Power Flow Analysis and Critical Design Issues of Retrofit Light-Emitting Diode (LED) Light Bulb

Sinan Li, Huanting Chen, Siew-Chong Tan, *Senior Member, IEEE*, S.Y.R. Hui, *Fellow, IEEE*

Department of Electrical & Electronic Engineering
The University of Hong Kong
Hong Kong, China

Eberhard Waffenschmidt, *Senior Member, IEEE*

Faculty of Information, Media, and Electrical Engineering Cologne University of Applied Science
Cologne, Germany

Abstract—For retrofit applications, some high-brightness (HB) light-emitting diode (LED) products have the same form factor restrictions as existing incandescent light bulbs. Such form factor constraints may restrict the design and optimal performance of the LED technology. In this paper, some critical design issues for commercial LED bulbs designed for replacing E27 incandescent lamps are quantitatively analyzed. The analysis involves power audits on such densely packed LED systems so that the amounts of power consumption in (1) the LED wafer, (2) the phosphor coating, and (3) the lamp translucent cover are quantified. The outcomes of such audits enable R&D engineers to identify the critical areas that need further improvements in a compact LED bulb design. The strong dependence of the luminous output of the compact LED bulb on ambient temperature is also highlighted.

I. INTRODUCTION

Light-emitting diodes (LED) have emerged as an important lighting technology in a wide range of lighting applications such as displays, decorative lighting and public lighting. The LED technology is attractive in terms of its long lifetime, mercury-free nature, high luminous efficacy, and ability to illuminate in wide range of colors [1][2]. For general lighting applications, the high-brightness white LEDs (HB-LEDs) are expected to replace traditional light sources such as the incandescent and compact fluorescent lamps [3][4][5] in the retrofit market. While there are several ways to generate white light, the combined use of blue LED and yellow phosphor coating is the dominant approach in white LED technology because the blue LED has luminous efficacy much higher than red and green LEDs [1].

Among the light bulb products, E27 incandescent lamps are probably the most widespread lighting devices with the largest replacement market. E27 light bulbs have a standard form factor that has been used for several decades. Unlike the traditional incandescent lamps that use tungsten filaments with high melting temperature and without the need for any heatsink, LED is semiconductor device that has low melting temperature and therefore requires heatsink to keep the junction temperature below a certain temperature limit (typically 125°C). Besides the thermal issue, LED has a thermal droop characteristic because its luminous efficacy decreases as the junction temperature increases [1]. Several attempts have been proposed to consider the interactions of the photometric, electric and thermal aspects of LED devices [6]-[9]. Such thermal-dependent photometric behaviors have been characterized mathematically for LED systems by the photo-electro-thermal theory [7]. The variation of the luminous flux of a white phosphor coated LED as a function of the forward current and junction temperature has also been described in [8]. In general, the luminous flux can be approximated as an asymmetric parabolic function of the LED power, obeying the following equation [7]:

$$\phi = \alpha_1 P_d - \alpha_2 P_d^2 - \alpha_3 P_d^3 \quad \text{for} \quad \phi > 0 \quad (1)$$

where ϕ is the luminous flux, α_1 , α_2 and α_3 are positive coefficients; P_d is the LED power (that includes the effects of the LED forward current and its junction temperature).

Equation (1) can be used to elaborate some design challenges faced by high-power and compact LED designs such as E27 LED bulbs which has limited space for the heatsink. When the LED power is small (e.g. less than 1W), the second and the third terms on the right hand side of (1) are negligible. But as the LED power exceeds 1W, these two negative terms become increasingly significant. The peak of this luminous flux equation will shift to the low power end as the thermal resistance of the heatsink increases [6]. The lack of space for accommodating a large heatsink in an E27 light bulb is therefore a major constraint for LED product design.

Given such space limitation, there is not much understanding on the power distribution within compact LED light bulb design. In order to optimize E27 LED bulb or similar compact LED bulbs, it is necessary to understand the limitations of the product design posed by the standard structure of such product. An HB-LED system for general illumination usually comprises several functional stages:

- LED ballast—It supplies electric power to the LED chips from the power source;
- LED packages—They receive electric power from the ballast and radiate white light;
- Lamp cover or lenses—It scatters the emitted light as a way to satisfy certain color temperature or viewing angle requirements. The optical power emitted from the LED chips are partially lost in this stage;
- Heatsink—It dissipates the heat generated from the ballast and the LEDs and all other heat sources.

Research in the chemical compositions [10]-[15] of the various types of phosphor coatings is beyond the scope of this paper. This paper focuses on the engineering design aspects of the LED devices used in compact LED bulbs from a system point of view. It involves an investigation into the power distributions of three phosphor coated white LED samples mounted inside a standard E27 LED bulb. It

is an extended work of [16] which includes results of only one LED sample. Power audits on the LED wafer, phosphor coating and translucent lens/cover have been conducted. While LED device researchers, electronic engineers and production engineers usually focus on the device design, ballast design and mechanical structure design respectively, the outcomes of such power audits based on a system approach provide insightful information for all stakeholders about effects of each component in the LED bulb structure and enable us to pinpoint critical design issues and scopes of improvements in future compact LED bulb designs.

