Enhanced Fatigue Behavior of a Glass Fiber Reinforced Hybrid Particles Modified Epoxy Nanocomposite under WISPERX Spectrum Load Sequence

C.M. Manjunatha^{*1}, Ramesh Bojja¹, N. Jagannathan¹,

A.J. Kinloch², A.C. Taylor²

¹ Fatigue and Structural Integrity Group, Structural Technologies Division,
CSIR-National Aerospace Laboratories, Bangalore 560 017, India

² Department of Mechanical Engineering, Imperial College London,
South Kensington Campus, London SW7 2AZ, U.K.

ABSTRACT

Two types of glass fiber reinforced plastic (GFRP) composites were fabricated viz., GFRP with neat epoxy matrix (GFRP-neat) and GFRP with hybrid modified epoxy matrix (GFRP-hybrid) containing 9 wt. % of rubber microparticles and 10 wt. % of silica nanoparticles. Fatigue tests were conducted on both the composites under the WISPERX load sequence. The fatigue life of the GFRP-hybrid composite was about 4-5 times higher than that of the GFRP-neat composite. The underlying mechanisms for improved fatigue performance are discussed. A reasonably good correlation was observed between the experimental fatigue life and the fatigue life predicted under the spectrum loads.

Keywords: glass fiber composite, silica nanoparticle, rubber particle, spectrum fatigue.

^{*} Corresponding author: Tel. +91-80-2508 6310; Fax: +91-80-2508 6301

E-mail address: manjucm@nal.res.in (CM Manjunatha)

1. INTRODUCTION

Due mainly to their high specific strength and stiffness, continuous fiber reinforced plastic (FRP) composites are widely used in various structural applications such as airframes, wind turbines, ship hulls, etc. Such composite structural components experience variable amplitude or spectrum fatigue loads in service. Hence, the fatigue-durability of the composite materials under spectrum loads is an important requirement in these applications.

Engineering polymer matrix composite materials generally consist of continuous glass or carbon fibers embedded in a thermosetting epoxy polymer. The epoxy polymer, being an amorphous and highly cross-linked material, is relatively brittle and exhibits a relatively poor resistance to crack initiation and growth, thus affecting the overall mechanical properties, including the fatigue and fracture behavior of FRP composites. One of the ways to improve the mechanical properties of FRPs is to add a second phase of fillers into the epoxy matrix.

Incorporation of various types of micro- and nano-sized spherical, fibrous and layered fillers into the epoxy has been shown to improve the mechanical properties of composites [1-3]. Considerable improvements in the strength and stiffness [4], and dramatic improvements in the fracture toughness [3-5] of polymer composites by addition of particles such as nanosilica have been observed. The presence of minute amounts (0.3 wt. %) of double walled carbon nanotubes (DWCNT) increases the matrix-dominated interlaminar shear strength (ILSS) of GFRP by 20% [6]. A recent review on CNT-polymer composites by Spitalsky et al. [7] clearly shows the improvement in tensile strength and toughness of epoxies due to presence of carbon nanotubes. Chisholm et al [8] observed that the addition of 1.5 wt. % of nanosized SiC particles in an epoxy led to a 20–30% increase in the tensile properties. The incorporation of 2 wt. % of carbon

nanofibers in a SC-15 epoxy/carbon fiber composite improves the tensile and flexural strengths by 11 and 22% respectively [9]. The use of 5 wt. % of nanoclay in polypropylene improves both the modulus and yield strength, by 90 and 5% respectively [10].

Several investigators have studied the fatigue behavior of bulk epoxies modified with various types of fillers. A significant enhancement in fatigue life [11] and considerable reduction in the fatigue crack growth rate (FCGR) of epoxies containing rubber particles have been well established [12-14]. The energy absorption mechanisms of rubber particle cavitation and the associated plastic deformation of the surrounding epoxy have been attributed to such improved fatigue performance. Similarly, epoxy containing silica nanoparticles has been shown to exhibit improved fatigue life [15, 16] and reduced FCGR [17]. The creation of nanovoids by the debonding of hard silica particles and the subsequent void growth has been shown to absorb energy and thereby increase the fatigue life. The influence of carbon nanotubes and carbon nanofibers on the fatigue behavior of epoxies has been investigated by several authors [18, 19]. Once again, large increase in the fatigue life and significant reduction in fatigue crack growth rate have been observed and attributed to energy absorbing mechanisms such as nanotube/nanofiber pull out and crack bridging.

The above studies mentioned pertain to the study of fatigue behavior of modified bulk epoxies. Recent investigations have confirmed that use of such modified epoxies in FRPs give improved fatigue properties of the FRPs as well. Grimmer and Dharan [20] observed that the addition of 1wt.% of multi walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) to the polymer matrix of a GFRP composite laminate improved the high-cycle fatigue strength by 60–250%. Bortz et al. [21] observed that carbon nanofiber (CNF) reinforced composites collectively possess improved fatigue properties over their unmodified

counterparts. Fatigue life improvements of 150–670% were observed in fully compressive, tensile and tensile-dominated loadings. The addition of silica nanoparticles into an epoxy matrix has been shown to enhance the fatigue properties of GFRP composites [15, 22]. Incorporation of nanoclay into an epoxy matrix has also been shown to improve the fatigue behavior of FRP composites [23].

