Emerging drugs for respiratory syncytial virus infection
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Abstract
Although respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) was discovered > 40 years ago, treatment remains largely supportive. There are no safe and effective vaccines or specific treatments other than prophylaxis with passive antibody therapy (palivizumab). However, there are good reasons to think that the scene may soon change. As the pace of development of anti-viral drugs accelerates and optimism over vaccines increases, novel therapies are set to make a major impact in the management of this very common infection. The use and effect of such interventions are not easy to anticipate, but could ultimately include the interruption of RSV's transmission resulting in profound changes to the impact of RSV on human health.
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1. Background
Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is a common cold agent and the chief worldwide viral cause of moderate-to-severe acute upper and lower respiratory tract illness in infancy. Almost all children are infected by 3 years of age [1,2], most suffering only mild symptoms with rhinorrhea, cough, fever and sometimes wheeze generally resolving in < 2 weeks. However, 25 – 40% of children develop lower respiratory signs indicative of a viral bronchiolitis or pneumonia. In most wealthy, temperate regions, ~ 1 in 40 infants is hospitalised because of RSV infection.

In the US, in 2000, it was estimated that there were ~ 86,000 RSV-related hospitalisations in a year, ~ 98% of which occurred in children < 5 years old [3].

A recently published study shows that RSV is associated with 20% of hospitalisations, 18% of emergency department visits and 15% of office visits for acute respiratory infections from November through April. Average annual hospitalisation rates were 17 per 1,000 children < 6 months of age and 3 per 1,000 children < 5 years of age [4]. The direct medical costs for all RSV infection-related hospitalisations for this age group are estimated at $US394 million in 1 year [3]; European figures are probably similar.

The risk of severe RSV infection is increased by premature birth, low birth weight or ‘small for dates’, male gender, large family size, exposure to passive smoke, lack of breast feeding and by birth ~ 4 months before the peak of the RSV season [5]. Pre-existing diseases
(trisomy 21, congenital heart disease, pulmonary hypertension or immunodeficiency) and chronic pulmonary disease (especially bronchopulmonary dysplasia) pose extra risk. In countries with well-funded medical services, very few otherwise healthy children die of RSV disease. However, children who suffer from severe RSV infections in infancy are prone to recurrent wheezing and asthma diagnosis later in childhood [6] and prevention of RSV infection in the first year of life might possibly reduce the frequency of postviral wheeze in later life [7].

In elderly persons, RSV causes pneumonia, exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) [8] and acute deterioration in those with cardiac disease, and contributes substantially to excess deaths in the winter season. In the US, there are an estimated 14,000 – 60,000 RSV-related hospitalisations and 1,500 – 7,000 deaths in people > 65 years owing to RSV infection each year [9,10].

1.1 Animal infection

Many vertebrate species suffer from RSV disease. Bovine RSV is a significant veterinary problem, and both sheep and goats have their own strains of RSV. These do not transmit to or from man.

Mice and cotton rats are the most commonly used small animal models for anti-viral studies. Typically, anti-viral compounds or vaccines are administered before or after intranasal RSV infection with human RSV and the assessment of viral load and lung pathology is performed on day 4 and/or day 8 of infection. A variety of treatment regimes can be tested to define the best dosing option.

The cotton rat model is semi-permissive for infection with human RSV strains, but infection does not induce significant clinical signs. The virus is inoculated intranasally and viral load and pathology is tested in the lungs and upper airways. Infected cotton rats develop histological bronchiolitis and focal pneumonia. Mice require a relatively high dose of human virus to become infected, but do support viral replication in the lung and nose. By careful selection of viral strain and batch, it is possible to induce moderate-to-severe dose-related disease in mice and to reproduce virtually all the features seen after human infection (including pulmonary neutrophilia [11], bronchiolitis, lung function abnormalities and specific immune responses [12]). The fact that mice are amenable to genetic manipulation, the availability of a very wide range of reagents, relative economy and ease of handling make mice the species of first choice in many laboratories.

Other animals used for testing RSV infection include African green and Rhesus monkeys. Chimpanzees are very susceptible to infection with human strains of RSV and may exchange RSV infections from animal handlers. However, ethical concerns and high costs limit the utility of non-human primates for studies of RSV infection.

It is remarkable that all these animal species show broadly parallel augmented disease if they are vaccinated with formalin-inactivated RSV before nasal challenge.

