Smith NG, Walker AP, Wang H, Wang R, Xu C *et al.* 2021. Global variation in the fraction of leaf nitrogen allocated to photosynthesis. *Nature Communications* 12: 4866.

Luo Y, Su B, Currie WS, Dukes JS, Finzi A, Hartwig U, Hungate B, Mc Murtrie RE, Oren R, Parton WJ *et al.* 2004. Progressive nitrogen limitation of ecosystem responses to rising atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Bioscience* 54: 731–739.

Marquet PA, Allen AP, Brown JH, Dunne JA, Enquist BJ, Gillooly JF, Gowaty PA, Green JL, Harte J, Hubbell SP *et al.* 2014. On theory in ecology. *Bioscience* 64: 701–710.

McCarthy HR, Oren R, Johnsen KH, Gallet-Budynek A, Pritchard SG, Cook CW, LaDeau SL, Jackson RB, Finzi AC. 2010. Re-assessment of plant carbon dynamics at the Duke free-air CO₂ enrichment site: interactions of atmospheric [CO₂] with nitrogen and water availability over stand development. *New Phytologist* 185: 514–528.

McMahon SM, Parker GG, Miller DR. 2010. Evidence for a recent increase in forest growth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, USA 107: 3611– 3615.

McMurtrie RE, Norby RJ, Medlyn BE, Dewar RC, Pepper DA, Reich PB, Barton CVM. 2008. Why is plant-growth response to elevated CO₂ amplified when water is limiting, but reduced when nitrogen is limiting? A growth-optimisation hypothesis. *Functional Plant Biology* **35**: 521–534.

McMurtrie RE, Näsholm T. 2018. Quantifying the contribution of mass flow to nitrogen acquisition by an individual plant root. *New Phytologist* 218: 119–130.

Medlyn BE, Zaehle S, De Kauwe MG, Walker AP, Dietze MC, Hanson PJ, Hickler T, Jain AK, Luo Y, Parton W et al. 2015. Using ecosystem experiments to improve vegetation models. *Nature Climate Change* 5: 528–534.

Meiyappan P, Jain AK, House JI. 2015. Increased influence of nitrogen limitation on CO₂ emissions from future land use and land use change. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 29: 1524–1548.

- Menge DNL, Chisholm RA, Davies SJ, Abu Salim K, Allen D, Alvarez M, Bourg N, Brockelman WY, Bunyavejchewin S, Butt N *et al.* 2019. Patterns of nitrogenfixing tree abundance in forests across Asia and America. *Journal of Ecology* 107: 2598–2610.
- Menge DNL, Levin SA, Hedin LO. 2009. Facultative versus Obligate Nitrogen Fixation Strategies and Their Ecosystem Consequences. *The American Naturalist* 174: 465–477.
- Meyerholt J, Sickel K, Zaehle S. 2020. Ensemble projections elucidate effects of uncertainty in terrestrial nitrogen limitation on future carbon uptake. *Global Change Biology* 26: 3978–3996.

Meyerholt J, Zaehle S, Smith MJ. 2016. Variability of projected terrestrial biosphere responses to elevated levels of atmospheric CO₂ due to uncertainty in biological nitrogen fixation. *Biogeosciences* 13: 1491–1518.

- Mäkelä A, Valentine HT, Helmisaari H-S. 2008. Optimal co-allocation of carbon and nitrogen in a forest stand at steady state. *New Phytologist* 180: 114–123.
- Newton PCD, Lieffering M, Bowatte WMSD, Brock SC, Hunt CL, Theobald PW, Ross DJ. 2010. The rate of progression and stability of progressive nitrogen limitation at elevated atmospheric CO_2 in a grazed grassland over 11 years of Free Air CO2 enrichment. *Plant and Soil* 336: 433–441.

Nie M, Lu M, Bell J, Raut S, Pendall E. 2013. Altered root traits due to elevated CO: a meta-analysis. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 22: 1095–1105.

Ninemets Ü, Keenan TF, Hallik L. 2015. A worldwide analysis of within-canopy variations in leaf structural, chemical and physiological traits across plant functional types. *New Phytologist* 205: 973–993.