Recently, the authors have observed that the addition of either 9 wt.% rubber micro particles [11] or 10 wt.% silica nano particles [15] to an epoxy matrix enhances the fatigue life of a GFRP composite by about three to four times. Further, we have studied [24] the fatigue behavior of a hybrid GFRP composite containing both 9 wt. % of micron-rubber and 10 wt. % of nano-silica particles in the epoxy matrix. It was clearly observed that the fatigue life of GFRP composite is enhanced dramatically, by about eight to ten times.

Most of the fatigue studies on modified epoxies and FRPs with such modified epoxies, including the one referred to above, have been limited to constant amplitude fatigue behavior. As an intermediate step between constant and variable amplitude fatigue studies, the authors [25] have investigated the three-step block load fatigue behavior of the GFRP hybrid composite. It was observed that under both an increasing and a decreasing block load sequence, the fatigue life of GFRP composite is enhanced by incorporation of the micron-rubber and nano-silica particles into the epoxy matrix.

Composites in engineering structures, in general, are subjected to spectrum fatigue loads. Hence, fatigue studies under spectrum or service load sequence are more appropriate in development of new materials. In continuation of constant amplitude and block load fatigue studies [24,25], the main aim of this investigation was to study the experimental fatigue behavior of a GFRP hybrid composite under a standard spectrum load sequence. An attempt was also made to predict the fatigue life of the GFRP hybrid

composite under the same spectrum load sequence by constructing a constant life diagram and using a simple linear damage accumulation model to compare with the experimental results.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Materials and Processing

The materials used and the processing employed to manufacture GFRP composites are briefly explained in this section. However, a detailed description of the materials and processing can be found in [24]. The epoxy resin used was LY556 from Huntsman, which is a diglycidyl ether of bisphenol A (DGEBA) resin. The silica (SiO_2) nanoparticles were obtained as a colloidal silica sol with a concentration of 40 wt.% in LY556 from Nanoresins. The reactive liquid rubber was a carboxyl-terminated butadiene-acrylonitrile (CTBN) rubber, obtained from Nanoresins as a 40 wt.% CTBN-LY556 epoxy adduct. The curing agent was an accelerated methylhexahydrophthalic acid anhydride, HE600 from Nanoresins. The E-glass fiber cloth was a non-crimp-fabric (NCF) with an areal weight of 450 g/m^2 .

The required quantity of the neat epoxy resin, the calculated quantities of silica nanoparticle-epoxy resin and CTBN-epoxy adduct, to give 10 wt.% of nano-silica and 9 wt.% of CTBN rubber in the final resin, were all individually weighed and degassed at 50°C and 0.1 atm. The resins were mixed together and a stoichiometric amount of curing agent was added, stirred and degassed again.

The resin mixture was used to prepare GFRP composite laminates by the ‘Resin Infusion under Flexible Tooling’ (RIFT) technique [26]. Glass fiber fabric pieces, about 330 mm square, were cut and laid up in a quasi-isotropic sequence $[(+45/-45/0/90)_s]_2$ with a fluid distribution mesh. The resin mixture was infused into the glass-cloth lay-up at 50°C and 0.1 atm., then cured at 100°C for 2 hours and post-cured at 150°C for 10

hours, maintaining the vacuum throughout the curing cycle. The resulting 2.5-2.7 mm thick GFRP composite laminates had a fiber volume fraction of about 57%. Two types of composites were prepared following the above procedure viz. GFRP with neat epoxy matrix (GFRP-neat) and GFRP with hybrid epoxy matrix (GFRP-hybrid) containing 9 wt.% of rubber microparticles and 10 wt.% of silica nanoparticles.

2.2 Material Characterization

An atomic force microscope (AFM) was used to characterize the microstructure of the epoxy polymers, by scanning an ultramicrotomed surface. The AFM phase images of the hybrid modified bulk epoxy polymer are shown in Fig. 1 [24]. The rubber particles were evenly distributed and had an average diameter of about 0.5 to 1 μm . The silica particles of about 20 nm in diameter were somewhat agglomerated to give a ‘necklace-type’ structure with an average width of about 1 μm .

Both the tensile and compressive properties of the composites were determined. Five replicate tests were conducted for both materials for each type of test and the average values were obtained. The tensile properties were determined according to ASTM D3039 test standard [27] specifications. Specimens about 250 mm long with a constant rectangular cross section (25 mm x 2.7 mm) were cut from the laminate, and end-tabs attached. The tensile tests were performed using a 100 kN computer controlled screw-driven test machine with a constant crosshead speed of 1 mm/min. The tensile properties determined are shown in Table 1. The GFRP-hybrid composite was observed to exhibit a higher tensile strength, by about 6%, but a lower tensile modulus, by about 9%, when compared to the GFRP-neat composite.