2. Medical need

All attempts to develop safe and effective vaccine for human use have so far been unsuccessful. Formalin-inactivated vaccines tested in the US in 1960s failed to protect children against the disease and, in younger infants, tended to enhance disease during subsequent natural infection [13]. Live attenuated vaccines are being developed for human use, but tend to induce weak immunity or revert to virulence in young children. At present, palivizumab (a humanised mouse monoclonal antibody against the RSV fusion protein) is
licensed for preventive use, and ribavirin has been used to treat severe infections despite limited evidence of benefit. There is a clearly and urgent need for both vaccines and effective antiviral drugs, but the needs may be tailored to specific groups (Table 1).

3. Scientific rationale

Drugs that interfere with virus attachment, fusion or intracellular replication can inhibit infection. Anti-RSV antibodies interfere with the viral lifecycle by binding free virus (neutralising antibody), attachment to host cell (antibodies to membrane-bound and secreted forms of attachment or G protein), virus–cell and cell–cell fusion (anti-RSV F Ab), inhibiting nucleoprotein (anti-RSV N Ab) or possibly by inhibiting the biological function of secreted surface glycoprotein G (anti-RSV G Ab). Two main groups of the virus, RSV-A and RSV-B, are 67% homologous at the level of nucleotides and 53% homologous at the amino acid sequence of the G protein [14,15].

The mechanism of RSV entry to a cell is not fully defined but it is thought that RSV infects by binding of fusion (F) protein to TLR4 receptor and that the G protein can act as a fractalkine receptor agonist mediating immune cell chemotaxis [16]. The G protein is not required for viral entry as recombinant viruses lacking the G protein remain infectious. After binding, the viral wall and cell membranes fuse, an effect mediated by F protein; the nucleocapsid complex then enters into the cytoplasm. The F protein is highly conserved between strains (79% nucleotide and 89% amino acid homology) [15] and anti-F antibody induced by primary RSV infection is cross-reactive between group A and B virus. Antibodies against the G protein are highly group and even subgroup specific [17,18]. The discovery of further receptors for RSV binding and uptake would be an important advance.

Various small molecules may interfere with the process of fusion and several inhibitors of fusion (for instance, benzimidazole drugs such as BMS-433771 or TMC353121) are under development. At a different level, inside a cell, viral RNA can be targeted by small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) that prevent viral protein synthesis [19] or molecules that inhibit function of key enzymes that may also be involved in replication and assembly of other viruses in addition to RSV. A good example is VX-497, an inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase (IMPDH) inhibitor, developed originally and tested in clinical trials for the HBV treatment but with broad anti-viral activity [20].

There is also evidence that RSV may cause persistent infection. Persistence has been demonstrated in guinea pigs [21], cows [22] and mice [23]. Persistent year-round RSV detection in patients with COPD is associated with airway inflammation and accelerated decline in FEV1. Those with chronic RSV infections may, therefore, benefit from anti-viral drugs able to eliminate persistence, and, therefore, alter the natural history of COPD [24]. In addition, drugs able to eliminate sources of RSV outbreaks in the community could potentially contribute to limiting the prevalence of RSV in young children.

RSV infection triggers an intense host immune response that is responsible for many of the clinical features of disease. Most viral infections induce ‘T helper 1’ type responses, characterised by high levels of IFNγ production; by contrast, asthma and atopy are characterised by ‘T helper 2’ cells producing IL-4 and IL-5 (Th2 cells). In animal models, both these patterns of cytokine production are evident depending on the conditions and previous vaccination status [12,25,26]. As it is not possible to access human samples and perform detailed, sequential, timed analysis of the relevant cells and body fluids, the extent to which human infection is mirrored by animal studies is difficult to judge. This issue is highlighted by the finding that infants with severe infections sequester RSV-specific cells in affected tissues, so that relevant cells are depleted from the peripheral blood [27].
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Samples from RSV-infected children show elevated IL-4/IFNγ ratios in infants during the first week of acute bronchiolitis compared with infants with upper respiratory tract signs alone [28], consistent with excessive type 2 and/or deficient type 1 immune responses in RSV bronchiolitis [29]. In mice, RSV infection is associated with an increase in gamma-delta T cells that make a range of cytokines, and depletion of these cells greatly attenuates disease [30]. In man, RSV infection has been reported to be associated with reduced IFN-gamma production by gamma-delta T cells, compared to a control group infected with rotavirus [31].