Norby RJ, Warren JM, Iversen CM, Medlyn BE, McMurtrie RE. 2010. CO₂ enhancement of forest productivity constrained by limited nitrogen availability. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 107: 19368–19373.

Näsholm T, Kielland K, Ganeteg U. 2009. Uptake of organic nitrogen by plants. New Phytologist 182: 31–48.

O'Sullivan M, Friedlingstein P, Sitch S, Anthoni P, Arneth A, Arora VK, Bastrikov V, Delire C, Goll DS, Jain A *et al.* 2022. Process-oriented analysis of dominant sources of uncertainty in the land carbon sink. *Nature Communications* 13: 4781.

Ochoa-Hueso R, Delgado-Baquerizo M, Risch AC, Ashton L, Augustine D, Bélanger N, Bridgham S, Britton AJ, Bruckman VJ, Camarero JJ *et al.* 2023. Bioavailability of macro and micronutrients across global topsoils: main drivers and global change impacts. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* **3**7: e2022GB007680.

- Oleson K, Lawrence M, Bonan B, Drewniak B, Huang M, Koven D, Levis S, Li F, Riley J, Subin M *et al.* 2010. Technical Description of version 4.0 of the Community Land Model (CLM). University Corporation for Atmospheric Research. doi: 10.5065/D6FB50WZ
- Onoda Y, Wright IJ, Evans JR, Hikosaka K, Kitajima K, Niinemets Ü, Poorter H, Tosens T, Westoby M. 2017. Physiological and structural tradeoffs underlying the leaf economics spectrum. *New Phytologist* 214: 1447–1463.

Oren R, Ellsworth DS, Johnsen KH, Phillips N, Ewers BE, Maier C, Schäfer KVR, McCarthy H, Hendrey G, McNulty SG *et al.* 2001. Soil fertility limits carbon sequestration by forest ecosystems in a CO₂-enriched atmosphere. *Nature* 411: 469–472.

Orwin KH, Buckland SM, Johnson D, Turner BL, Smart S, Oakley S, Bardgett RD. 2010. Linkages of plant traits to soil properties and the functioning of temperate grassland. *Journal of Ecology* 98: 1074–1083.

Paillassa J, Wright IJ, Prentice IC, Pepin S, Smith NG, Ethier G, Westerband AC, Lamarque LJ, Wang H, Cornwell WK *et al.* 2020. When and where soil is important to modify the carbon and water economy of leaves. *New Phytologist* 228: 121–135.

Pan Y, Birdsey RA, Fang J, Houghton R, Kauppi PE, Kurz WA, Phillips OL, Shvidenko A, Lewis SL, Canadell JG *et al.* 2011. A large and persistent carbon sink in the world's forests. *Science* 333: 988–993.

Pastore MA, Lee TD, Hobbie SE, Reich PB. 2019. Strong photosynthetic acclimation and enhanced water-use efficiency in grassland functional groups persist over 21 years of CO₂ enrichment, independent of nitrogen supply. *Global Change Biology* 25: 3031–3044.

Pellegrini AFA, Ahlström A, Hobbie SE, Reich PB, Nieradzik LP, Staver AC, Scharenbroch BC, Jumpponen A, Anderegg WRL, Randerson JT et al. 2018. Fire frequency drives decadal changes in soil carbon and nitrogen and ecosystem productivity. *Nature* 553: 194–198.