The compression tests were performed as per the ASTM D3410 test standard [28] specifications. Specimens about 12.5 mm wide and 140 mm long were cut from the laminate and prepared (without end tabs). Compression tests were conducted using a 50

kN servo-hydraulic test machine using an IITRI test fixture. Back-to-back strain gages were bonded to the specimen surface in the loading direction, and the average modulus was obtained from data of both gages. The compressive properties of both GFRP composites determined are shown in Table 1. Unlike the tensile properties, the compressive properties of the composite were observed to be almost unaffected by the addition of the rubber and silica particles to the epoxy matrix. These tensile and compressive properties of the composites were employed to construct constant life diagrams (CLD) for fatigue life prediction under spectrum loads.

2.3 Fatigue Testing

2.3.1 Spectrum fatigue

Fatigue tests on both the GFRP-neat and GFRP-hybrid composites were conducted under spectrum loads. The spectrum load sequence used was a wind turbine load sequence, WISPERX [29, 30], as shown in Fig. 2. This is a modified version of the WISPER load spectrum, which is a standardized variable-amplitude test load sequence developed for the fatigue analysis of materials for wind turbine blades. This particular load sequence was considered in the present investigation since GFRP composites are used in the construction of large wind turbine blades. In Fig. 2, the normalized stress is plotted against the peak/trough points of the load sequence. One block of this load sequence consists of 25,663 reversals at 64 different stress levels. The stress sequence for experiments and fatigue life prediction was obtained by multiplying all the peak/trough points in the entire block with a constant reference stress, σ_{ref} .

Spectrum fatigue tests with various reference stress levels were conducted on both GFRP composites. The geometry and dimensions of the test specimens employed for the spectrum fatigue tests are shown in Fig. 3. Tests were conducted in a computer controlled 50 kN servo-hydraulic test machine. For any given reference stress, the

number of load blocks required to fail the test specimen, N_{b-expt} , was determined. Whenever a specimen failed in-between a full block, it was rounded-off to the nearest complete block number.

The stiffness variation of the specimens subjected to spectrum fatigue loads was determined during the tests as a function of the number of applied load blocks. One additional load cycle with $\sigma_{max} = 0.5 \sigma_{ref}$ and stress ratio $R = \sigma_{min} / \sigma_{max} = 0$ was introduced at the beginning of the load block sequence, and the load versus displacement data for this one complete load cycle was obtained and analyzed. For the purpose of comparison, the normalized stiffness of the specimen was defined as the ratio of measured stiffness at the end of any given load block to the initial stiffness (obtained before application of the first spectrum load block). For one particular test with $\sigma_{ref} = 225$ MPa, the specimens were dismantled at the end of application of 3 blocks of loading and photographs showing matrix cracks were obtained, as explained in [24].

2.3.2 Constant amplitude (CA) fatigue

In order to predict the fatigue life under the WISPERX spectrum load sequence, constant amplitude fatigue data was generated at various stress ratios. The fatigue test specimens, as shown in Fig. 3, were prepared from the GFRP composite laminates. The CA fatigue tests were performed as per the ASTM D3479M-96 test standard specifications [31], using a 25 kN / 50 kN computer-controlled servo-hydraulic test machine. The tests were conducted with a sinusoidal waveform at a frequency, $\nu = 1$ to 3 Hz. The test frequency was kept below 3 Hz to prevent thermal effects leading to reduced fatigue lives [32-34]. Tests were performed at various stress ratios to include all three regions of loading cases. viz., tension-tension (T-T) fatigue at $R = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5$ and 0.7 , tension-compression (T-C) fatigue at $R = -1$ and -4 and compression-compression (C-C) fatigue at $R = 10$.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Experimental spectrum fatigue life

The experimental spectrum fatigue lives determined for both the GFRP-neat and GFRP-hybrid composites under the WISPERX load sequence at various reference stresses is shown in Fig. 4. The fatigue life was increased with reduced reference stress in both GFRP composites, a similar trend observed by Philippidis and Vassilopoulos [35] in GFRP under MWISPERX load spectra. However, it may be clearly seen that, for a given reference stress, the GFRP-hybrid composite exhibits an enhanced fatigue life compared to the GFRP-neat composite. The fatigue life was observed to increase by about four to five times over entire range of reference stress levels investigated.

The variation of the normalized stiffness with the number of spectrum load blocks, evaluated for the fatigue test with $\sigma_{\text{ref}} = 225$ MPa, for both GFRP composites is shown in Fig. 5. In general, both the GFRP-neat and GFRP-hybrid composites exhibit a typical stiffness reduction trend as observed in FRP composites [36-39]. The three regions of the stiffness reduction curve are clearly identifiable. It may be noted that the stiffness reductions in region I and region II are quite steep and significant in the GFRP-neat composite when compared to the GFRP-hybrid composite.

