

1 **Assessment of corticosteroid response in paediatric severe asthma using a**
2 **multi-domain approach**

3 Cara J Bossley MD(Res)^{1,2}, Louise Fleming MD^{1,3}, Nicola Ullmann MD^{1,3}, Atul Gupta
4 MD(Res)³, Alexandra Adams MBBS¹, Prasad Nagakumar MBBS¹, Andrew Bush
5 MD^{1,3}, Sejal Saglani MD^{1,3}

6 1. Respiratory Paediatrics, Royal Brompton Hospital and National Heart & Lung
7 Institute, Imperial College London

8 2. Respiratory Paediatrics, Kings College Hospital, London

9 3. Leukocyte Biology, National Heart & Lung Institute, Imperial College London

10 **Corresponding author:**

11 Dr Sejal Saglani

12 Reader in Respiratory Paediatrics

13 368 Sir Alexander Fleming Building

14 Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ

15 Tel: 0044 2075943167

16 Fax: 0044 2075943118

17 Email: s.saglani@imperial.ac.uk

18

19 Authors' contributions: CJB recruited patients, collected and analysed samples,
20 performed data analysis and directed manuscript writing. NU, LF, AG, AA, PN
21 recruited patients. LF was involved in protocol design and data acquisition. TO
22 processed and stained all pathology specimens. AB initiated and supervised the

23 project, provided input to data interpretation and manuscript preparation. SS initiated
24 and supervised the project, interpreted data, and directed manuscript writing.

25 Funding: AB was supported by the NIHR Respiratory Disease Biomedical Research
26 Unit at the Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust and Imperial
27 College London. SS is an NIHR Career Development Fellow. AB and SS are
28 members of the MRC Asthma UK Centre for Allergic Mechanisms of Disease. AB is a
29 Principal Investigator in the Asthma UK centre for Applied Research.

30

31 **Clinical Implications:**

32 There is no agreed definition of corticosteroid response for paediatric severe asthma.
33 We propose a multi-domain approach which may help to guide the choice of optimal
34 add-on therapies.

35 **Capsule summary:**

36 Using an assessment of symptoms, spirometry and inflammation, we show systemic
37 corticosteroid responsiveness is heterogeneous in children with severe asthma and
38 there are no clinical or inflammatory predictors of response pattern.

39

40 **Key words:** paediatric, severe asthma, steroid response, spirometry, inflammation

41 Manuscript word count: 3,057

42

43

44 **ABSTRACT:**

45 **Background:** There is no agreed definition of systemic corticosteroid response in
46 asthmatic children. Moreover, paediatric severe therapy resistant asthma (STRA) is
47 heterogeneous and thus response to steroids is unlikely to be uniform in all patients.

48 **Objective:** To evaluate the utility of a multi-domain approach incorporating
49 symptoms, lung function and inflammation to determine steroid responsiveness in
50 paediatric STRA.

51 **Methods:** 82 children (median age 12 years) with STRA received a clinically
52 indicated dose of intra-muscular steroid. Changes in four separate domains;
53 normalisation of i) symptoms (asthma control test >19/25 or 50% increase), ii)
54 spirometry (FEV₁>80% predicted, or 15% increase), iii) exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO)
55 (<24ppb) and (iv) sputum eosinophils (<2.5%) were assessed 4 weeks after intra-
56 muscular triamcinolone acetonide. 54/82 children had complete data in all 4 domains.

57 **Results:** 23/54 (43%) had a symptom response, 29/54 (54%) lung function
58 response, 28/54 (52%) FeNO response and 29/54 (54%) sputum eosinophil
59 response. Although a similar proportion of children responded to systemic
60 corticosteroids in each domain, there were no reliable predictors of a response
61 pattern. 7/54 (13%) were complete responders (response in all domains), 8/54 (15%)
62 non-responders (no response in any domain) and 39/54 (72%) partial responders
63 (response in ≥ 1 domain).

64 **Conclusions:** A multi-domain evaluation of systemic steroid responsiveness using
65 pragmatic clinical assessments confirms childhood STRA is heterogeneous and a
66 complete response in symptoms, inflammatory and physiological parameters is rare.
67 Individual response patterns to systemic steroids may be useful in guiding the choice
68 of add-on therapies in each child as a step towards achieving personalised medicine.

69

70 **INTRODUCTION:**

71 Approximately 2-5% of all asthmatic children have severe therapy resistant asthma
72 (STRA), are challenging to treat and consume significant healthcare resources.⁽¹⁾

73 Many children may have asthma that is difficult to treat despite high doses of therapy
74 because of previously unidentified modifiable factors such as poor adherence or
75 persistent allergen exposure.⁽²⁾ However, once these basic factors have been
76 addressed, a group with STRA remains.⁽³⁾

77

78 The mainstay of asthma treatment is inhaled corticosteroids (ICS). However, children
79 with STRA remain poorly controlled despite high doses of ICS, additional controllers
80 such as long acting β -2 agonists and leukotriene receptor antagonists, and often
81 maintenance oral steroid therapy. Steroid responsiveness can be measured in many
82 ways, but currently there is no accepted definition in children. A proposed definition
83 of steroid response^(4;5) is $\geq 15\%$ predicted increase in morning first second forced
84 expired volume (FEV_1) in patients with bronchodilator reversibility (BDR) $\geq 12\%$ from
85 baseline and an abnormal FEV_1 ($\leq 80\%$) prior to a systemic steroid trial. However, it is
86 acknowledged that this may not be an appropriate definition for children since many
87 children with a confirmed diagnosis of severe asthma have normal spirometry, but
88 remain poorly controlled.⁽⁶⁻⁸⁾

