Cellular Visualization of G‑Quadruplex RNA via Fluorescence-Lifetime Imaging Microscopy

Jenna [Robinson,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Jenna+Robinson"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Stine G. [Stenspil,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Stine+G.+Stenspil"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Karolina [Maleckaite,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Karolina+Maleckaite"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Molly [Bartlett,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Molly+Bartlett"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Marco Di [Antonio,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Marco+Di+Antonio"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Ramon](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Ramon+Vilar"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Vilar,[*](#page-6-0) and Marina K. [Kuimova](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Marina+K.+Kuimova"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[*](#page-7-0)

ABSTRACT: Over the past decade, appreciation of the roles of G-quadruplex (G4) structures in cellular regulation and maintenance has rapidly grown, making the establishment of robust methods to visualize G4s increasingly important. Fluorescent probes are commonly used for G4 detection in vitro; however, achieving sufficient selectivity to detect G4s in a dense and structurally diverse cellular environment is challenging. The use of fluorescent probes for G4 detection is further complicated by variations of probe uptake into cells, which may affect fluorescence intensity independently of G4 abundance. In this work, we report an alternative small-molecule approach to visualize G4s that does not rely on fluorescence intensity switch-on and, thus, does not require the use of molecules with exclusive G4 binding selectivity. Specifically, we have developed a novel thiazole orange derivative, TOR-G4, that exhibits a unique fluorescence lifetime when bound to G4s compared to other structures, allowing G4 binding to be sensitively distinguished from non-G4 binding, independent of the local probe concentration. Furthermore, TOR-G4 primarily colocalizes with RNA in the cytoplasm and nucleoli of cells, making it the first lifetime-based probe validated for exploring the emerging roles of RNA G4s in cellulo.

■ **INTRODUCTION**

The structural landscape of DNA and RNA is essential in facilitating the cellular function of nucleic acids, making nucleic acid secondary structures critical for regulation of many cellular processes.^{1−[3](#page-7-0)} G-quadruplexes (G4s) are secondary structures that form in DNA and RNA through noncanonical hydrogen bonding between four guanine bases. $4,5$ $4,5$ $4,5$ As understanding of pathways regulated by nucleic acids has evolved, G4s have progressed from being considered elusive structures that form in repeat sections of telomeres,⁶ to prospective regulators of cell biology that form extensively across cells. $4,5$

In the nucleus, DNA G4s have been associated with epigenetic regulation of gene expression through their interactions with regulatory proteins, such as transcription factors and chromatin modifiers.^{[7](#page-7-0),[8](#page-7-0)} While RNA G4s have been linked to regulation of RNA splicing, transport, and translation, as well as RNA-mediated stress responses in the cytoplasm.^{[9](#page-7-0)−[11](#page-7-0)} As many of these processes occur in spatially confined regions of the cell, $9-11$ $9-11$ $9-11$ deciphering the biological roles of G4s requires visualization not just within individual cells, but within restricted subcellular compartments. It is thus necessary that the tools we use to interrogate G4 formation continue to evolve as our study of G4 biology becomes simultaneously more wide-ranging and precise.

The first direct proof of G4 formation within cells was provided using G4-specific antibodies visualized by immunostaining.[12](#page-7-0)[−][14](#page-7-0) In parallel, several small-molecule "switch-on" probes have been reported that become fluorescent upon binding to G4s.^{[15](#page-7-0)−[17](#page-7-0)} While such fluorescence intensity probes are very useful for in vitro studies, their use for understanding G4 biology in cells presents several challenges. Exceptionally high G4 selectivity is required for such probes to work effectively within cells, due to the large abundance of non-G4 secondary structures that may result in a smaller "switch-on"

Received: October 25, 2023 Revised: December 6, 2023 Accepted: December 7, 2023 Published: December 27, 2023

Figure 1. (A) Schematic of the principles and benefits of using fluorescence lifetime approaches for G4 imaging. Binding to each nucleic acid topology is characterized by a unique time-resolved decay and lifetime, e.g., longer for G4 (blue), shorter for duplex (purple). Therefore, each topology can be clearly detected even in the presence of the other, and high binding selectivity is not required. Additionally, the measurement is independent of the probe concentration. (B) Structure of thiazole orange derivative TOR-G4-thiazole orange core is shown in orange. (C) In vitro emission spectra of TOR-G4, free in solution (black) and bound to G4 DNA (maroon), G4 RNA (red), and total RNA (orange), following 470 nm excitation. (D) Confocal images of TOR-G4 in fixed U2OS cells before and after treatment with DNase I or RNase A. The RNase treatment causes significant changes in the probe's distribution in cells. Scale bar: 20 *μ*m. (E) Cellular emission spectrum of TOR-G4 in U2OS cells following 477 nm excitation.

which is, however, sufficient to produce false positive fluorescent signals.^{2,3} Not only is this high G4 binding affinity difficult to achieve, but may in fact alter natural G4 formation within cells. 18 Second, fluorescence intensity is intrinsically concentration-dependent, 19 and so may vary independently of G4 content due to differences of probe uptake into a given cell or organelle.

To address the limitations of fluorescence intensity probes, alternative methods have arisen to visualize G4s based on changes to the fluorescence lifetime of a molecule upon nucleic acid binding.^{20−[23](#page-7-0)} For environmentally sensitive fluorophores, the rate of fluorescence decay can vary depending on the binding conformation and the environment of a molecule. 24 Such variations in fluorescence lifetime make it possible to identify binding to specific structures, even when less selective molecules interact promiscuously with multiple topologies (Figure 1A). Additionally, fluorescence lifetime is generally concentration-independent and therefore remains constant regardless of cellular uptake (Figure 1A).^{[24](#page-8-0)} Currently, there are a limited number of fluorescence lifetime-based probes that have been reported for visualizing $G4s$,^{[20](#page-7-0)−[23](#page-7-0)} which have enabled understandings of G4 dynamics within cells such as G4 unfolding by the action of DNA helicases. 21 However, previous G4 lifetime probes were developed for DNA G4s, which have left the emerging role of RNA G4s inaccessible for study using lifetime-based approaches.

In this work, we designed TOR-G4-a derivative of thiazole orange (TO) (Figure 1B) and explored its use as a new G4 fluorescence lifetime-based probe. TO was selected as the core motif of the probe due to its reported selectivity for $G4s$.^{[25](#page-8-0)−[28](#page-8-0)}

Additionally, TO is known to be an environmentally sensitive probe where rotation around the single bond connecting the benzo-1,3-thiazole and quinoline moieties leads to fast relaxation of the excited state and, thus, a short fluorescence lifetime; $29,30$ however, on binding to DNA, this rotational freedom is limited resulting in a significant increase in fluorescence intensity and lifetime.^{[29,30](#page-8-0)} The lifetime sensitivity of TO thus makes it a promising starting point to develop new lifetime probes for G4 imaging.