- Pellitier PT, Zak DR. 2018. Ectomycorrhizal fungi and the enzymatic liberation of nitrogen from soil organic matter: why evolutionary history matters. *New Phytologist* 217: 68–73.
- Peng Y, Bloomfield KJ, Cernusak LA, Domingues TF, Colin PI. 2021. Global climate and nutrient controls of photosynthetic capacity. *Communications Biology* 4: 462.
- Peng Y, Bloomfield KJ, Prentice IC. 2020. A theory of plant function helps to explain leaf-trait and productivity responses to elevation. *New Phytologist* 226: 1274–1284.
- Perkowski EA, Ezekannagha E, Smith NG. 2023. Nitrogen demand, supply, and acquisition strategy control plant responses to elevated CO₂ at different scales. bioRxiv. doi: 10.1101/2023.11.30.567584
- Perkowski EA, Waring EF, Smith NG. 2021. Root mass carbon costs to acquire nitrogen are determined by nitrogen and light availability in two species with different nitrogen acquisition strategies. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 72: 5766– 5776.
- Phillips RP, Brzostek E, Midgley MG. 2013. The mycorrhizal-associated nutrient economy: a new framework for predicting carbon–nutrient couplings in temperate forests. *New Phytologist* 199: 41–51.
- Phillips RP, Finzi AC, Bernhardt ES. 2011. Enhanced root exudation induces microbial feedbacks to N cycling in a pine forest under long-term CO₂ fumigation. *Ecology Letters* 14: 187–194.
- Poorter H, Niinemets Ü, Ntagkas N, Siebenkäs A, Mäenpää M, Matsubara S, Pons Thijs L. 2019. A meta-analysis of plant responses to light intensity for 70 traits ranging from molecules to whole plant performance. *New Phytologist* 223: 1073–1105.
- Poorter H, Niklas KJ, Reich PB, Oleksyn J, Poot P, Mommer L. 2012. Biomass allocation to leaves, stems and roots: meta-analyses of interspecific variation and environmental control. *New Phytologist* 193: 30–50.
- Prentice IC, Dong N, Gleason SM, Maire V, Wright IJ. 2014. Balancing the costs of carbon gain and water transport: testing a new theoretical framework for plant functional ecology. *Ecology Letters* 17: 82–91.
- Querejeta JI, Prieto I, Armas C, Casanoves F, Diémé JS, Diouf M, Yossi H, Kaya B, Pugnaire FI, Rusch GM. 2022. Higher leaf nitrogen content is linked to tighter stomatal regulation of transpiration and more efficient water use across dryland trees. *New Phytologist* 235: 1351–1364.
- Ramm E, Liu C, Ambus P, Butterbach-Bahl K, Hu B, Martikainen PJ, Marushchak ME, Mueller CW, Rennenberg H, Schloter M et al. 2022. A review of the importance of mineral nitrogen cycling in the plant-soil-microbe system of permafrost-affected soils—changing the paradigm. *Environmental Research Letters* 17: 13004.
- Rastetter EB, Agren GI, Shaver GR. 1997. Responses of N-limited ecosystems to increased CO₂: a balanced-nutrition, coupled-element-cycles model. *Ecological Applications* 7: 444–460.
- Rastetter EB, Vitousek PM, Field C, Shaver GR, Herbert D, Gren GI. 2001. Resource optimization and symbiotic nitrogen fixation. *Ecosystems* 4: 369–388.
- Rastetter EB, Yanai RD, Thomas RQ, Vadeboncoeur MA, Fahey TJ, Fisk MC, Kwiatkowski BL, Hamburg SP. 2013. Recovery from disturbance requires resynchronization of ecosystem nutrient cycles. *Ecological Applications* 23: 621– 642.
- Reed SC, Cleveland CC, Townsend AR. 2011. Functional ecology of free-living nitrogen fixation: a contemporary perspective. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 42: 489–512.
- Reich PB, Hobbie SE, Lee T, Ellsworth DS, West JB, Tilman D, Knops JMH, Naeem S, Trost J. 2006. Nitrogen limitation constrains sustainability of ecosystem response to CO₂. *Nature* 440: 922–925.
- Reich PB, Wright IJ, Lusk CH. 2007. Predicting leaf physiology from simple plant and climate attributes: a global GLOPNET analysis. *Ecological Applications* 17: 1982–1988.
- Reichert T, Rammig A, Papastefanou P, Lugli LF, Darela Filho JP, Gregor K, Fuchslueger L, Quesada CA, Fleischer K. 2023. Modeling the carbon costs of plant phosphorus acquisition in Amazonian forests. *Ecological Modelling* 485: 110491.
- Reick CH, Gayler V, Goll D, Hagemann S, Heidkamp M, Nabel JEMS, Raddatz T, Roeckner E, Schnur R, Wilkenskjeld S. 2021. JSBACH 3 the land component of the MPI Earth System Model: documentation of version 3.2.