II. ENERGY FLOW CHART AND POWER AUDIT OF THE LED SYSTEM

Fig.1

This study focuses on power audits of an LED bulb based on the use of three types of phosphor-coated (PC) white LED devices. Fig.1 shows a typical light spectrum which consists of the sum of two spectra, namely one strong blue light spectrum generated directly from a GaN or InGaN LED at the 450 nm, and a second light spectrum of Stokes-shifted wavelengths emitted from the yellow phosphor coating. During the Stokes-shift process where the phosphor absorbs the blue photon energy and emits light of longer wavelengths, there is a loss of heat energy, commonly known as the Stoke-shift loss. A schematic of the interior structure is shown in Fig. 2. For simplicity, the phosphor-based LEDs can be perceived as having two power processing sub-stages: one being the blue LED chip generating blue light and the other being the phosphor layer performing the Stock-shift process. Since the phosphor-coated LED is still the most popular method of generating white light from LED [10], the following analysis will be based on the phosphor-coated LED structures.

Fig.2 and Fig.3

Based on Fig. 2, the energy flow chart can be drawn as shown in Fig. 3, which consists of five stages of energy conversion. Fig. 4 shows the photograph of the exterior and interior functional stages of the LED bulb.

In Stage 1, the input power P_{in} is processed by the LED ballast with an efficiency of $\eta_{\text{electrical}}$. An LED ballast could be of passive [17]–[19], linear or switched type [20]–[24]. Usually a single-staged switched-type ballast is employed in a compact LED system design due to their high-frequency operation and compact size. Usually, the ballast comprises an input diode bridge and a cascaded dc/dc converter. Depending on topologies and control methods, they can provide power factor correction (PFC) as well as galvanic isolation. Popular dc/dc topologies are buck, buck-boost, SEPIC (without isolation) as well as flyback and integrated single-stage topologies (with isolation). The power losses of those ballasts arise mainly from the switching loss, conduction loss, and core loss of magnetic components. For topologies without isolation, the duty ratio is very small and conduction loss on free-wheeling diode is high; for the ones with isolation, even though the duty ratio is moderate, but power is lost in the transformer's leakage inductance during each switching cycle. The power delivered to the LED chips from the ballast can be generalized as

$$P_d = P_{in} \eta_{\text{electrical}} \quad (2)$$

where P_d is the input power of the second stage.

In Stage 2, the blue LED chips convert electric energy into light energy by emitting blue light at an efficiency of η_1 . The optical power of the blue LED, $P_{\text{opt(blue)}}$, is given as

$$P_{\text{opt(blue)}} = P_d \eta_1 \quad (3)$$

while the rest of the input power are converted into heat. The heat generation is related to several power-loss mechanisms, such as the leakage current power loss due to tunneling of electrons to the states of InGaN/GaN interfaces, power loss due to the effect of auger recombination, and power loss due to non-radiative recombination. Additionally, any photons generated by radiative recombination inside the LED chip may be emitted as external light or are trapped within the LED chip (caused by total internal

reflection phenomenon of the semiconductor crystal), where they are finally absorbed and converted into heat. Taking all power losses into consideration, the total fraction of photons with respect to a known power level input that are emitted by the LED is known as the extraction efficiency η_1 . Currently, the extraction efficiency of HB-LED is around 20-40% [10], which is relatively much lower than other functional stages of energy conversion, and it is therefore the most influencing factor affecting the overall efficiency of the LED lamps.

In Stage 3, the blue light carrying a power of $P_{\text{opt(blue)}}$ is converted into white light by the phosphor with a conversion power efficiency of η_2 . The conversion power loss is related to the quantum efficiency and absorption characteristic of the phosphor materials, and is influenced by the trapping and absorption of the photons' energy, which is eventually converted into heat by the phosphor material of the phosphor-coated (PC) LED. Currently, many commercially available phosphor materials are of good performance with a conversion efficiency η_2 of usually higher than 90%. The optical power of the emitted white light, $P_{\text{opt(white)}}$, is given by

$$P_{\text{opt(white)}} = P_{\text{opt(blue)}} \eta_2 \quad (4)$$

Finally, the power of white light emitted from the phosphor coated (PC) LED will pass through Stage 4, which is the lamp cover or lenses (blue LED coated with phosphor epoxy is also a form of lenses), where the white light will be scattered to the ambient. For this stage, the lamp covers or lenses act as light filters, which in the process of scattering the light, partially trap photons within the covers/lenses converting them into extra heat, thereby incurring an additional form of optical power loss. Thus, the final optical output power of the light emitted to the ambient in terms of the lenses efficiency η_3 , can be expresses as

$$P_{\text{opt(ambient)}} = P_{\text{opt(white)}} \eta_3 \quad (5)$$

In order to analyze the power flow of each functional stage, their energy conversion efficiencies η must be individually evaluated and compared. Practically, it is much easier to measure the optical

power emitted from the respective stages than to measure the heat power dissipated from the stages. Hence, in the following discussion, the optical power coefficient k_{opt} is defined for each stage, which is the ratio of optical power P_{opt} over the total input power to LED P_d . In the same manner, the heat dissipation coefficient k_h is defined as the ratio of heat power P_{heat} (the power that finally ends up as heat in each stage) over P_d .