Here, we demonstrate that the lifetime of TOR-G4 is highly dependent on the interacting nucleic acid structure-being highest in the presence of G4s and lower for other sequences. Within cells, we show that the probe primarily colocalizes with RNA and displays long fluorescence lifetimes that are consistent with G4 binding, making it the first lifetime probe suitable for detecting RNA G4s within cells. Overall, we present TOR-G4 as a novel molecule for visualizing G4 RNA that overcomes many of the limitations of intensity-based switch-on probes.

■ **RESULTS**

Characterization of a New TO Derivative. Several previous reports have shown that structural modifications of TO can lead to increased G4 selectivity.^{25,[27,28](#page-8-0)} Thus, we designed and synthesized TOR-G4 (Figure 1B), which includes the addition of a benzyl-styryl unit to extend electron conjugation of the original TO motif and, thus, its potential for $π$ -stacking with G-quartets.^{[28](#page-8-0)} We also considered that an ideal G4 probe could be used for imaging within both cells and tissues, the latter of which requires the two-photon excitation

(TPE) of samples. In TPE, the combined energy of two photons is used to excite a sample, which allows for the use of longer wavelengths of light that scatter less in tissue. The presence of an electron donor−acceptor−donor structure has previously been shown to enhance the two-photon absorption propensity of molecules. $31,32$ $31,32$ $31,32$ We therefore introduced a methoxy and dimethylamine group to either end of the probe (chosen due to commercial availability of starting materials), to sandwich the positively charged nitrogen in the center of the molecule and in turn optimize the probe's suitability for TPE.

After the successful synthesis and characterization of TOR-G4 [\(Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf) S1−S7), we next investigated the photophysics of the molecule in the absence and presence of various nucleic acid structures [\(Figures](#page-1-0) 1C, S8 and [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf) S1). In aqueous media, the probe displays a very weak emission centered at ca. 700 nm, however, the addition of nucleic acids results in a significant increase in the fluorescence intensity with two spectral peaks visible, centered at 540 and 660 nm [\(Figure](#page-1-0) [1](#page-1-0)C). As TO is known to self-assemble into aggregates in aqueous solution, $33-37$ $33-37$ $33-37$ we hypothesized that the two emission peaks were due to the monomer and dimer/aggregated form of the probe.

To investigate probe aggregation, we first measured the excitation spectra at both peaks and observed distinct spectra corresponding to separate ground-state entities ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf) S9). The species emitting at 660 nm had a blueshifted excitation maximum relative to the species emitting at 540 nm, which is characteristic of H-aggregate formation.³⁸ To further confirm that the 660 nm peak arises from probe aggregates, we performed a titration where the concentration of TOR-G4 was increased in the presence of the DNA G4 sequence *c-MYC* ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf) S9). We observed that the intensity of the 660 nm peak increased rapidly with an increasing probe concentration. This change in peak ratios shows that the species emitting at 660 nm is promoted by higher concentrations of TOR-G4 and is therefore likely to correspond to the aggregated form of the probe (which is also consistent with previous work on TO aggregation). 37 The monomer form of the probe (emitting at 540 nm) appears only after the addition of oligonucleotides, potentially due to stronger interactions of the probe with DNA/RNA than with itself [\(Figure](#page-1-0) 1C).

TOR-G4 Localizes with RNA within Cells. We next set out to characterize the cellular uptake and localization of the molecule. We opted to characterize TOR-G4 within fixed cells, which are commonly used in the field when imaging G4s via immunofluorescence, $12,13,39$ $12,13,39$ due to the observed toxicity of the molecule [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf) S10, $GI₅₀ = 143$ nM). Confocal microscopy images of the probe within U2OS cells revealed a distinct staining pattern with particularly high intensity in the cytoplasm and nucleoli [\(Figure](#page-1-0) 1D). We hypothesized that this staining pattern may be indicative of RNA binding, as nucleoli are the site of rRNA transcription and are also highly guanine-rich.^{[40](#page-8-0)} This notion was supported by the analogous staining of TOR-G4 to a commercial RNA stain (SYTO RNASelect, [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf) S11).

To confirm the preferential binding of TOR-G4 to RNA, we performed nuclease experiments where cells were treated with: (i) DNase I which removes cellular DNA; (ii) RNase H which degrades DNA/RNA hybrids; (iii) RNase A and (iv) RNase T1 which cleave single-stranded RNA only. We found that treatment with DNase I and RNase H did not substantially alter the localization or the fluorescence intensity of the probe

([Figures](#page-1-0) 1D and S12). In contrast, treatment with RNase A and T1 resulted in a significant drop in the fluorescence intensity and a change in the staining pattern of the probe, leaving only nuclear staining without characteristic nucleolar staining ([Figures](#page-1-0) 1D and S12). In addition to this, transcriptional inhibition of cells via the addition of the RNA polymerase inhibitor DRB resulted in a large reduction of nucleolar staining ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf) $S13$)—demonstrating the high intensity of the probe in nucleoli is indeed due to active transcription in this region.

The cellular localization results suggest that TOR-G4 naturally localizes with RNA in the cytoplasm and nucleoli of cells and is not significantly affected by the removal of DNA or DNA/RNA hybrids. However, in the absence of RNA, the probe binds to DNA, in turn becoming a nuclear stain. This preferential colocalization of TOR-G4 with RNA makes the molecule one of a limited number of probes well suited for studying G4 RNA within cells using fluorescence micros-
copy.^{[41](#page-8-0)–[44](#page-8-0)}

Interestingly, the fluorescence spectrum of TOR-G4 within U2OS cells revealed only the presence of the monomer species emitting at 540 nm ([Figure](#page-1-0) 1E). The exclusive existence of the monomer species in cells may be due to the high density of interacting nucleic acids. The high cellular ion concentration may also contribute to probe disaggregation, as we found high potassium concentration reduced dimer emission, potentially via G4 stabilization. ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf) S14).

We considered that probe disaggregation may also occur upon binding to other biomolecules that are present in cells such as proteins and lipids. To investigate interactions with other cellular components, we measured the emission spectrum of the probe in U2OS cell lysate before and after treatment with nuclease. We found that in total lysate, two emission peaks at ∼550 and 650 nm were observed in the spectrum [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf) S15), consistent with the presence of both the monomer and the aggregate species. In contrast, after treatment with nuclease, only a single peak corresponding to the probe aggregates (emitting at ∼650 nm) was observed. This demonstrates that to observe the monomer species, specific binding to nucleic acids is required. The probe is thus well suited for studying nucleic acids within cells without interference from other biomolecules.

Fluorescence Lifetime of TOR-G4 Varies Based on the Nucleic Acid Structure. Having confirmed that TOR-G4 stains RNA in cells and emits from its monomer state upon binding, we set out to test whether it could be used as a fluorescence lifetime probe for G4s. To this end, we measured the time-resolved fluorescence traces of the cellularly relevant monomer species in the presence of multiple structures, including six G4-forming sequences, including both RNA and DNA structures. As a baseline measurement, we also measured the probe's lifetime in the presence of commercially available total RNA (totRNA) extracted from yeast, which contains a mixture of all RNA structures present in a typical cell. Similarly, we tested yeast tRNA cell extract as an additional subset of mixed RNA topologies, which may include G4s.^{[45](#page-8-0)} Total RNA extracted from human U2OS cells was also tested to ensure that the structural diversity of RNA in yeast adequately reflects that found within human cells. Next, we selected RNA sequences that cannot form G4s, such as simple single-stranded RNA sequences that lack secondary structure, as well as a hairpin RNA sequence and stem-loop structures with symmetric (cov-bulge) or asymmetric (ucu-bulge) bulges.