89

90 We have previously shown paediatric STRA is heterogeneous with respect to lung
91 function, inflammation and remodelling.⁽³⁾ Although as a group, children with STRA
92 have lower lung function, increased eosinophilic inflammation and increased RBM
93 thickness and smooth muscle mass compared to mild asthmatics and non-asthmatic
94 controls,⁽³⁾ there is overlap between groups as well as considerable variability within

95 STRA. Given this marked variation within the STRA group, it is likely that some
96 children may respond more to steroids with an improvement in lung function,
97 whereas others may only show a response by an improvement in inflammation. We
98 therefore investigated a multi-domain definition of systemic corticosteroid
99 responsiveness, namely change in i) symptoms ii) spirometry, and iii) non-invasive
100 markers of inflammation before and four weeks after a single clinically indicated dose
101 of intramuscular triamcinolone acetonide. We hypothesised that children with STRA
102 would not have a uniform response pattern. We also related steroid response pattern
103 to clinical features, peripheral and airway inflammation prior to administering the
104 systemic steroid, to try to identify predictors of response pattern.

105

106 **METHODS:**

107 **Subjects and definition of severe asthma (STRA)**

108 Children aged between 6-16 years with problematic severe asthma (on-going poor
109 control despite prescribed high dose inhaled steroids ($\geq 800\text{mcg/day}$), additional
110 controller medications and at stage 4/5 of the BTS/Sign guidelines) referred between
111 2005-2012 were investigated using our standardised clinical investigation protocol
112 with an outpatient led nurse assessment and home visit.^(2;3) Children with modifiable
113 factors which could be addressed, in particular adherence, were classified as difficult
114 asthmatics and excluded from this study (Figure 1).⁽⁹⁾ Only the remaining patients, in
115 whom diagnosis had been confirmed (evidence of reversible airflow obstruction and
116 doctor diagnosed wheeze), adherence had been assured (GP prescription records,
117 appropriate inhalers being available during the home visit, and proven ability to use
118 the medication delivery device prescribed) and underlying modifiable factors (such as

119 environmental tobacco smoke and allergen exposure) had been minimised were
120 diagnosed as STRA in line with recent guidelines⁽¹⁰⁾. All children with STRA
121 underwent our clinical protocol which includes bronchoscopy, as previously
122 described⁽³⁾, followed by a single intramuscular injection of triamcinolone acetonide to
123 determine steroid responsiveness. This was a clinical investigation protocol, not a
124 clinical trial. The intra-muscular steroid preparation used (Kenalog®) was
125 recommended for sustained systemic corticosteroid treatment in patients with
126 asthma⁽¹¹⁾ and has previously been used in adults⁽¹²⁾ and children with difficult
127 asthma.⁽¹³⁾ A single dose of intra-muscular systemic steroids was administered and
128 assessments of steroid response were made immediately before and 4 weeks after
129 administration.

130 **Bronchoscopy, broncho-alveolar lavage (BAL) and endobronchial biopsy**

131 Bronchoscopy and BAL were performed under general anaesthesia, and samples
132 were processed for analysis of eosinophilic inflammation as previously described.⁽³⁾
133 (see online supplement (OLS) for details). Blood for total IgE and eosinophils was
134 taken at the time of bronchoscopy.

135 **Administration of systemic steroid and assessments of steroid response**

136 An assessment of: (1) the asthma control test (ACT) for symptom control (Figure E1,
137 OLS), (2) spirometry⁽¹⁴⁾, (3) sputum eosinophils and (4) exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO)
138 (at flow rate 50ml/sec) were made on the morning of bronchoscopy. An intramuscular
139 injection of triamcinolone acetonide (80mg age ≥12 years; 40mg <12 years)⁽¹³⁾ was
140 administered at the end of the bronchoscopy and tests 1-4 were repeated 4 weeks
141 later to determine steroid response (Table 1).

142 **Multi-domain definition of steroid response**

143 Some children had normal values for some domains before receiving the systemic
144 corticosteroid. Thus they did not have steroid unresponsive abnormalities in those
145 domains. As all children were already prescribed high dose maintenance inhaled
146 steroids and we had ensured adherence as far as possible, we assumed those with
147 normal values before the systemic corticosteroid trial, and remained normal in that
148 domain, were steroid responsive. We felt it was unethical in these children to confirm
149 this by reducing their treatment until abnormalities appeared in all domains. Data
150 analysis was therefore initially undertaken for all patients. Subsequently, to assess
151 additional responsiveness following systemic corticosteroids, the analysis was
152 restricted to include only those children who could improve after triamcinolone (i.e.
153 abnormal at baseline). Response to steroids was examined in each domain and a
154 combination of domains.