$$k_{\text{opt}} = P_{\text{opt}} / P_d \quad (6)$$

$$k_h = P_{\text{heat}} / P_d \quad (7)$$

The coefficients k_{opt} and k_h can be used to derive the conversion efficiency η for each stage. For example, after Stage 2, the output optical power and heat power are,

$$P_{\text{opt(blue)}} = P_d k_{\text{opt1}} = P_d \eta_1 \quad (8)$$

$$P_{\text{heat1}} = P_d k_{h1} = P_d - P_{\text{opt(blue)}} = P_d (1 - \eta_1) \quad (9)$$

Therefore,

$$k_{\text{opt1}} = \eta_1 \quad (10)$$

$$k_{h1} = 1 - \eta_1 \quad (11)$$

Using (10), the conversion efficiency η_1 can be calculated as,

$$\eta_1 = k_{\text{opt1}} = 1 - k_{h1} \quad (12)$$

Following the same approach, the relationships between k_{opt} , k_h and η for each stage can be derived and are tabulated in Table I.

TABLE I. RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN k_{opt} , k_h AND CONVERSION EFFICIENCIES η_1 , η_2 , η_3

Energy Flow Stage	k_{opt}	k_h	η
2	$k_{\text{opt1}} = \eta_1$	$k_{h1} = 1 - \eta_1$	k_{opt1}
3	$k_{\text{opt2}} = \eta_1 \eta_2$	$k_{h2} = 1 - \eta_1 \eta_2$	$k_{\text{opt2}} / k_{\text{opt1}}$

4	$k_{opt3}=\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3$	$k_{h3}=1-\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3$	k_{opt3}/k_{opt2}
---	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	---------------------

It is evident from Table I that the higher the conversion efficiency η in each power conversion stage, the higher the k_{opt} and the lower the k_h . From Table I, the conversion efficiency η of each stage can be derived giving k_{opt1} , k_{opt2} , and k_{opt3} . Detailed results are included and compared in Section III.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS OF AUDITING THE POWER OF AN LED BULB

Three types of commercially available phosphor-coated white LED devices have been used for experimental evaluation in this study. For each type, two LED samples are used. One sample has the silicone cover and the phosphor coating removed and is labelled as the “blue LED”. The other sample is the whole LED package and is labelled as the “white LED”. The three types of LED devices are:

1. Shineon warm-white LED with a rated power of 8 W (Multiple-chip package).
2. Cree-XRE white LED with a rated power of 6 W (Single-chip package).
3. Osram-W5AP white LED with a rated power of 5 W (Single-chip package).

The electrical, thermal, optical parameters of the three LED samples are shown in Table II. It has an internal LED ballast and the bulb is comprised of all the five function stages as mentioned above. Fig. 4 illustrates some pictures of the exterior and internal functional stages of the LED bulb used in this experiment. In order to compare the three different LED types, an external controllable current source is used to replace the original ballasts and drive the LED samples at different power levels up to their respective rated power. For the power audit, the experiments are conducted at the ambient temperature of 22 °C under free convection. Each of the six samples listed in Table II is mounted on the same heatsink (Fig.4(b)) which uses the same cover (Fig.4(c)) to form the LED bulb (Fig.4(d)). For

each setup, the external power supply drives the LED power to its rated value. For this reason, the energy efficiency of the ballast (i.e. Stage 1) is not considered in the power audits. Each steady-state measurement is obtained after the bulb has been operated for over 40 minutes.

TABLE II. LED SYSTEMS PARAMETERS

	$I(A)$	$V(V)$	$E(lm/W)$	k_h	k_e	$R_{jc} (^{\circ}C/W)$
Blue Shineon LED -Fig.4(e)	0.48	12.4	15.6	0.61	-0.0016	4.0
White Shineon LED -Fig.4(f)	0.48	12.18	94.2	0.71	-0.0026	4.0
Blue Cree-XRE LED – Fig.4(g)	0.35	6.522	28.3	0.70	-0.0013	8.0
White Cree-XRE LED – Fig.4(h)	0.35	6.327	80.4	0.75	-0.0032	8.0
Blue Osram-W5AP LED –Fig.4(i)	0.6	6.421	10.3	0.71	-0.0021	5.0
White Osram-W5AP LED – Fig.4(j)	0.6	6.388	64.5	0.78	-0.0042	5.0