Studies of genetic polymorphisms indicate that innate responses may be especially important in explaining the variation in severity of RSV disease [32]. In mice, macrophages play a key role in the early response to RSV infection [33]. In human cells, they have been reported to upregulate Toll-like receptor 3 and 4 expression [34,35], hence promoting sensitivity to bacterial endotoxin and other TLR4 ligands. The fact that common TLR4 polymorphisms show a significant association with RSV bronchiolitis [36], and that TLR4 expression on cells in the peripheral blood increases during bronchiolitis [37] suggests that this effect may be of considerable pathogenic significance.

IL-8 may also be important as IL-8 haplotype is closely associated with RSV disease-susceptibility [38]. Bont et al. found reduced peripheral lymphocyte function in children with severe disease, associated with raised plasma IL-8 levels [39]. IL-8 is a chemokine that promotes neutrophil chemotaxis and survival, and neutrophils are the predominant cell type present in the bronchial secretions of children with severe bronchiolitis [40], and the amount of IL-8 mRNA in the nasal aspirates of infants with bronchiolitis correlates with severity of disease [41]. CXC chemokines (including IL-8 and IP-10) are also found in large quantities in the lower airways of infants with severe RSV bronchiolitis [40].

IL-9 has been found in high concentrations in the airways of infants with severe bronchiolitis [42]. IL-9 is known to induce mucus production by bronchial epithelial cells, cause goblet cell hyperplasia and induce chemokine secretion by respiratory epithelial cells and neutrophils, suggesting that it may have a critical role in the inflammatory cascade in the airways during acute bronchiolitis.

The close involvement of the host immune response in the pathogenesis of RSV disease suggests that it might be possible to modify disease with anti-inflammatory or immunoinhibitory drugs. However, any attempt to limit the immune response would have to be accompanied by treatment with highly effective antiviral drugs so as to prevent rebound of viral replication. Despite many trials of steroid therapy, there is no clear benefit. Inhibition of other inflammatory pathways should be tested once potent antiviral drugs are available.

### 4. Existing treatments

Antibodies play several roles in antiviral immunity (interference with pathogen binding, opsonisation, neutralisation and elimination), thus making them attractive therapeutic agents. An initial prophylactic polyclonal RSV hyperimmune globulin (RespiGam, RSV-IGIV, MedImmune) now been superseded by Synagis® (palivizumab, MedImmune, Gaithersburg, MD, US), a humanised monoclonal Ab against RSV F protein [43,44], the only licensed prophylactic drug for RSV at present. It is administered at 15mg/kg/month i.m. during the RSV season (five doses). Palivizumab acts by preventing the spread of virus to the lower respiratory tract, thus preventing the clinical manifestations of bronchiolitis. Clinical studies showed a relative reduction in RSV-related hospital admissions of 55% in premature infants and/or bronchopulmonary dysplasia/chronic lung disease and by 45% in infants with hemodynamically significant congenital heart disease [45]. It has no significant
adverse effects and there is no evidence of antibody-mediated disease enhancement [45,46]. Delaying RSV infection beyond the first year of life by prophylaxis with palivizumab may reduce the frequency of recurrent wheeze in later childhood [47]. The high cost of Synagis limits its use in many settings [48], and it is clear that even if it were widely used to prevent infection in all high-risk children, the general incidence of severe disease would be little affected, as many cases occur in otherwise normal children.

Ribavirin (1-β-d-ribofuranosyl-1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxamide, Virazole®, ICN Pharmaceuticals Ltd, Basingstoke, UK) is a nucleoside analogue and represents the only licensed anti-viral drug for treating RSV infection. It inhibits IMPDH, reducing formation of mRNA and viral polymerase [49]. It is administered in aerosol form to seriously ill infants with severe bronchiolitis and immunocompromised patients but has a limited use owing to variable efficacy and the risk of toxicity [50-53] and high cost [54]. Drug-resistant mutants have been reported, a significant risk with most single anti-viral agents [54-56].

5. Therapeutic class review

5.1 Fusion inhibitors

BMS-433771 inhibits the entry of the virus into cells through direct interaction with the F protein. It works by disruption of the conformation within the fusion hairpin structure, which is absolutely critical for the fusion [57,58]. In vitro, BMS-433771 inhibited both RSV-A and -B replication, and had an ED$_{50}$ of 20 nM. In mouse and cotton rat models of RSV infection, BMS-433771 5 and 50mg/kg administered p.o., reduced viral lung titres [59,60]. Bristol-Myers Squibb has discontinued further development of this compound [61].