155 ***Symptom (ACT) response***

156 Symptom control was assessed using the ACT,⁽¹⁵⁾ as most patients were ≥ 12 years,
157 and for consistency, this was used in preference to the childhood ACT, which was
158 not available at the start of data collection. Positive response was defined as ACT
159 score attaining $>19/25$ ⁽¹⁶⁾ or an increase of $\geq 50\%$.

160 ***FEV₁ response***

161 Spirometry was measured according to American Thoracic Society (ATS)/European
162 Respiratory Society (ERS) guidelines.⁽¹⁴⁾ Response was defined as attaining a pre-
163 bronchodilator $FEV_1 \geq 80\%$ predicted, or an increase of $\geq 15\%$.

164 ***FeNO response***

165 FeNO measurements at 50ml/sec were made with a chemiluminescence analyser
166 (NIOX Aerocrine, Sweden) in accordance with ATS/ERS guidelines.⁽¹⁷⁾ Response
167 was defined as a normal value (<24ppb⁽¹⁸⁾).

168 ***Sputum eosinophil response***

169 Sputum induction was performed with 3.5% saline as previously described.⁽³⁾
170 Sputum response was defined as normalisation of sputum eosinophil counts
171 (<2.5%⁽¹⁹⁾).

172

173 **Complete, partial and non-response to triamcinolone acetonide**

174 Complete corticosteroid response was defined as symptom, FEV₁, sputum eosinophil
175 and FeNO response. A response in at least one domain was a partial response, and
176 an absence of response in all domains was non-response. If sputum was
177 unavailable, response was assessed in three domains only.

178

179 **Statistical Analysis**

180 Baseline values for all domains in the discovery and validation cohorts were not
181 normally distributed and were therefore analysed using non-parametric tests. The
182 Mann-Whitney U test was used for continuous variables and categorical data was
183 analysed using the Fisher's exact or Chi squared tests. To compare changes before
184 and after triamcinolone, data were analysed using the Wilcoxon test for non-
185 parametric and paired t-test for parametric data. The data was analysed using the
186 Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 17. Logistic regression

187 analysis was performed and the results presented for univariate analysis using the
188 statistical software Stata version 12.1.

189

190 **RESULTS:**

191 **Subjects and demographics**

192 Eighty two (51 male) children (median age 12 [range 6.5-17.3]) years, underwent
193 investigations and received systemic corticosteroids (Figure 1). All children had data
194 for at least one steroid response domain (symptoms, FEV1, FeNO and /or sputum
195 eosinophils) and a sub-group of fifty-four (37 male) children had data for all four
196 domains before and after the systemic steroid injection (Table 2).

197

198 **Assessments of steroid response**

199 The response pattern in each domain for all children (n=82) and the sub-group of
200 children that had data available in all four domains (n=54), including those with a
201 normal value at baseline, are summarised in Figure 2 and Table 3. There was no
202 significant difference in the proportion of children that responded in each domain
203 when all patients were compared to those that had data available for all domains.

204

205 **Response pattern in each domain in children that had data for all four** 206 **parameters (n=54)**

207 In order to prevent any chance of selection bias influencing the results, only those
208 children that had a response recorded in all four domains (a complete dataset

209 recorded) were assessed in detail both for patterns of response and predictors of
210 response.

211 **Symptom response**

212 23/54 (43%) of children had an improvement in symptoms after systemic
213 corticosteroids (Figure 2A, Table 3). 8/54 children (15%) had a normal ACT before
214 the steroid trial, 16/46 (35%) of those with an abnormal ACT at baseline improved or
215 normalised (Figure 2B).

216 **FEV₁ response**

217 29/54 (54%) of all children were steroid responsive in the FEV₁ domain (Figure 2C,
218 Table 3). 20/54 (37%) had a normal FEV₁ ($\geq 80\%$ predicted) before systemic
219 corticosteroids (this is explained by their maintenance high-dose inhaled steroid
220 therapy). 14/34 (41%) of those with an abnormal FEV₁ ($< 80\%$) at baseline improved
221 after systemic corticosteroids (Figure 2D).

222 **FeNO response**

223 28/54 (52%) children had normal FeNO levels after systemic corticosteroids (Figure
224 2E, Table 3). 15/52 (28%) children had a normal FeNO value before systemic
225 steroids, 17/37 (46%) of those with an elevated FeNO at baseline had a reduction to
226 normal levels after systemic steroids (Figure 2F).

227 **Sputum eosinophil response**

228 Fifty-four children had paired sputum samples before and after triamcinolone
229 acetonide. Of these, 29/54 (54%) had sputum eosinophils $< 2.5\%$ post triamcinolone
230 acetonide (Figure 2G, Table 3). 15/54 (28%) had normal sputum eosinophils before

231 triamcinolone. 17/39 (44%) patients who started with an abnormal sputum eosinophil
232 count, had normalisation of sputum eosinophils after triamcinolone acetonide (Figure
233 2H.

234

235 **Discordance in FeNO and sputum eosinophil domains**

236 There was concordance in 39/54 (72%) for FeNO or sputum response. 21/54 were
237 responders in both domains, and 18/54 were non-responders in both domains. There
238 was discordance in 15/54 (28%); 7/54 had FeNO but not sputum response and 8/54
239 had sputum but not FeNO response. Relationships between invasive and non-
240 invasive assessments of airway eosinophils (FeNO and sputum and BAL
241 eosinophils) are shown in Figures E2-E4 of the OLS.

