Anomalous Processes with General Waiting Times: Functionals and Multipoint Structure

Andrea Cairoli and Adrian Baule*

School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary, University of London, 327 Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, United Kingdom

(Received 1 November 2014; published 8 September 2015)

Many transport processes in nature exhibit anomalous diffusive properties with nontrivial scaling of the mean square displacement, e.g., diffusion of cells or of biomolecules inside the cell nucleus, where typically a crossover between different scaling regimes appears over time. Here, we investigate a class of anomalous diffusion processes that is able to capture such complex dynamics by virtue of a general waiting time distribution. We obtain a complete characterization of such generalized anomalous processes, including their functionals and multipoint structure, using a representation in terms of a normal diffusive process plus a stochastic time change. In particular, we derive analytical closed form expressions for the two-point correlation functions, which can be readily compared with experimental data.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.110601

PACS numbers: 05.40.-a, 02.50.-r, 05.10.Gg, 87.10.Mn

Diffusive transport is usually classified in terms of the mean square displacement (MSD): $\text{MSD}(t) = \langle (R(t) - R_0)^2 \rangle$, where $R(t)$ is a time-dependent stochastic vector, either the position or the velocity, and R_0 is its initial value. Motivated by numerous experimental results of the last decade (see Refs. [1,2] and the references therein), we usually distinguish between normal and anomalous diffusive processes for which the MSD scales linearly in time or as a power law, respectively [1–6]. However, because of the improvement of experimental techniques, evidence of more complicated nonlinear MSDs, characterized by different scaling regimes over the measurement time, has been found in recent experiments of diffusion in biophysical systems [7–22]. Here, we investigate a general class of anomalous diffusive processes that can capture such complicated MSD behavior by means of a generalized waiting time distribution. We provide a complete characterization of these processes including (i) the stochastic description of the microscopic diffusive dynamics, (ii) evolution equations for the probability density function (PDF) of the process and its associated time-integrated observables, and (iii) the multipoint correlation functions. Our general model includes as a special case the continuous time random walk (CTRW), which is widely used to model MSDs with a power-law scaling [15,18,21]. Even though CTRWs have been in the focus of theoretical research on anomalous diffusion for almost two decades, the full characterization of CTRWs in terms of (i)–(iii) has not yet been presented. In this Letter we provide the key for such a complete stochastic description by using the stochastic calculus of random time changes.

The stochastic trajectory of a CTRW $Y(t)$ is expressed in terms of coupled Langevin equations [23]:

$$\dot{X}(s) = F(X(s)) + \sigma(X(s))\xi(s), \quad (1a)$$

$$\dot{T}(s) = \eta(s), \quad (1b)$$

where for convenience we focus on a process in one dimension. The CTRW is then given by $Y(t) = X(S(t))$,

where the process S is defined as the inverse of T or, more precisely, as the collection of first passage times:

$$S(t) = \inf_{s>0} \{s : T(s) > t\}. \quad (2)$$

The dynamics of X is that of a normal diffusive process in the operational time s . Thus, $F(x)$ and $\sigma(x)$ satisfy standard conditions [24] and we adopt the Itô convention for the multiplicative term of Eq. (1a). We also require $\xi(s)$ to represent white Gaussian noise with $\langle \xi(s) \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \xi(s_1)\xi(s_2) \rangle = \delta(s_2 - s_1)$. The noise $\eta(s)$ models the waiting times of the anomalous diffusion process in the operational time s , which we assume to be independent from the X process; i.e., the noises $\xi(s)$ and $\eta(s)$ are statistically independent. In the case of a CTRW, $\eta(s)$ is a one-sided stable Lévy noise of order $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ [25] leading to the characteristic function of T : $\langle e^{-\lambda T(s)} \rangle = e^{-s\lambda^\alpha}$. In physical terms, T represents the elapsed physical time of the process.