Figure 2. (A) Sample time-resolved fluorescence decays of TOR-G4 when bound to a G4 or a single-stranded RNA sequence. (B) Average fluorescence lifetimes of TOR-G4 when bound to various nucleic acid structures after excitation at 467 nm and detection at 540 \pm 16 nm. (C) Molecular modeling of TOR-G4 bound to the G-quadruplex *c-MYC* or (D) a duplex DNA structure, demonstrating variations in the probe's binding conformation. (E) Average fluorescence lifetimes and (F) time-resolved fluorescence decays of TOR-G4 recorded in the presence of varying ratios of G4 RNA to totRNA. (G) Average fluorescence lifetimes recorded from displacement assays—displacing TOR-G4 from an RNA G4 sequence with established G4 binders Ni-Salphen and PhenDC3 (see [Figure](#page-5-0) 4 for molecular structures). (H) Time-resolved fluorescence decays of TOR-G4 during the G4 displacement assay with Ni-Salphen. Error bars are the standard deviation for experiments performed in triplicate.

Finally, we recorded the lifetime of TOR-G4 bound to duplex DNA extracted from calf thymus to consider lifetime selectivity for RNA over DNA.

We found that the time-resolved fluorescence decays of TOR-G4 fit well to a biexponential decay function (Figures 2A, S16, S17, and [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf) S3). Both lifetime components were highly dependent on the nucleic acid structure the probe interacted with, being significantly higher in the presence of G4 structures than for mixed or non-G4 topologies. In the presence of G4s, the decay of TOR-G4 also had higher contributions from the longer lifetime component $(A_2 \text{ and } \tau_2)$, [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf) S17), causing the probe to have a significantly higher intensity-weighted average lifetime when bound to G4s (4−6 ns) compared to non-G4/mixed topologies (2−3 ns) (Figure 2A,B). The fluorescence enhancement of the probe upon DNA and RNA binding also displayed trends similar to that of the fluorescence lifetime, being the highest when the probe was bound to G4s-although there was significantly higher variance in the intensity-based measurements ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf) S18).

Despite preferential cellular binding to RNA, the lifetime values for G4 DNA and RNA were comparable, suggesting that TOR-G4 has selectively high lifetimes for all G4 structures regardless of the nucleic acid. To further test if the lifetime of TOR-G4 was dependent on the formation of G4s, lifetime measurements were made with the parallel G4 sequence *c-MYC,* in binding buffer containing LiCl (rather than KCl), which does not significantly stabilize $G4s⁴⁶$ $G4s⁴⁶$ $G4s⁴⁶$ Destabilization of G4s by removal of K^+ ions resulted in a significant drop in the

measured fluorescence lifetime of the probe by 1.3 ns [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf) [S19\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf), showing that G4 formation is essential for obtaining a high probe lifetime. We noted that the lifetime in lithiumcontaining buffer was still higher than that of non-G4 sequences, suggesting that some G4 formation was still occurring even in the absence of added K^+ ions. To confirm this, CD spectra of c -MYC in both K⁺ and Li⁺ buffer were recorded, which revealed the parallel G4 signature (negative and positive peaks at −240 and +265 nm respectively, [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf) [S19\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf)^{[47](#page-8-0)} were present in both conditions, thus explaining the maintenance of a relatively high lifetime in Li⁺ buffer.

To rationalize the structural dependence of TOR-G4's lifetime, we performed molecular modeling studies comparing the DFT-optimized conformation of the probe when bound to the structure that produced the lowest lifetime (duplex DNA) and the highest lifetime (the G4 *c-MYC*) (Figure 2C,D). Docking studies showed that when interacting with the duplex structure, a portion of the probe intercalates in between base pairs; however, due to the extended size of the molecule, part of the probe is forced to point away from the DNA backbone. This displaced fragment likely has a substantial amount of rotational freedom, which is likely to increase the rate of nonradiative decay and result in a lower probe lifetime.^{[24](#page-8-0)} In contrast, when bound to the G4 structure via end-stacking, the entirety of the probe fits on top of the G-tetrad. Such a binding arrangement means that the whole of the molecule is involved in the π -stacking interaction with the G4 and is thus more conformationally restricted, likely leading to a longer lifetime.

Figure 3. (A) FLIM images of TOR-G4 in U2OS cells. Overview image was taken at 256 × 256 pixel resolution, and zoomed image of cell was separately acquired and segmented at 512×512 pixel resolution. (B) Histogram of pixel frequency against average fluorescence lifetime of TOR-G4 within U2OS cells. (C) Example of cellular fluorescence decay and decay fit residuals of TOR-G4 to a biexponential decay function. Decay was taken from a pixel in the nucleoli. (D) FLIM images and (E) average fluorescence lifetime of TOR-G4 in cells following treatment with nucleases. Excitation at 477 nm and emission were collected across 550−700 nm. Scale bars = 20 *μ*m. All cell experiments are an average of two independent biological repeats.

To explore the hypothesis that differences in lifetime are explained by distinct binding interactions, we performed binding affinity titrations on selected sequences ([Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf) S20 and [S21](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf)). Both the monomer and dimer peaks were found to change with increasing nucleic acid concentration, suggesting both forms of the probe may interact with DNA and RNA. For the monomer species, we found the K_a of TOR-G4 was approximately $3x$ higher for G4 sequences than for other structures. Interestingly, we also noted that the binding affinity for duplex DNA was comparable to that of totRNAsuggesting that the cellular localization with RNA is not due to specific RNA binding preferences. Instead, within cells intercalation into DNA may be impaired due to chromatin structure, as has been previously described for many
intercalating dyes,^{[48](#page-8-0)−[50](#page-8-0)} causing the probe to interact primarily with RNA, which is abundant in the nucleoli and cytoplasm.

Perturbing G4 Binding Alters Lifetime of TOR-G4. Having established that the lifetime of TOR-G4 varies depending on the nucleic acid structure, we next tested if the lifetime of the probe could dynamically respond to changes in G4 binding. We opted for two perturbation strategies to either increase or decrease G4 binding. First, to assess the lifetime response to increasing G4 prevalence, we measured the time-resolved decays of TOR-G4 in a solution of yeast totRNA and investigated how the addition of an RNA G4 sequence affected the detected lifetime of the molecule. We found that the lifetime gradually increased from 3.5 to 5.5 ns with increasing G4 concentration [\(Figure](#page-3-0) 2E,F), demonstrating the probe's ability to detect elevated G4 prevalence even in the presence of many other RNA structures.