242

243 **Complete, partial and non-responders**

244 7/54 (13%) responded in all domains (complete responders), 39/54 (72%) responded
245 in at least 1 domain (partial responders) and 8/54 (15%) did not respond in any
246 domain (non-responders).

247

248 **Medications**

249 There were no significant differences in response to triamcinolone in any domain
250 between those children prescribed and not prescribed maintenance oral steroids, nor
251 was there any ICS dose effect. We do not have data concerning duration of
252 prescribed controller therapy, but children had all been symptomatic for many years
253 (median 8.48 (2.3-14.5)).

254

255 **Comparison to current definitions of systemic steroid responsiveness**

256 A definition of $\geq 15\%$ predicted increase in FEV₁ in patients with bronchodilator
257 reversibility (BDR) $\geq 12\%$ from baseline and an abnormal FEV₁ ($\leq 80\%$) was only
258 applicable to 25/54 (46%) children as the remainder had an FEV₁ $>80\%$ and/or
259 BDR $<12\%$ before administration of triamcinolone acetonide. Of these patients, 12/25
260 (48%) had a positive steroid response.

261

262 **Relationship between steroid response pattern and clinical features and airway** 263 **inflammation before the systemic steroid injection**

264 There were no consistent clinical or inflammatory features at baseline associated
265 with a response to systemic corticosteroids in any of the four domains (Table 4). A
266 lower Asthma Control Test score (indicating more symptoms) before systemic
267 steroids was associated with a poor response in the symptom domain ($p=0.0002$). A
268 history of intubation was associated with a better response in the FEV₁ domain, and
269 this held true when logistic regression analysis was performed. A past history of
270 intubation was associated with a response in the FEV₁ domain with an odds ratio of
271 7.02 (CI 1.4-35.8, $p=0.019$) (Table E1 OLS). However, atopy, serum IgE, blood or
272 BAL eosinophils were not associated with any pattern of steroid response (Table 4).

273

274 **DISCUSSION:**

275 We have proposed here that systemic corticosteroid response should be assessed in
276 multiple domains, including normalisation or improvement of spirometry, symptom
277 score and inflammation (sputum eosinophils and/or FeNO) in children with severe
278 asthma since this approach reflects disease heterogeneity. None of these domains
279 was abnormal in every child with STRA, underscoring the need for a multi-domain
280 assessment. A similar number of children (approximately 40-50%) responded in each

281 domain with no clinical or pathological features of a response pattern being apparent.
282 Very few STRA children (13%) were complete responders to triamcinolone and a
283 similar number (15%) were non-responders. The majority showed a response (72%)
284 in at least one domain.

285

286 This is the first time a multi-domain approach has been proposed for an assessment
287 of steroid response in severe asthma. As many children with STRA have normal
288 spirometry despite significant symptoms,⁽²⁰⁾ and/or exacerbations, an alternative
289 definition to that currently used in adults is needed. In support of this, the current
290 proposed definition of systemic corticosteroid response that incorporates a baseline
291 FEV₁ >80% predicted could not be applied to 50% of our children with STRA
292 because baseline FEV₁ measurements were \geq 80% predicted. Many children had
293 normal results in any one domain before the steroid trial. However, given the use of
294 high dose inhaled steroids by all children, and our assessments to ensure
295 adherence, we assumed that normal values before the systemic corticosteroid trial
296 suggested steroid response was present in that domain; or at least, that the child was
297 not steroid unresponsive in that domain.

298

299 The advantage of the proposed multi-domain approach is that it allows for the
300 recognised heterogeneity within STRA in children,^(3;21;22) which was confirmed by the
301 fact that a similar number of children responded in each domain, and no single
302 domain had a majority response. We related both clinical and inflammatory features
303 to response to steroids. However, consistent associations were difficult to identify,
304 and also reflect the heterogeneity of the group.

305

306 To our knowledge, this is the first report of systemic corticosteroid responsiveness in
307 children with true STRA. We have ensured as far as possible that underlying
308 modifiable factors were identified and addressed prior to inclusion of subjects.^(2;3) A
309 weakness of previous reports of systemic corticosteroid responsiveness in children
310 was the clinical mix of patients, including those with “difficult asthma” with underlying
311 modifiable factors and genuine STRA.⁽⁹⁾ Another significant improvement on previous
312 reports^(5;6) is the use of an intra-muscular steroid to ensure adherence.

313

314 We speculate that this multi-domain approach may usefully be applied clinically when
315 considering outcomes expected from add-on therapies used as steroid sparing
316 agents in the individual child. For example, if a child has a systemic corticosteroid
317 response in an inflammatory domain, specifically a sputum eosinophil response, then
318 they may benefit from a trial of a monoclonal antibody to IL-5, provided it can be
319 shown that this is the pathway driving airway eosinophilia. If, however, they have a
320 lung function response, then an agent such as a monoclonal antibody to IL-13 may
321 be a better choice, since clinical trials have shown benefit in FEV₁⁽²³⁾ and
322 mechanistically IL-13 induces airway hyperresponsiveness.⁽²⁴⁾ This approach of
323 choosing an add-on therapy is of particular relevance to children because blood
324 eosinophils cannot always be used as a reliable marker of airway eosinophils in
325 paediatric STRA,⁽²⁵⁾ and serum periostin cannot be used as a biomarker to predict
326 response to anti-IL13 antibody as it is produced from bone during growth and
327 development.⁽²⁶⁾ Omalizumab is currently the only licenced add-on therapeutic for
328 children with STRA, but as newer biologicals become available, it may be possible to
329 predict which one should be used in which patient by assessing the domain-specific

330 steroid response phenotype. The efficacy of this approach needs to be confirmed
331 prospectively.