Fig.4 and Fig.5

Fig. 5 shows the measurement results of the power audit of the above LED bulbs for each stage and at different power level P_d . The power distribution is represented by the optical efficiency k_{opt} in each stage and is highlighted with different colors in the figure. A thinner layer of the area between any two adjacent stages signifies a lower conversion loss between these stages, i.e., higher efficiency during conversion. Take the Shineon LED (Fig. 5(a)) as an example. In Stage 2, when $P_d = 7.22$ W (with LED conducting current of $I_{LED} = 0.58$ A), the output optical power of blue LED is 2.65 W (with $k_{opt1} = 36.7\%$). After the phosphor conversion stage, i.e., Stage 3, some power is lost and the total optical power emitted in the form of white light drops to 1.99 W (with $k_{opt2} = 27.6\%$). Moreover, after the lamp cover is mounted, the actual emitted optical power left is only 1.77 W ($k_{opt3} = 24.5\%$). If the optical power output is assumed to be proportional to the emitted luminous flux, one can then predict that the huge drop from k_{opt1} to k_{opt3} (12.1%) results in a proportional amount of reduction in the output light intensity. Finally, by taking into consideration the power loss from the LED ballast, and assuming a typical ballast efficiency of $\eta_{electrical} = 85\%$, the final energy efficiency in this LED system will be 20.8%. This result

shows that around 21% of total input electric energy has been converted as light. The above analysis principle can also be applied to other two LED bulb samples.

Next, the efficiency performances of the respective functional stages for the three LED samples are compared. Following the stages in Table I, the energy efficiency of each stage as a function of LED power are plotted in Fig. 6. The following observations can be made:

1. For all three types of LED under consideration, the blue LED (in Stage 2) is the most inefficient power stage among the three stages. At the rated power of the devices of 8 W, 6 W and 5 W, the efficiencies of the blue LED of Shineon, Cree and Osram samples are about 36%, 18% and 22%, respectively. These figures are below 40%.
2. The multiple-chip package (Shineon sample) has the best optical efficiency when compared with the single-chip packages (Cree and Osram samples). This feature has been explained previously in [25]. Multiple-chip package has a large contact area for the LED wafers for heat transfer, leading to lower thermal resistance of the LED package (R_{jc}) and a smaller thermal droop characteristic (k_e) as indicated in Table II.
3. The optical efficiency of the phosphor layer can vary significantly (e.g. from about 58% to 90% in Fig.6). Such efficiency seems to be temperature sensitive. For the multiple-chip sample with a wider surface area (Fig.6(a)), such efficiency is fairly constant with increasing power as the heat can be spread more evenly. But for the two single-chip samples (Fig.6(b) and Fig.6(c)), the efficiency decreases as the LED power and therefore temperature increase. Since this study does not consider the chemical compositions of the phosphor coatings, these results may point at two possibilities that need further research. Firstly, the effects of temperature on the optical efficiency of the phosphor materials should be investigated. Secondly, if this temperature effect is a valid reason, the structure of the LED package (that affects the heat distribution) may play a part in reducing such thermal sensitivity.

4. In general, the optical efficiency of the lamp cover is independent of the temperature under the normal operating range.
5. The combined loss in the lamp cover and phosphor layer varies from 4.3% to 12.1% (Fig.5) in the three samples. A good choice of lamp cover and phosphor layer will definitely make a noticeable difference in the overall efficiency of the LED bulb.

Fig.6

From the above discussion and observations, it is evident that more efforts should be devoted to improving the conversion efficiency of the blue LED layer (Stage 2), and that there is more room of improvement for this stage than for the others. On one hand, research in new phosphor compositions with improved optical conversion efficiency is important. On the other hand, research in phosphor-free white LED design [26] should not be ignored because the scope of optical efficiency improvement is still significant if the phosphor layer can be eliminated. As LED efficiencies are expected to improve continuously, the power audit information provided here can be used to predict the impact of improved LEDs on the near-future total system efficiency.

IV. IMPACTS OF AMBIENT TEMPERATURE ON THE COMPACT LED BULB

Unlike incandescent lamps in which most of the heat energy from the filament are dissipated to the ambient in the form of infrared radiation (*IR*), heat energy within an LED bulb can only be dissipated through thermal conduction and convection, that is, from the active area (the LED *P-N* junction) to the underlying printed circuit board, then to the cooling system (such as heatsink), the housing, and finally to the ambient. Among LED, fluorescent and incandescent lamps, LED lamps have the most stringent requirements in thermal management because a large portion of heat has to be transferred through conduction and convection. If the thermal design is poor, heat energy will

accumulate and heat up the P - N junction temperature of the LED, which will subsequently degrade the LED performance in terms of (1) its lifetime; (2) its color property; (3) its luminous efficacy; and (4) the reliability of the overall LED system.