In order to extend this picture to arbitrary waiting times, we consider $\eta(s)$ as a more general type of noise, which can be modeled by a general one-sided Lévy process with finite variation [25,26]. Such a process satisfies the minimal assumptions needed to assure independent and stationary waiting times and causality of T . A complete characterization of $\eta(s)$ is given by its characteristic functional:

$$G[k(s)] = \left\langle e^{-\int_0^{+\infty} k(s)\eta(s)ds} \right\rangle = e^{-\int_0^{+\infty} \Phi(k(s))ds}. \quad (3)$$

Here, the so-called Laplace exponent Φ is a non-negative function with $\Phi(0) = 0$ and a monotonically decreasing first derivative. Different functional forms of Φ correspond to different distribution laws of the waiting times and, consequently, of the renewal process T . The renewal nature of T is expressed by Eq. (1b) as $T(s) = \int_0^s \eta(s')ds'$. The characteristic function $\langle e^{-\lambda T(s)} \rangle$ is thus directly obtained from Eq. (3) by setting $k(s') = \lambda\Theta(s - s')$, leading to $\langle e^{-\lambda T(s)} \rangle = e^{-s\Phi(\lambda)}$. Clearly, this implies that T is a sum

over waiting time increments $\Delta t = \int_0^{\Delta s} \eta(s') ds'$ over a small time step Δs with characteristic function $\langle e^{-\lambda \Delta t} \rangle = e^{-\Delta s \Phi(\lambda)}$, which can be used to simulate the process $Y(t)$ within a suitable discretization scheme [27]. Remarkably, the full multipoint statistics of T becomes easily accessible because the functional Eq. (3) contains the information about the whole noise trajectory. By choosing Φ suitably, many different waiting time statistics can be captured; i.e., $Y(t)$ can be modeled according to the observed dynamics. If we choose a power law $\Phi(\lambda) = \lambda^\alpha$, we recover the CTRW case with waiting times characterized by a diverging first moment. If instead $\Phi(\lambda) = \lambda$, T is simply a deterministic drift, $T = s$, and $Y(t)$ reduces to a normal diffusion (Brownian limit) with waiting times following an exponential distribution [1].

Along with the properties of $Y(t)$, we also study those of its time-integrated observables, which are naturally defined as functionals of the stochastic trajectory [28]:

$$W(t) = \int_0^t U(Y(r)) dr, \quad (4)$$

where $U(x)$ is a smooth integrable function. Clearly, if $Y(t)$ is a velocity and $U(x) = x$, $W(t)$ is the corresponding position. When $Y(t)$ is a normal diffusion, the joint PDF $P(w, y, t) = \langle \delta(w - W(t)) \delta(y - Y(t)) \rangle$ is provided by the celebrated Feynman-Kac (FK) formula [28]. The FK theory provides the crucial connection between the stochastic description of the process in the diffusive limit and the evolution equation for the PDF. When $Y(t)$ is anomalous instead, the computation of the joint PDF reveals profound challenges. In the CTRW case, the problem could only be addressed before now by using a time discretized description in terms of master equations leading to a fractional FK equation with a fractional substantial derivative [29,30]. In the following, we provide the missing link between the diffusive description of CTRWs and the fractional FK equation and generalize the connection to arbitrary waiting times.

The monotonicity of T and S implies [31]

$$\Theta(s - S(t)) = 1 - \Theta(t - T(s)), \quad (5)$$

which, together with the continuity of the paths of $S(t)$ and the corresponding Itô formula, provides the relation

$$\delta(t - T(s)) = \delta(s - S(t)) \dot{S}(t). \quad (6)$$

Formally, this equation and the following ones in which derivatives of $S(t)$ appear are to be interpreted in their corresponding integral forms, with $\dot{S}(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} [S(t + \Delta t) - S(t)]/\Delta t$ being a shorthand notation for the stochastic increment of the time change. We can then describe the time-changed process $Y(t)$ and its functional $W(t)$ through the time-changed Langevin equations [32]

$$\dot{Y}(t) = F(Y(t)) \dot{S}(t) + \sigma(Y(t)) \xi(S(t)) \dot{S}(t), \quad (7a)$$

$$\dot{W}(t) = U(Y(t)). \quad (7b)$$

The FK formula describes the time evolution of the Fourier transform of $P(w, y, t)$: $\hat{P}(p, y, t) = \langle e^{ipW(t)} \delta(y - Y(t)) \rangle$ [28], with $\langle \dots \rangle$ being an average over the realizations of both the noises $\xi(s)$ and $\eta(s)$ in the anomalous case. In the following, $\hat{g}(k) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{ikx} g(x) dx$ denotes the Fourier transform of $g(x)$ and $\tilde{f}(\lambda) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} f(t) dt$ the Laplace transform of $f(t)$. Our derivation begins with the Itô formula for the joint time-changed process $Z(t) = [Y(t), W(t)]$ [33]:

$$\begin{aligned} f(Z(t)) &= f(Z_0) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial w} (Z(\tau)) d[Y, W]_\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(Z(\tau)) dY(\tau) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial w} f(Z(\tau)) dW(\tau) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} f(Z(\tau)) d[Y, Y]_\tau \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w^2} f(Z(\tau)) d[W, W]_\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where the square brackets denote the quadratic variation of two processes [24]. By using the time-discretized form of Eqs. (7a) and (7b), the exact relation $[Y, Y]_t = \int_0^t \sigma^2(Y(\tau)) \dot{S}(\tau) d\tau$ [32,34] and the fact that $[W, W]_t = 0 = [Y, W]_t$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(Z(t)) &= f(Z_0) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial w} f(Z(\tau)) U(Y(\tau)) d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(Z(\tau)) F(Y(\tau)) \dot{S}(\tau) d\tau \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} f(Z(\tau)) \sigma^2(Y(\tau)) \dot{S}(\tau) d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(Z(\tau)) \sigma(Y(\tau)) \xi(S(\tau)) \dot{S}(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

If we now evaluate Eq. (9) for $f(Z(t)) = e^{ikY(t) + ipW(t)}$ and take its ensemble average, we derive an equation for $\hat{P}(p, k, t)$. We remark that the last integral on the rhs of Eq. (9) disappears due to the independence of the increments of $\xi(s)$. Indeed, if we make the inverse transform and recall the Fokker-Planck operator of Eq. (1a), $\mathcal{L}_{\text{FP}}(y) = -(\partial/\partial y)F(y) + \frac{1}{2}(\partial^2/\partial y^2)\sigma^2(y)$, we obtain the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{P}(p, y, t) &= ipU(y) \hat{P}(p, y, t) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{FP}}(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\ &\times \left\langle \int_0^t e^{ipW(\tau)} \delta(y - Y(\tau)) \dot{S}(\tau) d\tau \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

We still need to relate the expression in brackets in Eq. (10) to $\hat{P}(p, y, t)$. We start by manipulating directly $\hat{P}(p, y, t)$. First, we make the change of variables $\tau = S(r)$ in Eq. (4), so that we can write

$$W(t) = W_s(S(t)), \quad W_s(s) = \int_0^s U(X(\tau))\eta(\tau)d\tau, \quad (11)$$

where the noise $\eta(s)$ explicitly appears because $r = T(\tau)$ via Eq. (2). We then use $1 = \int_0^{+\infty} \delta(s - S(t))ds$ to write

$$\hat{P}(p, y, t) = \left\langle \int_0^{+\infty} e^{ipW_s(s)} \delta(y - X(s)) \delta(s - S(t)) ds \right\rangle. \quad (12)$$

As a third step, we compute the Laplace transform of Eq. (12). By using Eq. (5), we find the relation $\int_0^{+\infty} \delta(s - S(t))e^{-\lambda t} dt = \eta(s)e^{-\lambda T(s)}$, which provides

$$\hat{P}(p, y, \lambda) = \int_0^{+\infty} \langle e^{-\lambda T(s) + ipW_s(s)} \eta(s) \delta(y - X(s)) \rangle ds. \quad (13)$$

This can be further simplified by expressing the $\eta(s)$ -dependent part of the integrand as a derivative of the characteristic functional $G[k(l)]$ with $k(l) = \{\lambda - ipU[X(l)]\}\Theta(s - l)$. By performing the average with respect to $\eta(s)$ first and using Eq. (3), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}(p, y, \lambda) &= \frac{\Phi[\lambda - ipU(y)]}{\lambda - ipU(y)} \\ &\times \left\langle \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda T(s) + ipW_s(s)} \delta(y - X(s)) ds \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Now we need to show that the two integrals in brackets in Eqs. (10) and (14) coincide. Indeed, the inverse Laplace transform of the integral in Eq. (14) is given by $\int_0^{+\infty} e^{ipW_s(s)} \delta(y - X(s)) \delta(t - T(s)) ds$. This can then be cast into the integral of Eq. (10) by using Eq. (6) and the continuity of the paths of S , which implies that no jump terms appear in the expansion of the stochastic integral in Eq. (10) as a discrete sum. Consequently, we can use Eq. (14) in Eq. (10) to write the generalized FK formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{P}(p, y, t) &= ipU(y) \hat{P}(p, y, t) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{FP}}(y) \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - ipU(y) \right] \\ &\times \int_0^t K(t - \tau) e^{ipU(y)(t - \tau)} \hat{P}(p, y, \tau) d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where the memory kernel is related to Φ by