332

333 A limitation of our multi-domain assessment was incomplete data in each domain for
334 all 82 children. This is particularly relevant to sputum cytology, since obtaining
335 samples from children can be difficult. However, our success rate for sputum
336 induction was approximately 80%, similar to previous paediatric reports.^(27;28) In order
337 to overcome this difficulty, we included FeNO as an alternative non-invasive
338 inflammatory marker. We accept the two cannot be used interchangeably and
339 therefore both domains were analysed separately.⁽²⁹⁾ We acknowledge that sputum
340 eosinophil counts vary unpredictably over time, but we submit that if sputum
341 eosinophil count remains elevated despite parenteral corticosteroids, then the
342 process driving sputum eosinophilia is steroid responsive, and this is the case
343 irrespective of whether subsequently sputum eosinophils fall. We also acknowledge
344 the limitations of longitudinal FeNO measurements.^(30;31) However, since this is
345 currently the only non-invasive test of inflammation that can be performed reliably
346 and repeatedly in children, and as we were looking for a change in values over
347 time^(32;33) rather than absolute values, we considered this a reasonable alternative. In
348 order to avoid bias in the patterns of steroid response reported, we have presented
349 data for the 54 patients in whom all parameters were available before and after the
350 triamcinolone acetonide injection separately. However, there were no significant
351 differences in the proportion of children that responded in each domain.

352

353 What remained uncertain with our initial approach was whether complete
354 normalisation in each domain after a single triamcinolone dose should be expected,

355 or whether a fixed improvement of >50% may be more appropriate. The relatively
356 large number (45%) of children who had persistent eosinophilic airway inflammation
357 after a single injection of triamcinolone, and the large number of non-responders that
358 were atopic, suggested one dose may not be enough to determine a complete
359 response, even though we had given the recommended high dose.⁽³⁴⁾ We have given
360 a sub-group of patients up to three consecutive monthly injections, but additional
361 injections did not alter the response pattern seen after the first injection (data not
362 shown). We acknowledge that our definition of a response in each domain was
363 arbitrary since we accepted a 50% improvement in symptoms as a response, but for
364 lung function and the inflammatory domains only normalisation was accepted. It is
365 difficult to know whether systemic corticosteroid “resistance” is a true entity in
366 children,⁽³⁵⁾ and it is likely that it is a spectrum,⁽³⁵⁾ other than in those rare children with
367 mutations in the corticosteroid receptor gene.⁽³⁶⁾ Clearly mechanistic data are
368 required in the future further to understand steroid resistance in children, but an
369 essential pre-requisite to such studies is a definition in children, which is the purpose
370 of this manuscript.

371

372 In summary, we propose a novel multi-domain approach to identifying systemic
373 corticosteroid response pattern in children with STRA since 50% do not meet the
374 criteria for definitions of steroid response encompassing lung function alone. Using
375 this approach, we have shown that approximately 40-50% of children respond in
376 each domain, with little evidence of single clinical predictors of a response in a
377 specific domain. This approach allows us to capture systemic corticosteroid
378 responsiveness in a more phenotype specific way, which will be the basis of future
379 mechanistic studies and, we speculate, will help identify optimal add-on therapies.

380

381 **Acknowledgements**

382 We are grateful to all the patients and parents for agreeing to take part in our study.

383 Also thanks to Winston Banya, statistician for his advice.

384

385

386 **Table 1. Multi-domain definition of systemic corticosteroid response**

Domain	Response pattern 4 weeks after intra-muscular triamcinolone
Lung function (FEV ₁)	Increase in FEV ₁ (% predicted) to: normal ($\geq 80\%$) or $\geq 15\%$ improvement
Symptoms (ACT)	Increase in Asthma Control Test (ACT) score to: normal ($\geq 20/25$) or $\geq 50\%$ or 5 points (whichever is greater)
Exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO)	Improvement to normal ($< 24\text{ppb}$)
Sputum eosinophils	Improvement to normal ($< 2.5\%$)