Photographs of the matrix cracks observed on the surface of the top +45° layers of the composites subjected to three complete load blocks of the WISPERX spectrum load sequence with $\sigma_{\text{ref}} = 225$ MPa are shown in Fig. 6. Gagel et al. [40, 41] have also observed the initiation and growth of such matrix cracks under cyclic fatigue loads in a GFRP composite. As observed in our earlier constant amplitude fatigue studies [24], the GFRP-neat composite exhibited more severe cracking than the GFRP-hybrid composite. The crack density, expressed as the number of cracks per unit length [24] was about 1.15/mm in the GFRP-neat and 0.58/mm in the GFRP-hybrid composite. Thus,

suppressed matrix cracking was clearly observed in GFRP-hybrid composite under the WISPERX load sequence.

The progressive fatigue damage accumulation leading to final failure, under cyclic loads in polymer composites has been well documented [36, 38, 39]. The complete damage progress has been observed, involving (i) initiation and growth of matrix cracks, (ii) initiation of disbonds and delaminations due to coalescence of primary and secondary matrix cracks, and (iii) subsequent growth of cracks / delaminations to lead to final failure. In an earlier investigation [42] we have observed that the fatigue crack growth rate of the hybrid bulk epoxy (containing both micron-rubber and nano-silica particles) is over an order of magnitude lower than that of the neat epoxy. Further, we have observed that the use of such a hybrid matrix in GFRP enhances the constant amplitude fatigue life due to suppressed matrix cracking, delayed initiation of delamination and reduced crack / delamination growth rate [24]. Similar mechanisms being operative and contributing to improved fatigue life under block loads have also been observed by the authors [25].

The stiffness loss behavior shown in Fig. 5 is an indication of the underlying mechanisms being operative in the composite material [24, 25]. The stiffness loss in stage I and stage II results primarily from matrix cracking [24, 36, 39, 40]. Once the matrix crack density saturates and attains the characteristic damage state (CDS) [24, 36, 39], the disbonds and delaminations created due to the coalescence of primary and secondary matrix cracks grow, and this leads to a further loss in stiffness. The present results show that when both composites are subjected to same number of spectrum load blocks, the crack density is lower in the GFRP-hybrid compared to the GFRP-neat composite (see Fig. 6). Thus, the stiffness loss curves shown in Fig. 5 clearly indicate the underlying mechanisms, i.e. suppressed matrix cracking, delayed initiation of

delamination, and reduced crack / delamination growth rate [42], for improved spectrum fatigue behavior in the GFRP-hybrid composite.

It may be noted that the fatigue life enhancement is about eight to ten times under constant amplitude loads [24] whereas, it is reduced to about four to five times under WISPERX load sequence as observed in the present investigation. Load interaction effects in composites could alter the fatigue lives of composites significantly. Further detailed investigations with respect to load interaction effects in hybrid composite may provide some insight into such modifications in fatigue life enhancement factor.

3.2 Fatigue Life Prediction

The fatigue life of the GFRP-neat and GFRP-hybrid composites under the WISPERX load sequence was predicted and compared with the experimental results. Post et al. [43] have recently reviewed the modelling and prediction of fatigue life under spectrum loads in composites. The general fatigue life prediction procedure involves [43, 44] (i) rainflow counting of fatigue cycles in the spectrum load sequence [45] (ii) determination of cycles to failure, N_f , for each of the counted load cycles using a constant life diagram (CLD) constructed from the stress versus number of cycles (S-N) data, (iii) calculation of the damage fraction for each of the counted load cycles, and (iv) determination of the total fatigue damage per load block by summation of the damage fraction with or without load interaction effects [43]. The material is assumed to fail when the total damage fraction reaches 1.0. A flow chart showing the procedure employed in this investigation for fatigue life estimation under the WISPERX spectrum load sequence is shown in Fig. 7.

As a pre-requisite for fatigue life prediction under spectrum loads, the constant amplitude fatigue behavior (S-N curves) of the GFRP composites at various stress ratios was determined, to obtain the fatigue properties required for construction of the CLD. The stress-controlled, constant-amplitude, T-T, T-C and C-C fatigue test results at

various stress ratios, obtained for both the GFRP-neat and GFRP-hybrid composites are shown in Fig. 8(a) and 8(b) respectively. For the sake of comparison, typical S-N curves from each region at the same stress ratio are shown in Fig. 8(c). It may be seen that, as observed earlier [24], for any given stress ratio, over the entire range of stress levels investigated, the addition of particles into the epoxy matrix enhances the fatigue life of the GFRP composite significantly.

The experimental data of stress-life (S-N) curves of the GFRP composites shown in Fig. 8 were fitted to Basquin's law [46]:

$$\sigma_{\max} = \sigma_f' (N_f)^b \quad (1)$$

where σ_f' is the fatigue strength coefficient (FSC) and b is the fatigue strength exponent (FSE). The values of FSC and FSE determined for both the GFRP-neat and the GFRP-hybrid composites are shown in Table 2.