Second, to investigate how the probe responds to reduced G4 binding, we performed a G4 displacement assay using an

RNA G4 sequence. To achieve this, we used two validated G4 ligands that are structurally distinct, PhenDC3 $51,52$ and Ni-Salphen^{[53](#page-8-0)} [\(Figure](#page-5-0) 4A,B), and titrated them into a solution of TOR-G4 prebound to an RNA G4. As expected, we observed that increasing the concentration of G4 binders displaced the probe from its RNA G4 substrate, resulting in a significant drop in fluorescence lifetime by 1 ns with Ni-Salphen and 0.4 ns with PhenDC3 [\(Figure](#page-3-0) 2G,H). The greater lifetime reduction obtained with Ni-Salphen also aligns with the reported higher binding of Ni-Salphen to G4s compared to $\widehat{\text{PhenDC3}}$. $52,54$ In contrast, when the same experiment was repeated using a hairpin RNA sequence as the TOR-G4 binding substrate, we did not observe any significant change in the probe lifetime [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf) S22). Additionally, displacement from yeast totRNA resulted in a modest drop in lifetime (by 0.3 and 0.1 ns when adding Ni-Salphen and PhenDC3, respectively; [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf) S22), which is in agreement with total RNA containing a mixture of G4 and non-G4 structures. Overall, these experiments demonstrate that the lifetime of TOR-G4 is highly sensitive to G4 binding and may be used to assess G4 prevalence within cells.

TOR-G4 Detects G4 Content in Cells via FLIM. After the in vitro validation of TOR-G4 as a successful G4 fluorescence lifetime probe, we next considered its suitability for detecting G4 abundance in a cellular environment via Fluorescence Lifetime Imaging Microscopy (FLIM). Here, the intensity-weighted average fluorescence lifetime is calculated for individual pixels of a confocal image to yield a color-coded map of pixel lifetimes, where high lifetime pixels are shown in red and lower lifetime pixels in blue (Figure 3A). FLIM analysis of TOR-G4 in U2OS cells revealed that the lifetime of

Figure 4. (A) Structure of Ni-Salphen and (B) PhenDC3. (C) FLIM images and (D) average fluorescence lifetime of TOR-G4 in U2OS cells after displacement with G4 ligands Ni-Salphen and PhenDC3. (E) FLIM images and (F) average fluorescence lifetime of TOR-G4 in U2OS cells transfected with lipofectamine only, hairpin RNA, or G4 RNA. Excitation at 477 nm and emission collected across 550−700 nm. Scale bars = 20 μ m. Cell experiments are an average of three (C,D) or two (E,F) independent biological repeats.

the probe varies considerably across the cell ([Figure](#page-4-0) 3A−C and [S23\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf).

Specifically, there were two distinct lifetime environments, identified both by fitting lifetime decays ([Figure](#page-4-0) 3A,C) and by phasor analysis of the images [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf) S24). The first probe environment was at ∼3.2 ns in the cytoplasm and nucleoli, which is consistent with the in vitro measurements of the probe bound to totRNA (i.e., representing a mixture of G4 and non-G4 interactions). In comparison, a significantly lower lifetime is seen for the non-nucleolar parts of the nucleus, where there is a peak lifetime of 2.6 ns. This lower lifetime is comparable to that measured with single-stranded RNA in vitro and may be due to the binding of the probe to mRNA transcripts in the nucleus. Similar lifetime distributions were also obtained when imaging the probe via TPE [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf) S25), thus demonstrating that TOR-G4 may be suitable for tissue imaging in the future.

To further validate the lifetime measured within cells specifically arises from probe binding to RNA, the lifetime of TOR-G4 was measured before and after treatment with DNase I as well as RNase H, A, and T1 [\(Figure](#page-4-0) 3D,E). Similarly, with respect to the fluorescence intensity measurements, the fluorescence lifetime of TOR-G4 was only found to be significantly diminished after treatment with RNase A and RNase T1. Inhibition of transcription via treatment with DRB also resulted in a reduction in fluorescence lifetime [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf) [S13\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf), thus further indicating that the fluorescence lifetime of the probe primarily arises from its interaction with RNA in cells.

We next sought to validate that the lifetime measured within each whole cell does not vary based on the probe uptake. To assess this, we measured the average fluorescence intensity within each cell at two probe concentrations (2 and 5 *μ*M) and correlated it with the average cellular lifetime. While changing probe concentration results in large changes in the fluorescence intensity measured within cells, we found no correlation between the average intensity of a cell and its fluorescence lifetime [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf) S26). We additionally found that the fluorescence lifetime of the probe remained consistent (<0.1 ns change) after irradiation of light and continuous imaging across 6 h [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf) S27). These results demonstrate that the fluorescence lifetime of TOR-G4 is robust and is not significantly affected by fluctuations in cellular probe uptake or light exposure, unlike fluorescence intensity measurements.

Finally, we tested the sensitivity of the probe toward G4 binding by conducting two perturbation experiments in cells. First, we performed cellular G4 displacement assays where, similarly to the in vitro assay, two G4 binders (PhenDC3 and Ni-Salphen, 1 *μ*M, Figure 4A−D) were added to cells that had been preincubated with TOR-G4. After 4 h of incubation with the corresponding G4 binders, significant drops in the fluorescence lifetime were observed (Figure 4C,D), with Ni-Salphen resulting in a larger drop in lifetime compared to PhenDC3-thus showing the same trend as the in vitro displacement results.

Second, we investigated the probe's response to increased G4 abundance by transfecting G4 RNA into cells. As a control, we also measured the lifetime of TOR-G4 within cells that had only been treated with the transfecting agent (lipofectamine 2000) or, alternatively, transfected with a hairpin RNA sequence. As expected, transfection with the G4-forming sequence resulted in a significantly higher measured lifetime compared with lipofectamine treatment alone or hairpin RNA transfection (Figure 4E,F). These results demonstrate that both decreasing and increasing G4 binding within cells results in significant changes in the lifetime of TOR-G4, making the

probe an effective and concentration-independent tool for monitoring changes in cellular G4 formation.

■ **DISCUSSION**

The formation of G4 structures in DNA and RNA has been linked to multiple regulatory pathways across the cell, in increasingly diverse and compelling ways.^{7-[11](#page-7-0)} G4s are thus promising therapeutic targets for diseases, including cancer and neurodegeneration.^{55,[56](#page-8-0)} Untangling the role of G4s in cellular processes has been facilitated by G4 visualization using either small-molecule fluorescent probes or fluorescently labeled antibodies.[12](#page-7-0)[−][17](#page-7-0),[39,57](#page-8-0)

Historically, quadruplex research has been focused on DNA G4s, which is reflected in the greater availability of fluorescent probes that exhibit nuclear staining.^{[58](#page-8-0)} In comparison, visualization of RNA G4s (rG4s) is still a relatively new area of study.^{41−[44](#page-8-0)} Previously, it was suggested that RNA G4s may even be globally unfolded within cells due to the persistent activity of G4 helicases.^{[59](#page-8-0)} However, more recent studies have provided extensive evidence that rG4s not only exist within cells but may be pivotal for mediating RNA interactions with proteins that control processes such as splicing, translation, and stress granule formation.^{[9](#page-7-0)-[11](#page-7-0)}

Despite the exciting emerging roles of RNA G4s within cells, imaging rG4s poses a unique challenge due to the increased structural diversity of RNA compared to DNA.^{[1](#page-7-0)} This means that any rG4 probe must exhibit exceptionally high binding selectivity for G4s. Additionally, as rG4s are often found in phase-separated regions of the cell (and may even drive phase separation events),^{60−[62](#page-9-0)} probe uptake must be considered when interpreting fluorescence images. For example, differences in probe uptake into phase-separated organelles confound measurements of fluorescence intensity, where the increased fluorescent signal may be due to local probe concentration rather than G4 abundance.