$$\tilde{K}(\lambda) = \Phi(\lambda)^{-1}. \quad (16)$$

If we set $p = 0$, we obtain a generalized Fokker-Planck equation for $P(y, t) = \langle \delta(y - Y(t)) \rangle$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P(y, t) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{FP}}(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^t K(t - \tau) P(y, \tau) d\tau. \quad (17)$$

In the special case where $W(t)$ corresponds to the position, i.e., $U(x) = x$, Eq. (15) yields a generalized

Klein-Kramers equation exhibiting retardation effects [35,36]. The Brownian limit is achieved for $K(t) = 1$, where Eqs. (15) and (17) reproduce the standard FK formula [28], as well as the Fokker-Planck and Klein-Kramers equations [37], respectively. In the CTRW case, $\Phi(\lambda) = \lambda^\alpha$, so that the integral operators in Eqs. (15) and (17) coincide with the fractional substantial derivative [35,36] and the standard Riemann-Liouville operator, respectively, thus recovering the well-known CTRW results [29,35,36,38–40]. We highlight that this derivation of Eq. (15) provides the generalization of the Feynman-Kac theorem to anomalous processes with arbitrary waiting time distributions.

We now focus on deriving the multipoint statistics of $Y(t)$ and $W(t)$. Arbitrary two-point functions of $Y(t)$ are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(Y(t_1), Y(t_2)) \rangle &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \langle f(X(s_2), X(s_1)) \rangle \\ &\times h(s_2, t_2; s_1, t_1) ds_2 ds_1, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where $h(s_2, t_2; s_1, t_1) = \langle \delta(s_2 - S(t_2)) \delta(s_1 - S(t_1)) \rangle$ is the two-point PDF of $S(t)$. Using Eq. (5) we obtain $h(s_2, t_2; s_1, t_1) = (\partial^2 / \partial s_2 \partial s_1) \langle \Theta(t_2 - T(s_2)) \Theta(t_1 - T(s_1)) \rangle$. Therefore, the Laplace transform of h is related to the two-point characteristic function $Z(\lambda_2, s_2; \lambda_1, s_1) = \langle e^{-\lambda_2 T(s_2)} e^{-\lambda_1 T(s_1)} \rangle$:

$$\tilde{h}(s_2, \lambda_2; s_1, \lambda_1) = \frac{1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s_2 \partial s_1} Z(\lambda_2, s_2; \lambda_1, s_1). \quad (19)$$

The computation of Z follows straightforwardly by distinguishing the two cases $t_2 > t_1$ and $t_2 < t_1$ and by recalling the independence of the increments of $T(s)$:

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\lambda_2, s_2; \lambda_1, s_1) &= \Theta(s_2 - s_1) e^{-s_1 \Phi(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} e^{-(s_2 - s_1) \Phi(\lambda_2)} \\ &+ \Theta(s_1 - s_2) e^{-s_2 \Phi(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} e^{-(s_1 - s_2) \Phi(\lambda_1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

This result can then be substituted in Eq. (19) to derive

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}(s_2, \lambda_2; s_1, \lambda_1) &= \delta(s_2 - s_1) \frac{\Phi(\lambda_1) - \Phi(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + \Phi(\lambda_2)}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} e^{-s_1 \Phi(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} \\ &+ \Theta(s_2 - s_1) \frac{\Phi(\lambda_2) [\Phi(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) - \Phi(\lambda_2)]}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \\ &\times e^{-s_1 \Phi(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} e^{-(s_2 - s_1) \Phi(\lambda_2)} \\ &+ \Theta(s_1 - s_2) \frac{\Phi(\lambda_1) [\Phi(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) - \Phi(\lambda_1)]}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \\ &\times e^{-s_2 \Phi(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} e^{-(s_1 - s_2) \Phi(\lambda_1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