The constant life diagrams for the GFRP composites were constructed using the mechanical and fatigue properties of the materials shown in Table 1 and Table 2 respectively, and are shown in Fig. 9. The detailed procedure to construct CLD can be found elsewhere [43, 44].

Since the rainflow-counted load cycles will be of various load amplitudes and mean stresses, it is necessary to interpolate and determine N_f for all these load cycles using the CLD. Various interpolation techniques have been developed for determination of N_f from the CLD for any given load cycle [43, 47]. However, Vassilopoulos et al. [47] have shown that the simple piecewise linear formulation compares favorably to other more sophisticated and complicated schemes. They also observed that, for most of the cases studied, the S-N predictions based on the piecewise linear CLD are the most

accurate ones. Hence, the piecewise linear technique was employed for interpolations during this investigation.

Several different damage accumulation models have been proposed for fatigue life estimation in composites [43]. In the present investigation, the simple Palmgren-Miner (PM) [48] linear damage accumulation model was used:

$$D = \sum (n_i / N_i) \quad (2)$$

where D is the damage fraction, n_i is the cycle count and N_i is the cycles to failure for a given load cycle amplitude. The fatigue life was predicted for the GFRP composite material under the WISPERX load sequence following the procedure shown in Fig. 7 and with the help of CLDs shown in Fig. 9.

The fatigue life predicted under WISPERX load sequence as a function of reference stress for both GFRP composites is shown in Fig. 4 along with experimental results. It may be observed that fatigue life predicted for GFRP-neat composite is in good agreement with experimental fatigue life. The predicted fatigue life for GFRP-hybrid composite is conservative and lower by about two times when compared to experimental observations. It may be noted that a wide difference has been observed in the predicted and experimental fatigue lives of composites under various types of block and spectrum load sequences [35, 49, 50]. Considering such wide scatter in correlation between predictions and experiments, the present results provide a reasonably good correlation

The predictions also suggest an improvement in fatigue life of GFRP-hybrid composite by about three times. The predicted enhancement factor is lower than the experimental observation of about four to five times. This under-prediction may be due to use of the simple linear damage accumulation model. Consideration of load interaction effects [43] in the damage accumulation model may improve further the accuracy of the predictions.

Load interaction effects in fatigue of composites have been studied by several authors. Gamstedt et al. [51] showed that in a high-low load sequence, initial high load creates matrix cracks and subsequently it is easy to initiate delamination growth which leads to lesser life when compared to low-high load sequence. Paepegen et al. [52] showed that the transition from low to high are most damaging and that the number of transition determine which block loading is most devastating. In the present investigation, it is clearly observed in the experiments that the suppressed matrix cracking due to addition of micron-rubber and nano-silica particles lead to improved fatigue life under spectrum loads. Since matrix cracking is one of the major phenomena influencing load interaction effects [51], we believe that further studies are necessary to investigate such effects which lead to alter the enhancement factors between constant amplitude and spectrum fatigue lives. However, the present investigation clearly shows that the fatigue life of a GFRP composite under the WISPERX load sequence is enhanced by four to five times due to the incorporation of micron-rubber and nano-silica particles in the epoxy matrix. Also, the simple linear damage summation model appears to provide a reasonably good correlation between the experimental and the predicted lives.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions may be drawn based on the results obtained in this investigation:

1. Under the WISPERX spectrum load sequence, the fatigue life of the GFRP composite with the hybrid epoxy matrix containing 9 wt. % CTBN rubber microparticles and 10 wt. % silica nanoparticles is about four to five times higher than that of the GFRP composite with the neat epoxy matrix. The suppressed

matrix cracking and reduced crack / delamination growth rate contribute to the enhanced fatigue life.

2. The constant amplitude fatigue life of the GFRP-hybrid composite is always higher than that of the GFRP-neat composite at all stress ratios investigated in the tension-tension, tension-compression and compression-compression regions.
3. The predicted fatigue lives under the WISPERX spectrum load sequence correlate reasonably well with the experimental observations for both GFRP-neat and GFRP-hybrid composites. Predictions suggest an improvement in the fatigue life of about three times in the GFRP-hybrid composite. Consideration of load interaction effects in the damage accumulation model may improve the prediction accuracy further.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors from NAL wish to thank Mr. Shyam Chetty, Director and Dr. Satish Chandra, Head, Structural Technologies Division, National Aerospace Laboratories, Bangalore, India for their constant support and encouragement during this work. The technical support staff members of the Department of Mechanical Engineering and the Composites Centre of the Aeronautics Department, Imperial College London, and the Materials Evaluation Lab, STTD, NAL, Bangalore are thanked for their assistance in the experimental work.