Since LED bulbs can be placed in different lighting fixtures which may not have good ventilation, the effects of the ambient temperature on the luminous performance needs to be examined. In order to test the LED bulb at different ambient temperature while its luminous output can still be measured inside the integrating sphere, a methodology has been devised to emulate the ambient change. An extra heating resistor is mounted on the heatsink (Fig.4(d)) of the LED bulb so that resistive power dissipation can be controlled to vary the heatsink temperature. Fig.7(a) shows the equivalent thermal circuit of the setup. The terms $k_h P_d$ and P_R represent the heat dissipation of the LED device and the heating resistor, respectively. The junction temperature of the LED can be represented as:

$$T_j = k_h P_d R_{jc} + (k_h P_d + P_R) R_{hs} + T_a \quad (13)$$

Re-arranging (13) gives

$$T_j = k_h P_d (R_{jc} + R_{hs}) + P_R R_{hs} + T_a \quad (14)$$

By putting the emulated ambient temperature $T_{a_emulated}$ as

$$T_{a_emulated} = P_R R_{hs} + T_a \quad (15)$$

equation (14) becomes

$$T_j = k_h P_d (R_{jc} + R_{hs}) + T_{a_emulated} \quad (16)$$

The equivalent thermal circuit can now be represented as that shown in Fig. 7(b). Therefore, by manually controlling the power dissipation in the heating resistor, the equivalent ambient temperature can be altered and the LED bulb can be tested inside the integrating sphere at different emulated ambient temperature.

A. Temperature Effects on Luminous Flux

Based on this methodology, the LED bulb is tested at different equivalent ambient temperature. In the tests, the LED bulb is placed with the lens (i.e. translucent cover) of the bulb pointing downward. Measurements are made after the LED bulb has been operated for 40 minutes. The luminous flux is measured at different emulated ambient temperature over a range of electrical power. Fig.8 displays four sets of luminous flux measurements at different ambient temperature for each of the three LED bulbs. Several important observations can be made:

1. The luminous flux decreases with increasing ambient temperature for the same rated power.

For the sake of consumer benefits, LED bulb manufacturers should consider quoting the range of luminous flux for a certain range of ambient temperature. Such information will be valuable for lighting designers to design lighting systems to meet various illumination standards in different indoor and outdoor environments.

2. Even for a small heatsink for the E27 light bulb, LED device with multiple-chip structure and low thermal resistance can perform better than that with single-chip structure and high thermal resistance. Fig.8(a) shows that the multiple-chip LED can work within the linear range up to the rated power of 8W even when the ambient temperature is 93.3 °C. On the contrary, the two single-chip samples in Fig.8(b) and Fig.8(c) operate near the saturation region even when the ambient temperature is around 60 °C.

The parabolic shape of the flux-power curves recorded in Fig.8 has been explained by the PET theory [7], which predicts that the peak of the luminous flux curve occurs at the power P_d^* :

$$P_d^* = -\frac{1 + k_e(T_a - T_o)}{2k_e k_h (R_{jc} + NR_{hs})} \quad \text{for } P_d^* > 0 \text{ W} \quad (17)$$

where k_e is the coefficient of the rate of change of the luminous efficacy with junction temperature, T_o is typically set at 25 °C in LED data sheet and N is the number of LED mounted on the heatsink. In

this case, $N=1$ because only one LED device is tested in this experiment. Because the luminous efficacy decreases with increasing junction temperature, k_e is a negative coefficient. Therefore, the negative denominator of (17) and the minus sign indicate that the overall term in (17) is positive. For the retrofit LED bulb with compact heatsink, R_{hs} is fixed. According to (17), P_d^* increases as T_a increases. This theoretical prediction agrees with the practical measurements shown in Fig.8. For the small heatsink of Fig.4(d), the thermal resistance R_{hs} is 6.5 °C/W. Such a large R_{hs} is typical and is a major design constraint for compact LED systems. In order to maximize the luminous flux, LED device with small R_{jc} and k_h should be chosen.

For the E27 retrofit LED bulb structure, it is interesting to note that P_d^* is located beyond the rated power of 8 W in Fig.8. If dimming is required, the operating point will fall within the relatively linear part of the parabolic flux-power curves. The initial linear portion of the curves have good efficacy due to low junction temperature. This portion can be used for PWM dimming or n -level PWM dimming [27], since the light output by these two methods is similar to amplitude dimming due to the linear properties. Energy Star program suggests a continuous dimming range of 35%-100% for dimmable LED products [28]. The flux-power curves of Fig.8 indicate that the E27 retrofit LED bulb can meet such requirement over a wide ambient temperature range.

B. Temperature Effects on Correlated Color Temperature and Color Rendering Index

Fig.9 shows the measured correlated color temperature (CCT) of the LEB bulbs. It is noted that the CCT increases with the increment of ambient temperature. The change of the ambient temperature will alter the junction temperature, which affects the CCT. According to the ANSI C78.377 [29], a nominal CCT of 3000 K should be within 3045±175 K (i.e. within the range from 2870 K to 3220 K), a nominal CCT of 5000 K should be within 5028±283 K and a nominal CCT of 3000 K should be within 6500±510 K. From Fig.9, the measured CCT is within such range from low power to the rated power. The measured color rendering index (CRI) is recorded in Fig.10. It is interesting to note that the CRI

improves with increasing temperature. The measurements in Fig.8 to Fig.10 show that the luminous flux of the LED bulb is much more sensitive to ambient temperature change than the CCT and CRI.