New Phytologist (2024)

www.newphytologist.com

- Rogers HH, Prior SA, Runion GB, Mitchell RJ. 1995. Root to shoot ratio of crops as influenced by CO₂. *Plant and Soil* 187: 229–248.
- Roumet C, Urcelay C, Díaz S. 2006. Suites of root traits differ between annual and perennial species growing in the field. *New Phytologist* 170: 357–368.
- Schimel JP, Bennett J. 2004. Nitrogen mineralization: challenges of a changing paradigm. *Ecology* 85: 591–602.
- Schneider MK, Lüscher A, Richter M, Aeschlimann U, Hartwig UA, Blum H, Frossard E, Nösberger J. 2004. Ten years of free-air CO₂ enrichment altered the mobilization of N from soil in *Lolium perenne* L. swards. *Global Change Biology* 10: 1377–1388.
- Shah F, Nicolás C, Bentzer J, Ellström M, Smits M, Rineau F, Canbäck B, Floudas D, Carleer R, Lackner G et al. 2016. Ectomycorrhizal fungi decompose soil organic matter using oxidative mechanisms adapted from saprotrophic ancestors. New Phytologist 209: 1705–1719.
- Shi M, Fisher JB, Brzostek ER, Phillips RP. 2016. Carbon cost of plant nitrogen acquisition: global carbon cycle impact from an improved plant nitrogen cycle in the Community Land Model. *Global Change Biology* 22: 1299–1314.
- Shi T-S, Collins SL, Yu K, Peñuelas J, Sardans J, Li H, Ye J-S. 2024. A global metaanalysis on the effects of organic and inorganic fertilization on grasslands and croplands. *Nature Communications* 15: 3411.
- Sitch S, O'Sullivan M, Robertson E, Friedlingstein P, Albergel C, Anthoni P, Arneth A, Arora VK, Bastos A, Bastrikov V et al. 2024. Trends and drivers of terrestrial sources and sinks of carbon dioxide: an overview of the TRENDY Project. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 38: e2024GB008102.
- Smith B, Wårlind D, Arneth A, Hickler T, Leadley P, Siltberg J, Zaehle S. 2014. Implications of incorporating N cycling and N limitations on primary production in an individual-based dynamic vegetation model. *Biogeosciences* 11: 2027–2054.
- Smith NG, Keenan TF, Colin Prentice I, Wang H, Wright IJ, Niinemets Ü, Crous KY, Domingues TF, Guerrieri R, Yoko Ishida F et al. 2019. Global photosynthetic capacity is optimized to the environment. *Ecology Letters* 22: 506– 517.
- Smith NG, Keenan TF. 2020. Mechanisms underlying leaf photosynthetic acclimation to warming and elevated CO₂ as inferred from least-cost optimality theory. *Global Change Biology* 26: 5202–5216.
- Song J, Wan S, Piao S, Knapp AK, Classen AT, Vicca S, Ciais P, Hovenden MJ, Leuzinger S, Beier C et al. 2019. A meta-analysis of 1,119 manipulative experiments on terrestrial carbon-cycling responses to global change. Nature Ecology & Evolution 3: 1309–1320.
- Stocker BD, Prentice IC. 2024. CN-model: a dynamic model for the coupled carbon and nitrogen cycles in terrestrial ecosystems. *bioRxiv*. doi: 10.1101/2024. 04.25.591063.
- Sulman BN, Brzostek ER, Medici C, Shevliakova E, Menge DNL, Phillips RP. 2017. Feedbacks between plant N demand and rhizosphere priming depend on type of mycorrhizal association. *Ecology Letters* 20: 1043–1053.
- Sun L, Ataka M, Han M, Han Y, Gan D, Xu T, Guo Y, Zhu B. 2021. Root exudation as a major competitive fine-root functional trait of 18 coexisting species in a subtropical forest. *New Phytologist* 229: 259–271.
- Tamme R, Pärtel M, Kõljalg U, Laanisto L, Liira J, Mander Ü, Moora M, Niinemets Ü, Öpik M, Ostonen I *et al.* 2021. Global macroecology of nitrogenfixing plants. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 30: 514–526.
- Terrer C, Jackson RB, Prentice IC, Keenan TF, Kaiser C, Vicca S, Fisher JB, Reich PB, Stocker BD, Hungate BA *et al.* 2019. Nitrogen and phosphorus constrain the CO₂ fertilization of global plant biomass. *Nature Climate Change* 9: 684–689.
- Terrer C, Phillips RP, Hungate BA, Rosende J, Pett-Ridge J, Craig ME, van Groenigen KJ, Keenan TF, Sulman BN, Stocker BD *et al.* 2021. A trade-off between plant and soil carbon storage under elevated CO2. *Nature* 591: 599–603.
- Terrer C, Vicca S, Hungate BA, Phillips RP, Prentice IC. 2016. Mycorrhizal association as a primary control of the CO₂ fertilization effect. *Science* 353: 72–74.
- Terrer C, Vicca S, Stocker BD, Hungate BA, Phillips RP, Reich PB, Finzi AC, Prentice IC. 2018. Ecosystem responses to elevated CO₂ governed by plant–soil interactions and the cost of nitrogen acquisition. *New Phytologist* 217: 507–522.
- Thomas RQ, Brookshire ENJ, Gerber S. 2015. Nitrogen limitation on land: how can it occur in Earth system models? *Global Change Biology* 21: 1777–1793.
- Thomas RQ, Zaehle S, Templer PH, Goodale CL. 2013. Global patterns of nitrogen limitation: confronting two global biogeochemical models with observations. *Global Change Biology* 19: 2986–2998.