RFI-641 is a specific inhibitor of RSV fusion. It both blocks viral fusion to the cell membrane and prevents syncytium formation by binding to protein F [62]. In murine models of RSV infection, RFI-641 administered nasally 2 h before infection reduced viral titres in the lung by 0.63 – 1.53 log [63] and in African green monkeys, in nasal and throat samples by 2.1 and 3.1 log, respectively. In the same model, it was also effective 24 h post-infection [64]. It was tested in Phase I and II clinical trials in 2000 – 2001 [49] but Wyeth has discontinued development of RFI-641 [61].

VP-14637 is a small molecule that inhibits RSV entry into host cells designed for inhalation that could have been delivered directly to the infection site. The technology was suited for use in infants and was under development by ViroPharma. VP-14637 bound specifically to RSV-infected cells and interacted with the RSV F protein [65]. The drug was tested in an inhaled formulation in Phase I trials to evaluate the safety and pharmacokinetic profile. However, ViroPharma has discontinued development of this compound for strategic reasons [61].

JNJ-2408068 is a benzimidazole derivative [66] and has a very potent anti-RSV activity. It inhibits both virus–cell fusion and cell–cell fusion [67] of human RSV-A and -B as well as bovine RSV but it does not work against other Paramyxoviridae. In vitro cytotoxicity and antiviral effects are seen in the cotton rats, where selective anti-viral activity is evident. Significant drug levels occur in the lung and a concentration of 10 nM reduces RSV load 1,000-fold. Outside the lung, only low levels of JNJ-2408068 are found [68]. In addition, it reduces release of proinflammatory cytokines IL-6, IL-8 and RANTES from RSV-infected A549 cells [67]. TMC353121, the new morpholinopropylaminobenzimidazole derivative of JNJ-2408068 [69], has recently been synthesised as a result of molecular modelling in a lead optimisation program. A process that led to the selection of TMC353121 has been described in detail by Bonfanti et al. [69]. TMC353121 is under active preclinical evaluation by Tibotec.
5.2 Attachment inhibitor

MBX-300 (Microbiotix, Worcester, MA, US) is the compound that targets the attachment protein [70] designed for the oral treatment of RSV. It underwent in vivo efficacy studies and toxicology trials including testing in Cynomolgus monkeys and showed anti-RSV activity and a good safety profile [70,71].

5.3 IMPDH inhibitors

EICAR is a nucleoside analogue with anti-viral properties. In vitro, it inhibits replication of paramyxoviruses (parainfluenza, mumps, measles and RSV) and orthomyxovirus (influenza) with EC$_{50}$s of 0.06 – 2.3 μg/ml. In HeLa, Vero, MDCK and LLCMK2 cells, even doses of 200 μg/ml are non-cytotoxic. In replicating cells, 5.6 – 12 μg/ml inhibits growth. It works by inhibiting IMPDH, leading to depletion of intracellular GTP [72]. Asahi Kasei Pharma has discontinued development of EICAR [61].

5.4 Anti-RSV morpholino oligomers

Lai et al. [73] report the effects of two antisense phosphorodiamidate morpholino oligomers (PMOs) targeting the sequence of RSV L mRNA, coupled to arginine-rich cell-penetrating peptide. Phosphorodiamidate morpholino oligomers have the same nucleobases as DNA, but a morpholine ring replaces the deoxyribose sugar and a phosphorodiamidate linkage replaces the phosphodiester [74]. Phosphorodiamidate morpholino oligomers bind the complementary RNA sequence and, therefore, have an antisense effect through steric blockage of RNA [75]. Both in vitro and in vivo, the constructs can easily enter cells where they interfere with viral protein expression. Minimal cytotoxicity was observed in human cell lines and reduction of viral titres was significant (> 2.0 log10). Intranasal treatment of BALB/c mice with peptide-bound PMO before the RSV inoculation produced a reduction in viral titre of 1.2 log10 in lung tissue at day 5 post-infection, and attenuated pulmonary inflammation at day 7 post-infection.

Collectively, these data indicate that PMOs possess potent anti-RSV activity. This strategy is worthy of further investigation as a candidate for potential therapeutic application.

6. Competitive environment

Several promising anti-RSV compounds described earlier have been at various stages of development (Table 2); however, many of the projects have been discontinued and at the moment only two are in Phase II clinical trials: ALN-RSV01 and RSV-604.