FLIM can be used to overcome stringent requirements for G4 binding selectivity, as it allows binding interactions with G4s to be distinguished from those of other structures. Furthermore, lifetime measurements provide a readout of G4 abundance, which is independent of local probe concentration. While some probes have been reported to display distinct fluorescence lifetimes when bound to G4 DNA compared to
other structures,^{[20](#page-7-0)–[23](#page-7-0)} lifetime probes for RNA G4s have yet to be reported. In this work, we have filled this gap by describing a fluorescence lifetime-based probe (TOR-G4) suitable for imaging RNA G4s in cells.

We first characterized the fluorescent properties of TOR-G4, noting that in vitro the probe can exist in either a monomeric or an aggregated form, while in cells the molecule fully disaggregates, presumably upon nucleic acid binding. The fluorescence lifetime of the monomer species is highly dependent on the structure of the interacting nucleic acids, being the highest when bound to G4 structures, compared to non-G4 or mixed topologies. These differences in the probe's lifetime were rationalized with molecular modeling studies, showing that the conformational flexibility of TOR-G4 is restricted when bound to a G4, compared to a duplex structure, which would in turn reduce the rate of nonradiative decay.

Unlike other G4 lifetime probes, within cells, TOR-G4 predominantly stains the cytoplasm and nucleoli and is sensitive to treatment with RNase. Despite this, in vitro testing revealed that there was no binding selectivity for RNA over DNA. Instead, we hypothesize that the cellular RNA selectivity of TOR-G4 is due to its intercalating binding mode. Previous work has shown that many small molecules that intercalate into DNA in vitro are not able to achieve intercalation when DNA is condensed into chromatin, leading solely to RNA binding. $48-50$ $48-50$ $48-50$ This is in contrast to other DNA G4 probes that have been shown to interact with DNA via groove binding, 23 23 23 which allows for DNA binding even in chromatin. The RNA selectivity of TOR-G4 within cells in turn makes the probe best suited for studying RNA G4s.

To validate the sensitivity of TOR-G4 to the G4 content in cells, we performed G4 displacement assays with known G4 ligands PhenDC3 and Ni-Salphen and also measured the lifetime of the probe after G4 RNA transfection. We demonstrated that displacing TOR-G4 from G4s results in a large and significant drop in the average cellular fluorescence lifetime. Similarly, inducing G4 formation via RNA transfection resulted in a significant increase in probe lifetime compared to the transfection with non-G4 structures. Together these results confirm that TOR-G4 can be used as a sensitive tool to probe cellular rG4 abundance.

■ **CONCLUSIONS**

In this work, we present the characterization and application of TOR-G4—a small molecule suitable for imaging RNA G4s via FLIM. By characterizing the fluorescence lifetime of TOR-G4 with a range of G4 and non-G4 structures, we established that the probe displays distinct fluorescence lifetimes when bound to G4s compared to other structures, which can be perturbed by the addition of known G4 ligands. In cells, the probe primarily localizes in cellular compartments that are rich in RNA, making it one of a limited number of molecules suitable for probing RNA G4 formation. Overall, TOR-G4 represents an alternative concentration-independent tool for visualizing RNA G4s, which overcomes the need to obtain exclusive G4 binding selectivity within cells.

■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

\bullet Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.3c11908.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.3c11908?goto=supporting-info)

Experimental protocols, materials, synthetic chemistry, photophysical characterization, molecular modeling, cellular uptake characterization, absorbance spectra, understanding TOR-G4 aggregation, MTS assay, staining of U2OS cells, additional confocal images, FLIM images, emission spectra, time-resolved fluorescence decay traces, goodness of fit, fluorescence lifetime component analysis, fluorescence switch-on, average weighted lifetimes, binding curves and apparent binding affinities, phasor analysis, investigating photostability, and references ([PDF](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.3c11908/suppl_file/ja3c11908_si_001.pdf))

■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Corresponding Authors

- Ramon Vilar − *Department of Chemistry, Molecular Science Research Hub, Imperial College London, London W12 0BZ, U.K.; Molecular Science Research Hub, Institute of Chemical Biology, London W12 0BZ, U.K.;* [orcid.org/0000-0003-](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2992-199X) [2992-199X;](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2992-199X) Email: r.vilar@imperial.ac.uk
- Marina K. Kuimova − *Department of Chemistry, Molecular Science Research Hub, Imperial College London, London*

W12 0BZ, U.K.; Molecular Science Research Hub, Institute of Chemical Biology, London W12 0BZ, U.K.; [orcid.org/](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2383-6014) [0000-0003-2383-6014](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2383-6014); Email: m.kuimova@imperial.ac.uk

Authors

- Jenna Robinson − *Department of Chemistry, Molecular Science Research Hub, Imperial College London, London W12 0BZ, U.K.; Molecular Science Research Hub, Institute of Chemical Biology, London W12 0BZ, U.K.; The Francis Crick Institute, London NW1 1AT, U.K.*
- Stine G. Stenspil − *Department of Chemistry, Molecular Science Research Hub, Imperial College London, London W12 0BZ, U.K.;* Present Address: University of Copenhagen, Nano-Science Center and Department of Chemistry, Universitetsparken 5, Copenhagen 2100, Denmark; orcid.org/0000-0002-0252-6829
- Karolina Maleckaite − *Department of Chemistry, Molecular Science Research Hub, Imperial College London, London W12 0BZ, U.K.;* Present Address: Center of Physical Sciences and Technology, Sauletekio av. 3, Vilnius LT10257, Lithuania; orcid.org/0000-0002-4992-9158
- Molly Bartlett − *Department of Chemistry, Molecular Science Research Hub, Imperial College London, London W12 0BZ, U.K.*
- Marco Di Antonio − *Department of Chemistry, Molecular Science Research Hub, Imperial College London, London W12 0BZ, U.K.; Molecular Science Research Hub, Institute of Chemical Biology, London W12 0BZ, U.K.; The Francis Crick Institute, London NW1 1AT, U.K.;* [orcid.org/](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7321-1867) [0000-0002-7321-1867](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7321-1867)

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/jacs.3c11908](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.3c11908?ref=pdf)

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

J.R. is funded by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC, Grant number EP/S023518/1) and the NIHR Imperial Biomedical Research Centre. M.B. is funded by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC, grant number EP/S023232/1). M.D.A is supported by a Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) David Phillips Fellowship [BB/ R011605/1] and is a recipient of the Lister Institute Research Prize.