Table 2. Demographics of all children before receiving systemic corticosteroids

	All (n=82)	Patients with data for all domains (n=54)
Atopy	54/82 (66%)	39/54 (72%)
Male:Female	51:31	37:17
Age, years	12.3 (7-16.2)	12.7 (7-16.2)
Duration of symptoms, years	8.96 (2.3-15.1)	8.48 (2.3-14.5)
Weight (Kg)	43.8 (18.7-115)	45.5 (22.4-115)
Weight z score	0.48 (-4.5 to 3.7)	0.61 (-4.5 to 3.7)
Height (cm)	145 (76-188)	146 (76-188)
Height z score	0.04 (-3.9 to 2.88)	0.09 (-3.3 to 2.9)
Intubation for asthma	22/82 (27%)	13/54 (24%)
Medications:		
Daily dose Inhaled corticosteroid (mcg/day) – budesonide equivalent	1600 (800-3200)	1600 (800-3200)
Combination ICS/LABA	82/82 (100%)	54/54 (100%)
Leukotriene receptor antagonist	62/82 (76%)	40/54 (74%)
Systemic corticosteroids Daily dose (mg/day)	26/82 (32%)	19/52 (37%)
Theophylline	20/82 (24%)	15/54 (28%)
Anti-histamine	30/82 (37%)	20/54 (37%)
Baseline ACT	13 (6-23)	13 (6-23)
ACT normal (>19/25)	9/82 (11%)	8/54 (15%)
Baseline % predicted FEV ₁	72 (24-134)	72.5 (36-134)
Baseline FEV ₁ (litres)	1.72 (0.45-4.02)	1.85 (0.7-4.02)
Number FEV ₁ 'normal' (>80% predicted)	30/82 (37%)	20/54 (37%)
Baseline % predicted FVC	90 (36-134)	90 (52-134)
Baseline FVC (litres)	2.36 (0.64-5.36)	2.56 (1.38-5.39)
Baseline bronchodilator reversibility (%)	12.8 (-6 to 134)	14 (0-29)
Baseline FeNO ₅₀ (ppb)	47 (5.6-225)	47 (9-225)
Number normal FeNO (<24ppb)	20/83 (24%)	15/54 (28%)
Induced sputum eosinophils (%)	5.1 (0-92)	4.85 (0-92)
Number with normal sputum eosinophils (<2.5%)	16/59 (27%)	15/54 (28%)

Median (range) unless otherwise stated. ACT, asthma control test; FeNO₅₀; Fractional exhaled nitric oxide at flow rate 50ml/second; FEV₁, first second forced expiratory volume; FVC, forced vital capacity; ICS, inhaled corticosteroid; LABA, long acting β agonist; ppb, parts per billion

Table 3. Steroid response in each domain for all children (n=82) and the sub-group (n=54) that had data available for all domains

	Patients with at least 3 domains (ACT, FEV ₁ , FeNO +/- sputum) (n=82)			Patients with all 4 domains (n=54)		
Domain	Pre triamcinolone	Post triamcinolone	p	Pre triamcinolone	Post triamcinolone	p
Symptom (ACT)	13 (6-23)	16.5 (5-25)	<0.001	13 (6-23)	16.5 (7-25)	<0.001
ACT response	34/82 (41%)			23/54 (43%)		
FEV ₁ (% predicted)	72 (24-134)	76.5 (44-113)	0.09	72.5 (36-134)	76.5 (46-113)	0.29
FEV ₁ response	47/82 (57%)			29/54 (54%)		
FeNO (ppb)	47 (3.6-225)	24.6 (1-150)	<0.0001	47 (9-225)	22 (5-150)	<0.0001
FeNO response	40/82 (49%)			28/54 (52%)		
Sputum eosinophils (%)	5.1 (0-92)	1.6 (0-42.8)	0.001	4.85 (0-92)	2.0 (0-42.8)	0.001
Sputum response	37/66 (56%)			29/54 (54%)		

ACT: asthma control test; FeNO: fractional exhaled nitric oxide at flow rate 50ml/sec; FEV₁: first second forced expiratory volume; ppb: parts per billion. Data presented as median (range), differences pre and post triamcinolone assessed using the Wilcoxon test.

Table 4. Relationships between clinical features, inflammation and corticosteroid response in each domain for children with data available for all domains (n=54)