led from https://nph.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/nph.20178 by Imperial College London, Wiley Online Library on [14/11/2024]. See the Terms

and Condi

onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms

-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

- Thornley JHM. 1995. Shoot : root allocation with respect to C, N and P: an investigation and comparison of resistance and teleonomic models. Annals of Botany 75: 391-405.
- Thornton PE, Lamarque J-F, Rosenbloom NA, Mahowald NM. 2007. Influence of carbon-nitrogen cycle coupling on land model response to CO2 fertilization and climate variability. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 21: GB4018.

Thum T, Caldararu S, Engel J, Kern M, Pallandt M, Schnur R, Yu L, Zaehle S. 2019. A new model of the coupled carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus cycles in the terrestrial biosphere (QUINCY v.1.0; revision 1996). Geoscientific Model Development 12: 4781-4802.

Tian D, Yan Z, Schmid B, Kattge J, Fang J, Stocker BD. 2024. Environmental versus phylogenetic controls on leaf nitrogen and phosphorous concentrations in vascular plants. Nature Communications 15: 5346.

Tian H, Chen G, Lu C, Xu X, Hayes DJ, Ren W, Pan S, Huntzinger DN, Wofsy SC. 2015. North American terrestrial CO2 uptake largely offset by CH4 and N2O emissions: toward a full accounting of the greenhouse gas budget. Climatic Change 129: 413-426.

Turner MG, Whitby TG, Romme WH. 2019. Feast not famine: Nitrogen pools recover rapidly in 25-yr-old postfire lodgepole pine. *Ecology* 100: e02626.

van Groenigen KJ, Qi X, Osenberg CW, Luo Y, Hungate BA. 2014. Faster decomposition under increased atmospheric CO2 limits soil carbon storage. Science 344: 508-509.

Van Sundert K, Leuzinger S, Bader MK-F, Chang SX, De Kauwe MG, Dukes JS, Langley JA, Ma Z, Mariën B, Reynaert S et al. 2023. When things get MESI: the manipulation experiments synthesis initiative-a coordinated effort to synthesize terrestrial global change experiments. Global Change Biology 29: 1922-1938.

Van Sundert K, Radujković D, Cools N, De Vos B, Etzold S, Fernández-Martínez M, Janssens IA, Merilä P, Peñuelas J, Sardans J et al. 2020. Towards comparable assessment of the soil nutrient status across scales-review and development of nutrient metrics. Global Change Biology 26: 392-409.

Van Wijk MT, Williams M, Gough L, Hobbie SE, Shaver GR. 2003. Luxury consumption of soil nutrients: a possible competitive strategy in above-ground and below-ground biomass allocation and root morphology for slow-growing arctic vegetation? Journal of Ecology 91: 664-676.