6.1 Antisense anti-RSV agents: ALN-RSV01

RNA interference (RNAi) is a natural process that occurs in cytoplasm and leads to degradation of mRNA. Small interfering RNAs are synthesised to target any endogenous mRNA sequence in a cell or an exogenous sequence carried by a virus. While in a cell, siRNAs incorporate into a cytoplasmic protein complex, the RNA induced silencing complex (RISC). The antisense strand of the siRNA binds in a sequence-specific manner to existing mRNAs in the cytoplasm, which leads to cleavage and subsequent release of the inactivated fragments of the complementary mRNA. This process interrupts the synthesis of the corresponding protein [19,76,77].

There have been several recent reports describing a number of antiviral siRNAs tested in vitro and in vivo and where viral infections or cancers have been targeted by antisense-mediated approaches [78-80]. ALN-RSV01 (Alnylam Pharmaceuticals) is the first siRNA targeting a microbial pathogen tested in humans and the first siRNA administered to the
human respiratory tract. ALN-RSV01 is designed to inhibit the replication of RSV by interrupting the synthesis of the viral nucleocapsid protein (N-protein).

Studies in mice showed that ALN-RSV01 (2 mg/kg, single dose) protected against subsequent RSV infection, and could also be used to treat an existing RSV infection, thereby decreasing viral load > 10,000-fold [81]. The results of the first two placebo-controlled healthy volunteer studies have just been released [77], demonstrating the drug is safe over a wide range after nasal delivery. Further multinational, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multidose Phase II trials with an inhaled nebuliser formulation (the expected final route of administration) are continuing. These studies may provide validation of the general strategy of siRNA delivery to the respiratory tract, regardless of the utility of this approach to treatment of RSV disease.

Antisense strategies, therefore, show great promise, but may require further improvement before they can be used clinically. Reduced cost, increased in vivo stability, increased expression levels and delivery to relevant cell populations may all represent significant hurdles for the development of this technology.

6.2 N protein inhibitors: RSV-604

RSV-604 is an oral benzodiazepine under development by Arrow Therapeutics (Novartis) [82]. Found by screening libraries of small molecules, it inhibits viral replication and the inhibitory activity is in the submicromolar range for both RSV-A and RSV-B [60]. RSV-604 represents the first in a new class of RSV inhibitors and has potential as a treatment for RSV infection. Promising preclinical data have enabled the study of the drug in Phase I trials, where volunteers have been exposed to increasing quantities of it. These studies showed that the drug was well absorbed in humans, and that one dose a day was sufficient to achieve antiviral EC\textsubscript{90} levels [83]. It is possible that similar molecules with advantageous pharmacokinetics will be found by molecular modification.

7. Current research goals

Although generally successful, palivizumab does not always produce perfect protection and does not adequately protect from upper airway infection. To improve on palivizumab, Medimmune had developed antibodies with better binding, among them motavizumab (MEDI-524, formerly known as Numax®, Gaithersburg, MD, USA). This has 70-fold greater binding affinity to RSV F protein in vitro and a 20-fold improvement in neutralisation [44]. It not only inhibits RSV replication in lower respiratory tract, but also inhibits nasal replication. In cotton rats, it causes 100-fold greater reduction in pulmonary RSV titres compared with palivizumab [84]; in the mouse, prophylactic administration of motavizumab significantly reduces RSV replication and reduces local and systemic levels of TNF-\alpha, IL-1a, IL-12p70, KC, IL-10 and IFN\gamma [85].

Motavizumab has now been tested in Phase III clinical trials in 6,635 pre-term infants at high risk of RSV infection. Its use was associated with significantly fewer hospitalisations compared with palivizumab [86]. MedImmune recently submitted a Biologics Licence Application but the licensing process has been delayed [87].

In addition to several promising small molecule antivirals, the exciting reports of the remarkable effects of anti-viral siRNA seems likely to lead to improvements in treatments for RSV infection. However, RSV infection may be difficult to control by a single drug or monoclonal. More likely, combined therapy may be required for improved therapeutic efficacy. For example, the synergistic action of several antibodies (e.g., the approach being taken by Symphogen, [88]), possibly together with an antifusion drug or compound in...
combination with IMPDH inhibitor, may be required to increase treatment efficacy and at the same time reduce the risk of emergence of resistant viral mutants.

8. Potential development issues

The very considerable and rising costs of developing effective anti-viral drugs for common cold agents need to be viewed in the context of the normally non-life-threatening nature of such infections. Absolute safety is paramount and is a particular concern in young children; failure to meet stringent conditions set by licensing bodies or relatively minor commercial setbacks may halt further development or lead to product withdrawal.