ACT Response	Response	Non-Response	p
N	23	31	
Duration of symptoms (Decimal years)	10.45 (4-14.45)	7.90 (2.25-14.26)	0.04
Atopy	16/23 (70%)	23/31 (74%)	0.77
Intubation	4/23 (17%)	9/31 (45%)	0.36
FEV ₁ (% predicted)	81 (37-134)	71 (36-130)	0.27
Bronchodilator Reversibility (%)	10.7 (0-33)	14 (0-30)	0.84
FeNO	44 (9-169)	50 (29-225)	0.13
IgE (IU/ml)	298 (9-19832)	584 (6-6737)	0.24
Sputum eosinophils (%)	5 (0-51.6)	3.2 (0-92)	0.37
Serum eosinophils (%)	5.15 (1.2-11.5)	5.55 (0-19.7)	0.79
BAL eosinophils (%)	4 (0-34.7)	2.7 (0-51)	0.11
FEV₁ Response			
N	29	25	
Duration of symptoms (Decimal years)	8.25 (2.25-14.48)	8.5 (3.5-14.3)	0.88
Atopy	20/29 (69%)	19/25 (76%)	0.76
Intubation	11/29 (38%)	2/25 (8%)	0.01
FEV ₁ (% predicted)	81 (36-130)	70 (37-134)	0.27
Bronchodilator Reversibility (%)	17 (0.2-30)	12 (0-33)	0.41
FeNO	44.8 (9-225)	47.8 (11-169)	0.38
IgE (IU/ml)	318 (6-19832)	486 (15-3792)	0.77
Sputum eosinophils (%)	4 (0-92)	5 (0-67)	0.59
Serum eosinophils (%)	4.1 (0-19.7)	6.1 (0-14.7)	0.96
BAL eosinophils (%)	2.7 (0-51)	3 (0-34.7)	0.36
FeNO Response			
N	28	26	
Duration of symptoms (Decimal years)	6.75 (3.5-14.3)	10.15 (2.25-14.48)	0.02
Atopy	20/28 (71%)	19/26 (73%)	1.0
Intubation	7/28 (25%)	6/26 (23%)	1.0
FEV ₁ (% predicted)	74 (36-134)	71.5 (37-103)	0.67
Bronchodilator Reversibility (%)	10.7 (0-30)	14 (0-33)	0.37
FeNO	40 (9-225)	51 (11-165)	0.08
IgE (IU/ml)	363 (6-6737)	450 (9-19832)	0.47
Sputum eosinophils (%)	3.3 (0-92)	8.1 (0-67)	0.08
Serum eosinophils (%)	2.7 (0-19.7)	7 (0-17.1)	0.18
BAL eosinophils (%)	4 (0-51)	2.7 (0-13)	0.65
Sputum Eosinophil Response			
N	29	25	
Duration of symptoms (Decimal years)	7.65 (3.5-14.48)	6.88 (2.25-14.25)	0.30
Atopy	18/29 (62%)	21/25 (84%)	0.12
Intubation	8/29 (28%)	5/25 (20%)	0.55
FEV ₁ (% predicted)	72 (36-130)	73 (37-134)	0.95
Bronchodilator Reversibility (%)	9.7 (0-31)	17.7 (0-33)	0.03
FeNO	40.5 (9-225)	52 (14-165)	0.10
IgE (IU/ml)	299 (6-6737)	563 (15-19832)	0.25
Sputum eosinophils (%)	3 (0-41.6)	8.89 (0.33-92)	0.008
Serum eosinophils (%)	4.65 (0-19.7)	6.1 (0-17.1)	0.95
BAL eosinophils (%)	3.65 (0-34.7)	2.7 (0-51)	0.58

n/number tested or median (range). BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage; FEV₁, first second forced expiratory volume; FeNO, fractional exhaled nitric oxide, Ig, immunoglobulin. Differences analysed using the Mann Whitney U test or Chi squared tests.

Legends for Figures

Figure 1. Flow diagram showing number of children initially assessed with problematic asthma, those excluded having been diagnosed as having difficult asthma with underlying modifiable factors, and those remaining that were included with severe therapy resistant asthma (STRA).

Figure 2. Steroid response pattern in each domain for every child. (A,C,E,G) all subjects who had all data available (n=54), (B,D,F,H) only those subjects who had an abnormal value before the triamcinolone injection.

References

- (1) Braman SS. The global burden of asthma. *Chest* 2006; 130(1 Suppl):4S-12S.
- (2) Bracken M, Fleming L, Hall P, Van SN, Bossley C, Biggart E et al. The importance of nurse-led home visits in the assessment of children with problematic asthma. *Arch Dis Child* 2009; 94(10):780-4.
- (3) Bossley CJ, Fleming L, Gupta A, Regamey N, Frith J, Oates T et al. Pediatric severe asthma is characterized by eosinophilia and remodeling without T(H)2 cytokines. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2012; 129(4):974-82.
- (4) Adcock IM, Ito K. Steroid resistance in asthma: a major problem requiring novel solutions or a non-issue? *Curr Opin Pharmacol* 2004; 4(3):257-62.
- (5) Little SA, Chalmers GW, Macleod KJ, McSharry C, Thomson NC. Non-invasive markers of airway inflammation as predictors of oral steroid responsiveness in asthma. *Thorax* 2000; 55(3):232-4.
- (6) Bossley CJ, Saglani S, Kavanagh C, Payne DN, Wilson N, Tsartsali L et al. Corticosteroid responsiveness and clinical characteristics in childhood difficult asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2009; 34(5):1052-9.
- (7) Fitzpatrick AM, Teague WG. Severe Asthma in Children: Insights from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute's Severe Asthma Research Program. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol Pulmonol* 2010; 23(2):131-8.
- (8) Yim RP, Koumbourlis AC. Steroid-resistant asthma. *Paediatr Respir Rev* 2012; 13(3):172-6.
- (9) Bush A, Hedlin G, Carlsen KH, de BF, Lodrup-Carlsen K, Wilson N. Severe childhood asthma: a common international approach? *Lancet* 2008; 372(9643):1019-21.
- (10) Chung KF, Wenzel SE, Brozek JL, Bush A, Castro M, Sterk PJ et al. International ERS/ATS guidelines on definition, evaluation and treatment of severe asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2014; 43(2):343-73.
- (11) Kenalog Intra-articular / Intramuscular Injection. EMC , <http://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/medicine/11366>. 2015.
- (12) Ten BA, Zwinderman AH, Sterk PJ, Rabe KF, Bel EH. "Refractory" eosinophilic airway inflammation in severe asthma: effect of parenteral corticosteroids. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2004; 170(6):601-5.
- (13) Panickar JR, Kenia P, Silverman M, Grigg J. Intramuscular triamcinolone for difficult asthma. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2005; 39(5):421-5.
- (14) American Thoracic Society. Standardization of spirometry: 1994 update. 152, 1107-36. 1995.
- (15) Nathan RA, Sorkness CA, Kosinski M, Schatz M, Li JT, Marcus P et al. Development of the asthma control test: a survey for assessing asthma control. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2004; 113(1):59-65.
- (16) Schatz M, Sorkness CA, Li JT, Marcus P, Murray JJ, Nathan RA et al. Asthma Control Test: reliability, validity, and responsiveness in patients not previously followed by asthma specialists. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2006; 117(3):549-56.
- (17) ATS/ERS recommendations for standardized procedures for the online and offline measurement of exhaled lower respiratory nitric oxide and nasal nitric oxide, 2005. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005; 171(8):912-30.
- (18) Warke TJ, Fitch PS, Brown V, Taylor R, Lyons JD, Ennis M et al. Exhaled nitric oxide correlates with airway eosinophils in childhood asthma. *Thorax* 2002; 57(5):383-7.
- (19) Cai Y, Carty K, Henry RL, Gibson PG. Persistence of sputum eosinophilia in children with controlled asthma when compared with healthy children. *Eur Respir J* 1998; 11(4):848-53.