Vicca S, Luyssaert S, Peñuelas J, Campioli M, Chapin FS, Ciais P, Heinemeyer A, Högberg P, Kutsch WL, Law BE et al. 2012. Fertile forests produce biomass more efficiently: forests' biomass production efficiency. Ecology Letters 15: 520-526.

Vitousek PM, Howarth RW. 1991. Nitrogen limitation on land and in the sea: how can it occur? Biogeochemistry 13: 87-115.

Vitousek PM, Menge DNL, Reed SC, Cleveland CC. 2013. Biological nitrogen fixation: rates, patterns and ecological controls in terrestrial ecosystems. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 368: 20130119.

Vuichard N, Messina P, Luyssaert S, Guenet B, Zaehle S, Ghattas J, Bastrikov V, Peylin P. 2019. Accounting for carbon and nitrogen interactions in the global terrestrial ecosystem model ORCHIDEE (trunk version, rev 4999): multi-scale evaluation of gross primary production. Geoscientific Model Development 12: 4751-4779.

Walker AP, Beckerman AP, Gu L, Kattge J, Cernusak LA, Domingues TF, Scales JC, Wohlfahrt G, Wullschleger SD, Woodward FI. 2014. The relationship of leaf photosynthetic traits – $V_{\rm cmax}$ and $J_{\rm max}$ – to leaf nitrogen, leaf phosphorus, and specific leaf area: a meta-analysis and modeling study. Ecology and Evolution 4: 3218-3235.

Walker AP, Quaife T, van Bodegom PM, De Kauwe MG, Keenan TF, Joiner J, Lomas MR, MacBean N, Xu C, Yang X et al. 2017. The impact of alternative trait-scaling hypotheses for the maximum photosynthetic carboxylation rate (Vcmax) on global gross primary production. New Phytologist 215: 1370-1386.

Walker AP, Zaehle S, Medlyn BE, De Kauwe MG, Asao S, Hickler T, Parton W, Ricciuto DM, Wang Y, Warlind D et al. 2015. Predicting long-term carbon sequestration in response to CO2 enrichment: how and why do current ecosystem models differ? Global Biogeochemical Cycles 29: 476-495.

Wang C, Zheng M, Song W, Wen S, Wang B, Zhu C, Shen R. 2017. Impact of 25 years of inorganic fertilization on diazotrophic abundance and community structure in an acidic soil in southern China. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 113: 240-249.

- Wang H, Prentice IC, Wright IJ, Warton DI, Qiao S, Xu X, Zhou J, Kikuzawa K, Stenseth N. 2023. Leaf economics fundamentals explained by optimality principles. Science Advances 9: eadd5667.
- Wang J, Defrenne C, McCormack ML, Yang L, Tian D, Luo Y, Hou E, Yan T, Li Z, Bu W et al. 2021. Fine-root functional trait responses to experimental warming: a global meta-analysis. New Phytologist 230: 1856-1867.

Wang Y-P, Houlton BZ, Field CB. 2007. A model of biogeochemical cycles of carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus including symbiotic nitrogen fixation and phosphatase production. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 21: GB1018. doi: 10. 1029/2006GB002797

Waring EF, Perkowski EA, Smith NG. 2023. Soil nitrogen fertilization reduces relative leaf nitrogen allocation to photosynthesis. Journal of Experimental Botany 74: 5166-5180.

Weemstra M, Mommer L, Visser EJW, van Ruijven J, Kuyper TW, Mohren GMJ, Sterck FJ. 2016. Towards a multidimensional root trait framework: a tree root review. New Phytologist 211: 1159-1169.

Wei N, Xia J, Zhou J, Jiang L, Cui E, Ping J, Luo Y. 2022. Evolution of uncertainty in terrestrial carbon storage in earth system models from CMIP5 to CMIP6. Journal of Climate 35: 5483-5499.

Weng E, Dybzinski R, Farrior CE, Pacala SW. 2019. Competition alters predicted forest carbon cycle responses to nitrogen availability and elevated CO2: simulations using an explicitly competitive, game-theoretic vegetation demographic model. Biogeosciences 16: 4577-4599.