REFERENCES

- [1] E.T. Thostenson, C. Li, T.-W. Chou, Nanocomposites in context, Composites Science and Technology, 65 (2005) 491-516.
- [2] F. Hussain, M. Hojjati, M. Okamoto, R.E. Gorga, Review article: Polymer-matrix Nanocomposites, Processing, Manufacturing, and Application: An Overview, Journal of Composite Materials, 40 (2006) 1511-1575.

- [3] A. Kinloch, R. Mohammed, A. Taylor, C. Eger, S. Sprenger, D. Egan, The effect of silica nano particles and rubber particles on the toughness of multiphase thermosetting epoxy polymers, *Journal of Materials Science*, 40 (2005) 5083-5086.
- [4] J. Ma, M.-S. Mo, X.-S. Du, P. Rosso, K. Friedrich, H.-C. Kuan, Effect of inorganic nanoparticles on mechanical property, fracture toughness and toughening mechanism of two epoxy systems, *Polymer*, 49 (2008) 3510-3523.
- [5] S.-Y. Fu, X.-Q. Feng, B. Lauke, Y.-W. Mai, Effects of particle size, particle/matrix interface adhesion and particle loading on mechanical properties of particulate–polymer composites, *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 39 (2008) 933-961.
- [6] F.H. Gojny, M.H.G. Wichmann, B. Fiedler, W. Bauhofer, K. Schulte, Influence of nano-modification on the mechanical and electrical properties of conventional fibre-reinforced composites, *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 36 (2005) 1525-1535.
- [7] Z. Spitalsky, D. Tasis, K. Papagelis, C. Galiotis, Carbon nanotube–polymer composites: Chemistry, processing, mechanical and electrical properties, *Progress in Polymer Science*, 35 (2010) 357-401.
- [8] N. Chisholm, H. Mahfuz, V.K. Rangari, A. Ashfaq, S. Jeelani, Fabrication and mechanical characterization of carbon/SiC-epoxy nanocomposites, *Composite Structures*, 67 (2005) 115-124.
- [9] Y. Zhou, F. Pervin, S. Jeelani, P.K. Mallick, Improvement in mechanical properties of carbon fabric–epoxy composite using carbon nanofibers, *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 198 (2008) 445-453.
- [10] Y. Zhou, V. Rangari, H. Mahfuz, S. Jeelani, P.K. Mallick, Experimental study on thermal and mechanical behavior of polypropylene, talc/polypropylene and polypropylene/clay nanocomposites, *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 402 (2005) 109-117.
- [11] C.M. Manjunatha, A.C. Taylor, A.J. Kinloch, S. Sprenger, The Tensile Fatigue Behaviour of a GFRP Composite With Rubber Particle Modified Epoxy Matrix, *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, 21 (2010) 2170-2183.
- [12] H.R. Azimi, R.A. Pearson, R.W. Hertzberg, Fatigue of rubber-modified epoxies: effect of particle size and volume fraction, *Journal of Materials Science*, 31 (1996) 3777-3789.
- [13] A. Lowe, O.-H. Kwon, Y.-W. Mai, Fatigue and fracture behaviour of novel rubber modified epoxy resins, *Polymer*, 37 (1996) 565-572.
- [14] M. Imanaka, S. Motohashi, K. Nishi, Y. Nakamura, M. Kimoto, Crack-growth behavior of epoxy adhesives modified with liquid rubber and cross-linked rubber particles under mode I loading, *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives*, 29 (2009) 45-55.
- [15] C.M. Manjunatha, A.C. Taylor, A.J. Kinloch, S. Sprenger, The tensile fatigue behaviour of a silica nanoparticle-modified glass fibre reinforced epoxy composite, *Composites Science and Technology*, 70 (2010) 193-199.