B ABBREVIATIONS
G4 G-quadruplex

- G4 G-quadruplex
- RNA G-quadruplex
- *K*_c association constant

FLIM Fluorescence Lifetime Imaging Microscopy

■ **REFERENCES**

(1) Ganser, L. R.; Kelly, M. L.; Herschlag, D.; Al-Hashimi, H. M. The Roles of Structural Dynamics in the Cellular [Functions](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-019-0136-0) of RNAs. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2019, *20* (8), 474−489.

(2) Kaushik, M.; Kaushik, S.; Roy, K.; Singh, A.; Mahendru, S.; Kumar, M.; Chaudhary, S.; Ahmed, S.; Kukreti, S. A [Bouquet](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrep.2016.01.013) of DNA [Structures:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrep.2016.01.013) Emerging Diversity. *Biochem. Biophys. Reports* 2016, *5*, 388−395.

(3) Morris, K. V.; Mattick, J. S. The Rise of [Regulatory](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3722) RNA. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2014, *15* (6), 423−437.

(4) Spiegel, J.; Adhikari, S.; Balasubramanian, S. The [Structure](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trechm.2019.07.002) and Function of DNA [G-Quadruplexes.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trechm.2019.07.002) *Trends in Chemistry* 2020, *2* (2), 123−136.

(5) Varshney, D.; Spiegel, J.; Zyner, K.; Tannahill, D.; Balasubramanian, S. The [Regulation](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-020-0236-x) and Functions of DNA and RNA [G-Quadruplexes.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-020-0236-x) *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2020, *21* (8), 459− 474.

(6) Balagurumoorthy, P.; Brahmachari, S. K. [Structure](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(17)31882-3) and Stability of Human [Telomeric](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(17)31882-3) Sequence. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1994, *269* (34), 21858−21869.

(7) Robinson, J.; Raguseo, F.; Nuccio, S. P.; Liano, D.; Di Antonio, M. DNA [G-Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab609) Structures: More than Simple Roadblocks to [Transcription?](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab609) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2021, *49* (15), 8419−8431.

(8) Mukherjee, A. K.; Sharma, S.; Chowdhury, S. [Non-Duplex](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2018.11.001) G-[Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2018.11.001) Structures Emerge as Mediators of Epigenetic Mod[ifications.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2018.11.001) *Trends Genet.* 2019, *35* (5), 29−144.

(9) Kharel, P.; Becker, G.; Tsvetkov, V.; Ivanov, P. [Properties](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1126) and Biological Impact of RNA [G-Quadruplexes:](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1126) From Order to Turmoil and [Back.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1126) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2020, *48* (22), 12534−12555.

(10) Dumas, L.; Herviou, P.; Dassi, E.; Cammas, A.; Millevoi, S. [G-](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2020.11.001)[Quadruplexes](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2020.11.001) in RNA Biology: Recent Advances and Future [Directions.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2020.11.001) *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 2021, *46* (4), 270−283.

(11) Lyu, K.; Chow, E. Y. C.; Mou, X.; Chan, T. F.; Kwok, C. K. RNA [G-Quadruplexes](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab187) (RG4s): Genomics and Biological Functions. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2021, *49* (10), 5426−5450.

(12) Biffi, G.; Di Antonio, M.; Tannahill, D.; Balasubramanian, S. [Visualization](https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1805) and Selective Chemical Targeting of RNA G-[Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1805) Structures in the Cytoplasm of Human Cells. *Nat. Chem.* 2014, *6* (1), 75.

(13) Biffi, G.; Tannahill, D.; McCafferty, J.; Balasubramanian, S. Quantitative Visualization of DNA [G-Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1548) Structures in [Human](https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1548) Cells. *Nat. Chem.* 2013, *5* (3), 182−186.

(14) Henderson, A.; Wu, Y.; Huang, Y. C.; Chavez, E. A.; Platt, J.; Johnson, F. B.; Brosh, R. M.; Sen, D.; Lansdorp, P. M. [Detection](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt957) of G-Quadruplex DNA in [Mammalian](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt957) Cells. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2014, *42* (2), 860−869.

(15) Chilka, P.; Desai, N.; Datta, B. Small Molecule [Fluorescent](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24040752) Probes for [G-Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24040752) Visualization as Potential Cancer [Theranostic](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24040752) Agents. *Molecules.* 2019, *24* (4), 752.

(16) Yuan, J. H.; Shao, W.; Chen, S. Bin; Huang, Z. S.; Tan, J. H Recent Advances in Fluorescent Probes for [G-Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.02.114) Nucleic [Acids.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.02.114) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2020, *531* (1), 18−24.

(17) Han, J. N.; Ge, M.; Chen, P.; Kuang, S.; Nie, Z. [Advances](https://doi.org/10.1002/bip.23528) in G-[Quadruplexes-Based](https://doi.org/10.1002/bip.23528) Fluorescent Imaging. *Biopolymers* 2022, *113* (12), No. e23528.

(18) Di Antonio, M.; Ponjavic, A.; Radzevičius, A.; Ranasinghe, R. T.; Catalano, M.; Zhang, X.; Shen, J.; Needham, L. M.; Lee, S. F.; Klenerman, D.; Balasubramanian, S. [Single-Molecule](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41557-020-0506-4) Visualization of DNA [G-Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41557-020-0506-4) Formation in Live Cells. *Nat. Chem.* 2020, *12*, 832−837.

(19) Gaigalas, A. K.; Li, L.; Henderson, O.; Vogt, R.; Barr, J.; Marti, G.; Weaver, J.; Schwartz, A. The [Development](https://doi.org/10.6028/jres.106.015) of Fluorescence Intensity [Standards.](https://doi.org/10.6028/jres.106.015) *J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol.* 2001, *106* (2), 381−389.

(20) Shivalingam, A.; Izquierdo, M. A.; Marois, A. Le; Vyšniauskas, A.; Suhling, K.; Kuimova, M. K.; Vilar, R The [Interactions](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9178) between a Small Molecule and [G-Quadruplexes](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9178) Are Visualized by Fluorescence Lifetime Imaging [Microscopy.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9178) *Nat. Commun.* 2015, *6*, 8178.

(21) Summers, P. A.; Lewis, B. W.; Gonzalez-Garcia, J.; Porreca, R. M.; Lim, A. H. M.; Cadinu, P.; Martin-Pintado, N.; Mann, D. J.; Edel, J. B.; Vannier, J. B.; Kuimova, M. K.; Vilar, R. [Visualising](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20414-7) G-Quadruplex DNA Dynamics in Live Cells by [Fluorescence](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20414-7) Lifetime Imaging [Microscopy.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20414-7) *Nat. Commun.* 2021, *12* (1), 1−11.

(22) Tseng, T.-Y.; Chien, C.-H.; Chu, J.-F.; Huang, W.-C.; Lin, M.- Y.; Chang, C.-C.; Chang, T.-C. [Fluorescent](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JBO.18.10.101309) Probe for Visualizing [Guanine-Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JBO.18.10.101309) DNA by Fluorescence Lifetime Imaging [Microscopy.](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JBO.18.10.101309) *J. Biomed. Opt.* 2013, *18* (10), No. 101309.