Fig.9 and Fig.10

C. Temperature Effects Due to the Position of the LED Bulb

Tests are also conducted with the LED lens pointing upward. In such position, heat generated by various functional stages will be trapped inside the bulb cover, resulting in an increase in junction temperature of the LED chip. The luminous flux, CCT and CRI measurements have been recorded and compared with the corresponding results obtained with the LED lens pointing downward. Fig.11 shows that the luminous flux will be reduced in the upward position. This is expected because the rise in the junction temperature will reduce luminous efficacy and therefore the luminous flux. However, the junction temperature rise does not significantly affect the CCT and CRI. It is noted in Fig.12 that the CCT of Shineon LED will slightly increase, but such increase is within 10 K, which is too small to be noticed by human eyes. For the Cree-XRE and Osram-W5AP LED samples, the CCT and CRI will obviously increase, which shall be noticed by human eyes. Fig.13 indicates that the difference in CRI for the upward and downward positions is also small. Therefore, the orientation of the compact LED bulb affects its luminous flux more than its CCT and CRI from a user's point of view.

Fig.11, Fig.12 and Fig.13

V. CONCLUSIONS

Critical design issues of a compact LED light bulb are addressed. A power flow analysis has been conducted on E27 retrofit LED bulbs based on three different LED devices to quantify the energy efficiencies of several functional stages, including the blue LED, the phosphor layer and the lenses/lamp

cover layer. The power audits show that the blue LED stage has the lowest energy efficiency, even though blue LED is more efficient than red and green LEDs. The power audit analysis provides information for researchers and design engineers to investigate the scope of efficiency improvement can be targeted in each functional stage. The thermal effects due to the constraint of the small heatsink in compact LED system affect the luminous flux more than the CCT and CRI. Experimental results indicate that the luminous flux is much more sensitive to ambient temperature change, while the CCT and CRI variations with temperature are relatively small. In order to improve the luminous performance, LED devices with small k_h and R_{jc} , and phosphor coating and lens with high conversion efficiency should be chosen. Although the power audits do not include the driver circuit, it is envisaged that LED driver without using electrolytic capacitor should be designed in order to prolong the lifetime of the driver in a compact design with stringent constraint on the cooling mechanism.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is supported by the Research Grant Council of Hong Kong under the Theme-Based Research Scheme (TBRs) T22-715/12N.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Schubert, T. Gessmann, and J. Kim, *Light emitting diodes*, 2nd ed. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2006.
- [2] M. Harris, "Let there be light," IET Eng. Technol. (E&T) Mag., vol. 4, no. 20, pp. 18–21, Nov. 21–Dec. 4, 2009.
- [3] [online]: Varying approaches to LED retrofit lamps show no limit, LEDs Magazine, February, 2013, <http://www.ledsmagazine.com/articles/print/volume-10/issue-2/features/varying-approaches-to-led-retrofit-lamps-show-no-limit-magazine.html>
- [4] J. M. Alonso, D. Gacio, A. J. Calleja, J. Ribas and E. L. Corominas, "A study on LED retrofit solutions for low-voltage halogen cycle lamps," IEEE Trans. Ind. Applicat., vol. 48, no. 5, pp. 1673, 1682, Sept.–Oct. 2012.
- [5] Y.K. Cheng and K.W.E. Cheng, "General Study for using LED to replace traditional lighting devices", 2nd International Conference on Power Electronics Systems and Applications, 2006. ICPESA '06. Page(s): 173 - 177