The convenience and practicality of different routes of drug delivery and the importance and difficulty of early diagnosis are also potential limiting issues. Specifically, it seems essential to intervene very early during infection if an anti-viral drug is to be effective. With anti-influenza drugs, it seems that treatment needs to be given in the first 48 h of illness to be of value. With RSV infections, it is possible that early treatment is also essential, and it may even be optimal to start treatment even before infection becomes clinically evident to modify disease. If this is the case, the use of anti-viral drugs would be of very limited value. The effect of delaying treatment on efficacy needs very careful study, and the results could have a major impact on the usefulness of therapy.

Approaches to treatment may need to be different in various target groups. For example, long-lived passive antibody therapy may be optimal for neonates in whom vaccines may be ineffective or dangerous and small molecule therapy impractical or potentially hazardous. Small molecule anti-viral drugs may have a role in adults, particularly those with immunosuppression, persistent infection or at risk of transmitting infection to susceptible individuals. An effective vaccine could interrupt community transmission, particularly if administered to toddlers, older children, parents and care-givers.

The fact that the epidemiology of RSV suggests community transmission can be interrupted by variations in the weather and in social interaction and that RSV strains are specific to narrow host range raises the exciting possibility that the combination of effective vaccination and anti-viral therapy targeted at community sources of winter outbreaks might conceivably lead to global elimination of RSV infection in man.

Although a distant hope, unachievable with vaccines envisaged at present and anti-viral drugs, the prospect of eventual elimination of RSV from the human population is an inspiring goal.

9. Expert opinion

Despite many attempts to develop vaccines and anti-viral drugs for RSV infection, treatment remains essentially supportive at present. The remarkable success of palivizumab has reinvigorated the field, demonstrating the potential of antibody alone to protect against RSV infection, the great medical need and the considerable commercial opportunities in this area. Although many anti-viral drugs fail during development, there are promising drugs in the pipeline. It is to be hoped that one or more will be in Phase III in the near future, establishing clinical efficacy and market niche that small molecules will occupy. Effective and safe vaccines are keenly awaited and cheaper, longer-lasting and highly effective antibody products are likely to continue to have a role in preventing disease, especially in infancy. With this range of new and effective therapies, the considerable impact of RSV infections on human health may be greatly reduced in the foreseeable future.
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Table 1

Summary of medical need.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Treatment/Prophylaxis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infants aged 2 – 8 months during the RSV season</td>
<td>Passive immunoprophylaxis; possibly vaccines, if immunogenic and safe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSV-infected infants in hospital or at home</td>
<td>Anti-viral drugs to limit spread and perhaps reduce disease severity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care-givers of high-risk children</td>
<td>Conventional vaccines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elderly persons</td>
<td>Vaccines and perhaps anti-viral drugs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RSV: Respiratory syncytial virus.
Table 2

Examples of anti-RSV drugs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Stage of development</th>
<th>Mechanism of action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MBX-300</td>
<td>Microbiotix (USA)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="MBX-300 Structure" /></td>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>Discontinued</td>
<td>G protein inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP-14637</td>
<td>ViroPharma (USA)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="VP-14637 Structure" /></td>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>Discontinued</td>
<td>F protein inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSV-604</td>
<td>Arrow Therapeutics (Novartis, UK)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="RSV-604 Structure" /></td>
<td>Phase II clinical trial</td>
<td>N protein inhibitor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFI-641</td>
<td>Wyeth (USA)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="RFI-641 Structure" /></td>
<td>Infection, prophylaxis</td>
<td>Discontinued</td>
<td>F protein inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound</td>
<td>Company</td>
<td>Structure</td>
<td>Indication</td>
<td>Stage of development</td>
<td>Mechanism of action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LY-2 53963</td>
<td>Eli Lilly (USA)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>Discontinued</td>
<td>Unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EICAR</td>
<td>Asahi Kasei Pharma</td>
<td></td>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>Discontinued</td>
<td>L-polymerase inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMS-433771</td>
<td>Bristol-Myers Squibb</td>
<td></td>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>Discontinued</td>
<td>F protein inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALN-RSV01</td>
<td>Alnylam (USA)</td>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>Infection, prophylaxis</td>
<td>Phase II clinical trial</td>
<td>Gene expression inhibitor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RSV: Respiratory syncytial virus