With the explicit expression for $\tilde{h}$ the average $\langle f(Y(t_2), Y(t_1)) \rangle$ can be calculated for arbitrary X dynamics. Moreover, correlation functions of $W(t)$ can also be easily derived using

$$\langle \tilde{W}(\lambda_1) \tilde{W}(\lambda_2) \rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \langle U(X(s_2)), U(X(s_1)) \rangle \times \tilde{h}(s_2, \lambda_2; s_1, \lambda_1) ds_2 ds_1. \quad (22)$$

The corresponding formulas for higher orders are derived in full analogy, thus providing access to the complete multipoint structure of $Y(t)$ and $W(t)$. If $X(s)$ has the usual form, $\langle X(s_1)X(s_2) \rangle = H(s_2 - s_1)$, in the stationary regime, with H being a smooth decreasing function, the inverse transform of Eq. (18) can be made explicitly:

$$\langle Y(t_1)Y(t_2) \rangle = f_1(t_2) + \int_0^{t_1} K(\tau) f_2(t_2 - \tau) d\tau, \quad (23)$$

where the transient terms can be shown to disappear for $t_2 > t_1 \rightarrow \infty$ using Tauberian theorems. Here, we define the functions: $\tilde{f}_1(\lambda) = [\Phi(\lambda)/\lambda] \tilde{H}(\Phi(\lambda))$ and $\tilde{f}_2(\lambda) = \{[\Phi(\lambda)]^2/\lambda\} \tilde{H}(\Phi(\lambda))$. The specific form of these functions can be obtained once both X and Φ are specified. Equation (23) is remarkable because the two-point function is expressed in terms of an integral of *single-time* functions, highlighting a simple underlying structure.

As a specific example, we consider $\eta(s)$ as a tempered Lévy-stable noise with tempering index μ and stability index $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ interpolating between exponentially distributed ($\mu \rightarrow \infty$) and power-law distributed ($\mu = 0$) waiting times [41–44]. This implies that $\Phi(\lambda) = (\mu + \lambda)^\alpha - \mu^\alpha$ and thus $K(t) = e^{-\mu t} t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}((\mu t)^\alpha)$ [45]. We consider the case when $X(s)$ is given as an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process [$F(x) = -\gamma x$, $\sigma(x) = \sqrt{2\sigma}$ in Eq. (1a)], such that $Y(t)$ intermediates between a CTRW and a normal diffusive oscillator. The MSD of the time-averaged $Y(t)$ process as a function of time exhibits an α -dependent plateau for $t \rightarrow \infty$ in the CTRW limit ($\mu = 0$) highlighting the ergodicity breaking of the process [29]. For $\mu \neq 0$ we see that the MSD shows the CTRW scaling for short times, but it converges to zero for $t \rightarrow \infty$ as in the Brownian limit, confirming the ergodic nature of this anomalous process [Fig. 1(a)]. This highlights that the MSD needs to be observed for a sufficiently long time to properly assess ergodicity breaking. We also obtain the associated two-point correlation functions. The effect of $\mu \neq 0$ is clearly visible [Figs. 1(b) and 1(c)], which allows us to distinguish between a CTRW and a process with waiting times distributed according to a tempered Lévy-stable law. Remarkably, the functions $f_i(t)$ with $i = 1, 2$ are given in analytical form in this case:

$$f_1(t) = \frac{\sigma}{\gamma(\gamma - \mu^\alpha)} [-\mu^\alpha + \gamma g(\alpha, \gamma, \mu; t)], \quad (24a)$$

$$f_2(t) = \frac{\sigma}{\gamma} \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma - \mu^\alpha} [\mu^{2\alpha} - \gamma^2 g(\alpha, \gamma, \mu; t)] + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} t^{-\alpha} e^{-\mu t} + \frac{\mu^\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \gamma(1-\alpha; \mu t) \right\}, \quad (24b)$$

where $\gamma(a; x) = \int_0^x t^{a-1} e^{-t} dt$ is the incomplete gamma function and we define the new function $g(\alpha, \gamma, \mu; t)$ as an infinite series of confluent Kummer functions:

FIG. 1 (color online). (a)–(c) Here, $X(s)$ is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process ($\gamma = 1$, $\sigma = 1/2$), $\eta(s)$ is a tempered Lévy-stable noise ($\alpha = 0.25$), and $U(x) = x$. (a) MSD of $\tilde{W}(t) = W(t)/t$ (for initial position $x_0 = 0$). (b), (c) two-point correlation functions of Y and $\tilde{W}$ (for $x_0 = \sigma/\gamma$ and finite t). (d) Fit of the MSD data of mitochondria diffusing in *S. cerevisiae* cells depleted of actin microfilaments of Ref. [17] green markers. Here, $X(s)$ is pure diffusion [$F(x) = 0$, $\sigma(x) = 1$ in Eq. (1a)] and Φ is given by Eq. (26) with $\alpha_1 = 1$, $\alpha_2 = 0.66$.

$$g(\alpha, \gamma, \mu; t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n ((\gamma - \mu^\alpha) t^\alpha)^n}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha n)} M(\alpha n, 1 + \alpha n, -\mu t). \quad (25)$$

We now apply our formalism to MSD data exhibiting crossover scaling between subdiffusive and normal diffusive regimes, as is frequently observed in experiments [15,17,18]. Figure 1(d) shows the MSD of mitochondria diffusing in mating *S. cerevisiae* cells, depleted of actin microfilaments, obtained with Fourier imaging correlation spectroscopy [17]. The crossover from a transient subdiffusive scaling with $\alpha = 0.66$ to normal diffusion cannot be captured quantitatively by the tempered Lévy-stable Φ since the curvature at the crossover between the two pure power laws cannot be modulated. We suggest instead a more flexible double power-law form:

$$\Phi(\lambda) = d_1 \left(\frac{\lambda}{d_2} \right)^{\alpha_1} \left(1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{d_2} \right)^{1/\beta} \right)^{(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)\beta}, \quad (26)$$

interpolating between power laws with exponents $\alpha_{1,2}$, with curvature tuned by the parameter β . Using a purely diffusive $X(s)$ process together with a least-squares method to determine the parameters of Eq. (26) yields an excellent model of the experimental data across the double power-law region. With the appropriate Φ , our results immediately

predict the quantitative form of the higher-order correlation functions of the diffusion process and its observables, which can be readily tested. Our framework also allows for a straightforward simulation of the underlying diffusion process by implementing the coupled Langevin equations (1a) and (1b). In this way, one can predict many other quantities of interest, e.g., first passage time statistics, providing further testable predictions of the anomalous model with generalized waiting times.

This research utilized Queen Mary's MidPlus computational facilities, supported by QMUL Research-IT and funded by EPSRC Grant No. EP/K000128/1. We thank R. Klages for the helpful discussions and A. H. Marcus for providing the data in Fig. 1(d).