(20) Bacharier LB, Strunk RC, Mauger D, White D, Lemanske RF, Jr., Sorkness CA. Classifying asthma severity in children: mismatch between symptoms, medication use, and lung function. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2004; 170(4):426-32.

(21) Fitzpatrick AM, Teague WG, Meyers DA, Peters SP, Li X, Li H et al. Heterogeneity of severe asthma in childhood: confirmation by cluster analysis of children in the National Institutes of Health/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Severe Asthma Research Program. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011; 127(2):382-9.

(22) Haldar P, Pavord ID, Shaw DE, Berry MA, Thomas M, Brightling CE et al. Cluster analysis and clinical asthma phenotypes. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008; 178(3):218-24.

(23) Corren J, Lemanske RF, Hanania NA, Korenblat PE, Parsey MV, Arron JR et al. Lebrikizumab Treatment in Adults with Asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2011.

(24) Wills-Karp M, Luyimbazi J, Xu X, Schofield B, Neben TY, Karp CL et al. Interleukin-13: central mediator of allergic asthma. *Science* 1998; 282(5397):2258-61.

(25) Ullmann N, Bossley CJ, Fleming L, Silvestri M, Bush A, Saglani S. Blood eosinophil counts rarely reflect airway eosinophilia in children with severe asthma. *Allergy* 2013; 68(3):402-6.

(26) Kashima TG, Nishiyama T, Shimazu K, Shimazaki M, Kii I, Grigoriadis AE et al. Periostin, a novel marker of intramembranous ossification, is expressed in fibrous dysplasia and in c-Fos-overexpressing bone lesions. *Hum Pathol* 2009; 40(2):226-37.

(27) Covar RA, Spahn JD, Martin RJ, Silkoff PE, Sundstrom DA, Murphy J et al. Safety and application of induced sputum analysis in childhood asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2004; 114(3):575-82.

(28) Lex C, Payne DN, Zacharasiewicz A, Li AM, Wilson NM, Hansel TT et al. Sputum induction in children with difficult asthma: safety, feasibility, and inflammatory cell pattern. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2005; 39(4):318-24.

(29) Fleming L, Tsartalis L, Wilson N, Regamey N, Bush A. Longitudinal Relationship between sputum eosinophils and exhaled nitric oxide in children with asthma. *Am.J.Respir.Crit Care Med* . 2013.

Ref Type: Generic

(30) Jartti T, Wendelin-Saarenhovi M, Heinonen I, Hartiala J, Vanto T. Childhood asthma management guided by repeated FeNO measurements: a meta-analysis. *Paediatr Respir Rev* 2012; 13(3):178-83.

(31) Pike K, Selby A, Price S, Warner J, Connett G, Legg J et al. Exhaled nitric oxide monitoring does not reduce exacerbation frequency or inhaled corticosteroid dose in paediatric asthma: a randomised controlled trial. *Clin Respir J* 2012.

(32) Hewitt RS, Modrich CM, Cowan JO, Herbison GP, Taylor DR. Outcomes using exhaled nitric oxide measurements as an adjunct to primary care asthma management. *Prim Care Respir J* 2009; 18(4):320-7.

(33) van der Valk RJ, Baraldi E, Stern G, Frey U, de Jongste JC. Daily exhaled nitric oxide measurements and asthma exacerbations in children. *Allergy* 2012; 67(2):265-71.

(34) Panickar JR, Bhatnagar N, Grigg J. Exhaled nitric oxide after a single dose of intramuscular triamcinolone in children with difficult to control asthma. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2007; 42(7):573-8.

(35) Adcock IM, Barnes PJ. Molecular mechanisms of corticosteroid resistance. *Chest* 2008; 134(2):394-401.

(36) Bray PJ, Cotton RG. Variations of the human glucocorticoid receptor gene (NR3C1): pathological and in vitro mutations and polymorphisms. *Hum Mutat* 2003; 21(6):557-68.