- [6] P. Baureis, "Compact modeling of electrical, thermal and optical LED behavior," in Proc. 35th Eur. Solid-State Device Res. Conf., Grenoble, France, Sep. 2005, pp. 145–148.
- [7] S. Y. (Ron) Hui and Y. X. Qin, "A General Photo-Electro-Thermal Theory for Light Emitting Diode (LED) Systems," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 1967–1976, Aug. 2009.
- [8] X. H Tao and S.Y.R. Hui, "Dynamic Photo-Electro-Thermal Theory for Light-Emitting Diode Systems" *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, Volume: 59 , Issue: 4: 2012, Page(s): 1751 - 1759
- [9] H.T. Chen, X.H. Tao and S.Y.R. Hui, "Estimation of Optical Power and Heat Dissipation Coefficient for the Photo-Electro-Thermal Theory for LED Systems", *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics* , Volume: 27 , Issue: 4, 2012 , Page(s): 2176 – 2183
- [10] A.A. Setlur, "Phosphors for LED-based solid-state lighting", *The Electrochemical Society Interface*, Winter 2009, pp: 32-36
- [11] K.H. Lee and S.W.R. Lee, "Process development for yellow phosphor coating on blue light emitting diodes (LEDs) for white light illumination", 8th Electronics Packaging Technology Conference, 2006. EPTC '06, 2006 , Page(s): 379 – 384
- [12] D. Bera, S. Maslov, L. Qian, J. S. Yoo and P.H. Holloway, "Optimization of the Yellow Phosphor Concentration and Layer Thickness for Down-Conversion of Blue to White Light", *Journal of Display Technology*, Volume: 6 , Issue: 12, 2010 , Page(s): 645 - 651
- [13] Z. Liu, S. Liu,; K. Wang and X. Luo, "Optical Analysis of Phosphor's Location for High-Power Light-Emitting Diodes", *IEEE Transactions on Device and Materials Reliability*, Volume: 9 , Issue: 1, 2009 , Page(s): 65 – 73
- [14] R. Hu, H. Zheng, J. Hu and X. Luo, "Comprehensive Study on the Transmitted and Reflected Light Through the Phosphor Layer in Light-Emitting Diode Packages", *Journal of Display Technology*, Volume: 9 , Issue: 6, 2013 , Page(s): 447 – 452
- [15] R. Hu and X. Luo, "A Model for Calculating the Bidirectional Scattering Properties of Phosphor Layer in White Light-Emitting Diodes", *Journal of Lightwave Technology*, Volume: 30 , Issue: 21, 2012 , Page(s): 3376 – 3380
- [16] S. Li, H. Chen, S.C. Tan, S.Y.R. Hui and E. Waffenschmidt, "Critical design issues of retrofit light-emitting diode (LED) light bulb", *Twenty-Ninth Annual IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition (APEC)*, 2014, Page(s): 531-536
- [17] J. M. Alonso, S. S. Member, D. Gacio, A. J. Calleja, J. Ribas, and E. L. Corominas, "A Study on LED Retrofit Solutions for Low-Voltage Halogen Cycle Lamps," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.*, vol. 48, no. 5, pp. 1673–1682, Sep. 2012.
- [18] H. Kim, B. Lee, and C.-T. Rim, "Passive LED driver compatible with rapid-start ballast," in *8th International Conference on Power Electronics - ECCE Asia*, 2011, pp. 507–514.
- [19] S. Y. Hui, S. N. Li, X. H. Tao, W. Chen, and W. M. Ng, "A Novel Passive Offline LED Driver With Long Lifetime," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 25, no. 10, pp. 2665–2672, Oct. 2010.

- [20] Y. Qin, H. Chung, D. Y. Lin, and S. Y. R. Hui, "Current source ballast for high power lighting emitting diodes without electrolytic capacitor," in *2008 34th Annual Conference of IEEE Industrial Electronics*, 2008, pp. 1968–1973.
- [21] X. Qu, S. C. Wong, and C. K. Tse, "Resonance-Assisted Buck Converter for Offline Driving of Power LED Replacement Lamps," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 532–540, Feb. 2011.
- [22] J. M. Alonso, J. Vina, D. G. Vaquero, G. Martinez, and R. Osorio, "Analysis and Design of the Integrated Double Buck–Boost Converter as a High-Power-Factor Driver for Power-LED Lamps," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 1689–1697, Apr. 2012.
- [23] B. Wang, X. Ruan, K. Yao, and Ming Xu, "A Method of Reducing the Peak-to-Average Ratio of LED Current for Electrolytic Capacitor-Less AC–DC Drivers," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 592–601, Mar. 2010.
- [24] C. K. Tse, M. H. L. Chow, and M. K. H. Cheung, "A family of PFC voltage regulator configurations with reduced redundant power processing," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 794–802, 2001.
- [25] Y.X. Qin and S.Y.R. Hui, "Comparative Study on the Structural Designs of LED Devices and Systems Based on the General Photo-Electro-Thermal Theory", *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, Volume: 25 , Issue: 2, 2010 , Page(s): 507 - 513
- [26] Y.F. Cheung and H.W. "Color-Tunable and Phosphor-Free White-Light Multilayered Light-Emitting Diodes", *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices*, Volume: 60 , Issue: 1, 2013 , Page(s): 333 - 338
- [27] Siew-Chong Tan, "General n-Level Driving Approach for Improving Electrical-to-Optical Energy-Conversion Efficiency of Fast-Response Saturable Lighting Devices," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 1342–1353, Apr. 2010.
- [28] "ENERGY STAR Program Requirements for Solid State Lighting Luminaires, Eligibility Criteria -Version 1.1," 2008. [Online]. Available: http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=new_specs.ssl_luminaires.
- [29] American National Standards Institute, "American National Standard, Specifications for Chromaticity of Solid State Lighting Products, ANSI C78.377." 2008.

Captions:

Fig. 1. Light spectrum of a phosphor-based white LED.

Fig. 2. A detailed inside view of the function stages of an LED package.

Fig. 3. Energy flow chart with the five functional stages of the LED system.

TABLE I. RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN k_{opt} , k_h AND CONVERSION EFFICIENCIES η_1 , η_2 , η_3

TABLE II. LED SYSTEMS PARAMETERS

(a) Ballast (b) Heatsink (c) Cover/lens
(d) LED bulb (e) Shineon blue LED (f) Shineon white LED
(g) Cree-XRE Blue LED (h) Cree-XRE white LED (i) Osram-W5AP Blue LED (j) Osram-W5AP white LED

Fig. 4. Photographs of the exterior and interior functional stages of an LED bulb.