(23) Liu, L.; Liu, W.; Wang, K.; Zhu, B.; Xia, X.; Ji, L.; Mao, Z. Quantitative Detection of [G-Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202002422) DNA in Live Cells Based on

Photon Counts and Complex Structure [Discrimination.](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202002422) *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* 2020, *59* (24), 9719−9726.

(24) Berezin, M. Y.; Achilefu, S. [Fluorescence](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr900343z?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Lifetime Measurements and [Biological](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr900343z?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Imaging. *Chem. Rev.* 2010, *110* (5), 2641−2684. (25) Lu, Y.-J.; Deng, Q.; Hou, J.-Q.; Hu, D.-P.; Wang, Z.-Y.; Zhang, K.; Luyt, L. G.; Wong, W.-L.; Chow, C.-F. Molecular [Engineering](https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.5b00987?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Thiazole Orange Dye: Change of [Fluorescent](https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.5b00987?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Signaling from [Universal](https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.5b00987?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) to Specific upon Binding with Nucleic Acids in Bioassay. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 2016, *11* (4), 1019−1029.

(26) Lubitz, I.; Zikich, D.; Kotlyar, A. Specific [High-Affinity](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi1000849?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Binding of Thiazole Orange to Triplex and [g-Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi1000849?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) DNA. *Biochemistry* 2010, *49* (17), 3567−3574.

(27) Long, W.; Lu, Y. J.; Zhang, K.; Huang, X. H.; Hou, J. Q.; Cai, S. Y.; Li, Y.; Du, X.; Luyt, L. G.; Wong, W. L.; Chow, C. F. [Boosting](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dyepig.2018.07.008) the Turn-on [Fluorescent](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dyepig.2018.07.008) Signaling Ability of Thiazole Orange Dyes: The Effectiveness of Structural [Modification](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dyepig.2018.07.008) Site and Its Unusual [Interaction](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dyepig.2018.07.008) Behavior with Nucleic Acids. *Dye. Pigment.* 2018, *159*, 449−456.

(28) Zhang, L.; Liu, X.; Lu, S.; Liu, J.; Zhong, S.; Wei, Y.; Bing, T.; Zhang, N.; Shangguan, D. Thiazole Orange Styryl [Derivatives](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsabm.9b01243?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) as Fluorescent Probes for [G-Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsabm.9b01243?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) DNA. *ACS Appl. Bio Mater.* 2020, *3* (5), 2643−2650.

(29) Jarikote, D. V.; Krebs, N.; Tannert, S.; Röder, B.; Seitz, O. Exploring [Base-Pair-Specific](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.200600699) Optical Properties of the DNA Stain [Thiazole](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.200600699) Orange. *Chem.* − *A Eur. J.* 2007, *13* (1), 300−310.

(30) Zhao, Z.; Cao, S.; Li, H.; Li, D.; He, Y.; Wang, X.; Chen, J.; Zhang, S.; Xu, J.; Knutson, J. R. Ultrafast [Excited-State](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemphys.2021.111392) Dynamics of [Thiazole](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemphys.2021.111392) Orange. *Chem. Phys.* 2022, *553*, No. 111392.

(31) Salem, M. A.; Gedik, M.; Brown, A. Two Photon Absorption in Biological Molecules. In *Handbook of Computational Chemistry*; Springer: Netherlands, 2015; pp 1−19.

(32) Helmchen, F.; Denk, W. Deep Tissue [Two-Photon](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth818) [Microscopy.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth818) *Nat. Methods.* 2005, *2* (12), 932−940.

(33) West, W.; Pearce, S. The Dimeric State of [Cyanine](https://doi.org/10.1021/j100890a019?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Dyes. *J. Phys. Chem.* 1965, *69* (6), 1894−1903.

(34) Ghasemi, J.; Ahmadi, S.; Ahmad, A. I.; Ghobadi, S. Spectroscopic [Characterization](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-007-8124-9) of Thiazole Orange-3 DNA Inter[action.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-007-8124-9) *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 2008, *149* (1), 9−22.

(35) Nygren, J.; Svanvik, N.; Kubista, M. The [Interactions](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0282(199807)46:1<39::AID-BIP4>3.0.CO;2-Z) between the [Fluorescent](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0282(199807)46:1<39::AID-BIP4>3.0.CO;2-Z) Dye Thiazole Orange and DNA. *Biopolymers* 1998, *46* (1), 39−51.

(36) Biver, T.; Boggioni, A.; Secco, F.; Turriani, E.; Venturini, M.; Yarmoluk, S. Influence of Cyanine Dye Structure on [Self-Aggregation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2007.04.034) and [Interaction](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2007.04.034) with Nucleic Acids: A Kinetic Approach to TO and BO [Binding.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2007.04.034) *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 2007, *465* (1), 90−100.

(37) Das, S.; Purkayastha, P. [Modulating](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.7b00899?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Thiazole Orange Aggregation in Giant Lipid Vesicles: [Photophysical](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.7b00899?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Study Associated with [FLIM](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.7b00899?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and FCS. *ACS Omega* 2017, *2* (8), 5036−5043.

(38) Klymchenko, A. S. Emerging Field of [Self-Assembled](https://doi.org/10.2174/0929867325666180226111716) Fluorescent Organic Dye [Nanoparticles.](https://doi.org/10.2174/0929867325666180226111716) *J. Nanosci. Lett.* 2012, *3*, 21. (39) Masson, T.; Guetta, C. L.; Laigre, E.; Cucchiarini, A.; Duchambon, P.; Teulade-Fichou, M. P.; Verga, D. BrdU [Immuno-](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab1166)Tagged G-Quadruplex Ligands: A New [Ligand-Guided](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab1166) Immunofluorescence Approach for Tracking [G-Quadruplexes](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab1166) in Cells. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2021, *49* (22), 12644−12660.

(40) Datta, A.; Pollock, K. J.; Kormuth, K. A.; Brosh, R. M. [G-](https://doi.org/10.1159/000516394)[Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.1159/000516394) Assembly by Ribosomal DNA: Emerging Roles in Disease [Pathogenesis](https://doi.org/10.1159/000516394) and Cancer Biology. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* 2021, *161* (6−7), 285−296.

(41) Laguerre, A.; Hukezalie, K.; Winckler, P.; Katranji, F.; Chanteloup, G.; Pirrotta, M.; Perrier-Cornet, J. M.; Wong, J. M. Y.; Monchaud, D. Visualization of [RNA-Quadruplexes](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.5b03413?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in Live Cells. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137* (26), 8521−8525.

(42) Chen, S. Bin; Hu, M. H.; Liu, G. C.; Wang, J.; Ou, T. M.; Gu, L. Q.; Huang, Z. S.; Tan, J. H [Visualization](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b04799?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of NRAS RNA G-Quadruplex Structures in Cells with an Engineered [Fluorogenic](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b04799?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Hybridization](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b04799?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Probe. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016, *138* (33), 10382− 10385.

(43) Xu, S.; Li, Q.; Xiang, J.; Yang, Q.; Sun, H.; Guan, A.; Wang, L.; Liu, Y.; Yu, L.; Shi, Y.; Chen, H.; Tang, Y. [Thioflavin](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep24793) T as an Efficient [Fluorescence](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep24793) Sensor for Selective Recognition of RNA G-Quad[ruplexes.](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep24793) *Sci. Reports* 2016, *6* (1), 1−9.