- [16] G.-T. Wang, H.-Y. Liu, N. Saintier, Y.-W. Mai, Cyclic fatigue of polymer nanocomposites, *Engineering Failure Analysis*, 16 (2009) 2635-2645.
- [17] H.-Y. Liu, G. Wang, Y.-W. Mai, Cyclic Fatigue Crack Propagation of Nanoparticle Modified Epoxy, *Composites Science and Technology*. (in press) doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2012.05.025>
- [18] N. Yu, Z.H. Zhang, S.Y. He, Fracture toughness and fatigue life of MWCNT/epoxy composites, *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 494 (2008) 380-384.
- [19] D.R. Bortz, C. Merino, I. Martin-Gullon, Carbon nanofibers enhance the fracture toughness and fatigue performance of a structural epoxy system, *Composites Science and Technology*, 71 (2011) 31-38.
- [20] C. Grimmer, C. Dharan, High-cycle fatigue of hybrid carbon nanotube/glass fiber/polymer composites, *Journal of Materials Science*, 43 (2008) 4487-4492.
- [21] D.R. Bortz, C. Merino, I. Martin-Gullon, Augmented fatigue performance and constant life diagrams of hierarchical carbon fiber/nanofiber epoxy composites, *Composites Science and Technology*, 72 (2012) 446-452.
- [22] L. Böger, J. Sumfleth, H. Hedemann, K. Schulte, Improvement of fatigue life by incorporation of nanoparticles in glass fibre reinforced epoxy, *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 41 (2010) 1419-1424.
- [23] S.U. Khan, A. Munir, R. Hussain, J.-K. Kim, Fatigue damage behaviors of carbon fiber-reinforced epoxy composites containing nanoclay, *Composites Science and Technology*, 70 (2010) 2077-2085.
- [24] C.M. Manjunatha, S. Sprenger, A.C. Taylor, A.J. Kinloch, The Tensile Fatigue Behavior of a Glass-fiber Reinforced Plastic Composite Using a Hybrid-toughened Epoxy Matrix, *Journal of Composite Materials*, 44 (2010) 2095-2109.
- [25] C.M. Manjunatha, N. Jagannathan, K. Padmalatha, A.J. Kinloch, A.C. Taylor, Improved variable-amplitude fatigue behavior of a glass-fiber-reinforced hybrid-toughened epoxy composite, *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, 30 (2011) 1783-1793.
- [26] J. Summerscales, T.J. Searle, Low-pressure (vacuum infusion) techniques for moulding large composite structures, *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part L: Journal of Materials: Design and Applications*, 219 (2005) 45-58.
- [27] ASTM Standard D3039/D 3039M-08, 2008, "Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials", ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2008, DOI: 10.1520/D3039_D3039M-08, www.astm.org.
- [28] ASTM Standard D3410 / D3410M 2003 (2008), "Standard Test Method for Compressive Properties of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials with Unsupported Gage Section by Shear

- Loading", ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2003, DOI: 10.1520/D3410_D3410M-03R08 www.astm.org.
- [29] A.A. Ten Have, WISPER and WISPERX—final definition of two standardised fatigue loading sequences for wind turbine blades, in, National Aerospace Laboratory NLR, Netherlands, NLR TP 91476 U, 1991.
 - [30] P. Heuler, H. Klätschke, Generation and use of standardised load spectra and load–time histories, *International Journal of Fatigue*, 27 (2005) 974-990.
 - [31] ASTM Standard D3479 / D3479M 1996 (2007), "Standard Test Method for Tension-Tension Fatigue of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials", ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2007, DOI:10.1520/D3479_D3479M-96R07, www.astm.org.
 - [32] J.F. Mandell, U. Meier, Effect of Stress Ratio, Frequency and Loading Time on the Tensile Fatigue Of Glass-Reinforced Epoxy, in: O'Brien, T.K. (Ed.), *Long-Term Behavior of Composites*, ASTM STP 813, American Society for Testing and Materials, 1983, pp. 55-77.
 - [33] C.R. Staff, Effect of Load Frequency and Lay-Up on Fatigue Life of Composites, in: O'Brien, T.K. (Ed.), *Long-Term Behavior of Composites*, ASTM STP 813, American Society for Testing and Materials, 1983, pp. 78-91.
 - [34] C.T. Sun, W.S. Chan, Frequency Effect on the Fatigue Life of a Laminated Composite, in: Tsai, S.W. (Ed.) *Composite Materials: Testing and Design (Fifth Conference)*, ASTM STP 674, American Society for Testing and Materials, 1979, pp. 418-430.
 - [35] T.P. Philippidis, A.P. Vassilopoulos, Life prediction methodology for GFRP laminates under spectrum loading, *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 35 (2004) 657-666.
 - [36] R. Talreja, Fatigue of Composite Materials: Damage Mechanisms and Fatigue-Life Diagrams, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 378 (1981) 461-475.
 - [37] J.S. Tate, A.D. Kelkar, Stiffness degradation model for biaxial braided composites under fatigue loading, *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 39 (2008) 548-555.
 - [38] K.L. Reifsnider, R. Jamison, Fracture of fatigue-loaded composite laminates, *International Journal of Fatigue*, 4 (1982) 187-197.
 - [39] S.W. Case, K.L. Reifsnider, Fatigue of Composite Materials, in: I. Milne, R.O. Ritchie, B. Karihaloo (Eds.) *Comprehensive structural integrity. Vol. 4: Cyclic loading and fatigue*, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 2003.
 - [40] A. Gagel, D. Lange, K. Schulte, On the relation between crack densities, stiffness degradation, and surface temperature distribution of tensile fatigue loaded glass-fibre non-crimp-fabric reinforced epoxy, *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 37 (2006) 222-228.