*a.baule@qmul.ac.uk

- [1] R. Metzler and J. Klafter, *Phys. Rep.* **339**, 1 (2000).
- [2] F. Höfling and T. Franosch, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **76**, 046602 (2013).
- [3] J. Klafter, M. F. Shlesinger, and G. Zumofen, *Phys. Today* **49**, No. 2, 33 (1996).
- [4] R. Klages, G. Radons, and I. M. Sokolov, *Anomalous Transport: Foundations and Applications* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2008).
- [5] I. M. Sokolov, *Soft Matter* **8**, 9043 (2012).
- [6] V. Zaburdaev, S. Denisov, and J. Klafter, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **87**, 483 (2015).
- [7] D. Selmečzi, S. Mosler, P. H. Hagedorn, N. B. Larsen, and H. Flyvbjerg, *Biophys. J.* **89**, 912 (2005).
- [8] D. Selmečzi, L. Li, L. Pedersen, S. Nørrelykke, P. Hagedorn, S. Mosler, N. Larsen, E. Cox, and H. Flyvbjerg, *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* **157**, 1 (2008).
- [9] P. Dieterich, R. Klages, R. Preuss, and A. Schwab, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105**, 459 (2008).
- [10] D. Campos, V. Méndez, and I. Llopis, *J. Theor. Biol.* **267**, 526 (2010).
- [11] T. H. Harris, E. J. Banigan, D. A. Christian, C. Konradt, E. D. T. Wojno, K. Norose, E. H. Wilson, B. John, W. Weninger, A. D. Luster *et al.*, *Nature (London)* **486**, 545 (2012).
- [12] A. Caspi, R. Granek, and M. Elbaum, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 5655 (2000).
- [13] V. Levi, Q. Ruan, M. Plutz, A. S. Belmont, and E. Gratton, *Biophys. J.* **89**, 4275 (2005).
- [14] C. P. Brangwynne, F. MacKintosh, and D. A. Weitz, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **104**, 16128 (2007).
- [15] I. Bronstein, Y. Israel, E. Kepten, S. Mai, Y. Shav-Tal, E. Barkai, and Y. Garini, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 018102 (2009).
- [16] L. Bruno, V. Levi, M. Brunstein, and M. A. Despósito, *Phys. Rev. E* **80**, 011912 (2009).
- [17] E. N. Senning and A. H. Marcus, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **107**, 721 (2010).
- [18] J.-H. Jeon, H. Martinez-Seara Monne, M. Javanainen, and R. Metzler, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 188103 (2012).
- [19] S. C. Weber, A. J. Spakowitz, and J. A. Theriot, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **109**, 7338 (2012).
- [20] Y. von Hansen, S. Gekle, and R. R. Netz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 118103 (2013).
- [21] S. A. Tabei, S. Burov, H. Y. Kim, A. Kuznetsov, T. Huynh, J. Jureller, L. H. Philipson, A. R. Dinner, and N. F. Scherer, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **110**, 4911 (2013).
- [22] A. Javer, N. J. Kuwada, Z. Long, V. G. Benza, K. D. Dorfman, P. A. Wiggins, P. Cicuta, and M. C. Lagomarsino, *Nat. Commun.* **5** (2014).
- [23] H. C. Fogedby, *Phys. Rev. E* **50**, 1657 (1994).
- [24] D. Revuz and M. Yor, *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften Vol. 293 (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, 1999).
- [25] R. Cont and P. Tankov, *Financial Modelling with Jump Processes* (CRC Press, London, 2003).
- [26] D. Applebaum, *Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2009).
- [27] D. Kleinhans and R. Friedrich, *Phys. Rev. E* **76**, 061102 (2007).
- [28] S. N. Majumdar, *Curr. Sci.* **89**, 2076 (2005).
- [29] L. Turgeman, S. Carmi, and E. Barkai, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 190201 (2009).
- [30] S. Carmi, L. Turgeman, and E. Barkai, *J. Stat. Phys.* **141**, 1071 (2010).
- [31] A. Baule and R. Friedrich, *Phys. Rev. E* **71**, 026101 (2005).
- [32] K. Kobayashi, *J. Theor. Probab.* **24**, 789 (2011).
- [33] J. Jacod, *Calcul Stochastique et Problèmes de Martingales*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 714 (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, 1979).
- [34] M. Magdziarz, *Stochastic Models* **26**, 256 (2010).
- [35] R. Friedrich, F. Jenko, A. Baule, and S. Eule, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 230601 (2006).
- [36] R. Friedrich, F. Jenko, A. Baule, and S. Eule, *Phys. Rev. E* **74**, 041103 (2006).
- [37] H. Risken, *The Fokker-Planck Equation: Methods of Solution and Applications*, Springer Series in Synergetics (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989).
- [38] R. Metzler, E. Barkai, and J. Klafter, *Europhys. Lett.* **46**, 431 (1999).
- [39] M. Magdziarz, A. Weron, and J. Klafter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 210601 (2008).
- [40] S. Orzel and A. Weron, *J. Stat. Mech.* (2011) P01006.
- [41] A. Stanislavsky, K. Weron, and A. Weron, *Phys. Rev. E* **78**, 051106 (2008).
- [42] B. Baeumer and M. M. Meerschaert, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **233**, 2438 (2010).
- [43] J. Gajda and M. Magdziarz, *Phys. Rev. E* **82**, 011117 (2010).
- [44] A. Stanislavsky, K. Weron, and A. Weron, *J. Chem. Phys.* **140**, 054113 (2014).
- [45] J. Janczura and A. Wyłomańska, *Acta Phys. Pol. B* **43**, 1001 (2012).