(44) Zheng, B.-X.; Long, W.; She, M.-T.; Wang, Y.; Zhao, D.; Yu, J.; Siu-Lun Leung, A.; Hin Chan, K.; Hou, J.; Lu, Y.-J.; Wong, W.-L.; Zheng, B.; Long, W.; Yu, J.; Leung, A.; Chan, K. H.; Wong, W.; Wang, Y.; She, M.; Lu, Y.; Zhao, D.; Hou, J. A [Cytoplasm-Specific](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202300705) Fluorescent Ligand for Selective Imaging of RNA [G-Quadruplexes](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202300705) in Live [Cancer](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202300705) Cells. *Chem.* − *A Eur. J.* 2023, *29* (34), No. e202300705.

(45) Ivanov, P.; O'Day, E.; Emara, M. M.; Wagner, G.; Lieberman, J.; Anderson, P. [G-Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1407361111) Structures Contribute to the Neuroprotective Effects of [Angiogenin-Induced](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1407361111) TRNA Fragments. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2014, *111* (51), 18201−18206.

(46) Bhattacharyya, D.; Arachchilage, G. M.; Basu, S. Metal [Cations](https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2016.00038) in [G-Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2016.00038) Folding and Stability. *Front. Chem.* 2016, *4*, 38.

(47) del Villar-Guerra, R.; Trent, J. O.; Chaires, J. B. [G-Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201709184) Secondary Structure from Circular Dichroism [Spectroscopy.](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201709184) *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 2018, *57* (24), 7171.

(48) Horobin, R. W.; Stockert, J. C.; Rashid-Doubell, F. [Uptake](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00418-013-1090-0) and Localisation of [Small-Molecule](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00418-013-1090-0) Fluorescent Probes in Living Cells: A Critical Appraisal of QSAR Models and a Case Study [Concerning](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00418-013-1090-0) [Probes](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00418-013-1090-0) for DNA and RNA. *Histochem. Cell Biol.* 2013, *139* (5), 623− 637.

(49) Delic, J.; Coppey, J.; Magdelenat, H.; Coppey-Moisan, M. [Impossibility](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4827(91)90144-J) of Acridine Orange Intercalation in Nuclear DNA of the [Living](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4827(91)90144-J) Cell. *Exp. Cell Res.* 1991, *194* (1), 147−153.

(50) Tramier, M.; Kemnitz, K.; Durieux, C.; Coppey, J.; Denjean, P.; Pansu, R. B.; Coppey-Moisan, M. [Restrained](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(00)76806-8) Torsional Dynamics of Nuclear DNA in Living [Proliferative](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(00)76806-8) Mammalian Cells. *Biophys. J.* 2000, *78* (5), 2614−2627.

(51) Monchaud, D.; Allain, C.; Bertrand, H.; Smargiasso, N.; Rosu, F.; Gabelica, V.; De Cian, A.; Mergny, J. L.; Teulade-Fichou, M. P. Ligands Playing Musical Chairs with [G-Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2008.02.019) DNA: A Rapid and Simple [Displacement](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2008.02.019) Assay for Identifying Selective G-[Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2008.02.019) Binders. *Biochimie.* 2008, *90* (8), 1207−1223.

(52) De Cian, A.; DeLemos, E.; Mergny, J. L.; Teulade-Fichou, M. P.; Monchaud, D. Highly Efficient [G-Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja067352b?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Recognition by [Bisquinolinium](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja067352b?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Compounds. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, *129* (7), 1856− 1857.

(53) Abd Karim, N. H.; Mendoza, O.; Shivalingam, A.; Thompson, A. J.; Ghosh, S.; Kuimova, M. K.; Vilar, R. Salphen Metal [Complexes](https://doi.org/10.1039/C3RA44793F) as Tunable [G-Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.1039/C3RA44793F) Binders and Optical Probes. *RSC Adv.* 2014, *4* (7), 3355−3363.

(54) Reed, J. E.; Arnal, A. A.; Neidle, S.; Vilar, R. [Stabilization](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja058509n?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of G-[Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja058509n?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) DNA and Inhibition of Telomerase Activity by Square-Planar Nickel(II) [Complexes.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja058509n?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006, *128* (18), 5992−5993.

(55) Kosiol, N.; Juranek, S.; Brossart, P.; Heine, A.; Paeschke, K. [G-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-021-01328-4)[Quadruplexes:](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-021-01328-4) A Promising Target for Cancer Therapy. *Mol. Cancer.* 2021, *20* (1), 40.

(56) Wang, E.; Thombre, R.; Shah, Y.; Latanich, R.; Wang, J. [G-](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab164)Quadruplexes as Pathogenic Drivers in [Neurodegenerative](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab164) Disorders. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2021, *49* (9), 4816−4830.

(57) Zheng, K. W.; Zhang, J. Y.; He, Y. De; Gong, J. Y.; Wen, C. J.; Chen, J. N.; Hao, Y. H.; Zhao, Y.; Tan, Z [Detection](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa841) of Genomic G-[Quadruplexes](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa841) in Living Cells Using a Small Artificial Protein. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2020, *48* (20), 11706−11720.

(58) Vummidi, B. R.; Alzeer, J.; Luedtke, N. W. [Fluorescent](https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.201200612) Probes for [G-Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.201200612) Structures. *ChemBioChem* 2013, *14* (5), 540−558. (59) Guo, J. U.; Bartel, D. P. RNA [G-Quadruplexes](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf5371) Are Globally Unfolded in [Eukaryotic](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf5371) Cells and Depleted in Bacteria. *Science* 2016, *353*, 6306.

(60) Raguseo, F.; Wang, Y.; Li, J.; PetrićHowe, M.; Balendra, R.; Huyghebaert, A.; Vadukul, D. M.; Tanase, D.; Maher, T. E.; Malouf, L.; Rubio-Sanchez, R.; Aprile, F. A.; Elani, Y.; Patani, R.; Di Michele, L.; Di Antonio, M. The [ALS/FTD-related](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-43872-1) C9orf72 hexanucleotide repeat expansion forms RNA condensates through [multimolecular](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-43872-1) G[quadruplexes.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-43872-1) *Nat. Commun.* 2023, *14*, 8272.

(61) Turner, M.; Danino, Y. M.; Barshai, M.; Yacovzada, N. S.; Cohen, Y.; Olender, T.; Rotkopf, R.; Monchaud, D.; Hornstein, E.; Orenstein, Y. RG4detector, a Novel RNA [G-Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac950) Predictor, Uncovers Their Impact on Stress Granule [Formation.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac950) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2022, *50* (20), 11426.

(62) Zhang, Y.; Yang, M.; Duncan, S.; Yang, X.; Abdelhamid, M. A. S.; Huang, L.; Zhang, H.; Benfey, P. N.; Waller, Z. A. E.; Ding, Y. [G-](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz978)[Quadruplex](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz978) Structures Trigger RNA Phase Separation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019, *47* (22), 11746−11754.