- [41] A. Gagel, B. Fiedler, K. Schulte, On modelling the mechanical degradation of fatigue loaded glass-fibre non-crimp fabric reinforced epoxy laminates, *Composites Science and Technology*, 66 (2006) 657-664.
- [42] C.M. Manjunatha, N. Jagannathan, K. Padmalatha, A.C. Taylor, A.J. Kinloch, The effect of micron-rubber and nano-silica particles on the fatigue crack growth behavior of an epoxy polymer, *International Journal of Nanoscience*, 10 (2011) 1095-1099.
- [43] N.L. Post, S.W. Case, J.J. Lesko, Modeling the variable amplitude fatigue of composite materials: A review and evaluation of the state of the art for spectrum loading, *International Journal of Fatigue*, 30 (2008) 2064-2086.
- [44] V.A. Passipoularidis, T.P. Philippidis, A study of factors affecting life prediction of composites under spectrum loading, *International Journal of Fatigue*, 31 (2009) 408-417.
- [45] ASTM Standard E1049 1985 (2011), "Standard Practices for Cycle Counting in Fatigue Analysis", ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA, 2011, DOI: 10.1520/E1049-85R11E01, www.astm.org.
- [46] A. Buch., *Fatigue Strength Calculation*, Transtech Publications. Switzerland., 1988.
- [47] A.P. Vassilopoulos, B.D. Manshadi, T. Keller, Influence of the constant life diagram formulation on the fatigue life prediction of composite materials, *International Journal of Fatigue*, 32 (2010) 659-669.
- [48] M.A. Miner, Cumulative damage in fatigue, *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 12A (1945) 159-164.
- [49] J.A. Epaarachchi, A study on estimation of damage accumulation of glass fibre reinforce plastic (GFRP) composites under a block loading situation, *Composite Structures*, 75 (2006) 88-92.
- [50] J.A. Epaarachchi, P.D. Clausen, A new cumulative fatigue damage model for glass fibre reinforced plastic composites under step/discrete loading, *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 36 (2005) 1236-1245.
- [51] E. K. Gamstedt, B. A. Sjogren, An experimental investigation of the Sequence Effect in Block Amplitude Loading of Cross- Ply Composite Laminates, *International Journal of Fatigue*, 24 (2002) 437-446.
- [52] W. Van Paepegem, J. Degrieck, Effects of load sequence and block loading on the fatigue response of fiber-reinforced composites, *Mechanics of Advanced Materials and Structures*, 9 (2002) 19-35.

Table 1. Mechanical properties of the GFRP composites investigated.

Type of test	Mechanical Property	Material		% change
		GFRP-neat	GFRP-hybrid	
Tension	σ_{UTS} (MPa)	365 ± 13	386 ± 11	+ 5.75
	E_{T} (GPa)	17.5 ± 0.1	15.9 ± 1.1	- 9.15
Compression	σ_{UCS} (MPa)	355 ± 47	356 ± 28	+ 0.28
	E_{C} (GPa)	21.3 ± 0.4	21.1 ± 0.4	- 1.07

Table 2. Constant amplitude fatigue properties of the GFRP composites.

Stress ratio (R)	Fatigue Properties			
	GFRP-neat		GFRP-hybrid	
	σ_f' (FSC) (MPa)	b (FSE)	σ_f' (FSC) (MPa)	b (FSE)
0.1	466.16	-0.1124	532.23	-0.1092
0.3	573.98	-0.1114	548.78	-0.1013
0.5	580.70	-0.1021	549.16	-0.0916
0.7	547.78	-0.0856	556.67	-0.0811
-1.0	297.92	-0.0852	353.76	-0.0931
-4.0	76.98	-0.0469	85.52	-0.0521
10.0	-23.43	-0.0251	-30.45	-0.0244

Fig. 1. Tapping mode atomic force microscope (AFM) phase images of the hybrid bulk epoxy polymer [24]

Fig. 2. The WISPERX spectrum load sequence [29]

- (a) For spectrum fatigue; $GL = 35\text{ mm}$, $W = 12.5\text{ mm}$
- (b) For constant amplitude Tension-Tension (T-T) fatigue : $GL=50\text{mm}$, $W = 25\text{ mm}$
- (c) For constant amplitude Tension-Compression (T-C) and Compression-Compression (C-C) fatigue; $GL = 10\text{ mm}$, $W = 12.5\text{ mm}$

Fig. 3. Schematic diagram showing the dimensions of the fatigue test specimens

Fig. 4. Experimental and predicted fatigue lives of the GFRP composites

under the WISPERX spectrum load sequence

Fig. 5. Normalized stiffness variation curves for GFRP composites determined under the WISPERX spectrum load sequence, $\sigma_{\text{ref}} = 225 \text{ MPa}$

Fig. 6. Photographs showing matrix cracks (indicated by arrows) in GFRP-composites subjected to three complete WISPERX spectrum load blocks with $\sigma_{\text{ref}} = 225$ MPa

Fig. 7. Flow chart for fatigue life prediction under spectrum load sequence

Fig. 8. Constant amplitude stress-life (S-N) curves determined for GFRP composites at various stress ratios. (a) GFRP-neat Composite, (b) GFRP-hybrid composite, (c) Comparison of GFRP-neat (dash lines) and GFRP-hybrid (solid lines) composites at 3 different R-ratios

Fig. 9. Constant life diagram (CLD) for GFRP composites.