(a) Shineon LED
(b) Cree LED
(c) Osram-W5AP

Fig. 5. Optical efficiency of the LED bulb for the respective stages

(a) Shineon LED
(b) Cree LED
(c) Osram-W5AP

Fig. 6. Conversion efficiency of the LED bulb for Stage 2 to Stage 4

Fig. 7(a) Equivalent thermal circuit including the heat sources of the LED chip and the extra heating resistor.

Fig. 7(b) Equivalent thermal circuit of Fig.8 (a) with ambient temperature replaced by emulated ambient temperature

Fig. 8. Measured luminous flux with the LED bulb in the downward position. (a) Shineon LED (b) Cree-XRE LED (c) Osram-W5AP

Fig.9 Measured correlated color temperature (CCT) with the LED bulb in the downward position. (a) Shineon LED (b) Cree-XRE LED (c) Osram-W5AP

Fig.10 Measured color rendering index (CRI) with the LED bulb in the downward position (a) Shineon LED (b) Cree-XRE LED (c) Osram-W5AP

Fig.11 Measured luminous flux (a) Shineon LED (b) Cree-XRE LED (c) Osram-W5AP

Fig.12 Measured correlated color temperature (CCT) (a) Shineon LED (b) Cree-XRE LED (c) Osram-W5AP

Fig.13 Measured color rendering index (CRI) (a) Shineon LED (b) Cree-XRE LED (c) Osram-W5AP

Captions:

Fig. 1. Light spectrum of a phosphor-based white LED.

Fig. 2. A detailed inside view of the function stages of an LED package.

Fig. 3. Energy flow chart with the five functional stages of the LED system.

TABLE I. RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN k_{opt} , k_h AND CONVERSION EFFICIENCIES η_1 , η_2 , η_3

Energy Flow Stage	k_{opt}	k_h	η
2	$k_{\text{opt}1}=\eta_1$	$k_{h1}=1-\eta_2$	$k_{\text{opt}1}$
3	$k_{\text{opt}2}=\eta_1\eta_2$	$k_{h2}=1-\eta_1\eta_2$	$k_{\text{opt}2}/k_{\text{opt}1}$
4	$k_{\text{opt}3}=\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3$	$k_{h3}=1-\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3$	$k_{\text{opt}3}/k_{\text{opt}2}$

TABLE II. LED SYSTEMS PARAMETERS

	$I(\text{A})$	$V(\text{V})$	$E(\text{lm/W})$	k_h	k_e	$R_{jc}(\text{°C/W})$
Blue Shineon LED -Fig.4(e)	0.48	12.4	15.6	0.61	-0.0016	4.0
White Shineon LED -Fig.4(f)	0.48	12.18	94.2	0.71	-0.0026	4.0
Blue Cree-XRE LED - Fig.4(g)	0.35	6.522	28.3	0.70	-0.0013	8.0
White Cree-XRE LED - Fig.4(h)	0.35	6.327	80.4	0.75	-0.0032	8.0
Blue Osram-W5AP LED -Fig.4(i)	0.6	6.421	10.3	0.71	-0.0021	5.0
White Osram-W5AP LED - Fig.4(j)	0.6	6.388	64.5	0.78	-0.0042	5.0

(a) Ballast

(b) Heatsink

(c) Cover/lens

(d) LED bulb

(e) Shineon blue LED

(f) Shineon white LED

(g) Cree-XRE Blue LED (h) Cree-XRE white LED (i) Osram-W5AP Blue LED (j) Osram-W5AP white LED

Fig. 4. Photographs of the exterior and interior functional stages of an LED bulb.

(d) Shineon LED

(e) Cree LED

(f) Osram-W5AP

Fig. 5. Optical efficiency of the LED bulb for the respective stages

(a) Shineon LED

(b) Cree LED

(c) Osram-W5AP

Fig. 6. Conversion efficiency of the LED bulb for Stage 2 to Stage 4

Fig. 7(a) Equivalent thermal circuit including the heat sources of the LED chip and the extra heating resistor.

Fig. 7(b) Equivalent thermal circuit of Fig.8 (a) with ambient temperature replaced by emulated ambient temperature

Fig. 8. Measured luminous flux with the LED bulb in the downward position. (a) Shineon LED (b) Cree-XRE LED (c) Osram-W5AP

Fig.9 Measured correlated color temperature (CCT) with the LED bulb in the downward position. (a) Shineon LED (b) Cree-XRE LED (c) Osram-W5AP

Fig.10 Measured color rendering index (CRI) with the LED bulb in the downward position (a) Shineon LED (b) Cree-XRE LED (c) Osram-W5AP

(d)

(b)

(c)

Fig.11 Measured luminous flux (a) Shineon LED (b) Cree-XRE LED (c) Osram-W5AP

(a)

(b)

(c)

Fig.12 Measured correlated color temperature (CCT) (a) Shineon LED (b) Cree-XRE LED (c) Osram-W5AP

(a)

(b)

(c)

Fig.13 Measured color rendering index (CRI) (a) Shineon LED (b) Cree-XRE LED (c) Osram-W5AP