Weng E, Farrior CE, Dybzinski R, Pacala SW. 2017. Predicting vegetation type through physiological and environmental interactions with leaf traits: evergreen and deciduous forests in an earth system modeling framework. Global Change Biology 23: 2482-2498.

Wieder WR, Cleveland CC, Lawrence DM, Bonan GB. 2015a. Effects of model structural uncertainty on carbon cycle projections: biological nitrogen fixation as a case study. Environmental Research Letters 10: 44016.

Wieder WR, Cleveland CC, Smith WK, Todd-Brown K. 2015b. Future productivity and carbon storage limited by terrestrial nutrient availability. Nature Geoscience 8: 441-444.

Wieder WR, Lawrence DM, Fisher RA, Bonan GB, Cheng SJ, Goodale CL, Grandy AS, Koven CD, Lombardozzi DL, Oleson KW et al. 2019. Beyond static benchmarking: using experimental manipulations to evaluate land model assumptions. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 33: 1289-1309.

Wiltshire AJ, Burke EJ, Chadburn SE, Jones CD, Cox PM, Davies-Barnard T, Friedlingstein P, Harper AB, Liddicoat S, Sitch S et al. 2021. JULES-CN: a coupled terrestrial carbon-nitrogen scheme (JULES vn5.1). Geoscientific Model Development 14: 2161-2186.

Wright IJ, Reich PB, Westoby M. 2003. Least-cost input mixtures of water and nitrogen for photosynthesis. The American Naturalist 161: 98-111.

Xu H, Wang H, Prentice IC, Harrison SP. 2023. Leaf carbon and nitrogen stoichiometric variation along environmental gradients. Biogeosciences 20: 4511-4525.

Yuan W, Liu D, Dong W, Liu S, Zhou G, Yu G, Zhao T, Feng J, Ma Z, Chen J et al. 2014. Multiyear precipitation reduction strongly decreases carbon uptake over northern China. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences 119: 881-896.

Yue X, Unger N. 2015. The Yale interactive terrestrial biosphere model v.1.0: description, evaluation and implementation into NASA GISS ModelE2. Geoscientific Model Development 8: 2399-2417.

Zaehle S, Friedlingstein P, Friend AD. 2010a. Terrestrial nitrogen feedbacks may accelerate future climate change. Geophysical Research Letters 37: L01401.

Zaehle S, Friend AD, Friedlingstein P, Dentener F, Peylin P, Schulz M. 2010b. Carbon and nitrogen cycle dynamics in the O-CN land surface model: 2. Role of the nitrogen cycle in the historical terrestrial carbon balance. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 24: GB1005.

Zaehle S, Friend AD. 2010. Carbon and nitrogen cycle dynamics in the O-CN land surface model: 1. Model description, site-scale evaluation, and sensitivity to parameter estimates. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 24: GB1005.

Zaehle S, Medlyn BE, De Kauwe MG, Walker AP, Dietze MC, Hickler T, Luo Y, Wang Y-P, El-Masri B, Thornton P et al. 2014. Evaluation of 11 terrestrial

20 Review

carbon–nitrogen cycle models against observations from two temperate free-air CO_2 enrichment studies. *New Phytologist* **202**: 803–822.

- Zak DR, Pregitzer KS, Kubiske ME, Burton AJ. 2011. Forest productivity under elevated CO₂ and O₃: positive feedbacks to soil N cycling sustain decade-long net primary productivity enhancement by CO₂. *Ecology Letters* 14: 1220–1226.
- Zhu B, Cheng W. 2011. Rhizosphere priming effect increases the temperature sensitivity of soil organic matter decomposition. *Global Change Biology* 17: 2172–2183.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Notes S1 Analysis of modelled land C sink trends.

Notes S2 Meta-analysis of ecosystem experiments.

Notes S3 Analysis of global leaf traits data.

Notes S4 CN-model simulations.

Table S1 List of elevated CO_2 experiments from the MESI database.

Table S2 List of N-fertilisation experiments from the MESI database.

Table S3 Summary of results of N-fertilisation experiments in theLiang *et al.* (2020) dataset.

Please note: Wiley is not responsible for the content or functionality of any Supporting Information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the *New Phytologist* Central Office.